HRA Sazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY साप्ताहिक WEEKLY

ਜਂ. 10] No. 10 [नई दिल्ली, फरवरी 27—मार्च 5, 2011, शनिवार /फाल्गुन 8—फाल्गुन 14, 1932 NEW DELHI, FEBRUARY 27—MARCH 5, 2011, SATURDAY/PHALGUNA 8—PHALGUNA 14, 1932

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह पृथक संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

> भाग । —खण्ड 3 —उप-खण्ड (ii) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए साविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (Other than the Ministry of Defence)

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय

(कार्यिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 18 फरवरी, 2011

का,आ, 653.—दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम सं. 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए बिहार सरकार, गृह (पुलिस) विभाग पटना की सहमति से अधिसूचना सं. 1/सी.बी.आई. 80-04/2010 एच. पी. दिनांक 7-9-2010 द्वारा जाली रेलवे टिकटों का मुद्रण और बिक्री और उपरोल्लिखित अपराधों और उसी लेन-देन के दौरान किए गए अथवा उसी तथ्यों से उत्पन्न किसी अन्य अपराध अथवा अपराधों के संबंध में दुष्प्ररेण और षडयंत्र से संबंधित थाना बरियारपुर, जिला-मुंगेर (बिहार) में दर्ज भारतीय दण्ड संहिता, 1860 (1860 की अधिनियम सं. 45) की धारा 420, 467, 468, 471, 409 और 120-बी तथा शस्त्र अधिनियम, 1959 (1959 की अधिनियम सं. 54) की धारा 25 (1-बी) 26 और 35 के अंतर्गत मुकदमा सं. 47/10 दिनांक 29-5-2010 की जांच हेतु दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम के सदस्यों को एतदुद्वारा सम्पूर्ण बिहार

राज्य के लिए शक्तियां और क्षेत्राधिकार प्रदान करती है।

[सं. 228/73/2010-ए वी डी-11]

बी. एम. रत्नम, उप सचिव

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS

(Department of Personnel and Training)

New Delhi, the 18th February, 2011

S.O. 653.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government with the consent of the State Government of Bihar Home (Police) Department, Patna vide Notification No. 1/CB1-80-04/2010-H. P. dated 7-9-2010, hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Bihar for investigation of case No. 47/10 dated 29-5-2010 under sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 409 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860

(Act No. 45 of 1860) and sections 25 (1-B), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Act. No. 54 of 1959) registered at Bariarpur, Police Station, District Munger (Bihar) relating to printing and sale of fake Railway Tickets and attempts, abetiment and conspiracy in relation to or in connection with the above mentioned offences and any other offence or offences committed in course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

[No. 228/73/2010-AVD-II]

V. M. RATHNAM, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 22 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 654.—केंद्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वरा दण्ड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (वर्ष 1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की धारा 24 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तायों का प्रयोग करते हुए गुवाहाटी उच्च न्यायालय, गुवाहाटी में दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना (के.अ. ब्यूरो) द्वारा अन्वेषण किए जाने वाले मामलों के अभियोजन, अपीलों, पुनरीक्षण या इस मामले से उद्धृत अन्य मामलों का संचालन करने के लिए श्री प्रशांत एन. चौधरी, एडवोकेट को केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो (सीबीआई) में विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. 225/2/2010-ए वी डी-II]

वी. एम. रत्नम, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 22nd February, 2011

S.O. 654.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Prasant N. Choudhury, Advocate, as Special Public Prosecutor of the Delhi Special Police Establishment (Central Bureau of Investigation) in the Guwahati High Court at Guwahati for conducting the prosecution appeals, revisions or other matters arising out of the cases investigated by the Delhi Special Police Establishment (CBI).

[No.225/2/2010-AVD-H] V. M. RATHNAM, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 655.—केंद्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दण्ड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (वर्ष 1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की धारा 24 की उप-धारा (8) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए विशेष मजिस्ट्रेट रायबरेली तथा विशेष मजिस्ट्रेट (अयोध्या प्रकरण) तथा विशेष जज (अयोध्या प्रकरण) लखनऊ में के.अ. ब्यूरो केस सं. आरसी 8(एस)/1992/एस. आई.यू.-वी/एस.सी-II/नई दिल्ली तथा आर.सी. 1 (एस)/1993 से 48 (एस)/1993/एसआईसी-IV/नई दिल्ली (अयोध्या मामले के संबंध में श्री जे.बी. सिंह, वकील को विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है ।

[सं. 225/27/2010-ए वी डी-II] वी. एम. रत्नम, उप सचिव New Delhi, the 23rd February, 2011

S.O. 655.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act. No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri J. B. Singh, Advocate, as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting prosecution in CBI Case No. RC. 8 (S)/1992/SIU-V/SC-II/New Delhi and RC. I (S)/1993 to 48 (S)/1993/SIC. IV/New Delhi (Ayodhya cases) before the Special Magistrate, Rai Bareli and Special Magistrate (Ayodhya Prakran) and Special Judge (Ayodhya Prakran) and Special Judge (Ayodhya Prakran) at Lucknow.

[No.225/27/2010-AVD-H]
V. M. RATHNAM, Dv. Seev,

नई दिल्ली, 25 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 656,---केंद्रीय सरकार एतदद्वारा दिल्लो विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम सं. 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदन्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए असम राज्य सरकार, राजनैतिक (ए) विभाग, दिसपर की दिनांक 6-12-2010 की अधिसूचना सं. पीएलए 659/2009 129 ए द्वारा प्राप्त सहमति से नॉर्थ कछार हिल्स आदोनोमस काडोंस्ख (एनसीएचएसी), एनसी हिल्स डिस्टिक्ट (अब दीमा हमाओ (टांस्टक), हाफलांग, असम के लोक सेवकों द्वारा सरकारी निधियों के दर्विनियोजन के संबंध में पुलिस स्टेशन सीआईडी में पंजीकृत भारतीय वंड मंहिता 1860 (1860 का अधिनियम सं. 45) की धारा 120 (वी), 400 तथा **420 के अंतर्गत केस सं. 66/2010, भारतीय दंड** संहिता 1860 (1860 का अधिनियम सं. 45) की धारा 120-बी, 409, 420 तथा 4*67* के अंतर्गत केस सं. 67/2010, भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम 1988 (1988 का अधिनियम सं. 49) की धारा 13 (1) (सी) के साथ पठित धारा 13(2) तथा (डी) के अंतर्गत अन्वेषण करने तथा उपर्युक्त उल्लिखित अपराधों के संबंध में प्रयास, दुर्फ्यरण नथा पडयंत्र के संबंध में या इसी संव्यवहार के क्रम में या उन्हीं तथ्यों से उद्गुत किसी अन्य अपराध/अपराधों का अन्वेषण करने के लिए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और क्षेत्रांधकार का विस्तार एतदद्वारा सम्पूर्ण असम राज्य के सम्बन्ध में करती है ।

[सं. 228/2/2011 एवोडी अ

वी. एम. रत्नम, उप मन्विव

New Delhi, the 25th February, 2011

S.O. 656.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government with the consent of the State Government of Assam, Political (A) Department. Dispur vide Notification No. PLA. 659/2009/129-A dated 6-12-2010, hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Assam for investigation of Case

No. 66/2010 under sections 120-B, 409 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act No. 45 of 1860), Case No. 67/2010 under sections 120-B, 409, 420 and 467 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act No. 45 of 1860), Section 13 (2) read with 13(1) (c) and (d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Act No. 49 of 1988) registered at Police Station CID relating to misappropriation of Government funds allegedly by the Public Servants in North Cachar Hills Autonomous Council (NCHAC), N.C. Hills District (Now Dima Hasao District), Haflong, Assam and attempt, abetment and conspiracy in relation to or in connection with the above mentioned offences and any other offence or offences committed in course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

[No. 228/2/2011-AVD-II]

V, M. RATHNAM, Dy. Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(वित्तीय सेवाएं विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 657,—रुग्ण औद्योगिक कंपनी (विशेष उपबंध) अधिनियम, 1985 की धारा 6 की उपधारा (2) के साथ पठित धारा 4 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा, श्री वाई.के. गैहा और श्री एस. के. भट्टाचार्य को पदभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से तीन वर्षों की अविध के लिए अथवा उनके 65 वर्ष की आयु प्राप्त कर लेने तक अथवा औद्योगिक और वित्तीय पुनर्निर्माण बोर्ड (बीआईएफआर) के समापन तक अथवा अगले आदेश होने तक, इनमें से जो भी पहले हो, बीआईएफआर के सदस्य के रूप में नियक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 20(1)/2004-आई एफ-[[(भाग-2)]

राजीव शर्मा, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Financial Services)

New Delhi, the 21st February, 2011

S.O. 657.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 4 read with Sub-section 2 of Section 6 of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Y.K., Gaiha and Shri S. K. Bhattacharya as Members, Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction (BIFR) for three years, with effect from the date of assumption of the charge of the post or till they attain the age of 65 years or till the abolition of BIFR or until further orders, whichever event occurs the earliest.

[F. No. 20(1)/2004-IF.-II (Part-2)] RAJIV SHARMA, Under Secv. नई दिल्ली, 22 फरवरी, 2011

का,आ. 658.—बीमा विनियामक और विकास प्राधिकरण अधिनियम, 1999 (1999 का 41) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा, श्री विजय महाजन के स्थान पर प्रबंधन विकास संस्थान, गुड़गांव के निदेशक प्रो. वी.के. गुप्ता को तत्काल प्रमाव से पांच वर्षों की अविध के लिए अथवा अगले आदेश होने तक, बीमा विनियामक और विकास प्राधिकरण के अंशकालिक सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 11/6/2003-बीमा-111]

ललित कुमार, निदेशक (बीमा)

New Delhi, the 22nd February, 2011

S.O. 658.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority Act, 1999 (41 of 1999), the Central Government hereby appoints Prof. V. K. Gupta, Director, Management Development Institute, Gurgaon as Part-time Member of the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority vice Shri Vijay Mahajan, with immediate effect for a period of five years or until further orders whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 11/6/2003-lns. III] LALIT KUMAR, Director (Ins.)

कार्यालय मुख्य आयक्तर आयुक्त

जयपुर, 24 फरवरी, 2011

(सं. 26/2010-11)

का,आ. 659.—आयकर नियम, 1962 के नियम 2 सी के साथ पठनीय आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43वां) की धारा 10 के खण्ड (23 सी) की उपधारा (iv) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त, जयपुर एतद्द्वारा निर्धारण वर्ष 2010-11 एवं आगे के लिए कथित धारा के उद्देश्य से "राजस्थान स्टेट पोल्यूशन कन्ट्रोल बोर्ड, जयपुर" को स्वीकृति देते हैं बशर्त कि समिति आयकर नियम 1962 के नियम 2 सी के साथ पठनीय आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10 के उपखण्ड (23 सी) की उपधारा (iv) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप कार्य करे।

[क्रमांक: मुआआ/अआआ/(मु.)/जय/10 (23सी)/ (iv)/10-11/6624]

मुकेश भान्ती, मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX

Jaipur, the 24th February, 2011

(No. 26/2010-11)

S.O. 659.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iv) of clause (23C) of Section 10 of the Incometax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) read with rule 2C of the Income-

tax Rules, 1962 the Chief Commissioner of Income-tax. Jaipur hereby approves "Rajasthan State Pollution Control Board, Jaipur" for the purpose of said section for the A.Y. 2010-11 and onwards:

Provided that the society conforms to and complies with the provisions of sub-clause (iv) of clause (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with rule 2C of the Income-tax Rules, 1962.

> [No. CCIT/JPR/Addl. CIT (Hqrs.)/10 (23C) (iv)/ 2010-11/66241

MUKESH BHANTI, Chief Commissioner of Income-tax केंद्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड

[आयकर निदेशालय (ज.सं., मु.प्र. एवं रा.भा.)] (राजभाषा प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 660.—केंद्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उप नियम (4) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड के निम्नलिखित अधीनस्थ कार्यालय, जिसके 80% से अधिक कर्मचारियों ने हिंदी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को एतदद्वारा अधिस्चित करती **है** ;−

आयकर आयुक्त कार्यालय, मैसूर

[फा.सं. 2300/रा.भा.प्र./2009~10] अमिताभ कुमार, आयकर निदेशक

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES [Directorate of Income Tax (PR, PP & OL)] (Official Language Division)

New Delhi, the 21st February, 2011

S.O. 660.—In pursuance of Sub-rule 4 of Rule 10 of the Official Language (use for official purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976 the Central Government hereby notifies the following subordinate office of the Central Board of Direct Taxes, whereof more than 80% of the staff have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi:

> Office of the Commissioner of Income Tax, Mysore [F. No. 2300/ O. L. D./2009-10]

> > AMITABH KUMAR, Director of Income Tax

(राजस्व विभाग)

(केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 24 फरवरी, 2011

(आयकर)

का.आ. 661.--जबिक केन्द्र सरकार ने आयकर अधिनियम. 1961 (1961 का 43) (जिसे बाद में उक्त अधिनियम के रूप में **संदर्भित किया गया)** की धारा 80झक की उपधरा (4) के खंद +6.7द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए संख्या का.आ. ५१ : १०० दिवांक 8 जनवरी, 2008 तथा जिसे सं. का.आ. 1605 (अ) विकास 2 जुलाई, 2008 के तहत संशोधित किया गया, के तहत विन मंत्रल (राजस्व विभाग), केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड में भारत सरकार 🐬 अधिसूचना द्वारा औद्योगिक पार्क के लिए एक स्कीम निर्मित 🕾 अधिसूचित की है;

और जबिक मैसर्स इंडो ग्लोबल इंफ्रास्ट्रक्चर एंड यृटिका सर्विसेज प्रा. लिमिटेड, जिसका पंजीकृत पता 401-402 ग्रेट ईंग्टर प्लाजा, यरवदा, पुणे-411006 में है, ने सर्वे संख्या 457, 45% 1295/1296/1122/1129/1140 गांव शिरवाल तथा शिंदेवाडी, तहरगण खंडाला, जिला सतारा, महाराष्ट्र में एक औद्योगिक पार्क विकास किया है:

अत: अब आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 184 के मार्र पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 80झक को उपधारा (4) के एउन (iii) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार एतवड़ा। इस अधिसूचना के अनुबंध में उल्लिखित शर्तों के अधीन मैसर्स इंडी ग्लोबल इंफ्रास्ट्रक्चर एंड यूटिलिटी सर्विसिज प्रा. लिमिटेड, जिसक पंजीकृत पता 401-402 ग्रेट ईस्टर्न प्लाजा, यरवदा, पुणे-4(1006) है, ने सर्वे संख्या 457, 458, 1295/1296/1122/1129/1140 ग्रन्ट शिरवाल तथा शिंदेवाड़ी, तहसील खंडाला, जिला सतारा, महाराष्ट्र 🦸 उक्त उपक्रम द्वारा विकसित एवं अनुरक्षित तथा प्रचालित की जा 🖅 है, के रूप में खंड (iii) के प्रोजनार्थ एक औद्योगिक पार्क के रूप ने अधिसूचित करती है।

शतें जिन पर मैसर्स इंडो ग्लोबल इंफ्रास्ट्रक्चर एंड युटिलिए सर्विसिज प्रा. लिमिटेड, पुणे द्वारा एक औद्योगिक पार्क की स्थापना क लिए भारत सरकार का अनुमोदन प्रदान किया गया है ।

l.

(i)	औद्योगिक उपक्रम का नाम	ं इंडो ग्लोबल इंफ्रास्ट्रक्वर एं यूटिलिटी सर्विसिज प्रा. लिमिटे :
(ii)	अवस्थान	सर्वे 457, 458, 1295/1296/ 1122/1129/1140 गांव शिरवाल तथा शिदेवाड़ी, तहसील खंडाला जिला सतारा, महाराष्ट्र ।
(iii)	निर्मित न्यूनतम फर्शी क्षेत्र	15,000 वर्ग म ीट-
(iv)	प्रस्तावित औद्योगिक कार्य-कलाप	जैसा कि औद्योगिक पार्क (संशोधन) स्कीम, 2010 में परिभाषित किया गया है।

औद्योगिक उपयोग के (\mathbf{v}) लिए उद्दिष्ट आबंटन योग्य क्षेत्रफल की प्रतिशतता

गरिभाषितं किया गया है ।

90.8 प्रतिशत अथवा उससं अधिक

- (vi) वाणिज्यिक उपयोग के 9.2 प्रतिशत अथवा उससे कम
 लिए उद्दिष्ट आबंटन
 योग्य क्षेत्रफल की
 प्रतिशतता
- (vii) औद्योगिक उपक्रमों 44 यूनिटें की न्युनतम संख्या
- (viii) औद्योगिक पार्क के 25-03-2009 प्रारंभ होने की तिथि
- 2. औद्योगिक पार्क को स्थानीय प्राधिकरण से प्रारंभ होने के प्रमाणपत्र की तिथि जो 25-03-2009 है, को विकसित के रूप में समझा जाएगा।
 - 3. औद्योगिक पार्क का मालिक एक ही उपक्रम होना चाहिए।
- 4. अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत कर लाभ औद्योगिक पार्क में कम से कम तीस यूनिटों के अवस्थापित होने के उपरान्त ही उपक्रम को उपलब्ध होंगे। न्यूनतम संख्या में औद्योगिक यूनिटों की संगणना के लिए किसी व्यक्ति तथा उससे संबद्ध उपक्रमों की सभी यूनिटों को एक यूनिट माना जाएगा।
- संबद्ध उपक्रम की यूनिटों सहित कोई भी औद्योगिक यूनिट 'आबंटन योग क्षेत्रफल के पच्चीस प्रतिशत से अधिक का उपभोग नहीं करंगी।
- 6. अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत कर लाभ इस अधिसूचना के तहत अधिसूचित उपक्रम को ही उपलब्ध होगा तथा न कि किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को बो बाद में अधिसूचित औद्योगिक पार्क किसी कारणवश विकसित कर सकता है, विकसित करता है तथा प्रचालन करता है अथवा अनुरक्षण करता है तथा प्रचालित करता है।
- औद्योगिक यूनिटें केवल वहीं कार्य-कलाप करेंगी जिन्हें औद्योगिक पार्क (संशोधन) स्कीम, 2010 में विनिर्दिष्ट किया गया है।
- उपक्रम को औद्योगिक पार्क के लिए अलग खाता-बही रखनी चाहिए तथा नियत तिथि तक आयकर विभाग को अपनी आयकर विवरणी दाखिल करनी चाहिए।
- 9. यह अधिसूचना अमान्य होगी तथा मैसर्स इंडो ग्लोबल इंफ्रास्ट्रक्चर एंड यूटिलिटी सर्विसेज प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, पुणे ऐसी अमान्यता को किसी भी प्रतिक्रिया के लिए पूरी तरह उत्तरदायी होंगे, यदि
 - (i) इसके द्वारा दाखिल आवेदन तथा परवर्ती दस्तावेज जिसके आधार पर केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा अधिसूचना जारी की जाती है, में गलत सूचना/मिथ्या जानकारी होती है अथवा कुछ वस्तुगत सूचना इसमें नहीं दी गई होती है,
 - (ii) यह औद्योगिक पार्क के अवस्थान के लिए है जिसके लिए किसी अन्य उपक्रम के नाम में अधिसूचना पहले ही जारी की जा चुकी है ।
- 10. उपक्रम प्रपत्र आई पी एस-11 में एक वार्षिक रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड को प्रस्तुत करेगा ।

- 11. इस अधिसूचना में उल्लिखित शर्तों तथा औद्योगिक पार्क स्कीम में शामिल की गई शर्तों का उस अवधि के दौरान पालन किया जाना चाहिए जिसके लिए इस स्कीम के अन्तर्गत लाभ उठाए जाने हैं। केन्द्र सरकार उपर्युक्त अनुमोदन को वापस ले सकती है, यदि उपक्रम किन्हीं भी शर्तों का पालन करने में असमर्थ होता है।
- 12. केन्द्र सरकार के अनुमोदन के परियोजना प्लान में किसी संशोधन अथवा भविष्य में अभिज्ञान अथवा आवेदक द्वारा किसी वस्तुगत तथ्य को प्रेकट न करने से औद्योगिक पार्क का अनुमोदन अमान्य हो जाएगा।

[अधिसूचना सं. 11/2011/फा. सं. 178/55/2008–आई टी ए-I] रमण चोपड़ा, निदेशक

(Department of Revenue) (Central Board of Direct Taxes)

New Delhi, the 24th February, 2011 (INCOME-TAX)

- S.O. 661.—Whereas the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iii) of sub-Section (4) of Section 80-IA of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), has framed and notified a scheme for industrial park, by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue, Central Board of Direct Taxes) vide number S.O. 51 (E), dated the 8th January, 2008 and amended vide number S.O. 1605 (E) dated 2nd July, 2008;
- 2. And whereas M/s. Indo-Global Infrastructure & Utility Services Pvt. Ltd. having its registered address at 401-402 Great Eastern Plaza, Yerwada, Pune 411006 has developed an Industrial Park at Survey Nos. 457, 458, 1295/1296/1122/1129/1140 Villages Shirwal and Shindewadi, Tehsil Khandala, Distt. Satara, Maharashtra.
- 3. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iii) of sub-section (4) of Section 80-IA of the said Act read with Rule 18 C of the Income Tax Rules, 1962, the Central Government hereby notifies M/s. Indo-Global Infrastructure & Utility Services Pvt. Ltd. having its registered address at 401-402 Great Eastern Plaza, Yerwada, Pune 411006, has developed an Industrial Park at Survey Nos. 457, 458, 1295/1296/1122/1129/1140 Villages Shirwal and Shindewadi, Tehsil Khandala, Distt. Satara, Maharashtra being developed and being maintained and operated by the said undertaking, as an industrial park for the purposes of the said clause (iii) subject to the terms and conditions mentioned in the annexure to this notification.

ANNEXURE

The terms and conditions on which the approval of the Government of India has been accorded for setting up of an industrial park by M/s. Indo Global Infrastructure & Utility Services Pvt. Ltd., Pune.

1. (i) Name of the Industrial Indo-Global Infrastructure Undertaking, & Utility Services Pvt. Ltd.

(ii) Location Survey Nos. 457, 458, 1295/
1296/1122/1129/1140
Villages Shirwal and Shindewadi, Tehsil Khandala,
Distt. Satara, Maharashtra
(iii) Minimum Constructed 15,000 Square Meters

(iii) Minimum Constructed Floor Area

15,000 Square Meters

(iv) Proposed industrial activities

As defined in Industrial Park (Amendment) Scheme, 2010

(v) Percentage of allocable area earmarked for Industrial use 90.8%

(vi) Percentage of allocable area earmarked for commercial use 9.2% or less

(vii) Minimum number of industrial units

44 Units

(viii) Date of commencement of the Industrial Park 25-03-2009

- 2. The Industrial Park shall be construed as developed on the date of commencement certificate from the local authority which is 25-03-2009.
- 3. The industrial park should be owned by only one undertaking.
- 4. The tax benefits under the Act will be available to the undertaking only after minimum number of thirty units are located in the Industrial Park. For the purpose of computing the minimum number of industrial units, all units of a person and his associated enterprises will be treated as a single unit.
- 5. No industrial unit, along with the units of an associated enterprise, shall occupy more than twenty five per cent of the allocable area.
- 6. The tax benefits under the Act will be available only to the undertaking notified vide this notification and not to any other person who may subsequently develop, develops and operates or maintains and operates the notified industrial park, for any reason.
- 7. The Industrial units shall undertake only those activities as specified in Industrial Park (Amendment) Scheme, 2010.
- 8. The undertaking must keep separate books of accounts for the industrial park and must file its incometax returns by the due date to the Incometax department.
- 9. The notification will be invalid and M/s. Indo-Global Infrastructure & Utility Services Pvt. Ltd., Pune shall be solely responsible for any repercussions of such invalidity, if
 - i. the application and subsequent documents furnished by it, on the basis of which the notification is issued by the Central Government contains wrong information/misinformation or

- some material information has not been provided in it.
- ii. it is for the location of the industrial park for which notification has already been issued in the name of another undertaking.
- 10. The undertaking shall furnish an annual report to the Central Board of Direct Taxes in Form IPS-II.
- 11. The conditions mentioned in this notification as well as those included in the Industrial Park (Amendment) Scheme, 2010 should be adhered to during the period for which benefits under this scheme are to be availed. The Central Government may withdraw the above approval in case the undertaking, fails to comply with any of the conditions.
- 12. Any amendment of the project plan without the approval of the Central Government or detection in future, or failure on the part of the applicant to disclose any material fact, will invalidate the approval of the industrial park.

[Notification No. 11/2011/F. No. 178/55/2008-ITA-1] RAMAN CHOPRA, Director

औद्योगिक और वित्तीय पुनर्निर्माण अपीलीय प्राधिकरण

नई दिल्ली, । मार्च, 2011

का.आ. 662,—राष्ट्रपति, आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण में स्थायी रूप से कार्यरत वरिष्ठ निजी, सचिव श्रीमती विजय शर्मा को इस प्राधिकरण में पूर्व संशोधित वेतनमान 10000-325-15200/-तथा संशोधित वेतनमान पी.बी-3 रु. 15600-39100 + 6600 दिनांक 14 फरवरी, 2011 (अपराह्न) से तीन वर्ष की अवधि या कार्मिक एवं प्रशिक्षण विभाग के कार्यालय ज्ञापन संख्या 6-8-2009-स्थापना (वेतन-2) दिनांक 17-06-2010 के अनुसार या समय-समय पर संशोधित के शर्तों के अनुसार 5 वर्ष तक या अगले आदेश तक या इस कार्यालय के बंद होने तक, जो भी पूर्वघटित/पहले हो, प्रतिनियुक्ति के आधार पर प्रमुख निजी सचिव के पद पर नियुक्त करते हैं।

[मिसिल सं-1/2/2011-प्रशाः]

दया नन्द, अवर सचिव

APPELLATE AUTHORITY FOR INDUSTRIAL AND FINANCIAL RECONSTRUCTION

New Delhi, the 1st March, 2011

S.O. 662.—The President is pleased to appoint Smt. Vijay Sharma, a Sr. Private Secretary of Income Tax Appellate Tribunal as Principal Private Secretary in this Authority in the pre-revised Pay Scale of Rs. 10,000-325-15,200 (Revised pay Band PB-3 Rs. 15600—39100 + 6600 Grade Pay) w.e.f. 14-02-2011 (A/N) on deputation basis, initially for a period of three years extendable as per rules upto 5 years or till abolition of AAIFR or until further orders, whichever is earlier on terms & conditions determined as per the instruction contained in DOPT OM No. 6-8-2009-Estt. (Pay-II) dated 17-06-2010 as amended from time to time.

[No. 1/2/2011-Admin.] DAYA NAND, Under Secy.

स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

(स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 28 जनवरी, 2011

का.आ. 663.—केन्द्र सरकार, दंत चिकित्सा अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 11 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार, भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद् से परामर्श करके, अर्हता नामावली में परिवर्तन के कारण उक्त अधिनियम की प्रथम अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, नामत:—

उक्त अनुसूची में :

(क) श्री वेंकटेशवर विश्वविद्यालय के सामने मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता [जो कि आगे कॉलम (2) के रूप में संदर्भित है] के अन्तर्गत ऑतम प्रविष्टि और उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टि के बाद शीर्षक पंजीकरण के लिए संपेक्षण [जो कि आगे कॉलम (3) के रूप में संदर्भित है] के सामने निम्नलिखित को शामिल किया जाएगा, नामत:

(2)

"डॉक्टर ऑफ मेडिसिन (रेडियोलोजी/रेडियो डायगनोसिस)" एम डी (रेडियोलोजी/रेडियो डायगनोसिस)

(यह वर्ष 1985 में अथवा उसके बाद कुरनूल मेडिकल कॉलेज, कुरनूल, आंध्र प्रदेश में प्रशिक्षित किए जा रहे विद्यार्थियों के संबंध में श्री वेंकटेश्वर, विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा स्वीकृत किए जाने पर मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी ।)

"डिप्लोमा इन मेडिकल, रेडियो डायगनोसिस"

डी एम आर डी

(यह वर्ष 1971 में अथवा उसके बाद कुरनूल मेडिकल कॉलेज, कुरनूल, आंध्र प्रदेश में प्रशिक्षित किए जा रहे विद्यार्थियों के संबंध में श्री वेंकटेश्वर, विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा स्वीकृत किए जाने पर मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी ।)

(ख) "आंध्र प्रदेश स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, विजयवाड़ा के सामने मान्यताप्राप्त अर्हता" [जो कि आगे कॉलम (2) के रूप में संदर्भित है] के अन्तर्गत अंतिम प्रविष्टि और उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टि के पश्चात् शीर्षक 'पंजीकरण के लिए संपेक्षण' [जो कि आगे कॉलम (3) के रूप में संदर्भित हैं।] के सामने निम्नलिखित को शामिल किया जाएगा, नामत:

"डॉक्टर ऑफ मेडिसिन (रेडियोलोजी/रेडियो डायगनोसिस)"

पूम डी (रेडियोलोजी/रेडियो डायगनोसिस)

(यह वर्ष 1985 में अथवा उसके बाद कुरनूल मेडिकल कॉलेज, कुरनूल, आंध्र प्रदेश में प्रशिक्षित किए जा रहे विद्यार्थियों के संबंध में आंध्र प्रदेश स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, विजयवाड़ा द्वारा स्वीकृत किए जाने पर मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी ।)

"डिप्लोमा इन मेडिकल, रेडियो डायगनोसिस"

डी एम आर डी

(यह वर्ष 1971 में अथवा उसके बाद कुरनूल मेडिकल कॉलेज, कुरनूल, आंध्र प्रदेश में प्रशिक्षित किए जा रहे विद्यार्थियों के संबंध में आंध्र प्रदेश स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, विजयवाड़ा द्वारा स्वीकृत किए जाने पर मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी ।)

(ग) "एन टी आर स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, विजयवाड़ा" के सामने मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता [जो कि आगे कॉलम (2) के रूप में संदर्भित है] के अन्तर्गत अंतिम प्रविष्टि और उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टि के बाद शीर्षक पंजीकरण के लिए संपेक्षण [जो कि आगे कॉलम (3) के रूप में संदर्भित है] के सामने निम्नलिखित को शामिल किया जाएगा, नामत:

"डॉक्टर ऑफ मेडिसिन (रेडियोलोजी/रेडियो डायगनोसिस)" एम डी (रेडियोलोजी/रेडियो डायगनोसिस)

(यह वर्ष 1985 में अथवा उसके बाद कुरनूल मेडिकल कॉलेज, कुरनूल, आंध्र प्रदेश में प्रशिक्षित किए जा रहे विद्यार्थियों के संबंध में एन टी आर स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा स्वीकृत किए जाने पर मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी ।)

"डिप्लोमा इन मेडिकल, रेडियो डायगनोसिस"

डीएम आ र डी

(यह वर्ष 1971 में अथवा उसके बाद कुरनूल मेडिकल कॉलेज, कुरनूल, आंध्र प्रदेश में प्रशिक्षित

(2)	(3)
	किए जा रहे विद्यार्थियों के संबंध में एन टी आर स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा स्वीकृत किः। जाने पर मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी ।)
"मजिस्ट्रार चिरूजिएइ (कार्डियोथोरेसिक सर्जरी)"	एम. सी. एच. (कार्डियोधोरेसिक सर्जरी) (यह वर्ष 1994 में अथवा उसके बाद गांधी मेडिकल कॉलेज, हैंदराबाद, आंध्र प्रदेश में प्रशिक्षित किए जा रहे विद्यार्थियों के संबंध में एन टी आर स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा स्वीकृत किए जाने पर मान्यताप्राप्त अर्हता होगी ।)

सभी के लिए टिप्पणी:

- 1. स्नातकोत्तर पाट्यक्रम के लिए स्वीकृत मान्यता 5 वर्ष की अधिकतम अवधि के लिए होगी जिसके बाद इसकी पुनरीक्षा की जाएगी +
- 2. उप-धारा 4 में अपेक्षित अनुसार मान्यता को समय पर नवीकरण नहीं कराने के फलस्वरूप संबंधित स्नातकोत्तर पाठ्यक्रमों में निरपवाद रूप से दाखिला बंद हो जाएगा।

[सं. यू. 12012/187/2010-एमई(पी-11) } अमीता त्रिपाठी, अवर सन्चिव

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

(Department of Health and Family Welfare)

New Delhi, the 28th January, 2011

S.O. 663.— In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of the Section 11 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after cosulting the Medical Council of India, hereby makes the following further amendments in the First Schedule to the said Act, due to change of nomenclature of the qualification namely:

In the said Schedule—

(a) against "Sri Venkateswara University" under the heading 'Recognised Medical Qualification' [hereinafter referred to as column (2)], after the last entry and entry relating thereto under the heading 'Abbreviation for Registration' [hereinafter referred to as column (3)], the following shall be inserted, namely:—

(2)	(3)
"Doctor of Medicine (Radiology/ Radio Diagnosis)"	MD (Radiology/Radio Diagnosis) (This shall be a recognised medical qualification when granted by Sri Venkateswara University in respect of students being trained at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh on or after 1985.)
"Diploma in Medical Radio Diagnosis"	DMRD
	(This shall be a recognised medical qualification when granted by Sri Venkateswara University in respect of students being trained at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh on or after 1971.)
Alexander of the Alexander of the Land of the Alexander of the Land of the Alexander of the	in the City of the Color of Mineral All and the Londing Dangeriand Medical

(b) against "Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada" under the heading 'Recognised Medical Qualification' [hereinafter referred to as column (2)], after the last entry and entry relating thereto under the heading 'Abbreviation for Registration' [hereinafter referred to as column (3)], the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"Doctor of Medicine (Radiology/ Radio Diagnosis)" MD (Radiology/Radio Diagnosis)

(This shall be a recognised medical qualification when granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh on or after 1985.)

"Diploma in Medical Radio Diagnosis"

DMRD

(This shall be a recognised medical qualification when granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh on or after 1971.)

(c) against "NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada" under the heading 'Recognised Medical Qualification' [hereinafter referred to as column (2)], after the last entry and entry relating thereto under the heading 'Abbreviation for Registration' [hereinafter referred to as column (3)], the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"Doctor of Medicine (Radiology/

Radio Diagnosis)

MD (Radiology/Radio Diagnosis)

(This shall be a recognised medical qualification when granted by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Kurnool

Medical College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh on or after 1985.)

Diploma in Medical Radio Diagnosis

DMRD

(This shall be a recognised medical qualification when granted by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Kurnool

Medical College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh on or after 1971.)

Magistrar Chirugiae (Cardio Thoracic

Surgery)

M.Ch (Cardio Thoracic Surgery)

(This shall be a recognised medical qualification when granted by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Gandhi

Medical College, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh on or after 1994.)"

Note to all: 1. The recognition so granted to a Postgraduate Course shall be for a maximum period of 5 years, upon which it shall have to be renewed.

Failure to seek timely renewal of recognition as required in sub-clause-4 shall invariably result in stoppage of admissions to the concerned Postgraduate Course.

[No. U.12012/187/2010-ME(P.-II)]

· ANITA TRIPATHI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 664.—दंत चिकित्सा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 16) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते. हुए केन्द्र सरकार, भारतीय दंत चिकित्सा परिषद् से परामर्श करके, उक्त अधिनियम की अनुसूची के भाग−। में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, नामत:—

2. महाराष्ट्र स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, नासिक द्वारा प्रदान की जाने वाली दंत चिकित्सा डिग्नियों की मान्यता के संबंध में दंत चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 16) की अनुसूची के भाग-1 में क्रम संख्या 60 के समक्ष कालम 2 एवं 3 की मौजूदा प्रविष्टियों में निम्निलिखित को शामिल किया जाएगा, नामत:—

"XXV. आर्म्ड फोर्सिज मेडिकल कालेज, पुणे मास्टर ऑफ डेंटल सर्जरी

(i) प्रोस्थोडोन्टिक्स और क्राउन एंड ब्रिज (यदि 15-6-2010 को अथवा उसके उपरान्त प्रदान की गई हो) एमडीएस (प्रोस्थो.), महाराष्ट्र स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय,

नासिक

(ii) पेरियोडोन्टोलोजी (यदि 17-6-2010 को अथवा उसके उपरांत प्रदान की गई हो)

एमडीएस (पेरियो.), महाराष्ट्र स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, गसिक

(iii) ओरल एंड मेक्सिलोफेशियल सर्जरी (यदि 19-6-2010 को अथवा उसके उपरांत प्रदान की गई हो) एमडीएस (ओरल सर्जरी), महाराष्ट्र स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, नासिक

(iv) ओथोंडोन्टिक्स एंड डेन्टोफेशियल ओथोंपैडिक्स (यदि 22-6-2010 को अथवा उसके उपरांत प्रदान की गई हो) एमडीएस (ओथॉ.), महाराष्ट्र स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, नासिक"

> [फा. सं. वी.-12018/1/2010-डीई] अनीता त्रिपाठी, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th February, 2011

- S.O. 664.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 10 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948), the Central Government, after consultation with the Dental Council of India, hereby, makes the following amendments in Part-I of the Schedule to the said Act, namely:—
- 2. In the existing entries of column 2 & 3 against Serial No.60, in Part-I of the Schedule to the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948) pertaining to recognition of dental degrees awarded by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nashik, the following entries shall be inserted thereunder:—

"XXV. Armed Forces Medical College, Pune Master of Dental Surgery

(i)	Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge (if granted on or after 15-06-2010)	MDS (Prostho.), Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nashik
(ii)	Periodontology (if granted on or after 17-06-2010)	MDS (Perio.), Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nashik
(iii)	Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery (if granted on or after 19-06-2010)	MDS (Oral Surgery), Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nashik
(iv)	Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopedics (if granted on or after 22-06-2010)	MDS (Ortho.), Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nashik"

[F.No.V.-12018/1/2010-DE]

ANITA TRIPATHI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 665.—केन्द्र सरकार, दंत चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 16) की धारा 3 के अंतर्गत प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग कार्त हुए, स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के दिनांक 24 जनवरी, 1984 के का.आ. सं. 430 की अधिसूचना में, एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, नामत:—

2. उक्त अधिसूचना में, क्रम सं. 4 एवं उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के लिए "धारा 3 के प्रावधान के साथ पठित खंड (च) के अंतर्गत नामांकित" राधिक के अंतर्गत उसमें निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियों को अंतर्विष्ट किया जाएगा, नामत:—

4	डा. आर. के. बाली	नामांकित	केन्द्रीय सरकार	17-2-2011"
	बाली दन्त चिकित्सक केन्द्र			
	20बी/2, डी.बी. गुप्ता रोड,			
	कराल याग, नई दिल्ली-110005			
	दंत चिल्तिसा अधिनियम, 1948 की	धारा 6(4)		
	के शर्तों में, वे दिनांक 15-2-2010 र	से पांच वर्ष		
	की शंष अवधि के लिए कार्यालय क	। कार्यभार		
	संभालेंगे)			

[फा. सं. वी.-12013/2/2009-डीई]

अनीता त्रिपाठी, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 21st February, 2011

S.O. 665.—In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health and Family Weifare No.S.O. 430 dated 24th January, 1984 namely:—

In the said notification under head "Nominated under clause (F) read with proviso to section 3" for serial No. 4 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be substituted therein, namely:—-

"4. Dr.R.K.Bali Nominated Central Government 17.02.2011"
Bali Dental Centre
20-B/2, D.B. Gupta Road
Karol Bagh, New Delhi-11 0 005

(In terms of Section 6(4) of the Dentists
Act, 1948, he shall hold the office for the remainder of the term of five years w.e.f.
15-02-2010)

[F.No.V.12013/2/2009-DE] ANITA TRIPATHI, Under Secy.

उपभोक्ता मामले, खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय

(उपभोक्ता मामले विभाग)

भारतीय मानक ब्युरो

नई दिल्ली, 15 दिसम्बर, 2010

का,आ. 666.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (!) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि जिन भारतीय मानको के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिये गये है वे रद्द कर दिए गए हैं और वापस ले लिए गये है :

अनुसूची

	वापस लिये गए मानक (कों) की संख्या और वर्ष	भारत के राजपत्र भाग-2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) में का.आ. संख्या और तिथि प्रकाशित	टिप्पणी
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	आई एस 12063 : 1987	1430, 19-05-1990	-

[सं. ईटी-01/जी-63(ए)]

आर. के. त्रेहन, वैज्ञानिक एफ एवं प्रमुख (विद्युत तकनीकी)

MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

(Department of Consumer Affairs)

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

New Delhi, the 15th December, 2010

S.O. 666.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, it is hereby notified that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are mentioned in the Schedule give hereafter, have been cancelled and stand withdrawn.

SCHEDULE

S1.No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standard Withdrawn	S.O. No. & Date published in the Gazette of India Part-II, Section-1, Sub-section (ii)	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	IS 12063: 1987 Classification of degrees of protection provided by enclosures of electrical equipment	1430 dt. 19-05-1990	_

[Ref: ET-01/G-63 (A)]

नई दिल्ली, 18 जनवरी, 2011

का.आ. 667.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उप-नियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्युरो एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिस भारतीय मानक का विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिया गया हैं वह स्थापित हो गया है:—

क्रम सं.	स्थापित भारतीय मानक (कों) की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्षक	नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित भारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष	स्थापित तिथि
(i)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	आई एस/आईईसी 61262-1 : 1994 चिकित्सा विद्युत उपस्कर-विद्युत प्रकाशित एक्सरे बिम्ब तिव्रकारकों के अभिलक्षण भाग । प्रवेश क्षेत्र का साईज़ ज्ञात करना	आई एस 13728 : 1993	फरवरी 2010

इस मानक की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों : नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाबाद, बंगलुरु, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जंगपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पूणे तथा तिरूवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं ।

[संदर्भ : एम एच डी/जी 3.5]

राकेश कुमार, वैज्ञानिक 'एफ' एवं प्रमुख (एमएचडी)

New Delhi, the 18th January, 2011

S.O. 667.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which is given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been issued:

		SCHEDULE		
Sl.No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standards	No. & Year of Indian Standards, if any, superseded by the New Indian Standard	Date established	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
1.	IS/IEC 61262-1: 1994 Medical Electrical Equipment—Characteristics of Eletro- Optical X-Ray Image Intensifiers Part-1 Determination of the Entrance Field Size	IŚ 13728 : 1993	February, 2010	

Copy of this Standard is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 and Regional Offices: New Delhi, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref: MHD/G-3.5]

RAKESH KUMAR, Scientist-'F' & Head (MHD)

नई दिल्ली, 21 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 668.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उप-नियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतदुद्वारा अधिसृचित करता है कि जिन भारतीय मानकों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं वे स्थापित हो गए हैं:—

		अनुसूची	
क्रम स	ं स्थापित भारतीय मानक (कों) की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्षक	नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित भारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष	स्थापित तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	375 TT 15974 - 2000 (\$1777 29 - 1002		2000

). आई एस 15874 : 2009/सिसपर 28 : 1997 औद्योगिक, वैज्ञानिक और चिकित्सा उपस्कर ানুন 2009

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	(आईएसएम) आई टी यू द्वारा निर्धारित बैंड्स के अंतर्गत उत्सर्जन स्तर के लिये मार्गदर्शी सिद्धांत		
2.	आई एस/आई ई सी 60793-1-50 : 2001 प्रकाशित तंतु भाग 1 मापन विधियां और परीक्षण प्रक्रियाएं अनुभाग 50 नम उष्मा (स्थायी अवस्था)		जुलाई 2009
3.	आई एस 15867 (माग 1) : 2010 सतह की अनियमितताओं की सीमाओं पर फेराइट कोर गाइड भाग 1 सामान्य विशिष्टि	_	फरवरी 2010
4	आई एस 14901 (भाग 1) : 2010/आई ई सी 60747 1 : 2006 अर्धचालक युक्तियां-विविषत युक्तियां एवं समाकलित परिपथ-भाग । सामान्य (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	· <u>-</u>	फरवरी 2010
5.	आई एस 14901 (भाग 5/अनुभाग 1) : 2010/ आई ई सी 60747-5-1 : 2002 अर्धचालक युक्तियां-विविक्त युक्तियां एवं समाकलित परि- पथ-भाग 5 आप्टोइलेक्ट्रॉनिक युक्तियां अनुमाग-। सामान्य (पहला पुनरीक्षण)		फरवरी 2010
6.	आई एस/आईएसओ/आईईसी 27006 : 2007 सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी-सुरक्षा तकर्नाक-आडिटिंग एवं सूचना सुरक्षा प्रबंधन तंत्र की प्रमाणन करने वाले निकायों की अपेक्षाएँ	. -	फरवरी 2010

इस भारतीय मानक की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों : नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, मुक्नेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पूणे तथा तिरूवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं ।

[संदर्भ : एल आई टी डी/जी-75]

नरेन्द्र सिंह, प्रमुख (इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी एवं आई टी)

New Delhi, the 21st February, 2011

S.O. 668.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been established on the date indicated against each:

SCHEDULE Date of established No. & Year of the Indian Standards No. & Year of Indian Standards, if Sl.No. Established any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard (1)(2) (3) (4) June 2009 IS 15874: 2009/CISPR 28: 1997 Industrial, Scientific and Medical Equipment (ISM) - Guidelines for

Emission Levels within the Bands Designated by ITU

	ं अनुसूची			
क्रम सं	रह किये गये मानक की संख्या और वर्ष	भारत के राजपत्र भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) में का.आ. संख्या और तिथि प्रकाशित	टिप्पणी	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
1.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 01) : 1969 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति	एस ओ न. उपलब्ध नहीं है।	मानक अप्रचलित हैं।	

रूपरेखा ओ डी 01

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 04) : 1969 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 04	एस. ओ. नं. उपलब्ध नहीं है ।	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
3.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 05) : 1969 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 05	एस. ओ. नं. उपलब्ध नहीं है ।	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
4.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 06) : 1969 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 06	एस. ओ. नं. उपलब्ध नहीं है ।	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
5.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 07) : 1969 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 07	एस. ओ. नं. उपलब्ध नहीं है ।	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
6.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 08) : 1969 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 08	एस. ओ. नं. उपलब्ध नहीं है ।	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
7.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 09) : 1969 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 09	एस. ओ. नं. 1425 तिथि 19-5÷1990	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
8.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 10) : 1971 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 10	एस. ओ. नं. 3318 तिथि 21-10-1972	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
9.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 11) : 1971 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 11	एस. ओ. नं. 3318 तिथि 21-10-1972	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
10.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 12) : 1971 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 12	एस. ओ. नं. 3318 तिथि 21-10-1972	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
11.	ओई एस 5000 (ओ डी 13) : 1971 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 13	एस. ओ. नं. 3318 तिथि 21-10-1972	मानकः अप्रचलित है ।
12.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 14) : 1971 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 14	एस. ओ. नं. 3318 तिथि 21-10-1972	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
13.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 15) : 1973 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 15	एएस. ओ. नं. 2939 तिथि 6-9-1975	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
14.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 16) : 1973 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 16	एस. ओ. नं. 2081 तिथि 5-9-1975	मानक अग्रचलित है ।
15.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 17) : 1974 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 17	एस. ओ. नं. 1232 तिथि 3-4-1976	मानक अप्रचलित है ।

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
16.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 18) : 1974 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 18	एस. ओ. नं. 1597 तिथि 8-5-1976	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
17.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 19) : 1974 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 19	एस. ओ. नं. 1232 तिथि 3-4-1976	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
18.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 20) : 1978 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 20	एस. ओ. नं. 2584 तिथि 3-10-1981	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
19.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 21) : 1979 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 21	एस. ओ. न. 2584 तिथि 3-10-1981	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
20.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 23) : 1978 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 23	एस. ओ. नं. 1342 तिथि 3-4-1982	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
21.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 25) : 1978 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 25	एस. ओ. नं. 1342 तिथि 3-4-1982	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
22.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 26) : 1971 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 26	एस. ओ. नं. 2584 तिथि 3-10-1981	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
23.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 27) : 1978 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 27	एस. ओ. नं. 2584 तिथि 3-10-1981	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
. 24.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 28) : 1978 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 28	एस. ओ. नं. 2562 तिथि 10-10-1981	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
25.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 29) : 1979 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 29	एस. ओ. नं. 2862 तिथि 10-10-1981	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
26.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 31) : 1981 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 31	एस. ओ. नं. उपलब्ध नहीं है ।	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
27 .	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 32) : 1981 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 32	एस. ओ. नं. 1294 तिथि 30-3-1985	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
28.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 33) : 1981 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 33	एस. ओ. नं. 1294 तिथि 30-3-1985	मानक अप्रचलित है ।

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
29.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 34) : 1981 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 34	एस. ओ. नं. 2831 तिथि 22-6-1985	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
30.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 35) : 1981 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 35	एस. ओ. नं. 1294 तिथि 30-3-1985	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
31.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 36) : 1981 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 36	एएस. ओ. नं. 2148 तिथ्य 18-5-1985	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
32.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 37) : 1982 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 37	एस. ओ. नं. 2882 तिथि 16-8-1986	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
33.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 38) : 1984 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 38	एस. ओ. नं. 0298 तिथि 31-1-1987	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
34.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 39) : 1986 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 39	एस. ओ. नं. 1424 तिथि 19~5-1990	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
35.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 40) : 1986 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 40	एस. ओ. नं. 1429 तिथ्य 19-5-1990	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
36.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 41) : 1986 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 41	एस. ओ. नं. 1424 तिथि 19-5-1990	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
37.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 42) : 1986 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 42	एस. ओ. नं. 1425 तिथ्य 19–5-1990	ं मानक अप्रचलित है ।
38.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 43) : 1986 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 43	एस. ओ. नं. 1424 तिथि 19-5-1990	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
39.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 44) : 1986 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 44	एएस. ओ. नं. 1424 तिथि 19-5-1990	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
40.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 45) : 1986 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 45	एस. ओ. नं. 1425 तिथ्य 19-5-1990	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
41.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 46) : 1986 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 46	एस. ओ. नं. 1537 तिथि 2-6-1990	मानक अप्रचलित है ।
42.	आई एस 5000 (ओ डी 47) : 1986 अर्धचालक युक्तियों का आयाम : युक्ति रूपरेखा ओ डी 47	एस ओ न. 1424 तिथि !9-5-1990	भानक अप्रचलित है.।

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
43.	आई एस 5608 (भाग 5) : 2002/आई ई सी 189-6(1982) पीवीसी विद्युतरोधन और पीवीसी खोल सहित अल्प आवृत्ति केबलों तथा तारों की विशिष्टि भाग 5 संकेतन केबलें (प्रथम पुनरीक्षण)	एस ओ न. 2900 तिथि 14-9-2002	वापिस लेने के लिए अनुमंसित क्योंकि जिस मानक पर यह मानक आधारित था वह आई ई सी वापिस ले लिया गया है।
44.	आई एस 5608 (भाग 6) : 2001/आई ई सी 189-6(1971) पीवीसी विद्युतरोधन और पीवीसी खोल सहित अल्प आवृत्ति केबलों तथा तारों की विशिष्टि भाग 6 जम्पर केबलें (प्रथम पुनरीक्षण)	एस ओ न. 2900 तिथि 14-9-2002	वापिस लेने के लिए अनुमंसित क्योंकि जिस मानक पर यह मानक आधारित था वह आई ई सी वापिस ले लिया गया है।
45.	आई एस 12593 संचार, मापयंत्रण और नियंत्रण के लिये तापसुघट्य केबलें	एस ओ न. 2136 तिथि 11-8-1990	एलआईटीडी 06 के द्वारा वापिस लेने के लिए अनुमेंसित
46,	आई एस 13176 दूरसंचार, केबल के पी वी सी विद्युतरोधन और पीवीसी खोल	एस ओ न. 1707 तिथि 27-6-1992	एलआईटीडी 06 के द्वारा वापिस लेने के लिए अनुमंसित
47.	आई एस 151!4 : 2002, सूचना प्रक्रमण-64 बिट ब्लॉक सिफर एल्गोरिथ्म के प्रचालन प्रकार	एस ओ न. 2900 तिथ्य 14-9-2002	वापिस लेने के लिए अनुमंसित क्योंकि जिस मानक पर यह सानक आधारित था वह आई एस ओ 8372 : 1987 वापिस ले लिया गया है।
48.	आई एस 15115 : 2002 सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी- सुरक्षा तकनीक- क्रिप्टोग्राफिक एल्गोरिध्म के पंजीकरण की विधिया	एस ओ न. 2900 तिथि 14-9-2002	वापिस लेने के लिए अनुमंसित क्योंकि जिस मानक पर यह मानक आधारित था वह आई एस ओ 9979 : 1999 वापिस ले लिया गया है।

[संदर्भ : एल आई टी डी/जी 75]

नरेन्द्र सिंह, प्रमुख (इलैक्ट्रॉनिकी एवं आई टी)

New Delhi, the 21st February, 2011

S.O. 669.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule(1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, it is, hereby notified that the Indian Standards, Particulars of which are mentioned in the Schedule give hereafter, have been cancelled and stand withdrawn.

		SCHEDULE	
Sl.No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standards Cancelled	S.O. No. & Date published in the Gazette of India. Part-II, Section-3, Sub-section (ii)	Remarks
(1)	(C)	(3)	(4)
1,	1S 5000 (OD 01): 1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Devices outline OD 1	S.O. No. not available	Standard is Obsolete
2.	1S 5000 (OD 04): 1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 4	- do -	- do-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
3.	IS 5000 (OD 05): 1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 5	S.O. No. not available	Standard is Obsolete
4.	IS 5000 (OD 06): 1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 6	- do -	-do-
S.	IS 5000 (OD 07): 1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 7	- do -	-do-
6.	IS 5000(OD 08): 1979 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 8	- do -	-do-
7.	IS 5000 (OD 09): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 9(first revision)	S.O. 1425 19-05-1990	-do-
8 .	IS 5000 (OD 10): 1971 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 10	S.O. 3318 21-10-1972	-do-
9.	IS 5000 (OD 11): 1971 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 11	S.O. 3318 21-10-1972	-do-
-10.	IS 5000 (OD 12): 1971 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 12	S.O. 3318 21-10-1972	-do-
11.	IS 5000 (OD 13): 1971 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 13	S.O. 3318 21-10-1972	-do-
12.	IS 5000 (OD 14): 1971 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 14	S.O. 3318 21-10-1972	-do-
13.	IS 5000 (OD 15): 1973 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 15	S.O. 2939 06-09-1975	-do-
14.	IS 5000 (OD 16): 1973 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 16	S.O. 2081 05-07-1975	-do-
15.	IS 5000 (OD 17): 1974 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 17	S.O. 1232 [^] 03-04-1976	-do-
16.	IS 5000 (OD 18): 1974 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 18	S.O. 1597 08-05-1976	-do-
17.	IS 5000 (OD 19): 1974 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 19	S.O. 1232 03-04-1976	do-
18.	IS 5000 (OD 20): 1978 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 20	S.O. 2584 03-10-1981	-do-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
19.	IS 5000 (OD 21):1979 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 21	S.O. 2584 03-10-1981	Standard is Obsolete
20.	1S 5000 (OD 23): 1978 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 23	S.O. 1342 03-04-1982	-വ്ഗ-
21.	IS 5000(OD 25): 1978 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 25	S.O. 1342 03-04-1982	-do-
22.	IS 5000 (OD 26): 1971 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 26	S.O. 2584 03-10-1981	-do-
23.	1S 5000 (OD 27): 1978 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 27	S.O. 2584 03-10-1981	-do-
24.	1S 5000 (OD 28): 1978 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 28	S.O. 2862 10-10-1981	-do-
25.	IS 5000 (OD 29): 1979 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 29	S.O. 2362 10-10-1981	-do-
26.	IS 5000 (OD 31): 1981 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 31	S.O. No. not available	-do-
27.	IS 5000 (OD 32): 1981 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 32	S.O. 1294 30-03-19 8 5	-do-
28.	IS 5000 (OD 33): 1981 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 33	S.O. 1294 30 03-1985	-de-
29.	IS 5000 (OD 34): 1981 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 34	S.O. 2831 22-06-1985	-do-
30.	1S 5000 (OD 35): 1981 Dimensions of semiconductor devices; Device outline OD 35	S.O. 1294 30-03-1985	-do-
31.	IS 5000 (OD 36): 1981 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 36	S.O. 2148 18-05-1985	-do-
32.	IS 5000 (OD 37): 1982 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 37	S.O. 2882 16-08-1986	-do-
33.	IS 5000 (OD 38): 1984 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 38	S.O. 0298 31-01-1987	-do-
34.	IS 5000 (OD 39): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 39	S.O.1424 19-05-1990	-do-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
35,	IS 5000 (OD 40): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 40	S.O. 1424 19-05-1990	Standard is Obsolete
36,	IS 5000 (OD 41): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 41	S.O. 1424 19-05-1990	-do-
37.	IS 5000 (OD 42): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 42	S.O. 1425 19-05-1990	-do-
38.	IS 5000 (OD 43): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 43	S.O. 1424 19-05-1990	-do -
39.	IS 5000 (OD 44): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 44	S.O. 1424 19-05-1990	-do-
40.	IS 5000 (OD 45): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 45	S.O. 1425 19-05-1990	-do-
41.	IS 5000 (OD 46): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 46	S.O. 1537 02-06-1990	-do-
42	IS 5000 (OD 47): 1986 Dimensions of semiconductor devices: Device outline OD 47	S.O. 1424 19-05-1990	do-
43.	IS 5508 (Part 5): 2002/IEC 189-6(1982) LF Wires and cables with OPVC insulation and PVC sheath: Part 5 Signalling cables (first revision)	S.O.2900 dt, 14-09-2002	Recommended for withdrawal as the base IEC standard has been withdrawn
4 4 .	15 5608 (Part 6): 2002/IEC 189-7 (1971) LF Wires and cables with OPVC insulation and PVC sheath: Part 5 Signalling cables (first revision)	S.O. 1464 dt. 04-05-2002	Recommended for withdrawal as the base IEC standard has been withdrawn
45.	IS 12598: 1989 Thermoplastic cables for communication, instrumentation and control	S.O. 2136 dt. 11-08-1990	Recommended for withdrawal by LITD 06
46.	IS 13176: 1991 PVC insulation and sheath of telecommunication cables	S.O. 1707 dt. 27-06-1992	Recommended for withdrawal by LITD 06
47.	IS 15114: 2002 Information Processing —Modes of operation for a 64 - bit block cipher algorithm	S.O. 2900 dt. 14-09-2002	Recommended for withdrawal as the base standard ISO 8372: 1987 has been withdrawn
48.	IS 15115:2002 Information Technology—Security techniques procedures for registration of Cryptographic algorithms	S.O. 2900 dt. 14-09-2002	Recommended for withdrawal as the base standard ISO /IEC 9979: 1999 has been withdrawn

नई दिल्ली, 21 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 670.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उप-नियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि नीचे अनुसूची में दिये गये मानक (कों) में संशोधन किया गया/किये गये हैं :

अनुसूची			
क्रम सं.	संशोधित भारतीय मानक की संख्या और वर्ष	संशोधन की संख्या और तिथि	संशोधन लागू होने की तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	आई एस 15377 : 2003 डायरैक्ट-टू-होम सेवाओं के लिये डिजिटल सैट टौप बॉक्स- विशिष्टि	संशोधन नं 1 सितम्बर, 2010	सितम्बर, 2010

इन संशोधनों की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों : नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाश्रद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जग्रपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पूणे तथा तिरूवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं ।

[संदर्भ : एल आई टी डी/जी-75]

नरेन्द्र सिंह, प्रमुख (इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी एवं आई टी)

New Delhi, the 21st February, 2011

S.O. 670.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that amendments to the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been issued:

SCHEDULE

SI.No.	No. and year of the Indian Standards	No. and year of the amendment	Date from which the amendment shall have effect
(1)	. (2) _	(3)	(4)
1.	IS 15377: 2003 Digital Set Top Box for Direct-To-Home (DTH) Services— Specification	Amendment No. 1 September 2010	September 2010

Copy of this Standard/Amendment is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 and Regional Offices: New Delhi, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref:LITD/G-75]

NARENDRA SINGH, Head (Electronics & IT)

नई दिल्ली, 23 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 671.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उप-नियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में तथा अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 2312 दिनांक 19 अगस्त, 2010 के अतिक्रमण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन भारतीय मानकों का विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिया गया है वे स्थापित हो गये हैं :

ਘਜ	

क्रम सं	ं. स्थापित भारतीय मानक (कों) की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्षक	नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित भारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष	स्थापित तिथि
<u>(i)</u>	(2)	(3)	(4)
		2004/	1

1. आई एस/आईईसी 60079-0 : 2004 विस्फोटी पर्यावरण-सामान्य अपेक्षाएं

आईएस 93346 : 2004/ आईईसी 60079-0 : 2000 ा जुलाई, 2011

(1)	(2)	. (3)	(4)
2.	आई एस/आईईसी 60079-1 : 2007 विस्फोटी पर्यावरण – ज्वालासह आवरण "d"	आईएस 2148 : 2004/ आईईसी 60079-1 : 2001	1 जुलाई, 2011
3.	आई एस/आईईसी 60079-7 : 2006 विस्फोटी पर्यावरण - भाग 7 बढी सुरक्षा "e"	आईएस 6381 : 2004/ आईईसी 60079-7 : 2001	1 जुलाई, 2011
4.	आई एस/आईईसी 60079-11 : 2006 विस्फोटी पर्यावरण - भाग 11 आन्तरिक सुरक्षा "i" ,	आईएस 5780 : 2002/ आईईसी 60079-11 : 1999	1 जुलाई, 2011

इन भारतीय मानकों की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों: नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पूणे तथा तिरूवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : ईंटी-22/टी-31, टी-45, टी-61, टी-62] आर. के. त्रेहन, वैज्ञानिक-ई एवं प्रमुख (विद्युत तकनीकी)

New Delhi, the 23rd February, 2011

S.O. 671.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, and in supersession of the notification No. S.O. 2312 dated 19 August, 2010, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been issued:

SCHEDULE

SI. No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standards	e Indian Standards No. & Year of the Indian Standards, if any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
1.	IS/IEC 60079-0: 2004	IS 13346: 2004/IEC 60079-0 : 2000	01 July 2011	
	Electrical Apparatus for Explosive Atmospheres—General Requirements			
2.	IS/IEC 60079-1: 2007 Electrical Apparatus for Explosive Gas Atmospheres—Flameproof Enclosures "d"	IS 2148:2004/IEC 60079-1:2001	01 July 2011	
3.	IS/IEC 60079-7: 2006 Electrical Apparatus for Explosive Gas Atmospheres—Increased Safety "e"	IS 6381:2004/IEC 60079-7:2001	01 July 2011	
4.	IS/IEC 60079-11:2006	IS 5780:2002/IEC 60079-11:1999	01 July 2011	
	Electrical Apparatus For Explosive Gas Atmospheres—Intrinsic Safety "i"			

Copies of these Standards are available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110 002 and Regional Offices: New Delhi, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref: ET-22/T-31, T-45, T-61, T-62]

कोयला मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 22 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 672.—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 4 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय के द्वारा जारी की गई अधि सूचना संख्यांक का.आ. 1701 तारीख 30 जून, 2010 जो भारत के राजपत्र के भाग 11, खण्ड 3, उप-खंड (ii), तारीख 4 जुलाई, 2010 में प्रकाशित की गई थी, उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र की भूमि में जिसका माप 282.88 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 698.72 एकड़ (लगभग) है, कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दी थी;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विहित उक्त भूमि के भाग में कोयला अभिप्राप्त है;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, इससे संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित 282.88 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 698.72 एकड़ (लगभग) माप की भूमि और उक्त भूमि में या उस पर के सभी अधिकारों का अर्धन करने की अपने आशय की सूचना देती है।

टिप्पण-1: इस अधिसूचना के अधीन आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक संख्या ईसीएल/आरजेएमएल/एमओयूजेडए/एनओटीआई/54 ट.रीख 2! सितम्बर, 2010 को उपायुक्त, जिला गोड्डा (झारखण्ड) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक. 1, काउँसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट. कोलकाता-700001 के कार्यालय में या निदेशक तकनीकी (प्रचालन), ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड, सांकटोरिल, डाकघर-दिसेरगढ, जिला-बर्द्धवान, (पश्चिम बंगाल)-713333 के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है।

टिप्पण-2: उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 8 के उपबंधों की ओर ध्यान आकृष्ट किया जाता है जिसमें निम्नलिखित उपबंध हैं :-

अर्जन की बाबत आपत्तियाँ

"8(1) **कोई व्यक्ति जो किसी भूमि में, जिसकी बाबत धारा** 7 के अधीन अधिसूचना निकाली गई है, हितबद्ध है, अधिसूचना के निकाल जाने से तीस दिन के भीतर सम्पूर्ण भूमि या उसके किसी भाग या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के किन्हीं अधिकारों का अर्जन किए जाने के बारे में आपत्ति कर सकेगा ।

स्पष्टीकरण:-

- इस धारा के अर्थान्तर्गत यह आपित नहीं मानी जाएगी, िक कोई व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में कोयला उत्पादन के लिए स्वयं खनन संक्रियाएं करना चाहता है और ऐसी संक्रियाएं केन्द्रीय सरकार या किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को नहीं करनी चाहिए ।
- 2. उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रत्येक आपित सक्षम अधिकारी को लिखित रूप में की जाएगी और सक्षम अधिकारी, आपित्तकती को स्वयं सुने जाने का या विधि व्यवसायी द्वारा सुनवाई का अवसर देगा और ऐसी सभी आपित्तयों को सुनने के पश्चात् और ऐसी अतिरिक्त जाँच, यदि कोई हो, करने के पश्चात् जो वह आवश्यक समझता है, वह या तो धारा 7 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन अधिसूचित भूमि के या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध में एक रिपोर्ट या ऐसी भूमि के विभिन्न दुकड़ों या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों पर अपनी सिफारिशों और उसके द्वारा की गई कार्यवाही के अभिलेख सिहत विभिन्न रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय सरकार को उसके विनिश्चय के लिए देगा।
- 3. इस धारा के प्रयोजनों के लिए वह व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में हितबद्ध समझा जाएगा जो प्रतिकर में हित का दावा करने का हकदार होता यदि भूमि या किसी ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकार इस अधिनियम के अधीन अर्जित कर लिए जाते।''
- टिप्पण-3: केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउंसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता-700001 को उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 2520 तारीख 11 जून, 1983 द्वारा सक्षम प्राधिकारी नियुक्त किया है।

अनुसूबी

ललमटिया कोल पाईनिंग ब्लाक (सातवाँ चरण)

थाना - सहस्र्वटिया

जिला - गोड्डा : झारखंड

(रेखांक संख्या ईसीएल/आरजेएमएल/एमओयूजेडए/एनओटीआई/54 तारीख 21 सितम्बर, 2010)

थाना : ललमटिया

जिलाः गोड्डा

क्रम सं.	मौजा/ग्राम	ग्राम संख्यांक	क्षेत्र हेक्टर में (लगभग)	क्षेत्र एकड़ में (लगधग)	टिप्पणियां
	2	3	4	5	6
l .	बसडीहा	47	0.33	0.82	भाग
<u>.</u>	हरक्खा	50	13.64	33.69	भाग
	तालझरी	19	131.59	325.03	भाग
	केशगडिया	20	12.40	30.63	भाग
	पहाड्षुर	48	71.01	175.39	भाग
	भरण्डा	49.	53.91	133.16	भाग
	कुल क्षेत्र		282,88	698,72	

निम्नलिखित में अजित किए जाने वाले प्लाटों की संख्या :-

मौजा बसड़ीहा :

प्लॉट संख्या :-।

2. मौजा हरक्खा :

प्लॉट संख्या : 780, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 1000, 1001, 1005, 1017 भाग, 1024, 1026, 1027, 1034 भाग, 1035 भाग, 1036 भाग, 1037 भाग, 1038, 1039, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053 भाग 1054, 1055, 1056, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060.

3. मौजा तालझरी :

प्लॉट संख्या 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100. 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476,

477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756.

4. मौजा केशगडिया :

प्लंद संख्या 18 भए, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 279, 280, 281, 282.

मीजा पहाड्युर :

प्लॉट संख्या:– 46, 47, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 185, 186, 187, 188, 198, 199, 200, 201, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224. 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249. 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274. 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299. 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374. 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399. 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424. 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449. 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474. 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499. 500, 301, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524. 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549. 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574. 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 593, 594, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604. 631, 632, 636, 637, 638, 639.

मौजा भेरण्डा :

फॉट संख्या:— 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,65,66,67,68,69,70,71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,81,82,83,84,85,86,87,88,89,90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97,98,99,100,101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108,109,110,111,112,113,114,115,116,117,118,119,120,121,122,123,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136,137,138,139,140,141,142,143,144,145,146,147,148,149,150,151,152,153,154,155,156,157,158,159,160,161,162,163,164,169,170,171,172,173,174,175,176,177,178,179,180,181,182,183,184,185,186,187,188,189,190,191,192,193,194,195,242,265,267,268,269,270,271,272,273,274,275,276,277,278,279,280,281,282,283,284,285,286,287,288,289,290,291,292,293,294,295,296,297,298,299,300,301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310,311,312,313,314,315,316,317,318,319,320,321,322,323,324,325,326,327,328,329,330,331,332,333,334,335,336,337,338,339,340,341,342,343,344,345,346,347,348,349,350,351,352,353.

कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 की धारा 7(1) के अधीन ललमिटिया कोल माईनिंग ब्लॉक (सातवाँ चरण) के अन्तर्गत अधिसृचित किए जाने वाले क्षेत्र का सीमा विवरण।

सीमा विवरण (क 1 से क 20)

(क 1 से क 2) मौजा बड़ा भोड़ाय सं. 18 एवं मौजा केशगरिया सं. 20 के साझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क1 से शुरू होकर रेखा उपराक्त साझा सीमा से होते हुए मौजा केशगरिया सं. 20, तलझारी सं. 19 एवं बड़ा भोड़ाय के त्रिसंगम को पार कर पुन: मौज बड़ा भोड़्रेय सं. 18 एवं तलझारी सं. 19 के साझा सीमा होते हुए मौजा बड़ा भोड़ाय सं. 18, तलझारी 19 एवं बसडीहा सं. 47 के त्रिसंगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क2 पर मिलती है ।

- (क 2—क 3) मौजा बड़ा भोड़ाय सं. 18, तलझारी सं. 19 एवं बसडीहा 47 के त्रिसंगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क2 से शुरू होकर मौजा तलझारी सं. 19 एवं बसडीहा सं. 47 के सांझा सीमा होते हुए मौजा तलझारी सं. 19, बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं पहाड़पुर सं. 48 के त्रिसंगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क3 पर मिलती है।
- (क 3---क 4) मौजा तलझारी सं. 19, बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं मौजा पहाड्पुर सं. 48 के त्रिसंगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क3 से शुरू होकर मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं मौजा पहाड्पुर के सांझा सीमा होते हुए मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47, पहाड्पुर सं. 48 एवं भेरण्डा सं. 49 के त्रिसंगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क4 पर मिलती है।
- (क 4—क 5) मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47, पहाड़पुर सं. 48 एवं भेरण्डा सं. 49 के त्रिसंगम स्थित बिन्दु क4 से शुरू होकर मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं भेरण्डा सं. 49 के सांझा सीमा होते हुए मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं भेरण्डा सं. 49 के सांझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क5 पर मिलती हैं।
- (क 5—क 6) मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं भेरण्डा सं. 49 के सांझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क5 से शुरू होकर मौजा भेरण्डा सं. 49 एवं बसडीहा सं. 47, के सांझा सीमा से शुरू होते हुए बसडीहा सं. 47, भेरण्डा सं. 49 एवं हरक्खा सं. 50 के त्रिसंगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क6 पर मिलती है।
- (क 6—क 7) मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 भेरण्डा सं. 49 एवं हरक्खा सं. 50 के त्रिसंगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क6 से शुरू होकर मौजा भेरण्डा सं. 49 एवं हरक्खा सं. 50 के सांझा सीमा होते हुए मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं हरक्खा सं. 50 के सांझा सीमा स्थित बिन्दु क7 पर मिलती है।
- (क 7—क 8) मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं हरक्खा सं. 50 के सांझा सीमा स्थित बिन्दु क7 से शुरू होकर प्लॉट सं. 1053 को पार कर प्लॉट सं. 1041 के पश्चिमी सीमा होते हुए हरक्खा मौजा सं. 50 के प्लॉट सं. 1039 के दक्षिणी सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क8 पर मिलती है।
- (क 8—क 9) मौजा हरक्खा सं. 50 के प्लॉट सं. 1039 के दक्षिणी सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क8 से शुरू होकर उसी प्लॉट दिक्षणी सीमा से होते हुए प्लॉट सं. 1037, 1036, 1035, 1034 को पार कर पुन: प्लॉट सं. 1026 एवं 1024 के दिक्षणी सीमा होते हुए प्लॉट सं. 1017 सड़क को पार कर पुन: प्लॉट सं. 960, 959, 958, 957 के दिक्षणी सीमा होते हुए प्लॉट सं. 967 के दिक्षणी पूर्वी सीमा होते हुए, प्लॉट सं. 968 के दिक्षणी सीमा होते हुए प्लॉट सं. 968, 972, 1001 एवं 1002 के संगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क9 पर मिलती है।
- (क 9—क 10) प्लॉट सं. 968, 972, 1001 एवं 1002 के संगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क9 से शुरू होकर, रेखा प्लॉट सं. 1001 के पूर्वी सीमा होते हुए हरक्खा मौजा सं. 50 के प्लॉट सं. 990, 1005 एवं 1006 के संगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क10 पर मिलती है।
- (क 10—क 11) मौजा हरक्खा सं. 50 के प्लॉट सं. 990, 1005, 1006 के संगम स्थित बिन्दु क10 से शुरू होकर रेखा प्लॉट सं. 1000, 1001, 1005 एवं 973 के पश्चिमी सीमा होते हुए हरक्खा मौजा 50 के प्लॉट सं. 973 के उत्तरी सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क11 पर मिलती है।
- (क 11—क 12) मौजा हरक्खा सं. 50 के प्लॉट सं. 973 के उत्तरी सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क11 से शुरू होकर प्लॉट सं. 973, 956, 955 एवं 954 के उत्तरी सीमा होते हुए प्लॉट सं. 1017 को पार कर प्लॉट सं. 1027 के उत्तरी सीमा होकर प्लॉट सं. 1034 को पार कर प्लॉट 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1043, 780, 1048, 1049, 1050 के उत्तरी सीमा होकर प्लॉट 1054 को पार कर प्लाट सं. 1060, 1059 के उत्तरी सीमा से होकर मौजा हरक्खा 50 एवं भेरण्डा 49 के साझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क12 पर मिलती है ।
- (क 12—क 13) मौजा हरक्खा सं. 50 एवं भेरण्डा सं. 49 के साझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु पर क12 से शुरू होकर रेखा उक्त मौजा सांझा से होते हुए हरक्खा सं. 50 के प्लॉट सं. 1074 के दक्षिणी सीमा स्थित बिन्दु क13 पर मिलती है।
- (क 13—क 14) मौजा हरक्खा सं. 50 के प्लॉट सं. 1074 के दक्षिणी सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क13 से शुरू होकर मौजा भेरण्डा सं. 49 के प्लॉट सं. 84 को पार कर प्लॉट 205, 204, 97, 196, 223, 226, 227, 228, 229, 236, 241, 243 एवं 240 के पश्चिमी सीमा से होते हुए भेरण्डा मौजा के प्लॉट सं. 244, 169, 168 के संगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क14 पर मिलती है।

- (क 14---क 15) मौजा भेरण्डा सं. 49 के प्लॉट सं. 244, 169 एवं 168 के संगम पर स्थित बिन्दु पर क14 से शुरू होकर रेखा प्लॉट सं. 168, 257 के पश्चिमी दक्षिणी सीमा होकर, प्लॉट सं. 258 अंश के पश्चिमी सीमा पर प्लॉट सं. 259 अंश के उत्तरी सीमा प्लॉट रवें 261 के उत्तर-पश्चिमी सीमा प्लॉट सं. 262 के पश्चिमी, प्लॉट सं. 263 के पश्चिमी दक्षिणी, प्लॉट सं. 264 अंश के पश्चिमी सीमा 266 के पश्चिमी-दक्षिणी-सीमा होते हुए प्लॉट सं. 269 सड़क को पार कर, मौजा पहाड़पुर सं. 48 के प्लॉट सं. 42, 43 एवं 44 के पश्चिमी सीमा, प्लॉट सं. 44 एवं 45 के दक्षिणी सीमा के प्लॉट सं. 38, 48, 49, 52 एवं 75 के पश्चिमी सीमा से होते हुए प्लॉट सं. 75, 84, 88, 74 के संगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क15 पर मिलती है ।
- (क 15—क 16) मीजा पहाड़पुर-48 फ्लॉट सं. 75, 84, 88, 74 के संगम स्थित बिन्दु क 15 से शुरू होकर फ्लॉट सं. 88, 89, 91 183,184,182, 191 के पश्चिमी सीमा प्लॉट सं. 186, 187, 188 के उत्तरी सीमा प्लॉट सं. 189, 197, 202, 203, 171 के पश्चिमी सीमा से होते हुए फ्लॉट सं. 171, 206, 207, 208 के संगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क16 पर मिलती है।
- (क 16—क 17)

 मौजा पहाड़पुर-48 प्लॉट सं. 171, 206, 207, 208 के संगम पर स्थित बिन्दु क 16 से शुरू होकर रेखा. एटॉट सं. 60. 169 के पश्चिमी सीमा से होते हुए प्लॉट सं. 123 सड़क को पार कर प्लॉट सं. 590 अंश के उत्तरी सीमा प्लॉट सं. 571. 592, 595, 596, 597, 640, 635 के पश्चिमी, प्लॉट सं. 635, 634 के दक्षिणी सीमा. प्लॉट सं. 633, 630 के पश्चिमी, प्लॉट सं. 786, 787 के पश्चिमी प्लॉट सीमा होते हुए मौजा तलझारी-19 के प्लॉट सं. 503, 511, 512, 513 के पश्चिमी सीमा होते हुए प्लॉट सं. 516 को पार कर मौजा तलझारी-19, केशगरिया-20 के सोझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क 17 पर मिलती है।
- ्छ 17—क 18) मौजा तलझारी सं. 19 एवं केशगरिया सं. 20 के सांझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क17 से शुरू होकर, रेखा उक्त मौजा उ सांझा सीमा से होते हुए उसी सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क18 पर मिलती है।
- (क 18—क 19) मौजा तलझारी सं. 19 एवं केशगरिया सं. 20 के सांझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क18 से शुरू होकर, केशगरिया प्लॉट र्यं. 135 के उत्तरी पूर्वी सीमा , प्लॉट सं. 135, 134, 133, 132, 130 के पूर्वी सीमा एवं प्लॉट सं. 130, 131, 117, 104 : 103 : 282 के दक्षिणी सीमा से होते हुए मौजा तलझारी सं. 19 एवं केशगरिया सं. 20 के सांझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क19 प्ल मिलती है ।
- (क 19—क 20) मौजा तलझारी सं. 19 एवं केशगरिया सं. 20 के साँझा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क19 से शुरू होकर रेखा उक्त मौजा के ाञ्चा सीमा से होते हुए उसी सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु क29 पर मिलती है ।

मीमा विवरण (ख 1 से ख 3)

- (ख़ 1—ख 2) मौजा लोहिण्डया बाजार सं. 45, हरक्खा सं. 50 एवं बसडीहा सं. 47 के त्रिसंगम पर स्थित बिन्दु ख। से शुरू होकर, रेखा मौजा लोहिण्डया बाजार सं. 45, बसडीहा सं. 47 के सांझा सीमा होते हुए उक्त सांझा सीमा स्थित बिन्दु ख 2 पर मिलती है।
- (ख्रं 2—ख 3) भौजा लोहिण्डया बाजार सं. 45, एवं बसडीहा सं. 47 के सांज्ञा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु खंद से शुरू होकर रेखा बसडीहा सं. 47 के प्लॉट सं. 1 के दक्षिणी सीमा से होकर मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 हरक्खा सं. 50 के सांज्ञा सीमा पर स्थित बिन्दु खंद पर मिलती है।
- (ख 3—ख 1) मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं हरक्खा सं. 50 के सांझा सीमा स्थित बिन्दु ख3 से शुरू होकर उक्त मौजा के सांझा सीमा स्थित बिन्दु ख3 से शुरू होकर उक्त मौजा के सांझा सीमा से होते हुए मौजा बसडीहा सं. 47 एवं हरक्खा सं. 50 एवं लोहिण्डया सं. 45 के त्रिसंगम पर स्थित बिन्दु ख1 पर मिलती है ।
 [फा. सं. 43015/29/2009-पीआरआईडब्ल्यू -1]

एस. सी. भाटिया, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF COAL

New Delhi, the 22nd February, 2011

S.O. 672.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 1701 dated the 30th June, 2010 issued under Sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), and published in the Gazette of India Part-II, Section - 3, Subsection (ii) dated the 4th July, 2010, the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in 282.88

hectares (approximately) or 698.72 acres (approximately) of the land in the locality specified in the schedule annexed to that notification:

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that Coal is obtainable in a part of the said land prescribed in the schedule appended to this notification:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to acquire the land measuring 282.88 hectares (approximately) or 698.72 acres (approximately) and all rights in or over the said land described in the schedule appended hereto.

Note 1.

The plan bearing number ECL/RJML/MOUZA/NOTI/54 dated the 21st September, 2010 of the area covered by this notification may be inspected at the office of the Deputy Commissioner, District Godda (Jharkhand), or at the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata ~ 700001 or at the office of the Director Technical (Operation), Eastern Coalfields Limited, Sanctoria, P.O. Dishergarh, District-Burdwan (West Bengal) -713 333.

Note 2. Attention is hereby invited to the provisions of Section 8 of the said Act which provides as follows:—

Objection to acquisition:

"8(1) Any persons interested in any land in respect of which a notification under Section 7 has been issued, may, within thirty days of the issue of the notification, object to the acquisition of the whole or any part of the land or any rights in or over such land.

Explanation:--

- 1. It shall not be an objection within the meaning of this section for any person to say that he himself desires to undertake mining operations in the land for the production of coal and that such operations should not be undertaken by the Central Government or by any other person.
- 2. Every objection under sub-section (1) shall be made to the competent authority in writing, and the competent authority shall give the objector an opportunity of being heard either in person or by a legal practitioner and shall, after hearing all such objections and after making such further inquiry, if any, as he thinks necessary, either make a report in respect of the land which has been notified under Sub-section (1) of Section 7 or of rights in or over such land, or make different reports in respect of different parcels of such land or of rights in or over such land, to the Central Government, containing his recommendations on the objections, together with the record of the proceedings held by him, for the decision of that Government.
- 3. For the purposes of this section, a person shall be deemed to be interested in land who would be entitled to claim an interest in compensation if the land or any rights in or over such land were acquired under this Act."

Note 3. The Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata-700001 has been appointed by the Central Government as the competent authority under Section 3 of the said Act, vide Notification number S.O. 2520 dated the 11th June, 1983.

SCHEDULE

Lahmatia Coal Mining Block (Phase VII)

District · Goods

Thana - Lalmatia

District - Godda, Jharkhand

(Plan bearing number ECL/RJML/MOUZA/NOTI/54 dated the 21st September, 2010)

Thana: Lalmatia

I Dalla . Launatia			District. O	ooda	
Sl. No.	Mouza / Village	Village Number	Area in hectares (approximately)	Area in acres (approximately)	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	. 6
1.	Bansdiha	47	0.33	0.82	Part
2.	Harakkha	50	13.64	33.6 9	Part
3.	Taljhari	19	131.59	325.03	Part
4,	Keshgaria	20	12.40	30.63	Part
5.	Paharpur	48	71.01	175.39	Part
6.	Bharanda	49	53.91	133.16	Part
	Total Area:	***	282.88	698.72	*-

1. Mouza Bansdiha:

Plot numbers:

2. Mouza Harakkha: --1

Plot numbers:—780,954,955,956,957,958,959,960,967,968,969,970,971,972,973, 1000, 1001, 1005, 1017 Part, 1024. 1026, 1027, 1034 Part, 1035 Part, 1036 Part, 1037 Part, 1038, 1039, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049. 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053 Part, 1054, 1055, 1056, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060.

3. MouzaTalihari:

Plot numbers:—1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32. 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 316, 217, 216, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, **2**55, 256, **2**57, **258**, **259**, **260**, **261**, **262**, **263**, **264**, **265**, £66, **267**, **268**, **269**, **270**, **271**, **272**, **273**, **274**, **275**, **276**, **277**, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 201, 202, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439,440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477. 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502. 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566. 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, **692**, **693**, **694**, **695**, **696**, **697**, **698**, **699**, **700**, **701**, **702**, **703**, **704**, **705**, **706**, **707**, **708**, **709**, **710**, **711**, **712**, **713**, **714**, **715**, **716**, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756.

4. Mouza Keshgaria:

Plot numbers:—18 Part, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 279, 280, 281, 282.

5. Mouza Paharpur:

Plot numbers: -46, 47, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 185, 186, 187, 188, 198, 199, 200, 201, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 499, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 499, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 499, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 499, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 499, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 499, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 499, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 499, 499, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 499, 491

500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 593, 594, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 631, 632, 636, 637, 638, 639.

6. Mouza Bharanda:

Plot numbers: -1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 242, 265, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353.

Boundary Description of the area falling under Lalmatia Coal Mining Block (Phase VII) to be notified under Section 7(1) of the CBA (A&D) Act, 1957.

Boundary Description (A1 to A20):

A1-A2	Line starts from Point A1 on common boundary of Mouza Bara Bhorai No. 18 and Keshgaria No. 20
	Passes through the common boundary of Mouza Bara Bhorai No. 18 and Keshgaria No. 20 crosses the
	tri-junction pillar of Mouza, Keshgaria No. 20, Taljhari No. 19 and Bara Bhorai No. 18, again passes
	through the common Mouza boundary of Bara Bhorai No. 18 and Taljhari No. 19 and meets at Point A2
	on tri-junction pillar of Mouza Bara Bhorai No. 18, Taljhari No. 19 and Bansdiha No. 47.

- A2-A3 Line starts from Point A2 on tri-junction pillar of Mouza Bara Bhorai No. 18, Taljhari No. 19 and Bansdiha No. 47; passes through the common Mouza Boundary of Taljhari No. 19 and Bansdiha No. 47 and meets at Point No: A3 on tri-junction pillar of Taljhari No. 19, Bansdiha No. 47 and Paharpur No. 48.
- A3-A4 Line starts from Point A3 on tri-junction pillar of Mouza Taljhari No. 19, Bansdiha No. 47 and Paharpur No. 48; passes through the common Mouza boundary of Basdiha No. 47 and Paharpur No. 48 and meets at Point No. A4 on tri-junction pillar of Mouza Bansdiha No. 47, Paharpur No. 48 and Bharanda No. 49.
- A4-A5 Line starts from Point No. A4 on tri-junction pillar of Mouza Bansdiha No. 47, Paharpur No. 48 and Bharanda No. 49; passes through the common boundary of Bansdiha No. 47 and Bharanda No. 49; meets at Point A5 on the common Mouza boundary of Bansdiha No. 47 and Bharanda No. 49.
- A5-A6 Line starts from point A5 on common Mouza boundary of Bansdiha No. 47 and Bharanda No. 49; passes through the common Mouza boundary of Bansdiha No. 47 and Bharanda No. 49 and meets at Point A6 on tri-junction pillar of Mouza Bansdiha No. 47, Bharanda No. 49 and Harrakha No. 50.
- A6-A7 Line starts from point No. A6 on tri-junction Pillar of Mouza Bansdiha No. 47, Bharanda No. 49 and Harrakha No. 50; passes through the common Mouza boundary of Bansdiha No. 47 and Harrakha No. 50; meets at Point No. A7 on common Mouza boundary of Bansdiha No. 47 and Harrakha No. 50.
- A7-A8 Line starts from Point No. A7 on common Mouza boundary of Bansdiha No. 47 and Harrakha No. 50; crosses the road on Plot No. 1053; passes through the western boundary of Plot No. 1041 and meets at Point No. A8 on southern boundary of Plot No. 1039 in Mouza Harrakha No. 50.
- A8-A9
 Line starts from Point No. A8 on southern boundary of Plot No. 1039 in Mouza Harrakha No. 50; passes through the southern boundary of Plot No. 1039; crosses the plot No. 1037, 1036, 1035, 1034; again passes through southern boundary of Plot No. 1026, 1024; crosses the road on Plot No. 1017; passes through southern boundary of Plot No. 960, 959, 958, 957; eastern and southern boundary of Plot No. 967, southern boundary of Plot No. 968 and meets at Point No. A9 at the junction of Plot No. 968, 972, 1001 and 1002.

- A9-A10 Line starts from Point No. A9 passes through the eastern boundary of Plot No. 1001; eastern and southern boundary of Plot No. 1005; meets on Point A10 at the junction of Plot No. 990, 1005 and 1006 in Mouza Harrakha No. 50.
- A10-A11 Line starts from Point No. A10; passes through western boundary of Plot No. 1000, 1001, 1005,973; and meets at Point No. A11 on northern boundary of Plot No. 973 in mouza Harrakha No. 50.
- A11-A12

 Line starts from Point No. A11 on Northern boundary of plot No. 973 in mouza Harrakha No. 50; passes through the northern boundary of Plot No. 973, 956, 955, 954; crosses the road on Plot No. 1017; passes through the northern boundary of Plot No. 1027; crosses the Plot No. 1034; passes through the northern boundary of Plot No. 1035, 1036, 1037 1038, 1043,780,1048,1049, 1050; crosses the Plot No. 1054; passes through northern boundary of 1060, 1059; and meets at the Point no. Al2 on common mouza boundary of Harrakha No. 50 and Bharanda No. 49.
- A12-A13 Line starts from A12 on the common Mouza boundary of Harrakha No. 50 and Bharanda No. 49; passes through the common Mouza Boundary of Harrakha No. 50 and Bharanda No. 49 and meets at Point No. A13 on southern boundary of Plot No. 1074 of Mouza Harrakha No. 50.
- A13-A14 Line starts from point No. A13 and crosses Plot No. 84 of Mouza Bhiranda No. 49 and passes along western boundary of plot no. 205, 204, 198, 197, 196, 223, 226, 227, 228, 229, 236, 241, 243, 244 and meets at point no. Al4 at the junction of plot No. 244,169, 168 of Mouza Bhiranda No. 49.
- Line starts from Al4 and passes along western and southern boundary of Plot No. 168, 257; western boundary (Part) of Plot No. 258, Northern boundary (Part) of Plot No. 259, northern and western boundary of Plot No. 261; western boundary of Plot No. 262; western and southern boundary of Plot No. 263; western boundary (Part) of Plot No. 264; western and southern boundary of Plot No. 266 and crosses the road on Plot No. 269 of Mouza Bhiranda No. 49 and the line enters the Mouza Paharpur No. 48. The line passes along the western boundary of Plot No. 42, 43, 44 and also southern boundary of Plot No. 44 & 45; again passes along the western boundary of Plot No. 38, 48, 49, 52 and 75 of Mouza Paharpur No. 48 and meets the point No. Al5 at the junction of Plot Nos. 75, 84, 88 and 74 of Mouza Paharpur No. 48.
- A15-A16 Line starts from the Point No. A15 situated on junction of plot no. 75, 84, 88, 74 of Mouza Paharpur 48 and passes along the western boundary of Plot No. 88, 89, 91, 184, 183, 182, 191 and again passes along northern boundary of Plot No. 186, 187, 188 and along western boundary of Plot No. 189, 197, 202, 203, 171 and meets at point no. A16 situated on junction of 171, 206, 207, 208.
- A16-A17 Line starts from point no. Al6 situated on junction of 171, 206, 207, 208 of Mouza Paharpur --48; passes through the western boundary of plot No. 170,169 and crosses plot No. 123(road) and passes through northern boundary of plot No. 590 & western boundary of plot No. 591, 592, 595, 596, 597, 640, 635 and southern boundary of plot No. 635, 634 and western boundary of plot No. 633,630, and south western boundary of plot No. 786, 787; and again passes through western boundary of plot No. 503, 511, 512, 513, crosses plot No. 516 of Mouza Tajhari-19 and meets at point No. Al7 situated on common boundary of Mouza Tajhari-19 and Keshgaria-20.
- A17-A18 The line starts from point A17, passes along the common boundary of Mouza Taljhari No. 19 and Keshgaria No. 20 and meets at Point No. Al8 on the common Mouza boundary of Taljhari No. 19 and Keshgaria No. 20
- A18-A19 Line starts from the Point A18 on common Mouza boundary of Taljhari No. 19 and Keshgaria No. 20; passess through north eastern boundary of Plot No. 135, eastern boundary of Plot No. 135, 134, 133, 132, 130 and southern boundary of Plot No. 130, 131, 117, 104, 103, 282; and meets at Point No. A19 on the common Mouza boundary of Keshgaria No. 20 and Taljhari No. 19.
- A19-A20 Line starts from the Point A19 on common Mouza boundary of Taljhari No. 19 and Keshgaria No. 20; passes through the common Mouza boundary of Taljhari No. 19 and Keshgaria No. 20 and meets at Point No. A20 on the common Mouza boundary of Taljhari No. 19 and Keshgaria No. 20.
- A20-A1 Line starts from Point No. A20 on common Mouza boundary of Taljhari No. 19 and Keshgaria No. 20; crosses the Plot No. 18 of Mouza Keshgaria No. 20 and meets at the Point No. AI on common Mouza boundary of Bara Bhorai No. 18 and Keshgaria No. 20.

Boundary Description (B1 - B3):

B1-B2 Line starts from the Point No. B1 on tri-junction pillar of Mouza Lohandia Bazar No. 45, Harrakha No. 50 and Bansdiha No. 47; passes through the common Mouza boundary of Lohandia No. 45 and

Bansdiha No. 47 and meets at the Point No. B2 on common Mouza boundary of Lohandia No. 45 and Bansdiha No. 47.

B2-B3

Line starts from the Point No. B2 on common Mouza boundary of Lohandia No. 45 and Bansdiha No. 47, passes through the southern boundary of Plot No. 1 in Mouza Bansdiha No. 47 meets at point B3 on common boundary of Bansdiha No. 47 and Harrakha No. 50.

B3-BI

Line starts from the Point No. B3 on common Mouza boundary of Bansdiha No. 47 and Harrakha No. 50, Passes through the common Mouza boundary of Bansdia No. 47 and Harrakha No. 50 meets at the Point No. B1 on tri-junction Pillar of Mouza Bansdiha No. 47, Harrakha No. 50 and Lohandia No. 45.

[F.No. 43015/29/2009-PRIW-I]

S. C. BHATIA, Director

न**ई दिल्ली, 23 फरवरी, 20**11

का.आ. 673.—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला मंत्रालय धारक क्षेत्र को (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 7 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन जारी भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय के द्वारा जारी की गई अधिसूचना संख्यांक का.आ. 2109 तारीख 19 अगस्त, 2010 जो भारत के राजपत्र भाग-II, खण्ड 3, उप खंड (ii) तारीख 28 अगस्त, 2010 में प्रकाशित की गई थी, उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र की भूमि और उस पर के सभी अधिकारों का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दी थी;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 8 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का पूर्वोक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् और मध्य प्रदेश सरकार से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् यह समाधान हो गया है कि इससे सलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित 536.551 हेक्टर (लगमग) या 1325.81 एकड़ (लगमग) माप वाली भूमि के सभी अधिकार अर्जित किए जाने चाहिए ;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इससे संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित 536.551 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 1325.81 एकड़ (लगभग) माप वाली भूमि के सभी अधिकार अर्जित किए जाते हैं।

इस अधिसूचना के अन्तर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक संख्या एसईसीएल/बीएसपी/सीजीएम(पीएलजी) भूमि/399, तारीख 15 नवम्बर, 2010 का निरीक्षण कलेक्टर, शहडोल और अनुपपुर (मध्य प्रदेश) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, कार्जेसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता-700001 के कार्यालय में या साउथ ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड (राजस्व अनुभाग), सीपत रोड, बिलासपुर-495006 (छलीसगढ़) के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है।

अनुसूची

बकही बनाक उसरा विस्तार, सोहागपुर क्षेत्र, जिला-शहडोल और अनुपपुर (मध्य प्रदेश)

[रेखांक संख्या-एसईसीएल/बीएसपी/सीजीएम(पीएलजी)भूमि/399, तारीख 15 नवम्बर, 2010]

सभी अधिकार :

(क) राजस्व भूमि:-

—————— क्रम् सं	ग्राम का नाम	बन्दोबस्त संख्या	पटवारी हल्का संख्या	तहसील	<u> जिला</u>	क्षेत्र हेक्टर में	टिप्पणी
•	बकहो	630	103	सोहागपुर	शहडोल	93.512	भाग
2	बकही	629	47	अनूपपुर	अनूपपुर	416.118	भाग

कुल:- 509.630 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 1259.29 एकड़ (लगभग)

(ख) राजस्व वन भूमि :-

क्रम सं.	ग्राम का नाम	बन्दोबस्त संख्या	पटवारी हल्का संख्या	तहसील	<u> जिला</u>	क्षेत्र हेक्टर में	टिप्पणी	
1.	बकहो	630	103	सोहागपुर	शहडोल	25.998	भाग	
2.	बकही	629	47	अनूषपुर	अनूपपुर	0.923	भाग	

कुल:- 26.921 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 66.52 एकड् (लगभग)

कुल योग (क + ख):- 536.55! हेक्टर (लगभग) या 1325.81 एकड़ (लगभग)

- 1. ग्राम बकहो (भाग) में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या :- 100, 101, 103 से 109, 126, 133 से 136, 151, 152(भाग), 153 से 164, 166(भाग), 167(भाग), 168 से 171, 173 से 187, 193 से 215, 241 से 246, 500 से 525, 537 से 539, 550(भाग), 551 से 561, 563 से 568, 570 से 589, 650, 655 से 682, 685 से 694, 706 से 708, 710, 711, 777, 778(भाग), 779(भाग), 780(भाग), 1132(भाग), 1134(भाग), 1135(भाग), 1140, 1141, 1142(भाग), 1167(भाग), 1168(भाग), 1169(भाग), 1170 से 1180, 1182, 1185 से 1190, 1191(भाग), 1192 से 1194, 1195(भाग), 1199, 1202(भाग), 1220(भाग), 1221(भाग), 1222 से 1224, 1225(भाग), 1226(भाग), 1249(भाग), 1250(भाग), 1251 से 1253, 1289, 1292 से 1309, 1310(भाग), 1360(भाग), 650/1401, 678/1402, 101/1414, 105/1417, 205/1418.
- 2. ग्राम बकही (भाग) में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या :- 2 से 106, 107(भाग), 108, 110(भाग), 113(भाग), 114, 115, 16(भाग), 117(भाग), 119 से 201, 204, 205(भाग), 206(भाग), 207 से 212, 213(भाग), 214, 215, 218 से 404, 405(भाग), 412 से 418, 427 428, 430 से 432, 433(भाग), 434 से 436, 438, 443 से 445, 446, 447, 469, 470(भाग), 473 से 519, 529 से 539, 545, 547 से 549, 555(भाग), 558 से 786, 788 से 790, 792 से 967, 968(भाग), 969 से 999, 1000(भाग), 1001 से 1106, 1107(भाग), 1108, 1109(भाग), 1110 से 1141, 1144 से 1148, 1149(भाग), 1150(भाग), 1151 से 1159, 1160(भाग), 1161(भाग), 1216 से 1222, 1229 से 1245, 1246(भाग), 1247 से 1252, 1253(भाग), 1288(भाग), 1289(भाग), 1290(भाग), 1308(भाग), 1310(भाग), 1341, 1344(भाग), 1345(भाग), 1346(भाग), 1356(भाग), 1358(भाग), 1349(भाग), 1350(भाग), 1351(भाग), 1352(भाग), 1353(भाग), 1354(भाग), 1355(भाग), 1421(भाग), 1358(भाग), 1359(भाग), 1360 से 1411, 1412(भाग), 1413, 1414, 1416(भाग), 1418, 1420(भाग), 1421(भाग), 1422(भाग), 1423(भाग), 1424 से 1428, 1429(भाग), 1060/1718, 1414/1720, 1414/1721, 169/1725, 496/1728, 914/1729.

सीमा वर्णन :-

ब्लाक -1 :

- क-ख रेखा, ग्राम बकहों में बिन्दु "क" से आरंभ होती है और प्लाट संख्यांक 1202, 1169, 1168 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "ख" पर मिलती है।
- ख-ग रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 1168 की सीमा से होकर, 1167 से होकर 1171, 1173, 1132 से होकर, 1142 से गुजरकर, 1141, 1140 से होकर 1135 से गुजरती है और 1134 से होकर बिन्दु "ग" पर मिलती है।
- ग-घ रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 1134, 1135 से होकर, 1132 से गुजरकर, 1179, 1180 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "घ" पर मिलती है ।
- घ-क रेखा प्लाट संख्यांक 1180, 1174, 1172, 1170 से होकर, 1202 से गुजरती है और आरम्भिक बिन्दु "क" पर मिलती है ।

ब्लाक -2 :-

- ङ-च रेखा, ग्राम बकहो में बिन्दु "ङ" से आरंभ होती है और प्लाट संख्यांक 1226, 1225, 1221, 1220, 1191 से होकर, 1194 से होकर, 1195 से गुजरकर, 1199 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "च" पर मिलती है।
- च-छ 📉 रेखा प्लाट संख्यांक 1199, 1194, 1182, 1185 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "छ" पर मिलती है ।
- छ-ज रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 1185, 1188, 1251, 1253, 1252 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "ज" पर मिलती है।
- ख-ङ रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 1252 से होकर, 1250, 1249 से होकर 1225, 1226 से जाती है और आरम्भिक बिन्दु "ङ" पर मिलती है ।

ब्लाक- 3:-

- इन-ज रेखा, ग्राम बकहो में बिन्दु "झ" से आरंभ होती है और प्लाट संख्यांक 1300, 1299, 1298, 1289, 1292, 1289, 1296, 1293 से होकर, 779 से गुजरती है और बिन्दु "ज" पर मिलती है ।
- ज-ट रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 779 से गुजरती है और ग्राम बकहो-बकही के सम्मिलित सीमा में बिन्दु "ट" पर मिलती है।
- ट-ठ रेखा, ग्राम बकहो-बकही के सम्मिलित सीमा से होती हुई जाती है और बिन्दु "ठ" पर मिलती है ।
- उ-इ। रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 1360, 1310 से गुजरकर, 1307, 1302, 1301, 1300 से होकर जाती है आरम्भिक और बिन्दु "झ" पर मिलती है ।

ब्लाक-4:

- ड-ढ रेखा, ग्राम बकहो में बिन्दु "ड" से आरंभ होती है और प्लाट संख्यांक 109, 107, 106, 202, 126, 198, 133, 136, 177, 176, 175 से होकर 167, 166 से गुजरकर, 164, 162, 151 से होकर, 152 से गुजरकर, 153, 154, 513, 515, 516, 517, 518, 520, 561, 563, 568 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "ढ" पर मिलती है ।
- ढ-ण रेखा, ग्राम बकहो में प्लाट संख्यांक 568, 567, 570, 571 580, 666, 668, 669, 670, 672, 673, 674 से होकर, ग्राम बकही के प्लाट संख्यांक 2, 6, 7, 23, 25, 26, 38, 39, 307, 308, 313, 355, 356, 357, 580, 584, 585, 586, 587, 639, 640, 641, 642, 671, 672, 674, 675, 729, 730, 731, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 779, 780, 781, 782 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "ण" पर मिलती है।
- ण-त रेखा, ग्राम बकही में प्लाट संख्यांक 790, 792, 827, 833, 834, 835, 836, 867, 868, 874, 877, 878, 916, 915, 1729, 914, 917, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 1061, 1062, 1063, 1065, 1072, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1079, 1080, 1081, 1083 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "त" पर मिलती है।
- त-थ रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 1083 से होकर, 1160, 1161, 1150, 1149 से गुजरकर, 1148, 1144, 1141, 1217, 1216, 1220, 1221, 1222, 1230, 1229, 1288, 1289, 1290, 1291, 1310, 1308 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "थ" पर मिलती है।
- द-ध रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 1308 से होकर, 1310, 1291, 1290, 1289, 1288 से गुजरकर, 1229, 1231, 1246, 1247 से होकर, 1253, 1109, 1107 से गुजरकर, 1106, 469, 470, 473, 474, 519, 518, 529, 539, 538, 545, 547, 549, 506, 505 से होकर, 555, 968 से गुजरकर, 1011 से होकर, 1000 से गुजरकर, 999 से होकर, 968 से गुजरती है और बिन्द "ध" पर मिलती है।
- ध-न रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 558, 418, 416, 415, 414, 412, 399 से गुजरती है और बिन्दु "न" पर मिलती है ।
- न-प रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 400, 401 से होकर, 405 से गुजरकर, 427, 428, 430, 432 से होकर, 433 से गुजरकर, 438, 436, 443, 445, 447, 446 से होकर, 265 से गुजरकर, 219, 218, 215 से होकर, 213, 206, 205 से गुजरकर, 204, 201, 1360 से होकर, 1359 से गुजरकर, 1358 से होकर, 1356, 1355, 1354, 1353, 1352, 1351, 1350, 1349, 1348, 1347, 1346, 1345, 1344 से गुजरकर, 1341, 1330 व 1329 की पश्चिमी सीमा से होकर, 1350 से गुजरकर, 1379 से होकर, 1429 से गुजरकर, 1425, 1424, 1423 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "प" पर मिलती है।
- प-फ रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 1423, 1422, 1421, 1420 से गुजरकर, 1720, 1418, 1414, 1415 से होकर, 1416, 1412 से गुजरकर, 1409, 1408,119, 120, 132, 133, 134, 135 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "फ" पर मिलती है।
- फ-व रेखा, ग्राम बकही के प्लाट संख्यांक 102, 103, 108 से होकर, 110, 113, 116, 117, 107, 1412 से गुजरकर ग्राम बकहो के प्लाट संख्यांक 780 से गुजरती है और बिन्दु "ब" पर मिलती है ।
- व-भ रेखा, ग्राम बकहो के प्लाट संख्यांक 780 से होकर गुजरकर, 768, 769, 770 की दक्षिणी सीमा से होकर, 780, 778 से गुजरकर, 773 की पूर्वी सीमा व 778, 777 682 की पश्चिमी सीमा से होकर जाती है और बिन्दू "भ" पर मिलती है ।
- भ-म रेखा, प्लाट संख्यांक 685, 711, 710, 708, 707, 706, 694, 655, 658, 584, 585, 650, 1401, 580, 589, 588 की सीमा से होकर, 550 से गुजरकर, 551, 552, 539, 538, 537, 525, 524, 502, 501, 500, 170, 171, 186, 185, 184, 187, 193, 215, 214, 213 से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "म" पर मिलती है।
- म-ड रेखा प्लाट संख्यांक 213, 242, 241, 246, 100, 107, 104, 103, 109 से होकर जाती है और आरम्भिक बिन्दु "ड" पर मिलती है।

[फा.सं. 43015/30/2009-पीआरआईडब्ल्यू-1] एस.सी. भाटिया, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 23rd February, 2011

S.O. 673.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 2109 dated the 19th August, 2010, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) and published in the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 28th August, 2010, the Central Government gave notice of its intention to acquire 536.551 hectares or 1325.81 acres land as all rights in or over such lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification;

And whereas the competent authority in pursuance of Section 8 of the said Act has made his report to the Central Government;

And whereas, the Central Government after considering the aforesaid report and after consulting the Government of Madhya Pradesh, is satisfied that the lands measuring 536.551 hectares (approximately) or 1325.81 acres (approximately) as all rights in or over such lands as described in schedule appended hereto, should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that, the land measuring 536.551 hectares (approximately) or 1325.81 acres (approximately) as all rights in or over such lands as described in Schedule are hereby acquired.

The Plan bearing number SECL/BSP/CGM(PLG)/LAND/399, dated the 15th November, 2010 of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the Office of the Collector, Shahdol and Anuppur (Madhya Pradesh) or in the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata - 700001 or in the Office of the South Eastern Coalfield Limited (Revenue Section), Seepat Road, Bilaspur-495006 (Chhatisgarh).

SCHEDULE

BAKHI BLOCK 2nd EXTENSION, SOHAGPUR AREA District-SHAH DOL and ANUPPUR (Madhya Pradesh)

(Plan bearing number SECL/BSP/CGM(PLG)/LAND/399, dated the 15th November, 2010)

All Rights:

(A) Revenue Land:

Sl. No.	Name of village	Bandobast number	Patwari halka number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
l.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1.	Bakho	630	103	Schagpur	Shahdol	93.512	Part
2.	Bakhi	629	47	Anuppur	Anuppur	416.118	Part

Total: 509.630 Hectares (Approximately) or 1259.29 Acres (Approximately)

(B) Revenue Forest Land:

SI. No.	Name of village	Bandobast number	Patwari halka number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
1.	2,	3.	4,	5.	6.	7.	8.
1.	Bakho	630	103	Sohaopur	Shahdol	25.998	Part
2.	Bakhi	629	47	Anuppur	Anuppur	0.923	Part

Total: 26.921 Hectares (Approximately) or 66.52 Acres (Approximately)

Grand Total (A + B):- 536.551 Hectares (approximately)

or 1325.81 Acres Approximately).

1. Plot numbers to be acquired in village Bakho (Part): 100, 101, 103 to 109, 126, 133 to 136, 151, 152P, 153 to 164, 166P, 167P, 168 to 171, 173 to 187, 193 to 215, 241 to 246, 500 to 525, 537 to 539, 550P, 551 to 561, 563 to 568, 570 to 589, 650, 655 to 682, 685 to 694,706 to 708, 710, 711, 777, 778P, 779P, 780P, 1132P, 1134, 1135P, 1140, 1141, 1142P, 1167P, 1168P, 1169P, 1170 to 1180, 1182, 1185 to 1190, 1191P, 1192 to 1194, 1195P, 1199, 1202P, 1220P, 1221P, 1222 to 1224, 1225P, 1226P, 1249P, 1250P, 1251 to 1253, 1289, 1292 to 1309, 1310P, 1360P, 650/1401, 678/1402, 101/1414, 105/1417, 205/1418.

2. Plot numbers to be acquired in village Bakhi (Part): 2 to 106, 107P, 108, 110P, 113P, 114, 115, 116P, 117P, 119 to 201, 204, 205P, 206P, 207 to 212, 213P, 214, 215,218 to 404, 405P, 412 to 418, 427,428,430 to 432, 433P, 434 to 436, 438, 443 to 445, 446, 447, 469, 470P, 473 to 519, 529 to 539, 545, 547 to 549, 555P, 558 to 786,788 to 790,792 to 967, 968P, 969 to 999, 1000P, 1001 to 1106, 1107P, 1108, 1109P, 1110 to 1141, 1144 to 1148, 1149P, 1150P, 1151 to 1159, 1160P, 1161P,1216 to 1222,1229 to 1245, 1246P, 1247 to 1252, 1253P, 1288P, 1289P, 1290P, 1291 P, 1308P, 1310P, 1341, 1344P, 1345P, 1346P, 1347P, 1348P, 1349P, 1350P, 1351P, 1352P, 1353P, 1354P, 1355P, 1356P, 1358P, 1359P, 1360 to 1411, 1412P, 1413, 1414, 1416P, 1418, 1420P, 1421P, 1422P, 1423P, 1424 to 1428, 1429P, 1060/1718, 1414/1720, 1414/1721, 169/1725, 496/1728, 914/1729.

Boundary Description:

Block - 1:

- A-B Line starts from point "A" in village Bakho and passes through plot No. 1202, 1169, 1168 and meets at point 'B'.
- B-C Line passes along boundary of plot No. 1168, through 1167, along 1171, 1173, 1132, through 1142, along 1141, 1140, through 1135, along 1134 and meets at point 'C'.
- C-D Line passes along plot No. 1134, 1135, through 1132, along 1179, 1180 and meets at point 'D'.
- D-A Line passes along plot No. 1180, 1174, 1172, 1170, through 1202 and meets at starting point 'A'.

Block - 2:

- E-F Line starts from point "E" in village Bakho and passes through plot No. 1226, 1225, 1221,1220,1191, along 1194, through 1195, along 1199 and meets at point 'F'.
- F-G Line passes along plot No. 1199, 1194, 1182, 1185 and meets at point "G"...
- GH Line passes along plot No. 1185, 1188, 1251, 1253, 1252 and meets at point "H".
- HE Line passes along plot No. 1252, through 1250, 1249, along 1225, 1226 and meets at starting point 'E'.

Block - 3:

- 1-J Line starts from point "I" in village Bakho and passes along plot No. 1300, 1299, 1298, 1289, 1292, 1289, 1296, 1293, through 779 and meets at point 'J'.
- J-K Line passes through plot No. 779 and meets at point "K" on common boundary line of village Bakho-Bakhi.
- K-L Line passes along common boundary line of village Bakho-Bakhi and meets at point "L".
- Line passes through plot no. 1360, 1310, along 1307, 1302, 1301, 1300 and meets at starting point '1'.

Block 4:

- M-N Line starts from point "M" in village Bakho and passes along plot No. 109, 107, 106, 202, 126, 198, 133, 136, 177, 176, 175, through 167, 166, along 164, 162, 151, through 152, along 153, 154,513,515,516,517,518,520,561,563,568 and meets at point 'N'.
- N-O Line passes in village Bakho along plot No. 568, 567, 570, 571, 580, 666, 668, 669,670, 672, 673, 674 then in village Bakhi along plot No. 2, 6, 7, 23, 25, 26, 38, 39, 307,308,313,355,356,357,580,584,585,586,587,639, 640, 641, 642, 671, 672, 674, 675, 729, 730, 731, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 779, 780, 781, 782 and meets at point '0'.
- O-P Line passes in village Bakhi along plot No. 790, 792, 827, 833, 834, 835, 836, 867, 868,874,877,878,916,915,1729,914, 917, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 1061, 1062, 1063, 1065, 1072, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1079, 1080, 1081, 1083 and meets at point 'P'.
- P-Q Line passes along plot No. 1083, through 1160,1161,1150,1149, along 1148, 1144, 1141, 1217, 1216, 1220, 1221, 1222, 1230, 1229, 1288, 1289, 1290, 1291, 1310, 1308 and meets at point 'Q'.
- Q-R Line passes along plot No. 1308, through 1310, 1291, 1290, 1289, 1288, along 1229, 1231,1246,1247, through 1253,1109,1107, along 1106,469,470,473,474,519, 518, 529, 539, 538, 545, 547, 549, 506, 505, through 555, 968, along 1011, through 1000, along 999, through 968 and meets at point 'R'.
- R-S Line passes through plot No. 558,418,416,415,414,412, 399 and meets at point "S".
- S-T Line passes along plot No. 400, 401, through 405, along 427, 428, 430, 432, through 433, along 438, 436, 443, 445, 447, 446, through 265, along 219, 218, 215, through 213,206,205, along 204,201, 1360, through 1359, along 1358, through 1356, 1355, 1354, 1353, 1352, 1351, 1350, 1349, 1348, 1347, 1346, 1345, 1344, along 1341, western boundary of 1330, 1329, through 1350, along 1379, through 1429, along 1425, 1424, 1423 and meets at point 'T'.

- T-U Line passes through plot no. 1423, 1422, 1421, 1420, along 1720, 1418, 1414, 1415, through 1416, 1412, along 1409, 1408, 119, 120, 132, 133, 134, 135 and meets at point "U".
- U-V Line passes in village Bakhi along plot no. 102, 103, 108, through 110, 113, 116, 117, 107, 1412, in village Bakho 780 and meets at point "V".
- V-W Line passes in village Bakho through plot no. 780, along southern boundary of 768, 769, 770, through 780, 778, along eastern boundary of 773, western boundary of 778, 777, 682 and meets at point "W".
- W-X Line passes in village Bakho along plot no. 685, 711, 710, 708, 707, 706, 694, 655, 658, 584, 585, 650, 1401, 580, 589, 588, through 550, along 551, 552, 539, 538, 537, 525, 524, 502, 501, 500, 170, 171, 186, 185, 184, 187, 193, 215, 214, 213 and meets at point "X".
- X-M Line passes in village Bakho along plot no 213, 242, 241, 246, 100, 107, 104, 103, 109 and meets at starting point "M".

[F. No. 43015/30/2009-PRIW-I]

S. C. BHATIA, Director

नई दिल्ली, 23 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 674.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 7 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन जारी भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 1440 तारीख 2 जून, 2010 में प्रकाशित की गई थी, उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र की 722.220 हेक्टेयर (लगभग) या 1784.61 एकड़ (लगभग) भूमि में और उस पर के सभी अधिकारों का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दी थी;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 8 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का पूर्वोक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् और छलीसगढ़ सरकार से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् यह समाधान हो गया है कि इससे संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित 722.220 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 1784.61 एकड़ (लगभग) माप वाली भूमि में या उस पर के सभी अधिकार अर्जित किए जाने चाहिए:

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार कोयला उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इससे संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित 722.220 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 1784.61 एकड़ (लगभग) माप वाली भूमि के सभी अधिकार अर्जित किए जाते हैं।

इस अधिसूचना के अन्तर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक संख्या एसईसीएल/बीएसपी/सीजीएम (पीएलजी)/लैंड/391 तारीख 6 सितम्बर, 2010 का निरीक्षण, कलेक्टर, कोरका (छत्तीसगढ़) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, कांडसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता-700001 के कार्यालय में या साउथ ईस्टर्न कोलफिल्ड्स लिमिटेड (राजस्व अनुभाग), सीपत रोड, बिलासपुर-495006 (छत्तीसगढ़) के कार्यालय में किया जा सकेगा।

अनुसूधी

करतली ईस्ट ब्लाक, कोरबा क्षेत्र,

जिला-कोरबा (छत्तीसगढ़)

[रेखांक संख्या एसईसीएल/बीएसपी/सीजीएम (पीएलजी)/लैंड/391 तारीख 6 सितम्बर, 2010]

सभी अधिकार :

(क) राजस्व भूमि:

क्र म सं.	ग्राम का नाम	पटवारी हल्का संख्या	ग्राम संख्या	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र हेक्टर में	टिप्पण
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	करताली	10	78	पाली	कोरखा	39.360	भाग
2.	गणेशपुर	12	अनसर्वेड	पाली	कोरबा	2.323	भाग
3.	पुटा	14	44	पाली	कोरबा	243.230	भाग

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
4.	नूनेरा .	27	75	पाली	कोरबा	2.270	भाग
5.	उड्ता	14	43	पाली	कोरबा	35.046	भाग

कुल :- 322,229 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 796,23 एकड़ (लगभग)

(ख) राजस्व वन भूमि :

क्रम सं	ग्राम का नाम	पटवारी हल्का संख्या	ग्राम संख्या	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र हेक्टर में	टिप्पण
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	करताली	10	. 78	पाली	कोरबा	80.461	भरग
2.	पुटा	14	44	पाली	कोरबा	204.763	भाग
3.	नूनेरा	27	75	पाली	कोरबा	74.059	भाग
١.	उड्ता	14	43	पाली	कोरबा	40.708	भाग

कुल :- 399,991 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 988,38 एकड़ (लगभग)

कुल योग (क+ख):- 722,220 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 1784.61 एकइ (लगभग)

- 1. ग्राम करताली (भाग) में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या :- 125(भाग), 127(भाग), 128 से 144, 145(भाग), 146 से 149, 150(भाग), 197(भाग), 234(भाग), 642(भाग), 643(भाग), 649(भाग), 650 से 673, 674/1, 674/2।
- 2. ग्राम गणेशपुर (अनसर्वेंड) (भाग) में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या :- 127, 128, 129, 130 ।
- 3. ग्राम पुढा (भाग) में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या :- 1/1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, 1/6, 2 से 9, 10/1, 10/2, 11 से 32, 33(भाग), 34(भाग), 35 से 80, 81/1, 81/2, 82 से 166, 167 (भाग), 168 से 184, 186 से 196, 197(भाग), 198(भाग) 199, 200(भाग), 210/1(भाग), 329(भाग), 330/1(भाग), 330/2, 331, 332(भाग), 337(भाग), 338(भाग), 339(भाग), 346(भाग), 347(भाग), 377(भाग), 384(भाग)।
- 4. ग्राम नूनेरा (भाग) में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या :- 219/8, 220(भाग), 221(भाग), 222 से 224, 225(भाग), 226, 227/2, 227/1(भाग), 228(भाग), 229, 230, 1137(भाग), 1138(भाग)।
- ग्राम उड्डता (भाग) में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या :- 1(भाग), 2(भाग), 3(भाग), 93(भाग), 94(भाग), 97, 98(भाग), 99, 100(भाग), 102(भाग), 103 से 107, 108(भाग), 109 (भाग), 110, 111/1क(भाग), 111/2, 111/3, 111/4, 111/5, 158, 159 ।

सीमा वर्णन :

- क-ख रेखा ग्राम करताली के बिन्दु ''क'' से आरंभ होती है और प्लाट संख्या 127, 125, से गुजरकर, ग्राम नूनेरा के 227/1, 221, 225, 220, 228 से गुजरकर, 230 के उत्तरी सीमा से होकर, 228 से गुजरकर बिन्दु ''ख'' पर मिलती है।
- ख-ग रेखा, प्लाट संख्या 228 से गुजरकर ग्राम नूनेरा और पूटा के सम्मिलित सीमा के 'बिन्दु' "ग" पर मिलती है ।
- ग-घ रेखा, ग्राम नूनेरा और पूटा के सम्मिलित सीमा से होकर, ग्राम नूनेरा के प्लाट संख्या 1137, 1138 से गुजरकर बिन्दु "घ" पर मिलती है ।
- घ-ङ रेखा, ग्राम नूनेरा के प्लाट संख्या 1138 से गुजरकर ग्राम उरता के । से गुजरकर बिन्दु "ङ" पर मिलती है ।
- ङ-च रेखा, ग्राम उरता के प्लाट संख्या 3, 2, 1 से गुजरकर गाम पूटा के 137 से गुजरकर बिन्दु "च" पर मिलती है ।
- च-छ रेखा, ग्राम नूनेरा के प्लाट संख्या 137 से गुजरकर ग्राम उरता के 1, 102, 100, 98, 111/1क, 94, 95, 108, 109 से गुजरकर बिन्दु "छ" पर मिलती है ।
- छ-ज रेखा, ग्राम पूटा के प्लाट संख्या 384, 377, 167, 210/1, 185 से गुजरकर बिन्दु "ज" पर मिलती है ।

- ज-झ रेखा, ग्राम पूटा के प्लाट संख्या 187 से पश्चिमी सीमा से होकर, 210/1, 197, 198, 200, 330/1, 329, 332, 337, 338, 339, 346, 347, 33, 34 से गुजरकर ग्राम गर्नेशपुर के 130, 129, 128, 127 के दक्षिणी सीमा से होकर बिन्दु "झ" पर मिलती है।
- झ-अ रेखा, ग्राम करताली के प्लाट संख्या 668 के दक्षिणी सीमा से होकर, 642, 643, 649 से गुजरकर 650 के पश्चिमी सीमा से होकर, 145, 150, 197 से गुजरकर बिन्दु "अ" पर मिलती है।
- ञ-क रेखा, प्लाट संख्या 197, 234, 127 से गुजरकर आरंभिक बिन्दु "क" पर मिलती है ।

[फा. सं. 43015/3/2009-पीआरआईडब्ल्यू-1]

एस. सी. भाटिया, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 23rd February, 2011

S.O. 674.—Whereas, by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 1440 dated the 2nd June, 2010, issued under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development), Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) and published in the Gazette of India, Part - II, Section 3, Subsection (ii) dated the 5th June, 2010, the Central Government gave notice of its intention to acquire 722.220 hectares (approximately) or 1784.61 acres (approximately) land as all rights in or over such lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification;

And, whereas, the competent authority in pursuance of section 8 of the said Act has made his report to the Central Government;

And whereas, the Central Government after considering the aforesaid report and after consulting the Government of Chhattisgarh, is satisfied that the lands measuring 722.220 hectares (approximately) or 1784.61 acres (approximately) as all rights in or over such lands as described in Schedule appended hereto, should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 9 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the land measuring 722.220 hectares (approximately) or 1784.61 acres (approximately) as all rights in or over such lands as described in the Schedule are hereby acquired.

The Plan bearing number SECL/BSP/CGM(PLG)/LAND/391, dated the 6th September, 2010 of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the Office of the Collector, Korba (Chhattisgarh) or in the Office of the Coal Controller, 1 Council House Street, Kolkata -700001 or in the Office of the South Eastern Coalfield Limited (Revenue Section), Seepat Road, Bilaspur-495006 (Chhattisgarh).

SCHEDULE KARTALI EAST BLOCK, Korba Area District- Korba (Chhattisgarh)

[Plan bearing number: SECL BSP/CGM(PLG)/LAND/391, dated the 6th September, 2010]

All Rights:

(A) Revenue Land:

Sl. No,	Name of Village	Patwari halka number	Village number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Kartali	10	78	Pali	Korba	39.360	Part
2.	Ganeshpur	12	Unsurveyed	Pali	Korba	2.323	Part
3. •	Puta	14	44	Pali	Korba	243.230	Part
4.	Nunera	27	<i>7</i> 5	Pali	Korba	2.270	Part
5.	Urta	14	43	Pali	Korba	35.046	Part

Total:-322.229 hectares (approximately) or 796.23 acres (approximately)

(B) Revenue Forest Land:

Sl. No.	Name of Village	Patwari halka number	Village number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
ĺ	2	3	4	5	6	7	7
l.	Kartali	10	78	Pali	Korba	80,461	Part
2,	Puta	14	44	Pali	Korba	204.763	Part
} .	Nunera	27	75	Pali	Korba	74.059	Part
L	Urta	14	43	Pali	Korba	40.708	Part

Total: 399.991 hectares (approximately) or 988.38 acres (approximately)

Grand Total (A+B):- 722.220 hectares (approximately) or 1784.61 acres (approximately)

- 1. Plot ministers to be acquired in village Kartali (Part): 125P, 127P, 128 to 144, 145P, 146 to 149, 150P, 197P, 234P, 642P, 643P, 649P, 650 to 673,674/1, 674/2.
- 2. Plot numbers to be acquired in village Ganeshpur (Unsurveyed) (Part): 127, 128, 129, 130.
- 3. **Plot numbers to be acquired in village Puta (Part):** 1/1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, 1/6, 2 to 9, 10/1, 10/2, 11 to 32, 33P, 34P, 35 to 80, 81/1, 81/2, 82 to 166, 167P, 168 to 184, 186 to 196, 197P, 198P, 199, 200P, 210/1P, 329P, 330/1 P, 330/2, 331, 332P, 337P, 338P, 339P, 346P, 347P, 377P, 384P.
- 4. Plot numbers to be acquired in village Numera (Part): 219/8, 220P, 221 P, 222 to 224, 225P, 226, 227/2, 227/1 P, 228P, 229, 230, 1137P, 1138P.
- 5. **Plot numbers to be acquired in village Urta (Part):** 1 **P, 2P, 3P, 93P, 94P, 97, 98P, 99, 100P, 102P, 103 to 107, 108P, 109P, 110, 111/1KP, 111/2, 111/3, 111/4, 111/5, 158, 159.**

Boundary Description:

- A-B Line starts from point "A" in village Kartali and passes through plot nos. 127, 125, in village Nunera through 227/1, 221, 225, 220, 228, along northern boundary of 230, through 228 and meets at point 'B'.
- BC Line passes through plot no. 228 and meets common village boundary of Nunera and Puta at point 'C'.
- C-D Line passes along common village boundary of Nunera and Puta, in village Nunera through 1137, 1138 and meets at point 'D'.
- DE Line in village Nunera passes through plot no. 1138, its village Urta through 1 and meets at point 'E'.
- EF Line passes in village Urta through plot nos. 3, 2, 1, in village Futa through 137 and meets at point 'F'.
- F-G Line passes in village Nunera through plot no. 137, in village Urta through 1, 102, 100, 98, 111/1K, 94, 95, 108,109 and meets at point "G".
- GH Line passes in village Puta through plot nos. 384, 377, 167, 210/1, 185 and meets at point "H".
- H-I Line passes in village Puta along western boundary of plot no. 187, through 210/1, 197, 198, 200, 330/1, 329, 332, 337, 338, 339, 346, 347, 33, 34, in village Ganeshpur along southern boundary of 130, 129, 128, 127 and meets at point "I".
- Line passes in village Kartali along southern boundary of plot no. 668 through 642, 643 649, along western boundary of 650, through 145, 150, 197 and meets at point "J".
- J-A Line passes through 197, 234, 127 and meets at starting point "A".

[F.No. 43015/3/2009-PRIW-1] S.C. BHATIA, Director

नई दिल्ली, 23 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 675.— कंन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला मंत्रालय धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्ते अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 4 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय के द्वारा जारी की गई अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 2644 तारीख 17 सितम्बर, 2009 जो भारत के राजपत्र के भाग-II, खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 26 सितम्बर, 2009 में प्रकाशित की गई थी, उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र की भूमि में जिसका माप 423.07 हेक्टेयर (लगभग) या 1045.00 एकड़ (लगभग) है, कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दी थी;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है कि इस अधिसूचना में उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विहित की गई उक्त भूमि के भाग में कोयला अभिप्राप्त है :

अत: अब केन्द्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 की धारा 7 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, इससे संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित 423.07 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 1045.00 एकड़ (लगभग) माप की उक्त भूमि का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती हैं। इस अधिसूचना के अंधीन आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक संख्या आरईवी/4/2010, तारीख 17 मई, 2010 का निरीक्षण, उपायुक्त चतरा, झारखण्ड के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, कांडसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता -700001 के कार्यालय में या महाप्रबंधक (भूमि एवं एजस्व), दरभंगा हाउस, सेन्ट्रल कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड, राँची, झारखण्ड या मुख्य महाप्रबंधक, गवेषण विभाग, सेन्ट्रल माइन प्लानिंग एवं डिजाईन इंस्टीच्यूट, गोदवाना पैलेस, कांके रोड, राँची झारखण्ड या मुख्य महाप्रबंधक, पिपरवार क्षेत्र, झारखण्ड के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है ;

दिप्पण 1:- कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की धारा 8 के उपबंधों की ओर ध्यान आकृष्ट किया जाता है, जिसमें निम्नलिखित उपबंध है :-

अर्जन के बाबत आपत्तियां ---

"8(1) कोई व्यक्ति जो किसी भूमि में, जिसकी बाबत धारा 7 के अधीन अधिसूचना निकाली गई है, हितबद्ध है, अधिसूचना के निकाले जाने से तीस दिनों के भीतर सम्पूर्ण भूमि या उसके किसी भाग या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के किन्हीं अधिकारों का अर्जन किए जाने के बारे में आपत्ति कर सकेगा।"

स्पष्टीकरण

- (1) इस धारा के भीतर यह आपित नहीं मानी जाएगी कि कोई व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में कोयला उत्पादन के लिए स्वयं खनन संक्रियाएं करना चाहता है और ऐसी संक्रियाएं केन्द्रीय सरकार या किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को नहीं करनी चाहिए।
- (2) उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रत्येक आपित सक्षम अधिकारी को लिखित रूप में की जाएगी और सक्षम अधिकारी, आपितकर्ता को स्वयं सुने जाने का या विधि व्यवसायी द्वारा सुनवाई का अवसर देगा और ऐसी सभी आपित्तयों को सुनने के पश्चात् और ऐसी अतिरिक्त जाँच, यदि कोई हो, करने के पश्चात् जो यह आवश्यक समझता है, वह या तो धारा 7 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन अधिसूचित भूमि के या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध में एक रिपोर्ट या ऐसी भूमि के विभिन्न टुकड़े या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध में उपनी सिफारिशों और उसके द्वारा की गई कार्यवाही के अभिलेख सहित विभिन्न रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय सरकार को उसके विनिश्चय के लिए देगा।
- (3) इस धारा के प्रयोजनों के लिए वह व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में हितबद्ध समझा जाएगा जो प्रतिकार में हित का दावा करने का हकदार होता, यदि भूमि या किसी ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकार इस अधिनियम के अधीन अर्जित कर लिए जाते।"
- टिप्पण-2: केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, कार्जीसल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता-700001 को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र भाग-II, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) में 11 जून, 1983 में प्रकाशित अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 2518, तारीख 27 मई 1983 द्वारा समक्ष प्राधिकारी नियुक्त किया है।

अनुसूची अशोका वेस्ट कोल माईनिंग ब्लॉक जिला-चतरा, झारखण्ड

(रेखांक संख्या आरईवी/4/2010, तारीख 17 मई, 2010)

सभी अधिकार :

क्र. —	ग्राम का	थाना	ग्राम/थाना	जिला	क्षेत्र	क्षेत्र	टिप्पणियां
स <u>ं.</u>	नाम		संख्या		(हेक्टर में	(एकड़ में)	
Į.	सरैया	टंडवा	87/244	चतरा	40.69	100.50	भाग
2.	झुलुंदा	टंडवा	91/248	चतरा	95.14	235.00	भाग
3.	लुक्तुया	टंडवा	92/249	चतरा	203.44	502.50	भाग
4.	तोरहद	टंडवा	93/250	चतरा	70.34	173.75	भाग
5.	हेंजदा	टंडवा	94/251	चतरा	13.46	33.25	भाग
			कुल क्षेत्र		423,07	1045,00	

अशोका वेस्ट में अशोका विस्तार परियोजना हेतु अधिग्रहित भूखंडो की विवरणी :

- 1. ग्राम-सरैया में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्या 113(भाग), 124(भाग), 125, 126, 127, 128(भाग), 129, 131(भाग), 132, 133।
- 2. ग्राम-लक्आ में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्या 1(भाग), 2, 3, 4, 5(भाग), 6 से 12, 13(भाग), 14 से 113 एवं 114(भाग)।
- 3. **ग्राम-झुलुंदा में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्या** 1(भाग), 2 से 6, 7(भाग), 8(भाग), 43(भाग) 44, 45, 46(भाग), 49(भाग), 50(भाग), 51(भाग), 52(भाग), 53(भाग), 54 से 60, 61(भाग), 62 से 67, 68(भाग), 69 से 73, 74(भाग), 75(भाग), 82(भाग), 83 से 99, 100(भाग), एवं 101(भाग) और 104।

- 4 ग्राम-हेन्जदा में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्या 1(भाग), 2 से 12, 13(भाग), 14(भाग), 15(भाग), 28(भाग), एवं 88(भाग)।
- 5. ग्राम-तोरहड में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्या 1(भाग), 2 से 103, 104(भाग), 105(भाग), 106(भाग), 107(भाग), 108, 109, 110, 111(भाग), 114(भाग), 117(भाग), 147(भाग), 149(भाग), 150(भाग), 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158(भाग), और 159।

सीमा वर्णन :

- क-ख रेखा 'क' से आरम्भ होती है और ग्राम सरैया के प्लॉट संख्या 124 और ग्राम लुकुया के प्लॉट संख्या 1, 5, 13, 114 से गुजरती हुई बिन्दु 'ख' पर मिलती है ।
- ख-ग रेखा ग्राम लुकुया एवं चिरलुंगा, झुलुंदा एवं कोयलारा की सम्मिलित सीमा के साथ गुजरती हुई बिन्दु 'ग' पर मिलती है ।
- ग-घ-इ रेखा ग्राम झुलुंदा के प्लॉट संख्या- 1, 8, 7, 53 से गुजरती हुई बिन्तु 'ड़' पर मिलती है ।
- ड्-च रेखा ग्राम झुलुंदा के प्लॉट संख्या 53, 52, 51, 50, 49, 46, 43, 61, 75, 74, 68, 82, 100, 101 एवं ग्राम हेन्जदा के प्लाट संख्या- 28, 1, 15, 14, 13 एवं 88 से गुजरती है (जो पूर्वोक्त का.आ. 1501, तारीख 26 मई, 1990 द्वारा अशोक ब्लॉक की सम्मिलित सीमा का गठन करती है) और बिन्दु 'च' पर मिलती है।
- च-छ रेखा ग्राम ठेठांगी और सरैया (जो पूर्वोक्त का.आ. 1501, तारीख 26 मई 1990) और ग्राम हेन्जदा के प्लॉट संख्या-88 और ग्राम तोरहड के प्लॉट संख्या- 158, 147, 149, 150, 117, 114, 105, 104, 106, 107, 111, 117 एवं 01 ठेठांगी एवं सरैया सीमा से गुजरती हुई बिन्दु 'छ' पर मिलती हैं।
- छ-क रेखा ग्राम सरैया के प्लॉट संख्या 128, 131, 113, और 124 से (जो पूर्वोक्त का.आ. 1501, तारीख 26 मई 1990 द्वारा अशोक ब्लॉक की सम्मिलित सीमा का निर्माण करती है) गुजरती हुई प्रारंभ बिन्दु 'क' पर मिलती है।

[फा.सं. 43015/21/2009-पीआरआईडब्ल्यू-1]

एस.सी. भाटिया, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 23rd February, 2011

S.O. 675.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 2644 dated the 17th September, 2009 issued under sub-section (1) of section-4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), and published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section-3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 26th September, 2009 the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in 423.07 hectares (approximately) or 1045.00 acres (approximately) of the land in the locality specified in the Schedule annexed to that notification;

And, whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that coal is obtainable in the said land prescribed in the Schedules appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 7, of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to acquire the land measuring 423.07 hectares (approximately) or 1045.00 acres (approximately) and all rights in and over the said land described in the Schedule appended hereto.

The Plan bearing number Rev / 4 /2010, dated the 17th May, 2010 of the area covered by this notification can be inspected at the office of the Dy. Commissioner, Chatra, Jharkhand or at the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata-700 001, or at the Office of the Chief General Manager, Piparwar area Jharkhand or General Manager (Land and Revenue) Central Coalfields Limited, Ranchi, Darbhanga House or Chief General Manager (Exploration) Division Central Mine Planning and Design Institute, Gondwana Place, Kanke Road, Ranchi.

Note 1: Attention is hereby invited to the provisions of section 8 of the said Act which provides as follows:—

Objections to acquisition

"8(1) Any person interested in the land in respect of which a notification under section 7 has been issued, may, within thirty days of the issue of the notification, object to the acquisition of the whole or any part of the land or of any rights in or over such land.

Explanation

(1) It shall not be an objection within the meaning of this section for any person to say that he himself desires to undertake mining operations in the land for the production of coal and that such operations should not be undertaken by the Central Government or by any other person.

- (2) Every objection under sub-section (1) shall be made to the competent authority in writing, and the competent authority shall give the objector an opportunity of being heard either in person or by a legal practitioner and shall, after hearing all such objections and after making such further inquiry, if any, as he thinks necessary, either make a report in respect of the land which has been notified under sub-section (1) of Section 7 or of rights in or over such land, or make different reports in respect of different parcels of such land or of rights in or over such land, to the Central Government, containing his recommendations on the objections, together with the record of the proceedings held by him, for the decision of that Government.
- (3) For the purposes of this section, a person shall be deemed to be interested in land who would be entitled to claim an interest in compensation if the land or any rights in or over such land were acquired under this Act."
- Note 2: The Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata 700 001 has been appointed by the Central Government as the competent authority under Section 3 of the said Act, vide notification number S.O. 2518 dated the 27th May, 1983 published in Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated the 11th June, 1983.

SCHEDULE

Ashok West Coal Mining Block District - Chatra, Jharkhand (Plan bearing number Rev / 4/2010, dated the 17th May, 2010)

ALL RIGHT:

SI; Vo.	Name of village	Thana number	Village/ Thana number	Name of District	Area in hectares	Area in acres	Remarks
	Saraiya	Tandwa	87/244	Chatra	40,69	100.50	PART
)	Jhulunda	Tandwa	91/248	Chatra	95.14	235.00	PART
J.	Lukuia	Tandwa	92/249	Chatra	203.44	502.50	PART
l .	Torhad	Tandwa	93/250	Chatra	70,34	173.75	PART
	Henjada	Tandwa	94/251	Chatra	13.46	33.25	PART
				Total Area:	423.07	1045.00	

Plots for acquisition in Ashok West block for Ashok expansion OCP:

- Plot numbers to be acquired in village of Saraiya: 113 (P), 124 (P), 125, 126, 127, 128 (P), 129, 131 (P), 132 and 133.
- 2. Plot numbers to be acquired in village of Lukuia: 1 (P), 2, 3, 4, 5 (P), 6 to 12, 13 (P), 14 to 113 and 114 (P).
- 3. **Plot numbers to be acquired in village of Jhulunda**: 1 (P), 2 to 6, 7 (P), 8 (P), 43 (P), 44, 45, 46 (P), 49 (P), 50 (F), 51 (P), 52 (P), 53 (P), 54 to 60, 61 (P), 62 to 67, 68 (P), 69 to 73,74 (P), 75 (P), 82 (P), 83 to 99, 100 (P), 101 (P), and 104.
- 4. Plot numbers to be acquired in village of Henjada: 1 (P), 2 to 12, 13 (P), 14 (P), 15 (P), 28(P) and 88 (P).
- 5. **Piot Nos. to be acquired in village of Torhad :** I(P), 2 to 103, 104 (P), 105 (P), 106 (P), 107 (P), 108, 109, 110, 111 (P). 114(P), 117(P), 147 (P), 149 (P), 150 (P), 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158 (P) and 159.

Boundary Description:

- A-B Line start from 'A' and passes through Plot No. 124 in village Saraiya and Plot Nos. 1, 5, 13, 114 in village Lukuia and meets at Point'B'.
- B-C Line passes through common boundary of villages Lukuia and Chirlonga, Jhulunda and Koilara and meets at point 'C'.
- C-D-E Line passes through Plot Nos. 1, 8, 7, 53 in village Hulunda at meets at point' E'.
- E-F Line passes through Plot Nos. 53, 52, 51, 50, 49, 46, 43, 61, 75, 74, 68, 82, 100, 101, in village Jhulunda and Plot No. 28, 1, 15, 14, 13, 88 in village Henjada [which form part common boundary of Ashok Block u/s 9 (i) CBA (A&DE) Act, 1957, vide S.O. No. 1501 dated 26-05-1990] and meets at point 'F'.
- F-G Line passes through plot Nos. 88 in village Henjada and plot nos. 158, 147, 149, 150, 117, 114, 105, 104, 106, 107, 111, 117, 01 in village Torhad common boundary of village Thathangi and Saraiya [which form part common boundary of Ashok West Block u/s 9 (i) CBA (A&D) Act, 1957 vide S.O. No. 1501 dated 26.05.1990] and meets at point 'G".
- G-A Line passes through plot Nos.128, 131, 113 & 124 in village Saraiya [which form part common boundary of Ashok Block u/s 9(i) CBA (A& D) Act, 1957 vide S.O. No. 1501 dated 26-05-1990] & meets at starting point 'A'.

[F.No. 43015/21/2009- PRIW-I]

पैट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 23 फरवरी, 2011

चता.आ. 676.—केन्द्रीय संस्कार सोक् हिंदू में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि पंजाब राज्य में रमन मंडी से हरियाणा राज्य में बहादुरगढ तक पैट्रोलियम तेल के परिवहन के लिए हिन्दुस्तान केट्रोलियम कॉफ्रेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा ''जी जी एस आर उत्पाद निकासण परियोजना'' के कार्यान्वयन हेतु एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए:

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उक्त भूमि में, जो इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित है और जिसमें पाइपलाइन बिछाने का प्रस्ताव है उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोसियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन्स (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई भी व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से, जिसको भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियों साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा की जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने या भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में श्री प्रहलाद सिंह, (हरियाणा), हिन्दुस्तान पैट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, गुरू गोबिन्द सिंह रिफाइनरी उत्पाद निकामण परियोजना, एस सी एफ नं.-29, सैक्टर-6, बहादुरगढ-124507, हरियाणा को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तः	सील : बहादुरगढ		ज़िला : झञ्जर,	राज्य : हरिया	राज्य: हरियाणा				
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुस्ततिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल					
		•		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
।. आसोदा	28	 	588	00	()B	81			
टोडरान			589	00	06	35			

[फा. सं. आर-31015/13/2009-ओ. आर-∏]

ए, गोस्वामी, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 23rd February, 2011

5.O. 676.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government, that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum Products from Raman Mandi in the State of Punjab to Bahadurgarh in the State of Haryana for implementation of "GGSR Products Evacuation Project pipeline from Raman Mandi to Bahadurgarh", should be laid by the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And, whereas, it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid, and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification issued under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the Right of User therein or laying of the pipeline under the land, to Shri Prahlad Singh, Competent Authority (Haryana), Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Guru Govind Singh Refinery Product Evacuation Project, SEC No.-29, Sector-6, Market, Bahadurgarh-124507, Haryana.

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : Bahadu	rgarh	District : Jh	ajjar	State: Haryana			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustatil No.	Khasra/Killa No.	o. Area			
	•			Hectare	Are	Square Metre	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
1. Asaudha Todran	28	e de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	588	- 00	03	81	
			589	00	06	35	

[F. No. R-31015/13/2009-OR-II]

नई दिल्ली, 23 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 677.—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय के का.आ. 2446 दिनांक 10-09-10, द्वारा पेट्रोलियम और खिनक पाइपलाइन्स (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे उसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा जाएगा) की धारा 3(1) के अधीन अधिसूचना प्रकाशित कर, रमन मंडी से बिठण्डा (पंजाब राज्य में) तक, पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद के परिवहन के लिए हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉपोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा ''जी जी एस आर उत्पाद निष्क्रमण परियोजना रमन मंडी से बिठण्डा पेट्रोलियम पाइपलाइल'' के सम्बन्ध में उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट तहसील तलवंडी साबो जिला बिठण्डा राज्य पंजाब की भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन के अपने आश्चय की घोषणा की थी:

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियों जनता को तारीख 20-12-2010 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी;

और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने केन्द्रीय सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में उपयोग के अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित किया जाता है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाए सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त होकर हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

		अनुसूची	r			
तहसील : तलवंडी	साबो	जिला : भटिंडा	राज्य : पंजा			
क्रम संगौंव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुस्ततिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या		क्षेत्रफल	
··			•	हेक्टयर	एथर	वर्ग मीटर
(1) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1. कोट बख्तू	44		1356	(0)	13	66

[फा. सं. आर-31015/38/2009-ओ. आर-11]

ए, गोस्वामी, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd February, 2011

S.O. 677.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, published in the Gazette of India vide number S.O. 2446 dated the 10-09-2010, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transportation of Petroleum Products from Raman Mandi to Bathinda in the State of Punjab by the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited for implementing the "GGSR Products Evacuation Project pipeline from Raman Mandi to Bathinda" in Tehsil Talwandi Saboo District Bathinda, in Punjab State;

And whereas, copies of the said gazette notification were made available to the public on 20-12-10.

And whereas, the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, has submitted his report to the Central Government.

And whereas, the Central Government after considering the said report is satisfied that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification should be acquired:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is acquired;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of users in the said land shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest from the date of publication of this declaration, in the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

				 			
	Tehsil : Talwandi S	aboo	District : Bh	atinda	State:		
S. No.	Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustatil No.	Khasra/Killa No.	Area		
	·	•			Hectare	Are	Square Metre
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1.	Kot Bakhtu	44		1356	00	13	66

[F. No. R-31015/38/2009-OR-II] A. GOSWAMI, Under Secv.

[]	भाग II—खण्ड 3(i	i)]	\$4	ारत का	राजपत्र : म	ৰ্ব 5,2	011/फाल्गुन 1	14, 1932			2015
	न	हिल्ली, 24 फर	वरी, 2011				(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
		भारत सरकार				1)	शासनसन्बा	र जारी 2007	00	00	36
果	तीत होता है कि मैस 	सि रिलाएन्स इंडर	स्ट्रीज लिमिर	ड के अ	रान्ध्र प्रदेश			164	00	10	40
	पूर्वीतट पर ऑन							163	00	02	13
ु ए स्ट	ग्भोक्ताओं तक प्राकृति फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड	तक गस के पारवह जान स्टब्सीनाक	न का लए, म जन्म	स्तस रह	त्र ास्टबस			167	00	06	90
	त्रगस्ट्रपचर ग्लामट ः छाई जानी चाहिए:	क्षारा काकापाल!-	-बासुदबपुर-	क्ष्यक्	પા ફ પ ભાફન			1985	00	01	19
' '				_ 、、			·	166	00	01	98
-		कार को उक्त पाइ	-					172	00	16	84
	ए यह आवश्यक ! स्त पाइपलाइन बिछ							170	. 00	00	10
	त्य नाइनलाइन । बर्छ उपा बद्ध अनुसूची							171	00	01	63
	व्या जाए:	4 41-10 Q, G	7711 77 01	14411	या ज्या			173	00	13	46
			. ــــــ ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ					174	00	02	79
18	अतः, अब, मा ट्रिम में उपयोग के अ	रत सरकार, पेट्रो फिक्स का अर्ज						176	00	00	10
	150) की धारा 3 व							175	00	. 01	
	. १९७७ मा आए। ५ प (ते हुए, डनमें उपर							1/3	00	. VI 00	10
	शय की घोषणा क				., -,,,,			142	00		84
	कोई व्यक्ति ज	ो उक्त अनुसूची	में सर्गित श	ਜਿਜੇ	race \$			140		10	19
उस	नगर ज्यापत, प तारीख से जिसक								.00	00	10
) के अधीन जारी			-				137	00	07	80
	उपलब्ध कर दी उ							138	00	00	10
	<u> ঘলাহন ৰিন্তাई জা</u>							136	00	08	59
	धि में श्री भास्कर त्रि							129	00	13	37
	गस्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड							106	. 00	00	27
	ानेश् वर- 751023, ३	भोडिशा राज्य को	लिखित रू	पर्मे अ	क्षेप भेज			128	00	07	23
सव	तेगा ।							107	00	06	47
		अनुसूची						110	00	02	08
	ल/तहसील/तालुक	. सिंगला जिला	-		: ओडिशा			111	00	00	10
_								109	00	07	07
	गांव का नाम	सर्वे सं/सब		•	र्जत करने			. 82	00	09	00
		डिविजन सं.		लिए ६				83	00	01	98
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	सि एयर			81	00	12	01
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			80	00	06	05
1)	शासनसनबार	249	00	00	10			7 6	00	01	53
·	•	247	00	12	39	~		77	00	13	06
		246	00	01	50	2)	मसडा	153	00	08	88
		248	00	02	24			83	00	02	47
		245	00	06	24 19			- 150 88	.00 .00	00	10
		244	00	02	66			88 84	00 - 100	01 02	95 05
		157	00	02				87 .		⊝02 . 01	05 44
		158			4 0			86	m		
		1,70	00	07	87			συ	UJ +2#	01	07

PART	11-	-Sec.	3((ii)	Ì
------	-----	-------	----	------	---

2016	THE GAZETTI	S OF I	NDIA : M	IARCH 5	, 2011.	/PHALGUNA 14	4, 1932	[FAK	1 II—26	C, 5(11)}
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
 मसडा जारी 	92	00	08	25	1)	झिकिरिया जारी	112	00	()	29
,	98	00	00	50		•	113	00	02	49
•	91	00	04	62			110	00	09	08
	97	00	12	89			109	00	Œ	85
	95	00	01	11			108	00	16	30
•	96	00	08	64			104	00	06	90
	98	00	00	29			100	00	02	82
	99	00	05	85			101	00	09	56
	101	00	00	10			102	00	02	28
	100	00	02	74			103	00	05	43
63	63	00	06	62			83	00	00	51
	61	00	00	10			72	00	20	11
	62	00	17	38			75	00	12	13
	58	00	01	⊕			7 9	00	01	43
	784	00	04	88			7 8	00	02	40
	32	00	00	10			76	00	11	51
	35	00	01	19			77	00	09	14
	36	00	00	76			59	00	00	6l
	57	00	05	06	<u>-</u> ਸੰ	डल/तेहसिल/	जिला : बालेश	वर	राज्य :	ओडिश
	37	00	02	10		लुकः बस्ता				
	38	00	01	20	1)		82/106	00	-00	Ľ
	56	00	03	21	1)	વડુલાવા	81/105	00	04	a
	3 9	00	09	70			80/104	00	04	53
	51	00	04	06			77/101	00	08	7:
	50	00	02	50			76/100	00	10	9
	40	00	04	65			73/9 ⁻ /	00	14	9
	806	00	00	39			74/98	00	40	4
	45	00	Œ	28			72/96	00	01	9
	44	00	03	00			71/95	00	00	8
	41	00	05	00			70/94	00	00	1
	42	00	00	82			68/92	00	27	7
	43	00	02	23			सर्वे सं. 68/9			
 मंडल/तेहसिल/	जिला : बालेश्व	₹	राज्य :	ओडिशा			और 99/127			
तालुक: रुपसा	1-1(1)	•		-,,, -,,,,			के बीच में	00	09	8
1) झिंकिरिया	161	00	29	- 66			99/127	00	07	9
1) (811-111-11	181	00	12	30			63/86	00	19	3
	182	00	00	10			67/90	00	08	l
	183	00	W 19	04			66/89	00	08	8
	151	00	09	12			65/88	00	00	2
	153	00	08	96			62/85	00	08	0
	150	00	08 07	90 63			61/84	00	20	5
	149	-00	07	30			सर्वे सं. 61/8			
	149 सर्वे सं. 149 औ		V4	30			और 58/81			
	सप स. 149 जा 111 के बीच में		14	89			के बीच में	00	02	6
							58/81	00	00	5
	111	00	Œ	16			-3.0.		557	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1) धेनुखोजा–जारी	57/80	00	03	02	2)	चंदनपुर-जारी	67	00	01	16
	46/68	00	05	53			35	00	02	22
	42/64	00	10	91			34	00	00	22
	56/79	00	01	37			16/66	000	08	26
	41/63	00	10	81			36	00	Œ	50
	40/62	00	œ	33			37	00	01	56
	10/12	00	IJ	98			33	00	29	81
	11/13	00	11	25			31	00	(0)	52
	14/16	00	04	56			32	(30)	œ	71
	15/17	00	Q1	41			30	00	Œ	44
	16/18	-00	06	17			29	00	05	80
	17/19	00	05	6l			27	00	00	10
	18/20	00	10	78			28	00	06	80
	31/53	- 00	01	35	3)	कोरेयिबाटिया	195	00	01	75
	19/21	00	04	76			196	00	13	86
	30/52	00	20	65			197	00	00	11
	27/34	00	07	24			297	00	05	84
	25/31	00	06	67		•	298	00	09	63
	26/33	00	04	31.			296	00	16	36
	35	00	01	86			295	00	01	73
	सर्वे सं. ३५ और						- 536	00	00	12
	गांव सीमा के						303	00	13	4 6
•	बीच में	00	11	55			283	00	15	52
) चंदनपुर	197	00	00	10			. 305	00	11	55
	35/93	00	07	92			282	00	02	49
	34/92	00	08	72			306	00	04	34
	33/91	00	12	25			327	00	03	45
	32/90	00	13	57			328	00	01	80
	31/89	00	24	17			329	00	Œ	73
	30/88	00	19	73			330	00	02	70
	28/86	00	02	50			331	00	Œ	17
	29/87	00	15	12			332	00	02	88
	22/80	00	21	41			489	00	04	53
	23/81	00	04	62			533	00	07	84
	21/76	00	00	36			486	00	09	22
		00	00	83			485	00	09	80
	20/75						515	00	11	17
	19/74	00 m	04	96 36			484	00	03	94
	18/73	00	11	75 cc			483	00	08	75
	17/72	00	10	03			482	00	09	44
	7 1	00	00	10		*	463	00	Œ	94
	69	00	02	05			462	00	07	64
	68	00	01	22			452	00	Ю	20

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	_	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
3) कोरॅयिबाटिया—जारी	453	00	05	19	4)	कुंडापुर—जारी	1200	00	02	24
	451	00	09	83			1071	(3)	()9	82
	449	00	05	19			1070	00	09	()4
	450	00	01	05			1072	00	08	48
	44 8	00	(12	34			1078	()()	17	9 7
	445	00	11	54			1077	00	05	56
	444	(1)	08	76			1161	00	25	64
	443	00	00	44			1162	00	18	7]
	386	00	(2	52			1163	00	(X)	lx
	360	00	10	67			1164	00	04	50
	361	00	(X)	10			1188	00	01	82
	359	00	(0)	10			1187	(0)	(X)	61
	358	(X)	()5	Œ			1170	(0)	()4	50
	390	(X)	01	49			1171	00	04	98
	394	00	09	79			1169	(10)	()()	43
	391	()()	(30)	31			1172	(1)	07	57
	393	00	()(8	62			1173	(X)	01	37
	392	00	00	23			1176	(X)	36	30
	434	00	(X)	. 12			1175	00	01	21
	412	00	14	31			1138	00	(10)	77
	425	00	13	91			1137	(3)	07	87
	424	00	(X)	55	5)	पलासिया	5	00	28	41
	423	00	32	62			6	(0)	\mathfrak{B}	54
	420	00	05	66			9	(0)	24	115
	418	00	Œ	2 9			10	(1)	00	87
	419	00	12	17			23	(X)	01	41
4) कुंडापुर	1263	00	16	4 6			22	()()	10	36
	1266	00	Œ	21			18	()()	10	}(.
	1265	00	12	21			21	()()	œ	80
	1264	00	00	45			20	00	10	()3
	1216	00	00	32			24	00	\mathfrak{B}	X
	1268	00	08	26			19	00	00	38
	1270	00	00	74			25	()()	05	23
	1269	00	12	74			28	000	()4	13
	1272	00	06	85			27	00	19	80
	1212	00	04	44			29	000	02	9.
	1209	00	18	04			26	()()	()()	\mathcal{M}
	1276	00	00	40			30	00	10	75
	1208	00	09	Œ			31	∞	15	90
	1207	00	08	96			32	000	(12	94
	1206	00	08	95			33	()()	11	30
	1205	00	04	92	6)	झिजिपाडा	i	00	39	57
	1064	00	17	58	7)	डुबुलिया	72	0.0	07	37

(7)	डुबुलिया- जा री				(5)		(2).	(3)	(4)	(5)
	23.444	40	00	07	28	(9) गोहिरागाडिया-	जारी 193	00	01	32
		41 .	00	10	02		115/184	00	11	42
		42	00	00	65		192	00	10	79
		194	00	03	01		191	00	00	19
		43	00	05	68	•	188	.00	02	39
		44	-00	06	74		187	00	08	36
		45	00	05	38	•	208	00	07	75
		46	00	04	75		209	00	06	10
		50	600	15	24		244	00	- 08	65
		49	00	00	10		243	00	05	87
		51	00	06	84		126/217	00	01	43
		57 -	00	13	83		218	00	07	71
		56	00	23	24		238	00	01	49
-		54	00	14	54		232	00	Œ	17
		55	00	09	77		219	00	22	80
		15	00	00	10		229	00	00	24
		14	00	22	90		228	00	02	31
		13	00	11	. 79		227	00	03	92
	12	12	∞	07	11		22 6	00	04	60
		11	00	01	89		221	00 .	02	97
(8)	कांचानिया	4	00	07	81		225	00	18	71
		5	00	00	32	(10) चकराडा	4/4	00	Œ	12
	-	3	00	œ	28		3/3	00	02	60
		2 .	00	14	13		1/1	00	09	22
		6	00	02	78		2/2	00	01	55
		7	00	12	38		7/7	00	01	94
		1	00	06	84	(11) बैगणडिहा	42/82	00	02	59
		21	00	09	66		44//84	00	10	61
		22	00	00	74		43/83	00	07	52
		149	00	01	60		45/85	00	10	80
(9)	गोहिरागाडिया	177	00	05	08	 ,	46/86	00	15	28
		176	00	00	10		37/77	00	01	67
		175	00	02	15		47/87	00	15	10
		174	00	04	33		36/76	00	01	77
		173	00	07	29		35/75	00	26	73
		178	00	07	21		89	00	01	72
		171	00	04	42 _		70/111	00	1 1	50
		179	00	07	85		76/117	00	05	06
		180	00	16	06		69/110	00	01	64
		196	00	00	46 .		75/116	00	05	22
		118/195	00	15	57		74/115	00	Œ	51
		194	00	10	37		73/114	00	29	6l
		113/182	00-	000	96		81/152	œ	10	63

_	~- ~	
п	നാന	

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MARCH 5, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1932

[PART II—SEC, 3(ii)]

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(11) बैगणडिहा-जारी	63/104	ω	07	13		239	00	14	13
	82/153	00	11	92		276	00	09	11
	83/154	00	22	28		242	00	01	65
	84/155	00	30	00		275	00	05	85
	85/156	00	12	71		243	00	00	24
	131/280	00	10	68		277	00	(3)	10
	129/278	00	13	12		274	00	Œ	45
	130/279	00	16	04		273	00	08	45
	127/276	00	03	57		272	∞	Œ	(nf)
	126/275	00	28	87		271	00	00	ec.
	274	00	02	58	/	246	00	20	81
	124/272	00	32	71		247	003	02	31
	286	00	02	61		266	00	15	39
	287	- 00	05	œ		265	00	01	45
(12) रेणुपडा	1507	00	09	32		164	(0)	13	11
	430	00	02	32		262	00	07	77
	433	00	03	26		259	00	12	50
	1510	00	00	95		260	00	00	Įd
	432	00	07	34		258	00	00	j i)
	1511	. 00	00	10	े (13) व रडा	74/75	00	10	32
	436	00	05	55		288/800	00	22	4
	431	00	06	60		52/53	00	02	52
	1501	00	01	27		53/54	00	90	7)
	428	00	27	96		54/55	00	05	Q!
	438	(0)	05	58		55/56	00	07	50
	439	00	00	25		56/57	00	06	32
	427	00	06	36		63/64	00	10	22
	426	00	00	45		62/63	(30)	05	υjo
	420	00	33	10		61/62	00	06	67
	419	00	12	61		60/61	00	12	85
	413	00	00	34		59/60	00	00	<i>(</i> 1)
	414	00	27	$\boldsymbol{\Theta}$		101	00	Ol	49
•	408	00	00	72		118/122	00	02	52
	415	00	(12	06		117/121	00	16	84
	407	00	07	78		116/120	00	13	(9)
	406	00	00	58		115/119	00	06	86
	404	00	14	94		289/801	00	13	65
	402	00	06	48		113/117	()()	06	14
	40I	00	06	14		112/116	00	15	18
	224 230	00 00	24 28	71 38	(14) बेगुनिया	4/4	00	04	65
	230	00	28 09	.38 07	. , 9	3/3	00	20	82
	229	00				17/17	00	23	70
			11	31		16/16	00	01	40
	238	00	10	()I				-	•••

	91	*	।। रत का रा	जिपत्र : मा	च 5,2011/फाल्गुन 14,	1932	2021			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
(14) बेगुनिया-जारी	18/18	00	11	29	(15) नाबदा-जारी	325	00	13	51	
	19/19	00	02	07	- ,	324	00	08	66	
(15) नाबदा	112/170	00	02	55		323	00	08	66	
	113/171	00	08	82		322	00	08	82	
	114/172	00	03	22		321	00	02	94	
	132/190	00	16	29		312	00	01	59	
	131/189	00	13	- 64		313	00	09	93	
•	130/188	00	20	78		314	00	Œ	67	
	129/187	00	04	19	(16) सोनपुर	508	00	16	37	
	192	00	01	29		511	00	06	43	
	134/193	00	02	38		512	00	02	56	
	140/199	00	04	72	मंडल/तेहसिल/	जिला: बा	लेश्वर	राज्य : उ	ओडिशा	
	139/198	00	08	54	तालुक : जालेश्वर					
	141/200	00	06	38	(1) कोटसाही	1	00	68	22	
	148/232	00	18	56		276	00	01	83	
	140/233	00	02	83		265	00	Œ	90	
	147/231	00	09	28		266	œ	02	65	
	150/234	00	01	36		275	000	04	19	
	146/230	00	02	67		273	< 00	04	00	
	227	00	04	15		267	00	01	62	
	226	00	04	58		268	00	00	79	
	225	00	02	39		274	00	08	18	
	228	00	06	06		271 273	00 00	05	00	
	224	00	00	10		272 258	00	01 03	16 92	
	223	00	04	50		257	00	02	39	
	208	00	00	36		150	00	01	37	
	209	00	01	10		121	00	00	97	
	210	- 00	01	73		56	00	05	98	
	211	00	02	36		5 9	00	05	09	
	222	00	00	84		58	00	05	57	
	212	00	03	42		67	00	00	10	
	213	00	04	81		6 l	00	04	99	
	219	00	00	94		60	00	œ	91	
	218	00	02	42		62	00	04	47	
	217	00	04	88		63	. 00	02	98	
	216	ã	02	21		66	00	00	58	
	215	00	04	18		65	00	00	29	
	220	00	03	86		64	00	04	46	
	298	00	02	09		83 .	00	06	59	
	290	00	07	72		85	00	03	80	
	290 291	00	03	24		84	00	02	24	
	308	00	08	- 96		89	00	01	66	
	309	00	02	· 90		86	00	08	79	
	307	w	, UZ	Ю		87	00	02	92	

7	Λ	\sim	~	
,		•		

2022	THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MARCH 5, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1932

ALGUNA 14, 1932	[Part II—Sec. 3(ii)]
-\	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	.(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1) कोटसाही-जारी	108	00	02	7()	 1) कोटसाही—जारी	1692	()()	04	94
	88	00	00	39		1694	(X)	01	17
	100	00	00	01		1693	(3)	()()	50
	109	· 00	00	21		1689	(X)	()2	92
	107	00	05	19		1690	(X)	11	υK
	101	00	02	23		1684	()()	()6	68
	106	00	02	18		1688	(ij)	01	15
	104	00	04	06		1683	(1)	01	77
	102	00	02	\mathfrak{B}		2563	(0)	06	31
	103	00	01	42		1682	00	()()	29
	1401	00	Œ	15		1685	(X)	()4	42
	1402	00	01	81		1686	(X)	()2	23
	1400	00	08	71		1679	00	15	08
	1410	00	09	95		1677	(X)	()()	25
	1395	00	05	21		1678	(0)	112	(Y)
	1398	00	00	10		1640	(0)	04	54
	1394	00	00	7 3		1676	(ii)	()4	jų,
	1392	00	00	48		1675	(10)	01	25
	1396	00	07	16		1674	(10)	01	33
	1391	00	01	05		1673	(0)	00	38
	1397	00	00	48		1672	α)	12	03
	1387	00	03	63		1670	00	01	40
	1390	00	01	68		1664	00	01	14
	1388	00	01	29		1671	()()	()4	88
	1367	00	0	38		1665	00	Œ	43
	1368	00	02	95		1657	(X)	05	13
	1386	00	05	85		1666	00	06	45
	1385	00	00	57		1656	00	00	50
	1384	00	00	10		1747	00	01	(B
	1377	00	08	29		1856	00	(10)	45
	1376	00	00	10		1855	00	13	30
	1378	00	07	42		1854	(0)	00	
	1375	00	01	88					31
	1374	00	02	73		1853	00	(12	22
	1373	00	\mathfrak{B}	12		1857	00	01	48
	1372	00	02	01		1851	()()	05	<i>G</i> 6
	1697	00	02	51		1858	(0)	01	Œ
	1696	00	(12	36		1850	00	B	38
	1698	00	Œ	44		1859	(0)	(0)	52
	1699	00	(12	27		1849	(X)	08	48
	1695	00	02	99		1848	(X)	П	11
	1700	00	02	56		1847	(X)	()()	16
	1691	00	00	30		1798	(0)	01	97

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(2) केशपुरा	94	00	00	26	केशपुरा-जारी	403	00	01	25
	21	00	03	08		407	. 00	00	59
	22	00	Œ	84		408	00	00	88
	93	00	00	10		409	00	13	84
	23	000	04	42		410	00	07	68
	24	00	04	82		42 0	00	03	56
	25	00	05	81		411	00	00	10
•	26	00	06	56		416	00)	01	34
	1385	00	00	10		417	00	04	06
	18	00	00	20		418	00	02	26
	1381	000	00	93	•	451	00	05	62
	1418	00	06	00		452	000	00	91
	27	00	œ	07		453	00	01	06
	16	00	10	17		454	00	01	62
	28	00	09	28		450	00	01	75
	15	00	00	10		455	00	04	19
	14	00	01	11	*	465	00	08	08
	29	00	05	30		466	00	02	44
	33	00	00	26		467	00	Œ	89
	34	00	\mathbf{a}	14		468	00	05	38
	37	00	02	83		4 69	00	09	61
	36	00	06	68		471	00	00	10
	35	00	00	78		1375	00	02	69
	67	00	08	7 5		470	00	00	45
	66	00	10	94	(3) सरसिदा	1078	00	05	39
	65	00	02	58		1079	00	05	12
	57	00	02	58		1080	00	Œ	41
	56	00	Œ	66		1076	00	Œ	16
	58	00	01	30		1081	00	02	50
	59	00	02	56		1075	00	13	95
	64	00	01	35		1082	00	04	47
	60	00	04	35		1074	00	12	82
	52	000	04	55		1073	00	19	72
	1334	00	00	14		1086	00	02	5 9
	53	00	01	19		1072	00	07	7 5
	1425	00	01	88		1087	00	23	4 6
	397	00	Œ	09		1037	00	02	51
	398	00	13	72		1113	00	00	10
	400	00	00	84		1115	00	01	20
	399	00	00	73		1036	00	14	56
	401	00	00	37		1035	00	14	80
	4()4	00	00	11		1116	00	10	01
	402	00	01	89		1177	00	05	<i>7</i> 5

[PART II—Sec. 3	(ii)
-----------------	------

THE GAZETTE OF	INDIA: MARCH 5	5, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1932
----------------	----------------	---------------------------

2024	THE GAZET	TE OF I	NDIA : M	IARCH 5	, 2011/PHALGUNA	14, 1932	[Par	r II—Sec	c. 3(ii)]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
सरसिदा-जारी	1118	00	02	49	पारिबेलदा-जारी	216	00	00	10
	1119	00	25	79		214	00	03	25
	1104	00	00	10		249	(X)	œ	61
	1003	00	00	20		219	00	00	×
	1002	00	01	27		223	(0)	07	()(
	698	00	09	15		245	00	01	К
	1120	00	00	32		244	00	02	38
	968	00	04	79		246	00	00	1:
	1205	00	06	88		243	00	04	2
	966	00	16	81		247	α	(12	6
	1204	00	01	91		248	(0)	01	X
	965	00	12	54		242	w	18	5:
	964	00	07	00		240	00	00	2
	963	00	00	65		554	00	Œ	49
	962	00	00	47					
मंडल/तेहसिल/	जिला : बालेश	वरं	राज्य :	ओडिशा		239	00	03	l.
तालुकः रेमुणा						257	00	00)	} i
	गांव सीमा और	 r		<u>.</u>		258	00	00	9
(1) पारिबेलदा	गाय सामा आ सर्वे सं. 535	•				238	00	12	4:
	सप सः <i>333</i> के बीच में	00	œ	710		531	00	01	4
		00	OB OD	78 17		355	00	00	2
	535	00	Œ	17		356	00	Œ	8
	82	00	11	06		359	00	08	(1
	83	00	07	43		364	00	00	j
	84	00	00	10		360	00	Œ	5
	85	00	01	68		363	00	08	3
	174	00	04 ~	45 53		361	00	07	1
	86	00	06	53		362	00	04	0
	169	00	01 m	14 49		348	00	00	2
	87	00	Œ			346	00	02	3
	168	00	03	88 m		345	00	14	6
	167	00	œ	00 20		344	00	08	2
	166	00	04	22		334	00	00	2
	165	00	00	13		335	00	02	7
	559	00	07	98 ~		337	00	10	{
	160	00	04 m	96 07		339	00	04	5
	161 सर्वे सं. 161 ३	00 ***	02	07		540	00	00	8
	सव स. 161 ४ 158 के बीच		Λe	ATT		338	00	06	· 7
			05 03	47 04		336	00	00	,
	158	00			(2) मौजपुर				
	211	00 m	00	37	(८) माजपुर	71 70	00 00	00	}
	157	00 m	05 04	97 90		7 0	00	04 00	į,
	212	00	04	89		69	00	00	
	155	00	01	19		6	00	00	5
	213	00	07	63		100	-00	Œ	6

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
मौजपुर-जारी	5	00	02	25	कल्याणपुर-जारी	841	00	07	35
:	4	00	11	59		840	00	03	10
• .	3	00	00	<i>7</i> 1		839	00	00	22
•	11	00	03	21		844	00	œ	14
	10	00	Œ	39		853	00	00	68
	12	00	02	83		854	00	œ	90
	13	00	00	17		855	00	06	37
	14	00	13	. 31		856	00	04	56
	15	00	00	36		857	00	01	26
(3) कल्याणपुर	167	00	11	68		858	00	36	96
	171	00	04	97		914	00	03	72
	172	00	08	36		970	00	19	13
	173	000	06	93		915	00	24	21
	776	000	05	27		941	00	09	84
	<i>77</i> 5	00.	07	70		942	00	00	20
	m_{\perp}	00)	09	06		943 940	00	00	10 m
	774	00	02	29		9 4 0 937	00	04 00	09 43
•	780	00	11	45		939	00	05	40 69
	782	00	09	93		938	00	. 07	32
	1026	00	04	42		934	00	02	22
	7 83	00	œ	60	मंडल/तेहसिल/	जिला : म		राज्य : ३	
	788	00	09	68	तालुक: वैसिंगा	19(1) . 9	3/7-1	(194.5	ना ७ र ।।
	<i>7</i> 87	00	00	23		110			
	789	00	02	93	(1) डिहापंचपुटुली	113	00	22	70
	790	00	02	21		138	00	03	24
	792	00	01	64		114 , 112	00 m	09 m	02
	791	00	Œ	52	•		00	03	. 25 22
									08
	808	00	00	87		136 127			vo
,	808 807	00 00	00 03	87 96		127	00	04	64
,						127 123	00 00	04 02	64 54
,	807	00	œ	96	(2) चकापंचपटली	127 123 126	00	04 02 02	54
	807 811	00 00	03 16	96 83	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145	00 00 00	04 02 02 26	54 18
	807 811 812	00 00 00	03 16 01	96 83 99	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195	00	04 02 02 26 05	54 18 16
· .	807 811 812 819	00 00 00 00	03 16 01 02	96 83 99 22	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195 149	00 00 00 00	04 02 02 26	54 18 16 06
	807 811 812 819 818	00 00 00 00	03 16 01 02 00	96 83 99 22 10	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195	00 00 00 00	04 02 02 26 05 00	54 18 16 06 18
	807 811 812 819 818 1028	00 00 00 00 00	08 16 01 02 00 01	96 83 99 22 10 02	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195 149 150	00 00 00 00 00	04 02 02 26 05 00	54 18 16 06
·	807 811 812 819 818 1028 817	00 00 00 00 00 00	08 16 01 02 00 01	96 83 99 22 10 02 64	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195 149 150	00 00 00 00 00 00	04 02 02 26 05 00 07	54 18 16 06 18 50
	807 811 812 819 818 1028 817	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	08 16 01 02 00 01 08 00	96 83 99 22 10 02 64 10	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195 149 150 199	00 00 00 00 00 00	04 02 02 26 05 00 07 05	54 18 16 06 18 50 83
	807 811 812 819 818 1028 817 815	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	08 16 01 02 00 01 08 00	96 83 99 22 10 02 64 10 43	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195 149 150 199 169 170	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	04 02 02 26 05 00 07 06 02	54 18 16 06 18 50 83 48
	807 811 812 819 818 1028 817 815 816	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	08 16 01 02 00 01 08 00 01	96 83 99 22 10 02 64 10 43 52	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195 149 150 199 169 170	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	04 02 02 26 05 00 07 05 02 06	54 18 16 06 18 50 83 48
	807 811 812 819 818 1028 817 815 816 824	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	03 16 01 02 00 01 08 00 01 00 03	96 83 99 22 10 02 64 10 43 52 31	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195 149 150 199 169 170 147 125	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	04 02 02 26 05 00 07 06 02 05 00	54 18 16 06 18 50 83 48 10 22
	807 811 812 819 818 1028 817 815 816 824 825	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	08 16 01 02 00 01 08 00 01 00 03	96 83 99 22 10 02 64 10 43 52 31	(2) चकापंचपुटुली	127 123 126 145 195 149 150 199 169 170 147 125 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	04 02 02 26 05 00 07 05 02 06 00 08	54 18 16 06 18 50 83 48 10 22

[PART II—Sec. 3(ii	PART	II-	-Sec.	3	(ii)	١
--------------------	------	-----	-------	---	------	---

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
चकापंचपुदुली-जारी	111	00	04	62	गडादेउलिया-जारी	1433	00	04	4 7
	110	00	03	58		1816	00	17	81
	109	00	06	54		1434	00	01	71
	108	00	05	40		1815	00	11	94
	189	00	00	5 6		1435	00	02	64
	192	00	00	36		1438	00	Œ	31
	107	00	02	73		1439	00	05	94
	106	00	02	13		1812	00	00	52
	96	00	02	06		1802	00	000	43
	95	00	20	46		1811	00	04	51
	188	00	(X)	09		2498	000	07	35
	165	00	00	37		1806	00	B	10
	89	00	04	33		1803	00	00	22
	84	00	04	47		1805	00	(12]4
	85	00	17	81		1783	00	00	68
	69	00	01	71		2562	00	$^{\mathfrak{B}}$	90
	68	00	11	94		1784	00	06	37
	67	00	02	64		2490	00	04	56
(3) गडादेउलिया	1927	00	26	18		1782	00	01	26
	2561	00	05	16		1721	00	36	96
	1926	00	00	10		712	∞	Œ	72
	1929	00	07	18		713	00	19	13
	1930	00	05	50		1780	00	21	Q
	1931	00	02	83		714	00	09	84
	1925	00	05	48		715	00	(12	59
	2658	00	00	10		722	(0)	00	20
	1932	00	08	22		723	00	(1)	10
	1933	00	00	37		724	(0)	()4	(19
	1934	00	()4	33		<i>7</i> 21	00	(30)	43
	1918	00	09	82		720	(X)	(15	69
	2527	00	11	51		725	00	07	32
	1917	00	04	62		726	(10)	()2	22
	1916	∞	06	54		644	00	()()	I
	1913	00	05	40		643	00	(3)	20
	2743	00	(3;)	56		641	00	02	73
	1402	00	00	36		सर्वे सं. 641			
	1403	00	(12	73		और 550 के		_	
	1406	00	02	13		बीच में रास्ता	(0)	10	(¥
	1407	00	02	06		550	00	04	50
	1903	00	20	46		551	00	(1)	85
	1820	00	00	10		562	000	06	7:
	1408	00	(00)	37		560	00	(1)	10
	1409	00	()	33		552	00	31	8

	·								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
गडादेउलियाजारी	2725	00	00	39	गडादेउलिया-जारी	2554	00	01	30
	559	00	00	19		283	00	13	81
	2714	00	01	98		268	00	01	88
	2613	00	01	14		269	00	14	70
	557	00	04	26		270	00	02	82
	558	00	03	78		272	00	02	12
	556	00	03	26		273	00	28	23
	577	90	02	92		823	00	11	15
	578	00	00	85		2545	00	05	53
	576	00	03	51		2434	00	05	04
	575	00	05	76	(4) कालामा	1	00	06	03
	574	00	04	32		2	00	02	87
	सर्वे सं. 574					3	00	02	55
	और 608 के		at .			4	00	03	55
	बीच में रास्ता	00	00	51		5	00	01	95
	608	00	07	02		13	00	00	41
	2447	00	02	67	(5) बालियापिटा	295	00	00	21
	615	00	04	51		420	00	02	39
	613	00	00	18		296	00	00	10
	609	00	04	93		310	00	03	51
	610	00	01	69		311	00	01	27
	116	00	01	53		312	00	02	01
	2383	00	07	21		313	00	07	94
	2384	00	00	48		315	00	05	12
	338	00-	04	59		314	00 ′	01	88
	337	00	00	24		317	00	00	36
	2370	00	02	53		316	00	12	20
	326	00	12	67		324	00	07	68
	327	00	08	94		325	00	07	93
	328	00	01	17	,	323	00	01	06
	329	00	02	08		326	00	02	26
	313	00	08	00		329	00	02	26
	312	00	00	72		331	00	00	36
	314	00	00	09		327	00	00	23
	311	00	05	37		328	00	17	41
	280	00	07	. 54		355	00	00	20
	281	00	01	55	•	234	00	12	50
	2553	00	00	19		235	00	01	6 l
	303	00	02	32		226	00	01	43
	300	00	00	17		227	00	00	63
	286	00	07	24		228	00	00	23
									~
	282	00	05	04		229	00	08	93

(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
5) बारित	यापिटाजारी	421	00	03	03		249	00	02	8
		222	00	02	44		575	00	18	2
		220	00	05	84		618	00	00	J
		219	00	02	83		248	00	15	-1
		221	00	07	79		250	00	20	9
		436				मंडल/वेहसिल/	जिला : मयुर	भंज	राज्य : ३	ओटिश
			00	15	13 ~~	तालुकः : रासागोबिदपुर				
		217	90	23	OC:	(!) सापागाडिया	गांव सीमा अ	 रीर		
		207	00	00	25	. ,	सर्वे सं. 237			
		215	00	07	47		बीच में	00	06	1
,		214	00	03	05		237	00	Üi	ţ
ा नणेश	वरपुर	116	00	00	16		238	60	03	Ş
		105	00	25	93		239	00	02	ť
		106	00	06	09		240	000	03	9
		107	00	- 00	90		241	00	04	
		138	00	08	34		242	00	08	
		104	00	02	76		243	00	. 00	
) विस्त	नुपुर	110	00	06	13		251	00	32	4
		107	00	25	43		248	00	09	ı
		571	00	03	85		249	00	02	
		108	00	00	41		265	00	13	1
		105	00	17	97		263	00	03	i
		104	00	08	82		264	00	13	
		102	90	08	50		266	00	07	
		635	00	01	33		329	00	24	
							330	00	00	
		101	00	08	85	•	344 328	00 00	04 00	
		570	00	07	75 —		326	06	03	
		564	00	10	72		325	00	23	
		93	00	15	13		348	00	12	,
		94	00	00	18		349	00	10	
		91	00	00	43		सर्वे सं. 349	और		
		92	00	01	92		426 के बीच			
		89	00	00	33		में रास्ता	00	01	,
		90	00	04	05		426	00	03	
		222	00	05	4]		425 424	00 00	09 14	
		224	. 00	16	60		483	00	02	+
		226	00	14	57		421	00	12	
		557	00	03	33		480	00	01	
		225	00	03	39		414	00	07	
		244	00	01	44		420	00	00	
		243	00	14	89		417	00	11	
		562	00	05	03		419	00	01	
		241	00	14	46		416	00	03	
		563	00	0i	52		418	000	08	
		605	00	04		(2) पाइकासाही	1015	00	02	
		247	00	00	03 10		िफा. सं. ए	ल I40I4/	17/2011-	जी. पी

New D	elhi, the 24th Feb	ruary, 2011		<u>.</u>	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Whereas it appe			(1)	Sasansanbar	166	00	01	98
India that it is n					Contd.	172	00	16	84
transportation of n	-					170	00	00	10
Coast of Andhra						171	00	01	63
Limited to consur		•				173	00	13	46
Kakinada - Basude by M/s. Relogistic			uid de iaid			174	00	02	79
		,				176	00	00	10
	is, it appears to					175	00	01	10
that for the purpose				·		142	00	00	84
to acquire the Righ						141	00	10	19
pipeline is propose the Schedule anne		winch are do	escribed in			140	00	(0)	10
	•					137	00	07	80
	ore, in exercise o				: •	138	00	00	10
by sub-section (1	•					136	00	08	59
Minerals Pipelines						129	90	13	37
Act 1962 (50 of 196						106	00	00	27
its intention to acq						128	00	07	23
· -	interested in the					107	00	06	47
said Schedule may						110	00	02	08
on which the copie				٠.		111	00	00	10
Gazette of India ur						109	. no	07	07
									(0)
said Act, are made				. · · .		82	(1)	(1)	187
in writing to the ac	equisition of the	Right of Us	er therein	· · · · .		82 83	00 00	09 01	
in writing to the action laying the pip	equisition of the	Right of Us land to Shi	er therein i Bhaskar	. · · .		83	00	01	98
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete	equisition of the eline under the at Authority, Rel	Right of Us land to Shi ogistics Infi	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure			83 81	00 00	01 12	98 - 01
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor	equisition of the reline under the ant Authority, Rel r, Fortune Towe	Right of Us land to Shi ogistics Infi er, Chandras	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure			83 81 80	00 00 00	01 12 06	98 - 01 05
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete	equisition of the reline under the ant Authority, Rel r, Fortune Towe 023,Orissa State	Right of Use land to Shu ogistics Infi er, Chandras	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure			83 81 80 76	00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01	98 01 05 53
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor	equisition of the reline under the ant Authority, Rel r, Fortune Towe	Right of Use land to Shu ogistics Infi er, Chandras	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77	00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13	98 - 01 05 - 53 - 06
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751	equisition of the reline under the ant Authority, Rel r, Fortune Towe 023,Orissa State	Right of Ue land to Shi ogistics Infi er, Chandras	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153	00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08	98 01 05 53 06 88
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751	equisition of the eline under the ent Authority, Rel r, Fortune Towe 023, Orissa State SCHEDULE	Right of Ue land to Shi ogistics Infi er, Chandras	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur,	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83	00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02	98 01 05 53 06 88 47
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla	equisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Tower, Fortune Tower 023, Orissa State SCHEDULE	Right of Ue land to Shu ogistics Infi er, Chandras c.	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00	98 01 05 53 06 88 47
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the ret Authority, Rel r, Fortune Towe 023, Orissa State SCHEDULE District: Baleshw	Right of Use land to Shu ogistics Infi er, Chandras var Stat	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla	equisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Tower, Fortune Tower 023, Orissa State SCHEDULE	Right of Use land to Shu ogistics Infier, Chandras c. var Stat	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa e acquired ROU	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Tower toward of the reliable of the	Right of Use land to Shu ogistics Infier, Chandras c. var Stat Area to be for Hec. A	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 05
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the ret Authority, Rel r, Fortune Towe 023, Orissa State SCHEDULE District: Baleshw	Right of Use land to Shu ogistics Infier, Chandras c. var Stat	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 06 44 07
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the ret Authority, Rel r, Fortune Towe 023, Orissa State SCHEDULE District: Baleshw Survey No./ Sub-Division (2)	Right of Use land to Shu ogistics Infier, Chandras control of the	e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 01 04	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 05 44 07 89
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Tower to the reline Tower tower to the reline Tower tower tower tower tower tower to the reline Tower t	Right of Use land to Shu ogistics Infier, Chandras be a compared to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (4) (6) (6)	e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are (5)	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 01 04 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 06 44 07 89 63
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Town Town 1023, Orissa State SCHEDULE District: Baleshw Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 249 247	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) 00 00 12	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are () (5) 10 39	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 02 01 01 04 01 08	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 06 44 07 89 63 25
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline under the reline Towe 023, Orissa State SCHEDULE District: Baleshw Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 249 247 246	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (0) (0) (0) (12) (0) (13)	e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are () (5) 10 39 50	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 01 04 01 08	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 05 44 07 89 63 25 50
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Town of the	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are () (5) 10 39 50 24	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 04 01 08 00 04	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 05 44 07 89 63 25 62
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Town of the	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (00 00	e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are (5) (5) 10 39 50 24 19	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93 91 97	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 02 01 01 04 01 08 00 04	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 06 44 07 89 63 25 50 62 89
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Town Town 1023, Orissa State SCHEDULE District: Baleshw Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 249 247 246 248 245 244	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (00 00 00 (00	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are () (5) 10 39 50 24 19 66	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93 91 97 95	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 02 01 04 01 08 00 04 12 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 63 25 50 62 89 11
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Towe (123, Orissa State SCHEDULE District : Baleshw Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 249 247 246 248 245 244 157	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (0) 00	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are () (5) 10 39 50 24 19 66 40	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93 91 97 95 96	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 05 44 07 89 63 25 62 89 11 64
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline under the reline Towe 023, Orissa State SCHEDULE District: Baleshw Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 249 247 246 248 245 244 157 158	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) 00 00 00 01 00 02 00 02 00 02 00 07	e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are (5) 10 39 50 24 19 66 40 87	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93 91 97 95 96	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 01 04 01 08 00 04 12 01 03 00	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 06 44 07 89 63 25 62 89 11 64 29
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Tower to the reline Tower tower tower tower tower tower tower tower to the reline Tower tow	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (00 00	e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are (5) (5) 10 39 50 24 19 66 40 87 31	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93 91 97 95 96 98 99	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 02 01 01 04 01 08 00 04 12 01 06 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 06 44 07 89 63 25 50 62 89 11 64 29 85
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Town Town 1023, Orissa State SCHEDULE District: Baleshw Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 249 247 246 248 245 244 157 158 159 160	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (00 00	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are () (5) 10 39 50 24 19 66 40 87 31 24	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93 91 97 95 96 98 99 101	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 02 01 01 04 01 08 00 04 12 01 03 00 04 12 01 06 01 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 63 25 50 62 89 11 64 29 85 10
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Towe of the reline of the	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (00 00	ser therein i Bhaskar astructure sekharpur, e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are () (5) 10 39 50 24 19 66 40 87 31 24 36	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93 91 97 95 96 98 99 101 100	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 05 44 07 89 63 25 062 89 11 64 29 85 10 74
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline under the reline Tower of t	Area to be for Mec. A (3) (4) (0) (0) (12) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are (5) 10 39 50 24 19 66 40 87 31 24 36 40	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93 91 97 96 98 99 101 100 63	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 06 44 07 89 63 25 50 62 89 11 64 29 85 10 74 62
in writing to the action laying the pip Tripathy, Compete Limited, 1st Floor Bhubaneswar -751 Mandal/Tehsil/ I Taluk: Singla Village (1)	cquisition of the reline under the reline under the reline Towe of the reline of the	Area to be for Hec. A (3) (4) (00 00	e: Orissa e acquired ROU re C-Are (5) (5) 10 39 50 24 19 66 40 87 31 24 36 40 13	(2)	Masada	83 81 80 76 77 153 83 150 88 84 87 86 89 90 92 93 91 97 95 96 98 99 101 100	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	01 12 06 01 13 08 02 00 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	98 01 05 53 06 88 47 10 95 05 44 07 89 63 25 062 89 11 64 29 85 10 74

วกรถ	_			_		
	7	s	١	7	1	ì
	,	ι	ı	•	E	1

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(2) Masada Conto		00	04.	88	(1) Dhenukhoja	80/104	00	04	53
	32	00	00	10	Contd.	77/101	00	08	75
	35	00	01	19		76/100	00	10	90
	36	00	00	76		73/97	00	14	95
	57	00	05	06		74/98	00	40	47
	37	00	02	10		72/96	00	01	94
	38	00	01	20		71/95	00	00	80
	56 39	00 00	03 09	21 70		70/94	00	00	18
	59 51	00	04	06		68/92	00	27	77
	50	00	02	5 0		Road bet s		21	,,
	40	00	04	65			•		
	806	ã	00	39		no. 68/92 &		00	(2)
	45	00	Œ	28		99/127	00	09	88
	44	ã	03	00		99/127	00	07	95
	41	00	05	00		63/86	00	19	33
	42	00	00	82		67/90	00	08	18
	43	00	02	23		66/89	00	08	85
Mandal/Tehsil/	District : Bale	shwar	State:	Orissa		65/88	00	∞	22
Taluk : Rupsa				•		62/85	00	08	09
(1) Jhinkiria	161	00	29	- 66		61/84	00	20	52
	181	00	12	30		Road bet s	uy		
	182	00	00	10		no. 61/84 &	દે		
	183	00	19	04		58/81	00	02	65
	151	00	09	12		58/81	00	00	58
	153	00	08	96		57/80	00	\mathbf{a}	02
	150	00	07	63		46/68	00	05	53
	149	000	04	30		42/64	00	10	91
	In bet suy no		1.4	00		56/79	00	01	37
	149 & 111	00 00	14 03	89		41/63	00	10	81
	111 112	00	w 09	16 2 9		40/62	00)	03	33
	113	00	02	49		10/12	00	11	98
	110	00	09	08		11/13	00	11	25
	109	00	Œ	85		14/16	00	04	56
	108	00	16	30		15/17	00	01	41
	104	00	06	90		16/18	00	06	17
	100	00	02	82		17/19	00	05	61
	101	00	09	56		18/20	00	10	78
	102	00	02	28		31/53	00	01	35
	103	00	05	43		19/21	00	04	76
	83	00	00	51		30/52	00	20	65
	72 ·	00	20	11		27/34	00	07	24
	75 70	00 00	12 01	13 43		25/31	(0)	06	67
	79 78	00	02	40		26/33	00	04	31
	76 76	00	11	51		35	00	01	86
	77	ã	09	14		Nala bet si			(X)
	59	$\widetilde{\infty}$	00	61		no. 35 & V	-	11	55
Mandal/Tehsil/	District : Bale			Orissa	(2) Chandanpur	197	00	00	
Taluk : Basta	Diagree, Date	wiiw ai	State,	V11030	(2) Chandanpur]() m
	00/102	- 00	00			35/93	00	07 08	92
(1) Dhenukhoja	82/106	00	00	15		34/92	00	08	72
	81/105	00	04	60	•	33/91	00	12	25

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
2) Chandanpur	32/90	00	13	57	(3) Koreibatia	485	00	09	80
Contd.	31/89	00	24	17	Contd.	515	00	11	17
	30/88	00	19	73		484	00	03	9
	28/86	00	02	50		483	00	08	7:
	29/87	00	15	12		482	00	09	4
	22/80	00	21	41		463	00	Œ	9
	23/81	00	04	62		462	00	07	6
	21/76	00	00	36		452	00	10	2
	20/75	00	00	83		453	00	05	1
	19/74	00	04	96		451	00	09	8
	18/73	00	11	75		449	00	05	1
	17/72	00	10	Œ		450	00	01	0
	71	00	00	10		448	00	02	3
	69	00	02	05		445	00	11	5
	68	00	01	22		444	00	08	7
	67	00	01	16		44 3	00	00	4
	35	00	02	22		386	00	02	5
	34	00	00	22		360	00	Ю	6
	16/66	00	08	26		361	00	00	1
	36	00	03	50	_	359	00	00	1
	37	00	01	56		358	00	05	(
	33	00	29	81		390	00	01	4
	31	00	00	52		394	00	09	7
	32	00	03	71		391	00	60	3
	30	00	03	44	,	393	00	08	6
	29	00	05	80		392	00	00	2
	27	00	00	10		434	00	00	1
	28	00	06	80		412	00	14	3
3) Koreibatia	195	00	01	75		425	00	13	9
5) Korcioaua	196	00	13	86		424	00	00	5
	197	00	00	11		423	00	32	6
	297		05	84		420	00	05	6
		00 00	09	63		418	00	03	2
	298 296	00	16	36		419	00	12]
	295	00	01	73	(4) Kundapur	1263	00	16	4
	536	00	00	12	(· /	1266	00	Œ	2
	303	00	13	46		1265	00	12	2
	283	00	15	52		1264	00	00	. 4
	305	00	11	55 55		1216	00	00	3
	282	00	02	33 49		1268	00	08	2
	306	00	04	34		1270	00	00 .	7
				45		1269	00	12	
	327	00	OB OR	45 80		1272	00	06	8
	328	00	01 m			1212	00	04	2
	329 320	90	03 02	73 70		1209	00.	18	(
	330	00	02 02	70 17		1276	00.	00	2
	331	00	œ	17		1208	00	09	(
	332	00	02	88 52		1206	00	08	ġ
	489 533	00	04	53 94		1207	00	08	g
	533	00	07	84		1205	00	04	9

œ

126/217

(X)

()[

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(9) Gohiragaria	218	00	07	71	(12) Renupada	432	00	(17	34
Contd.	238	00	01	49	Contd.	1511	00	00	10
	232	00	Œ	17 -		436	00	05	55
	219	00	22	80		431	00	06	60
	229	00	00	24		1501	00	01	27
	228	00	02	31		428	00	27	96
	227	00	Œ	92		438	00	05	58
	226	00	04	60		439	00	00	25
	221	00	02	97		- 427	00	. 06	36
•	225	00	18	71		426	00	00	45
(10) Chakrara	4/4	00	œ	12		42 0	00	33	10
	3/3	00	(12	60		419	00	12	61
	1/1	00	09	22		413	00	00	34
	2/2	00	01	55		414	00	27	69
	7/7	00	01	94		408	00	. 00	72
(11) Baigandiha	42/82	00	02	59		415	00	02	06
(11) — m-g	44//84	00	10	61	•	407	00	07	78
	43/83	00	07	52		406	ω̈	00	58
	45/85	00	10	80	,	404	on .	14	94
•	46/86	00	15	28		402	00	06	48
	3 <i>7/7</i> 7	00	01	67		401	00	06	14
	47/87	00	15	10		224	00	24	71
	36/76	00	01	77		230	00	28	38
	35/75	00	26	73					
	89	00	01	72		231	00 m	09	07
	70/111	00	11	50		229	00	11	31
	76/117	00	05	06		238	00 m	10	01
	69/110	00	01	64		239	00]4	13
	75/116	00	05	22		276	00	09	11
	74/115	00	œ	51		242	00	01	65
	73/114	00	29	61		275	00 .	05	85
	81/152	00	10	63		243	00	00	24
	63/104	00	07	13		277	00	00	10
	82/153	00	11	92		274	00	Œ	45
	83/154	00	22	28		273	00	08	49
	84/155	00	30	00		272	00	02	66
	85/156	00	12	71		271	00	00	66
	131/280	00	10	68		246	00	20	81
•	129/278	00	13	12		247	00	02	31
	130/279	00	16	04		266	00	15	39
	127/276	00	08	57		265	00	01	45
	126/275	00	28	87		264	00	13	11
	274	00	02	58		262	00	07	77
	124/272	00	32	71		259	00	12	59
	286	00	02	61		260	00	00	10
	287	00	05	03		258	00	00	10
(12) Renupada	1507	00	09	32	(13) Barada	74/75	00	01	32
·/	430	00	02	. 32		288/800	00	22	93
	433	00	(13	26		52/53	00	02	52
	1510	00	00	95		53/54	00	08	79

[PART II—SEC. 3(11)	PART	П-	Sec.	3((11)
---------------------	------	----	------	----	------

2034	THE GAZE	TE OF I	NDIA : M	ARCH 5	, 2011/PHALGUNA 14	, 1932	[FAKI	. п—эе	:, 5(11)]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(13) Barada Contd.	54/55	00	05	91	(15) Nabda Contd.	222	00	00	84
	55/56	00	07	50		212	00	\mathbf{o}	42
	56/57	00	06	32		213	\mathbf{m}	()4	81
	63/64	00	10	22		219	α	00	94
	62/63	00	05	06		218	(10)	02	42
	61/62	00	06	67		217	00	04	88
	60/61	00	12	85		216	00	(12	21
	59/60	00	00	69		215	00	04	18
	101	00	01	49		220	000	OB	86
	118/122	00	02	52		298	00	02	(F)
	117/121	00	16	84		290	00	07	72
	116/120	00	13	09		291	00	03	24
	115/119	00	06	86		308	000	08	96
	289/801	00	13	65		309	()()	œ	[0
	113/117	000	06	14		325	00	13	51
	112/116	00	15	18		324	(X)	\mathfrak{B}	66
(14) Begunia	4/4	00	04	65		323	00	Œ	66
(14) begunia				82	í	J 	000	B	X2
	3/3	00	20) 20			321	00	(12	94
	17/17	00	23	70		312	00	01	59
	16/16	00	01	4 0		313	00	09	93
	18/18	00	11	29		314	00	(8	67
15) Nabda	19/19	00	02	07	(16) Sonpur	508	00	16	37
	112/170	00	02	55		511	(X)	06	43
	113/171	00	08	82		512	(X)	(12	56
	114/172	00	œ	22	Mandal/Tehsil/	District:	Baleshwar	State	Orissa
	132/190	00	16	29	Taluk : Jaleswar				
	131/189	00	13	64	(1) Kotsahi	1	00	68	22
	130/188	60	20	78	(1) 10000	276	00	Ol	83
•	129/187	()()	04	19		265	00	Œ	Q.
	192	()()	01	29		266	00	02	68
	134/193	00	112	38		275	00	04	19
	140/199	(0)	04	72		273	00	()4	0
	139/198	00	03	54		267	00	01	62
	141/200	000	0.00	38		268	00	00	7
	148/232	00	18	56		274	00	08	Į×
	140/233	00	(12	83		271	00	()5	O
	147/231	00	09	28		272	00	01	10
	150/234	00)	01	36		258	00	B	92
	146/230	00	(12	67		257	(0)	(12	30
	227	()()	04	15		150	00)	01	37
	226	00	04	58		121	(3)	00	()
	225	00	(12	39		56	00	05	9
	228	00	06	06		59	(X)	05	()
	224	90	00	10		58	α	05	51
	223	90)	04	50		67	0.0	(A)]
			(00)	36		16	003	()4	ij.
	208	00				60	(X)	03	9
	209	(0)	01	10		62	(X)	04	4
	210	00	01 ~~	73		ß	(10)	112	1.75
•	211	00	02	36		66	(x)	(X)	8

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
(1) Kotsahi Contd.	65	00	00	29	(1) Kotsahi Contd.	1691	00	00	3
	64	00	04	46		1692	00	04	9
	83	00	06.	59	•	1694	-00	01	1
	85	00	œ	80		1693	00	∞	5
	84	00	02	24	•	1689	00	02	9
	89	00	01	66		1690	900	11	9
	86	00	03	79		1684	00	06	6
	87	00	02	92	•	1688	000	01	- 1
	108	00	02	70		1683	00	01	•
	88	00	00	39		2563	000	06	
	100	00	00	10		1682	00	60	2
	109	00	00	21		1685	00	04	4
	107	00	05	19	1	1686	00	02	2
	101	00	02	23		1679	-00	15	(
	106	00	02	18		1677	00	00	:
	104	00	04	06		1678	00	05	(
	102	00	02	Œ		1640	00	04	:
	103	00	01	42		1676	. 00	04	
	1401	00	(18	15		1675	00	01	
	1402	00	01	81		1674	00	01	
	1400	00	05	71		1673	00	00	
	1410	00	09	95		1672	00	12	
	1395	00	05	21		1670	00	01	
	1398	00	00	10		1664	00	01	
	1394	00	00	73		1671	00	04	
	1392	00	00	48		1665	00	œ	
	1396	00	07	16		1657	00	05	
	1391	00	01	05		1666	00	06	
	1397	00	00	48		1656	00	00	
	1387	00	03	63	,	1747	00	01	
	1390	00	. 01	68		1856	_ 00	00	
	1388	00	01	29		1855	00	13	
	1367	00	0	38		1854	00	00	
	1368	00	02	95		1853	00	02	
	1386	00	05	85		1857	00	01	
	1385	00	00	<i>5</i> 7		1851	00	05	
	1384	00	00	10		1858	00	01	1
	1377	00	08	29		1850	00	03	
	1376	00	00	10		1859	00	00	
	1378	00	07	42		1849	00	08	
	1375	00	01	88		1848	00	11	
	1374	00	02	73		1847	- 00	00	
	1373	00	Œ	12		1798	00	01	
	1372	00	02	01	(a) Various	94	00	00	
	1697	00	(12 -		(2) Kespura			- 03	
	1696	00	02	36		21	00		
	1698	00	03	44		22 m	00	0B	
	1699	00 00	02	27		93	00	00 M	
			02	99		23	. 00	04 M	
	1695 1700	00 00	(12	56		24	00	04	

3	ė	:2	Ł
	ŧ.	,,	11.

PART	H-Sic.	30	(ii)	1

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
2) Kespura Contd.		00	05	81	(2) Kespura Con	td. 450	(0)	()1	7
	26	00	06	56		455	(0)	04	1
	1385	(X)	00	10		465	(X)	08	ij
	18	(3)	(0)	20)		466	(X)	62	4
	1381	00	00	93		467	(X)	Q5	8
	1418	00	06	00		468	(X)	05	.31
	27	(X)	Œ	07		469	(X)	(0)	1.5
	16	00	Oŧ.	17		471	(X)	(0)	į
	28	00	(19)	28		1375	(1)	Ω_1	τ,
	15	00	00	10		470	(X)	(4)	4
	14	()()	01	11	(3) Sarsida	1078	α	05	.15
	29	(0)	05	30		i 079	(X)	08	1.
	33	$\tilde{\omega}$	00	26		1080	()()	Ω^{r}	4
1	34	00	(8	14		1076	(X)	\mathfrak{B}	()
	37	00	02	83		1081	(1)	Œ	Ň
	36	00	06	68		1075	00	13	9
	35	00	00			1082	(1)	(4	
	67	00		78 76		1074	(30)	12	3
			U8	75 04		1073	(X)	19	7,
	66	(0)	10	94		1086	(0)	œ	5
	68	00	œ	58		1072	(00)	(I)	7
•	57	(0)	(12	58		1087	(0)	23	4
	56	00	(B	66		1037	(0)	02	.51
	58	00	01	30		1113	00	(1)	ii
	59	(X)	02	56		1115	(X)	Ol.	ار
	64	00	Ot	35		1036	()()	14	√.
	60	(X)	04	35		1035	(00)	1.4	N
	52	α	()4	55		1116	(1)	{()	i i j
	1334	00	00	14		1177	(1)	(B)	7.
	53	άņ	O)	19		1118 1119	00	Œ	à a
•	1425	∞	01	88		1104	(X)	25 00	7. V
	397	())	03	Œ		£003	(1)	(0)	H 2
	398	0)	13	72		1002	(0)	Ol	<i>ن</i> بر
	400	(X)	00	84		698	00	09	l:
	399	00	00	73		1120	(1)	(10)	3
	401	00	000	37		968	00	04	7
	404	(x)	00]1		1205	00	06	89
	402	00	01	89		966	0)	16	8
	403	00	01	25		1204	00	ot	91
	407	00	00	59		965	00	12	54
	408	00	00	88		964	(0)	07	(1
	409	00	13	84		963	(10)	00	fr
	410	00	07	68		962	00	(30)	4
	420	00	Œ	56	Mandal/Tehsil/				
	411	00	00	10	Taluk : Remuna	District : E	oaicsnwar	State:	UIING
	416	00	01	34					
					(1) Paribelda	Nala bet V	/B		
	417	00	04	06		& suy no.	535 (X)	03	78
	418	00	02	26		535	()()	B	17
	451	00	()5 	62		82	()()	11	ŧχ
	452	00	00	91		83	(1)	07	43
	453	00	01	06		84	00	(10)	16
	454	-00	01	62		85	(X)	01	6

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1) Paribelda Con	td 174	00	04	45	(1)	Paribelda Contd	335	00	02	73
	86	00	06	53		 -	337	00	10	04
	169	00	01	14			339	00	04	50
	87	00	œ	49			540	00	00	89
	168	00	Œ	88			338	00	06	79
	167	00	œ	00			336	00	00	01
	166	00	. 04	22	(2)	Maujpur	71	00	00	87
	165	00	00	13			70	00	04	98
	559	00	07	98		•	€9	00	00	53
	160	00	04	96			6	00	00	51
	161	00	Œ	07			100	00	03	66
	In bet suy no					•	5	00	02	25
•	161 & 158	00	05	47			4 -	00	11	59
	158	00	103	. 04			3	00	00	71
	211	00	00	37			11	(3)	03	21
	157	00	05	97			10	(10)	Œ	39
	212	00	04	89			12	- 00	02	83
	155	- 00	01	19		•	13 .	00	00	17
	213	00	07	Ø			14	(10)	13	31
	216	00	00	10			15	00	00	36
	214	00	\mathfrak{B}	29	(3)	Kalyan pur	167	(0)	11	68
•	249	(0)	Œ	61		• •	171	00	04	97
	219	(X) .	00	88			172	00	08	36
	223	00	07	06			173	00	06	93
	245	00	01	16			776	00	05	27
	244	00	02	. 38			775	00	07	70
	246	00	00	15			777	- 00	09	06
	243	00	04	20			774	60	02	29
	247	00	02	64			780	00	11	45
	248	00	Ol	83			782	00	09	93
	242	00	18	55			1026	00	04	42
	240	00	90	28	٠.		783	.00	03	60
	554	00	\mathfrak{B}	48			788	00	09	68
	239	00	Œ	12			787	00	00	23
	257	00	00	10			789	00	(12	93
	258	00	00	99				00	(12	21
•	238	00	12	45			7 90			
	531	(0)	01	43			792	00	01	64
	355	00	00	25			791	00	03	52
	356	00	(8	81		.*	808	00	00	87
•	359	00	08	06			807	00	03	96
	364	00	00	16			811	00	16	83
	360	00	Œ	51 .			812	00	01	99
	363	00	08	36			819	00	02	22
	361	60	07	13		•	818	00	00	10
	362	00	04	04			1028	00	01	02
	348	00	00	28		•	817	. 00	Œ	64
	346	00	02	38			815	00	00	10
	345	00	14	65			816	00	10	43
	344	00	08	27			824	00	00	52
•	334	00	00	26			825	00	03	31

THE CATETE	OF DIDIA	LAKABOTI 6	TOTAL CLIMIA	14 1022
THE GAZELLE	OF INDIA	CIMARUM	5. 2011/PHALGUN <i>A</i>	V 14. 1732

2038	THE GAZ	ETTE OF IN	DIA : M	IARCH 5	, 2011/PHALGUNA 14	, 1932	[Part II—Sec. 3(ii)]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(3) Kalyan pur	826	00	05	94	(2) Chakapanchuputali	192	α	(X)	36
Contd.	827	00	00	52	Contd.	107	(X)	Œ	73
	843	00	00	43		106	()()	Œ	13
	842	00	04	51		96	()()	Œ	06
	841	00	07	35		95	()()	2()	40
	840	00	Œ	10		188	(X)	00	(JS)
	839	00	(b)	22		165	(X)	(0)	37
	844	00	02	14		89	(X)	()4	33
	853	00	00	68		84	00	()4	4 7
	854	00	\mathfrak{B}	90		85	(X)	17	81
	855	00	06	37		$\boldsymbol{\omega}$	(X)	01	71
	856	00	04	56		68	(0)	11	94
	857	00	01	26		67	00	02	(A
	858	00	36	96	(3) Gadadeulia	1927	(1)	26	18
	914	00	B	72	(3) Onument	2561	00	06	16
	970	00	19	13		1926	(X)	(X)	
	915	00	24	2 i		1929			10
	941	(0)	09	84		1929	(0)	(17 (45	1X
	942	()()	(0)	2)			(9)	(15	5c)
	943	00	()()-	1()		1931	(10)	112	83
	940	00	()4	(19		1925	00	(15	48
	937	00	(11)	43		2658	00	00	į()
	939	00	05	<i>(f)</i>		1932	(1)	08	22
	938	00	07	32		1933	00	00	37
	934	00	(12	22		1934	()()	04	33
Mandal/Tehsil/	District : l	Mayurbhanj	State:	Orissa		1918	(10)	09	82
Taluk : Baisinga						2527	00	11	51
(1) Dihapanchaputuli	113	00	22	7()		1917	()()	04	62
(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	138	00	03	24		1916	00	06	54
	114	00	09	(12		1913	(X)	(15	4()
	112	00	œ	25		2743	(X)	(10)	56
	136	00	02	22		1402	(1)	(0)	36
	127	00	04	08		1403	(X)	(12	73
	123	00	02	64		1406	(X)	()2	13
	126	00	02	54		1407	()()	(12	06
(2) Chakapanchuputali	145	00	26	18		1903	(10)	20	46
	195	00	05	16		1820	(3)	(X)	[0
	149	00	00	06		1408	()()	OO)	37
	150	00	07	18		1409	00	04	33
	199	00	05	50		1433	(1)	04	47
	169	. 00	112	83		1816	()()	17	81
	170	00	05	48		1434	00	01	71
	147	00	00	10		1815	00	11	94
•	125	00	08	22		1435	()()	02	64
	190	00	00	37		1438	(X)	(13	31
	126	00	()4	33		1439	()()	05	94
	113	00	000	24		1812	(X)	(0)	52
	112	00	11	51		1802	(0)	(10)	43
	111	00	04	62		1811	(0)	04	51
	110	00	Œ	58		2498	(0)	(17	35
	100	00	06	54					
	108	00	05	4()		1806	(X)	03 (0)	10 22
	189	00	00	56		1803	()()	(X)	-22

Control 1805		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
2562	(3)						(3)					48
1784		Contd.						Contd.				
2490												
1782												
1721												
712 00 68 72 329 00 01 02 08 00 178 00 178 00 12 160 00 179 181 313 00 08 00 178 00 178 00 02 1 02 312 00 00 07 72 1714 00 00 09 84 314 00 00 00 08 37 722 00 00 00 20 12 280 00 00 07 54 1722 00 00 00 10 20 281 00 01 19 57 12 10 00 04 43 308 00 02 32 1720 00 00 45 308 00 00 07 72 1721 00 00 45 308 00 00 00 17 72 1721 00 00 45 308 00 02 32 1720 00 00 07 32 2 286 00 00 07 72 1725 00 07 32 2 286 00 00 07 72 1725 00 07 72 1725 00 07 72 1725 00 07 72 1725 00 07 72 1725 00 00 180												
713												
1780												
714 00 09 84 314 00 00 09 84 314 00 00 09 77 15 00 02 59 311 00 06 37 772 00 00 20 20 280 00 07 75 48 723 00 00 10 10 281 00 01 55 724 01 04 09 2553 00 01 01 19 721 00 00 48 3 308 00 02 32 720 00 05 69 300 00 07 72 44 64 00 00 10 22 22 286 00 07 72 44 64 00 00 10 22 22 286 00 07 24 64 64 00 00 10 22 22 286 00 07 24 64 64 00 00 10 25 73 283 00 13 81 81 80 641 00 02 73 283 00 13 81 81 80 641 00 02 73 283 00 13 81 81 80 64 85 85 80 00 00 64 79 273 00 22 22 20 26 80 00 01 10 55 50 00 00 10 65 62 00 00 10 65 62 00 00 65 62 00 00 00 1												
715 00 02 99 311 00 08 37 722 00 00 20 10 280 00 07 754 723 00 00 10 10 281 00 01 15 724 00 04 09 2553 00 00 10 19 721 00 00 43 303 00 02 23 720 00 05 69 300 00 00 17 725 00 07 32 286 00 07 24 726 00 02 22 286 00 07 24 726 00 02 22 282 00 06 644 00 00 10 2555 00 00 10 10 643 00 00 26 2555 00 00 10 2555 00 00 10 30 641 00 02 73 283 00 13 81 Road bet suy no. 641 & 550 00 10 04 26 268 00 01 38 81 Road bet suy 550 00 04 50 270 00 02 270 00 02 282 551 00 00 89 272 00 02 12 12 562 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 00 10 89 272 00 02 12 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 01 19 (4) Kalama 1 00 06 08 2714 00 01 98 2 2434 00 05 53 557 00 04 26 30 30 30 00 11 15 558 00 01 19 (4) Kalama 1 00 06 08 2714 00 01 98 2 00 11 10 15 559 00 00 04 26 26 2434 00 06 5 34 557 00 08 26 30 30 31 87 2613 00 01 14 3 3 00 02 270 2613 00 01 12 39 558 00 03 78 55 00 10 01 27 78 00 08 55 558 00 03 85 78 5 00 00 01 27 Road bet suy no. 574 & 608 00 01 31 31 00 01 27 Road bet suy no. 574 & 608 00 01 31 00 01 88 31 00 01 27 Road bet suy no. 574 & 608 00 01 51 313 00 07 08 613 00 01 49 93 324 00 07 08 610 00 01 09 93 325 00 07 09 611 00 01 98 325 00 07 09 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 08 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 09 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 09 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 09 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 01 01												
722 00 00 10 20 280 00 07 54 54 723 00 00 10 55 724 00 04 09 22553 00 00 10 19 721 00 00 43 308 00 02 32 720 00 05 69 300 00 00 10 17 725 00 66 69 300 00 00 10 17 725 00 07 24 726 00 02 22 286 00 07 7 24 726 00 02 22 286 00 07 24 726 00 00 10 10 2555 00 00 10 10 43 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81												
723 00 00 10 10 281 00 01 55 724 01 04 09 2553 00 01 12 721 00 00 43 303 00 02 23 720 00 05 69 300 00 00 17 725 00 07 32 286 00 07 24 726 00 02 22 282 00 05 644 00 00 10 2555 00 00 10 643 00 00 26 2554 00 01 30 641 00 02 73 283 00 13 88 Road bet suy no. 641 & 550 00 10 04 50 270 00 02 25 551 00 00 89 277 00 02 25 551 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 04 50 270 00 02 23 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 51 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 27725 00 01 98 23 20 00 55 557 00 01 98 23 2434 00 05 53 558 00 01 98 270 20 00 05 53 557 00 04 26 4 80 2434 00 05 53 558 00 01 14 30 269 270 00 05 53 557 00 00 34 50 270 00 05 53 557 00 00 34 50 270 00 05 53 557 00 00 39 2434 00 05 63 557 00 04 26 4 80 2434 00 05 63 557 00 04 26 4 80 2434 00 05 63 557 00 04 26 4 80 2434 00 05 63 557 00 04 26 24 4 00 06 35 558 00 03 78 5 5 00 01 21 578 00 08 57 78 50 00 00 41 50 578 00 08 51 296 00 00 21 578 00 08 51 296 00 00 21 578 00 08 51 310 00 02 21 578 00 00 85 76 310 00 02 21 578 00 00 85 76 310 00 02 21 578 00 00 85 10 290 00 00 00 00 10 27 Road bet suy no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 31 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 04 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 44 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 44 51 317 00 00 36 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93												
724 00 04 09 2553 00 00 19 721 00 00 43 308 00 02 327 720 00 05 69 300 00 00 07 24 725 00 07 32 286 00 07 24 726 00 02 22 282 00 06 04 644 00 00 10 10 2555 00 00 13 81 81 81 80 827 827 827 828 82 82 82 82 83 80 13 81 82 828 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82												
721 00 00 43 308 00 02 32 720 00 05 69 300 00 00 01 72 44 725 00 07 32 286 00 07 24 726 00 02 22 282 00 06 04 644 00 00 10 2555 00 00 10 663 00 2554 00 01 381 87 8550 00 00 10 00 89 272 00 02 282 272 00 02 282 283 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 01 10 10 2755 00 00 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10												
720												
725 00 07 32 286 00 07 24 726 00 02 22 22 282 00 06 04 644 00 00 10 2555 00 00 10 643 00 00 26 2554 00 01 38 641 00 02 73 283 00 13 81 Road bet suy 268 00 01 88 no. 641 & 550 00 10 04 269 00 14 70 550 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 00 10 89 2772 00 02 12 552 00 31 87 2545 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 01 55 552 00 31 87 2545 00 01 55 552 00 31 87 2545 00 01 55 552 00 31 87 2545 00 01 55 552 00 01 44 32 2 00 02 37 560 00 04 26 4 00 05 55 558 00 08 78 55 558 00 08 78 55 558 00 08 26 13 00 01 25 577 00 04 26 4 00 06 55 558 00 08 26 13 00 04 5577 00 04 26 4 00 06 55 558 00 08 51 296 00 00 24 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 02 10 578 00 08 51 296 00 00 12 578 00 04 32 311 00 01 24 577 00 02 92 315 00 00 24 574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 31 313 00 077 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 01 88 613 00 01 18 317 00 00 36 614 00 01 88 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 93 324 00 07 98 610 00 01 69 335 00 07 98 611 00 01 69 335 00 07 98 611 00 01 69 335 00 07 98												
726 00 02 22 282 00 06 04 644 00 00 10 2555 00 00 10 641 00 02 73 283 00 13 81 Road bet suy 268 00 01 88 00 01 88 no. 641 & 550 00 10 04 269 00 14 70 550 00 04 50 270 00 02 82 551 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 562 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 00 10 823 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 00 39 2434 00 05 08 2714												
644 00 00 10 10 2555 00 00 10 30 641 00 02 73 283 00 11 38 81 80 641 00 02 73 288 00 01 38 81 80 641 8.550 00 10 04 50 270 00 02 82 551 00 00 89 272 00 02 12 5560 00 00 10 10 88 7272 00 02 12 12 5560 00 00 10 10 88 77 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 00 10 10 88 77 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 00 11 15 15 559 00 00 11 14 32 20 00 02 87 2556 00 00 01 14 32 311 00 01 27 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80												
643 00 00 26 2554 00 01 30 641 00 02 73 283 00 13 81 Road bet suy 268 00 01 88 no. 641 & 550 00 10 04 269 00 14 70 550 00 00 89 2772 00 02 82 25 551 00 00 89 2772 00 02 82 25 560 00 01 10 87 87 2552 00 10 10 87 87 2555 00 05 552 00 10 10 88 87 2555 00 05 553 2772 00 02 82 23 560 00 00 10 87 2555 00 05 553 2772 00 05 553 2772 00 05 64 87 87 2555 00 05 553 2772 00 05 64 87 87 2555 00 05 553 2772 00 05 64 87 87 2555 00 05 553 2772 00 05 64 87 87 2555 00 05 553 2772 00 05 64 87 87 2555 00 05 553 2772 00 05 64 87 87 2555 00 05 553 2772 00 05 64 87 87 2555 00 05 555 558 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 555 558 00 05 78 87 55 00 05 78 5574 00 05 85 51 296 00 00 02 39 576 00 05 85 51 296 00 00 02 39 576 00 05 85 51 296 00 00 02 39 576 00 05 85 51 296 00 00 02 39 576 00 05 85 51 296 00 00 02 30 5775 00 05 76 310 00 38 51 296 00 00 02 30 5775 00 05 76 310 00 38 51 296 00 00 02 30 5775 00 05 76 310 00 38 51 296 00 00 02 30 5775 00 05 76 310 00 38 51 296 00 00 02 30 5775 00 05 76 310 00 38 51 296 00 00 02 30 5775 00 05 76 310 00 38 51 296 00 00 02 30 5775 00 05 76 310 00 38 51 296 00 00 05 12 22 2447 00 02 67 314 00 01 88 51 2447 00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 00 01 88 6												
641								. ,				10
Road bet suy no. 641 & 550 00 10 04 269 00 14 70 550 00 04 50 270 00 02 82 551 00 00 89 272 00 02 12 562 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 01 10 823 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 01 9 (4) Kalama 1 00 05 08 2714 00 01 98 2 00 02 87 2613 00 01 14 3 00 02 85 557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 25 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 25 578 00 03 26 13 00 01 27 578 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 576 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 12 578 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 93 315 00 01 88 616 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93								`				
no. 641 & 550 00 10 04 269 00 14 70 550 00 04 50 270 00 02 82 551 00 00 89 272 00 02 12 562 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 00 10- 823 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 00 39 2434 00 05 04 559 00 01 19 (4) Kalama 1 00 05 04 2613 00 01 14 3 00 02 55 557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 95				00	Œ	73						
550 00 04 50 270 00 02 82 551 00 00 89 272 00 02 12 562 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 00 10- 823 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 00 39 2434 00 05 04 559 00 00 19 (4) Kalama 1 00 06 03 2714 00 01 98 2 00 02 87 2613 00 01 14 3 00 02 55 557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 92			-									
551 00 00 89 272 00 02 12 562 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 00 10 10 823 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 00 19 (4) Kalama 1 00 06 08 2714 00 01 98 2 00 02 87 2613 00 01 14 3 00 06 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 95 556 00 03 78 5 00 01 95 557 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 22 39 578 00 00 85 1 296 00 00 10 578 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 578 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 578 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 578 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 578 00 06 76 310 00 02 39 576 00 07 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 31 317 00 01 88 615 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93												
562 00 06 79 273 00 28 23 560 00 00 10- 823 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 00 39 2434 00 05 04 559 00 00 19 (4) Kalama 1 00 06 08 2714 00 01 98 2 00 02 87 2613 00 01 14 3 00 02 55 557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 25 556 00 03 26 13 0 00 41 57 578 00 00 85 420 0 0 23												
560 00 00 10- 823 00 11 15 552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 00 39 2434 00 05 04 559 40 00 19 (4) Kalama 1 00 06 08 2714 00 01 98 2 00 02 87 2613 00 01 14 3 00 02 55 557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 98 556 00 03 26 13 00 00 41 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 02 39 576 00 03 51 296 00<												
552 00 31 87 2545 00 05 53 2725 00 00 39 2434 00 05 04 559 60 00 19 (4) Kalama 1 00 06 08 2714 00 01 98 2 00 02 87 2613 00 01 14 3 00 00 02 55 557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 95 556 00 03 26 13 00 00 41 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 02 39 576 00 03 51 296 00 00 10 57 574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 00 07 02 315<												
2725 00 00 39 2434 00 08 04 559 00 00 19 (4) Kalama 1 00 06 08 08 2714 00 01 98 2 00 02 87 2613 00 01 14 3 00 08 55 557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 56 00 01 95 556 00 01 95 556 00 01 95 556 00 01 95 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 22 39 576 00 08 51 296 00 00 01 27 Road bet suy 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no.574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 04 93 324 00 07 93 610 00 01 06 66 00 00 01 06 66 00 00 01 06 66 00 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 01												
559 00 00 19 (4) Kalarna 1 00 06 08 2714 00 01 98 2 00 02 87 2613 00 01 14 3 00 02 55 557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 95 556 00 03 26 13 00 00 41 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 21 578 00 00 85 420 00 02 39 576 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 06 76 310 00 02 01 574 00 04 32 311 00 02 <td></td>												
2714 00 01 98 2 00 02 87 2613 00 01 14 3 00 02 55 557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 08 78 5 00 01 95 556 00 08 26 13 00 00 41 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 02 39 576 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 576 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 06 12 2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 31 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 31 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 98 324 00 07 93 611 00 01 69 325 00 07 93		٠										
557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 95 556 00 03 26 13 00 00 41 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 21 578 00 00 85 420 00 02 39 576 00 03 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 05 76 310 00 08 51 574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 05 12 2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00							(4)	Kalama				
557 00 04 26 4 00 08 55 558 00 03 78 5 00 01 95 556 00 03 26 13 00 00 41 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 21 578 00 00 85 420 00 02 39 576 00 03 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 05 76 310 00 08 51 574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 05 12 2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00									2			
558 00 03 78 5 00 01 95 556 00 03 26 13 00 00 41 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 21 578 00 00 85 420 00 02 39 576 00 03 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 05 76 310 00 00 48 51 574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 05 12 2447 -(0) 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 </td <td></td>												
556 00 03 26 13 00 00 41 577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 22 578 00 00 85 420 00 02 39 576 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 06 76 310 00 48 51 574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 06 12 2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 98 324 00 07 93 610 00 01 53 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06												
577 00 02 92 (5) Baliapita 295 00 00 21 578 00 00 85 420 00 02 39 576 00 03 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 05 76 310 00 08 51 574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 06 12 2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>												
578 (t) (/ 5 \	man trans				
576 00 08 51 296 00 00 10 575 00 05 76 310 00 08 51 574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 05 12 2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06							(5)	Ballapita				
575 00 06 76 310 00 08 51 574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 05 12 2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06												
574 00 04 32 311 00 01 27 Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 05 12 2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06											•	
Road bet suy 312 00 02 01 no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 08 12 2447 - 00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06												
no. 574 & 608 00 00 51 313 00 07 94 608 00 07 02 315 00 05 12 2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06				U)	()4	32						
608 (I) (I7 (I2 315 (I) (I) 05 12 2447 -(I) (I2 67 314 (I) (I) 01 88 615 (II) (II)<				00	00	£1						
2447 -00 02 67 314 00 01 88 615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06												
615 00 04 51 317 00 00 36 613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06												
613 00 00 18 316 00 12 20 609 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06												
609 00 04 93 324 00 07 68 610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06									317			
610 00 01 69 325 00 07 93 611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06		•										
611 00 01 53 323 00 01 06												
2383 W W 21 326 W W 25												
			4383	w	U/	<i>4</i> 1			320	w	Œ	<i>Z</i> ()

3	è	٠.	63	
,	٤	Δ		

THE GAZETTE	OF INDIA	MARCH 5	2011/PHAT	GUNA 1	4 1932
THE OMEDITE	OF BUDG		. 2011/17 FTLAL	JUUNA I	M. 17.74

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(5)	Baliapita Cont		00	02	26	(7) Bistnupur	562	00	05	(J3
		331	00	00	36	Contd.	241	00	14	46
		327	00	00	23		563	00	01	52
		328	00	17	41		605	00	04	(B
		355	00	00	20		247	00	00]()
		234	00	12	50		249	00	Ω 2	85
		235	00	01	61		575	00	18	23
		226	00	01	43		618	00	00	10
		227	00	00	63		248	00	15	41
		228	00	00	23		250	00	20	<u> </u>
		229	00	08	39	Mandal/Tehsil/	District : M	1ayurbhanj	State:	Orissa
		223	00	04	56	Taluk : Rasagobir	ndapur			
		421	00	Œ	Œ	(1) Sapagadia	Nala bet V	B	-	
		222	00	02	44	() 1 3	& suy no.		06	$_{\mathfrak{G}}$
		220	00	05	84		237	00	01	95
		219	00	02	83		238	00	Œ	82
		221	00	07	79		239	00	(12	Θ
		436	00	15	13		240	-00	Œ	90
		217	00	23	02		241	00	04	32
		207	00	00	25		242	-00	08	39
		215	00	07	47		243	000	00	20
			00				251	00	32	16
·^	C	214		0B	()5		248	00	09	(5)
(6)	Ganeswarpur	116	00	00	16		249	00	02	75
		105	00	25	93		265	00	13	95
		106	00	- 06	09		263	00	03	()4
		107	00	(0)	90		264	00	13	87
		138	00	08	34		266	00	07	84
		104	00	(12	76		329	00	24	75
(7)	Bistnupur	110 .	00	06	13		330	00	00	59
		107	00	25	43		344	00	04	21
		571	00	Œ	85		328	00	00	10
		108	00	00	41		32 6	00	Œ	14
		105	00	17	97		325	00	23	70
		104	00	08	82		348	00	12	67
		102	00	08	50		349	00	10	15
		635	00	01	33		Road bet			
	•	101	. 00	08	85	•	no. 349 & 4		01	99
		570	00	07	75		426	00	(B	11
		564	00	- 10	72		425	(0)	09	41
		93	(0)	15	- 13		424	(1)	14	(14
		94	00	00	18		483	00	(12)	95
		91	00	00	43		421	(1)	12	54
		92	00	01	- 92		480 414	(X)	01 07	26 58
		89	00	00	33					
		90	00	04	05		42() 417	00	00 H	01 61
		222	00	05	41		417	(X)	10	22
		224	00	16	60		416	(1)	OB	43 43
		226	00	14	57		418	00	08	1)2
		557	00	œ	33	(2) Paikasahi	1015	α)	02	73
		225	00	Œ	39	(L) [(1) (4) (1)				
		244	00	01	44			. No. L-1401		
		243	00	14	89		ΚI	K. SHARMA	Unde	r Seev.

[भाग [[—खण्ड	3(ii)]		भारत व	त राजपत्र : मा	र्च 5, 2011/फाल्गुन 14,	. 1932			204
	नई दिल्ली, 24	फरवरी, 20	11		1	2	3	4	5
	79भा रत सरव					2583/631	()()	32	34
	मैसर्स रिलाएन्स				(-)	2581	00	01	66
	ऑनशोर टरमिनर					2579/629	00	01	32
	ग्रकृतिक गैस के प्र					2576/626	(0)	39	42
	मेटेड द्वारा काकीन -	ाडा-बासुदेब	पुर-हावड्	ा पाइपलाइन		2575/625	(X)	00	35
बिछाई जानी चार्नि	•					2511	(0)	01	35
	'सरकार को उक्त					2571/621			
लिए यह आवश्य			**				(X)	12	32
	बिछाए जाने का '			•		2570/620	()()	12	26
	रूची में वर्णित है,	, डएयोग के	अधिका	र का अर्जन	•	2567/617	(X)	(19	99
किया जाए;						2566/616	(X)	(12	38
अतः, अब	ा, भारत सरकार,	पेट्रोलियम अ	गैर खनिज	र पाइपलाइन		2565/615	(X)	()()	88
**	के अधिकार का					2564/614	(X)	00	15
	i 3 की उप-धारा _'					2562/612	(0)	()4	75
-	उपयोग के अधि	प्रकार का अ	गर्जन कर	नेको अपने		2560	(10)	OF	11
आशय की घोषण	॥ करती है;					2516	(X)	22	41
कोई ध्यवि	त्, जो उक्त अनुस	तूची में वर्णित	त भूमि में	हितबद्ध है,		5523	60	20	82
उस तारीख से वि	जसको उक्त अधि	ग्रनियम की ^क	धारा 3 व	ती उप-धा रा		2551/537	00	12	35
(1) के अधीत व	जारो की गई अधि	सूचना की प्र	प्रतियां सा	धारण जनता		535	(0)	10 -	79
को उपलब्ध कर	दी जाती हैं, इक्व	हीस दिन के	भीतर, भ	र्मिको नीचे		523	(3)	01	39
पाइपलाइन बिछाः	ए जाने के लिए व	उपयोग के उ	मधिकार व	के अर्जन के		1950/536	(1)	(0)	92
संबंध में श्री भास्व	-					1949	œ	13	60
इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लि	मेटेड, प्रथम मॉरि	नल, फोर्चुन	टावर, च	श् रद्रशेखरपुर,		1948	(1)	()()	37
T	23, ओडिशा राज्य	ाको लिखिल	त रूप में	आक्षेप भेज		1947/521	(1)	23	09
सकेगा।						1893	00	()4	81
	अनुस	ू ची				1898/510	00	33	86
	लक • भटक देहा	त जिलाः	भदक राज	त्य : ओडिशा		1896/509	(0)	(3)	65
						1865	00	(X)	92
गांव का नाग				अर्जित करने		1875/498	(10)	23	28
	डिविजन		काला	र् क्षेत्रफल 		1873/496	00	13	87
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	सि एयर	•	1871/495	00	œ	72
1	2	3	4	5		1870/494	00	08	35
	2601	00	œ	44		1860	00	02	(12
•	7677	00	00	71		1847	00	14	4 3
	2676	00	21	7 6		1848/480	00	22	94
	2673/685	00	11	07		1839	00	00	87
	2677/686	00	02	45	**	1826/468	00	27	33
	2674/687	00	21	16		1820/465	(00)	00	10
	2679	(3)	04	68		1827/469	(1)	00	44
	2680	00	04	94		1825	00	13	37
	2681	00	04	22		102	(A)	1.3	J/

2584/632

9

1823/467

(X)

i	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	.5
(1) बाणतिआ—जारी	1814	00	()()	43	 (1) बार्णातआ—जारी	153	(X)	Ol	58
	1804/456	00	01	Θ		152	(1)	02	22
	1804	00	12	95		151	(X)	(12	(3)
	1699/454	00	04	17		143/52	00	12	35
	1800	00	01	51		142/51	00	06	93
	1801	00	07	28		141	00	16	66
	1291	(0)	01	23		140/46	00	09	43
	1693	00	18	54		146	00	00	85
	1692/444	00	11	92	मंडल/तहसील/तालुव	n : सोरो जिला	: बालेश्वर	राज्य	: ओडिश
	1682	00	02	20	(1) रघुनाथपुर	गाँव सोमा और		01	69
	1681	(0)	02	32	(1) (3.11.437	सर्वे सं. 46 के	· ·	171	1.7
	1680	00	02	10		बीच में			
	1679	(10)	02	80		46	()()	(12	78
	1678	00	02	11		54	()()	(10)	10
	1364/402	00	24	27		45	(10)	(10)	10
	1363/401	(X)	04	19		48	(10)	()()	43
	1362/400	(0)	11	04		47	(X)	(12)	78
	1341/397	000	35	74		42	(1)	08	69
	1345	00	()()	88		14	(0)	01	54
	390	00	ot	92		49	(X)	(10)	75
	1330/391	00	01	35		39	00	(3	53
	1331/389	ιX)	23	68		ः सर्वे सं. 39 औ		00	58
	1320/384	()()	12	7()		- सन सः अञ्चल - 46 को जीच में	(())	147	241
	1319/382	(0)	13	80		40	() ()	11	42
	1318/383	(X)	33	77		36	(K)	115	88
	1316	00	01	00		9	(X)	00	70
	300/177	(10)	2()	26		10	00	04	12
	391/176	(X)	!2	31		35	(0)	()]	(12
	392/175	(0)	22	85		11	(X)	(E	35
	393/174	00	19	Θ		12	(11)	OI.	55
	384	(1)	(0)	11		13	()()	01	12
	394	(X)	10	51		7	(10)	08	(0)
	383/173	00	(12	70		2	00	01	82
	382	00	(X)	88		1	(0)	(12	21
	306/172	(3)	10	43	(3) 	318)		44	42
	377	(0)	(X)	56	(2) बलदिआ	309	(11)		
	378	00	35	70	मंडल/तहसील/तालु	क : निलागरी वि	जला : बाली	श्वर राज्य	
	381	(10)	()4	75	(1) बौलगडिआ	1827	00	11	57
	379	()()	00	82		1828	(1)	(3)	θ_3
	160/59	00	(%	14		1792	00	01	53
	156/55	(1)	07	31		1826	(10)	(10)	13
	155/54	(X)	15	14		1802	(10)	(1)	33

1	2	3	4	5	l	2	3	4	5
- (1) वौलगडिआ-	जारी 1 7 93	00	00	24	 1) बौलगडिआ—ः	जारी 1512	00	14	93
	1797	00	()4	04		15 11	(X)	08	77
	1801	(3)	()4	26		1513	(X)	(X)	48
	1800	(10)	02	73		1514	00	00	28
	1798	(1)	(17	.47		1516	00	(0)	10
	1799	(X)	(15	27	(2) अगिरिया	254	(X)	(X)	69
	1765	00	00	28		288	(1)	05	66
	1776	(9)	15	43		287	(11)	08	28
	1777	00	(X)	25		286	00	05	35
	1766	∞	14	41		284	(0)	11	34
	1775	(1)	01	78		282	(X)	08	56
	1767	00	01	94		280	(0)	24	27
	1741	00	06	54		276	00	07	67
	1740	00	05	84		321	00	05	(15
	1739	00	04	34		272	(X)	14	19
	2072	00	01	87		270	(0)	10	75
	1713	00	14	12		271	-00	03	69
	1714	00	02	78		225	00	04	52
	1712	00	01	75		223	00	21	27
	1711	00	04	08		222	00	04	42
	1710	00	07	69		221	00	05	33
	1709	00	00	40		220	00	05	19
	1967	00	01	66		219	00	20	73
	1596	00	02	32		217	00	00	10
	1595	000	08	11	मंडल/तहसील/ता	तुक : सिमिलिय	ा जिला : बार	श्वर राज्य	: ओडि
	1370								45
	1590	00	14	40	(1) महतीपर	1602	m	00	
		00 00	14 02	40 59	(1) महतीपुर	1602	00 00	09	
	1590				(1) महतीपुर	1655	00	06	68
	1590 1591	00	02	59	(1) महतीपुर	1655 1596	00 00	06 10	68 78
	1590 1591 1588	00 00	02 07	59 96	(1) महतीपुर	1655 1596 1600	00 00 00	06 10 00	68 78 52
	1590 1591 1588 1587	00 00	02 07 03	59 96 63	(1) महतीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597	00 00 00 00	06 10 60 11	68 78 52 58
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584	00 00 00	02 07 03 05	59 96 63 78	(1) महतीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650	00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01	68 78 52 58 06
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584 1586	00 00 00 00	02 07 08 05 06	59 96 63 78 29	(1) महतीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650 1598	00 00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01 11	68 78 52 58 06 74
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584 1586 1585	00 00 00 00 00	02 07 03 05 03 08	59 96 63 78 29 66	(1) महतीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650 1598	00 00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01 11	68 78 52 58 06 74 74
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584 1586 1585	00 00 00 00 00 00	02 07 08 06 08 08	59 96 63 78 29 66 06	(1) महत्तीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650 1598 1580 1579	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01 11 06 06	68 78 52 58 06 74 74 19
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584 1586 1585 1583	00 00 00 00 00 00	02 07 03 05 03 08 03	59 96 63 78 29 66 06 51	(1) महतीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650 1598 1580 1579	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01 11 06 06	68 78 52 58 06 74 74 19
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584 1586 1585 1583 1576	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	02 07 08 06 08 08 08	59 96 63 78 29 66 06 51	(1) महत्तीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650 1598 1580 1579 1578	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01 11 06 06 18	68 78 52 58 06 74 74 19 75 57
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584 1586 1585 1583 1576 1577	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	02 07 03 05 03 08 03 06 07	59 96 63 78 29 66 06 51 84 02	(1) महत्तीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650 1598 1580 1579 1578 1572	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01 11 06 06 18 14	68 78 52 58 06 74 74 19 75 57
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584 1586 1585 1583 1576 1577 1578	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	02 07 08 06 08 08 09 07 07	59 96 63 78 29 66 06 51 84 02	(1) महतीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650 1598 1580 1579 1578 1572 1571	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01 11 06 06 18 14 04	68 78 52 58 06 74 19 75 57 93 49
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584 1586 1585 1583 1576 1577 1578 1564	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	02 07 03 05 03 08 03 07 07 07	59 96 63 78 29 66 06 51 84 02 08 65	(1) महत्तीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650 1598 1580 1579 1578 1572 1571 1638	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01 11 06 06 18 14 04 10	68 78 52 58 06 74 79 75 57 93 49 66
	1590 1591 1588 1587 1584 1586 1585 1583 1576 1577 1578 1564 1562 1561	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	02 07 08 06 08 08 09 07 07 07 02 08	59 96 63 78 29 66 06 51 84 02 08 65 41	(1) महतीपुर	1655 1596 1600 1597 1650 1598 1580 1579 1578 1572 1571	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	06 10 00 11 01 11 06 06 18 14 04	68 78 52 58 06 74 19 75 57 93 49

1 .	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	1547	00	10	36		1282	00	01	57
(1) 1011131 -1111	1628	00	08	54	(1) (2)	1284	00	05	99
	1546	00	08	12		1283	00	00	10
	1543	00	05	68		1285	œ	Œ	23
	1544	00	00	65		1286	00	10	14
	1542	00	07	88		1287	00	(7)	<u>.</u> 31
	1539	00	02	23		1288	00	03	(j g
	1541	00	œ	67	(2) अन्तरा	644	00	(2	28
	1540	00	05	14		768	00	Œ	52
	1537	00	05	80		769	00	05	89
	1630	00	00	39		763	00	02	26
	1460	00	12	54		762	00	06	15
	1461	00	04	70		<i>77</i> 1	00	00	Q2
	1462	00	07	80		761	00	06	89
	1464	00	01	16		758	(3)	(2	56
	1455	00	11	56		3293	00	05	81
	1424	00	Œ	88		757	00	07	54
	1423	00	07	36		756	œ	09	84
	1429	00	02	27		754	00	B	84
	1428	00	04	02		748	00	(12	Œ
	1427	00	000	20		738	00	08	77
	1430	00	06	57		732	00	06	36
	1414	00	02	51		731	(X)	02	(12
	1413	00	14	24		733	00	06	87
	1415	00	00	10		734	00	00	24
	1314	00	02	52		928	00	02	36
	1353	00	01	46	·	930	(00	09	63
	1352	00	18	17		932	100	02	56
	1346	00	00	12		1544	00	00	22
	1349	00	01	48		1543	00	26	37
	1350	00	01	00		1542	00	04	98
	1351	00	08	40		1530	00	04	()4
	1354	00	01	91		3182	00	01	67
	1256	00	.01	37		1526	00	00	10
	1257	00	04	22		1527	00	02	19
	1258	00	01	59		1539	00	04	82
	1295	00	00	16		1649	00	14	22
	1294	00	(18	89		1523	∞	02	<i>7</i> 5
	1281	00	17	10		1522	00	72	37
	1293	00	00	78 10		1521	00	02	01
	1280	00	00	10		1650	00	07	11
	1279	00	00	73		1651	00	04	80

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	. 3	. 4	5
(2) अन्तरा–जारी	1519	00	Œ	65	(2) अन्तरा—जारी	3313	00	12	88
	1518	00	Œ	40	र्मंडल/तहसील/तार्	कुः रेमुणा	जिला : बालेरव	र राज्य	: ओडिशा
	1516	00	02	37		661	00	07	10
	1517	00	02	39	.,	660_	00	07	99
	1520	00	Œ	14		667	00	06	50
	1483	00	01	00		649	00	00	10
	1447	90	04	47		668	00	05	93
	1010	00	17	62		669	00	11	æ
	1026	00	08	19		627	00	00	28
	1027	00	13	58		670	. 00	00	31
	3140	00	22	50		672	00	09	78
	1081	00	22	46		671	00	12	28
	1082	.00	02	16		674	00	09	30
	1090	00	Œ	70		644	00	00	10
	1083	00	05	51		643	00	01	34
	1085	00	13	96		675	00	05	82
	1086	00	00	38		676	00	00	59
	1084	00	01	45		637	00	01	54
	1066	00	01	96		636	00	Œ	62
	1182	00	00	10		635	00	04	66
	1181	00	04	49 °		612	00	30	80
	1174	00	Œ	08		626	00	00	10
	1175	00	08	85		625	- 00	05	00
	1080	00	02	30		613	00	27	50
	1179	00	12	92		614	00	00	10
	1186	00	00	54		592	600	08	72
	1178	00 .	11	24		591	. 00	04	62
	1177	00	04	74		590	00	11	86
	1361	00	08	37		587	00	05	24
	1360	00	12	31		586	00	05	
	1357	00	05	63		585	00	00	15
	1355	00	07	19		581	00	00	64
	1353	00	10	73		580	00	00	90
	3310	00	08	22		579	00	08	58
	1381	00	05	82		578	00	Œ	72
	1380	00	08	16		560	00	12	51
	1385	00	00	14		561	00	07	75
	1384	00	06	73		562	00	00	50
	1383	, 00	04	70		556	00	16	44
	1388	00	04	19		14	00	.07	43
•	1390	00	08	10		15	00	01	42
	1391	00	05	13		17	00	00	55

_		
7	11	14
Z	1.	•11

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MARCH 5, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1932

[PART II—SEC, 3(ii)]

				···		,		farmer rr	DDC, 5(11)
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(1) बरौन ⊢जारी	18	00	01	50		1910	00	Œ	22
	13	00	04	10	(-)	2063	00	01	61
	12	00	06	14		1920	00	00	45
	21	00	01	48		1917	00	24	31
	552	00	00	56		1918	00	05	06
	24	00	08	26		1922	00	01	58
	20	00	15	24		2017	00	02	21
	22	00	02	77		1932	00	03	79
1	23	00	10	62		1923	00	12	95
(2) शालागॉ	81	00	00	10		1931	00	12	85
	82	00	14	25		1935	00	01	66
	80	00	00	41		1929	00	03	77
	87	00	04	21		1930	00	39	62
	86	,00	Œ	59		1943	00	00	19
	90	00	04	12		1856	00	09	10
	88	00	Œ	07		1855	00	02	61
	89	00	01	Ø		1945	00	33	86
	70	00	01	79		1944	00	00	31
	92	00	œ	35		1946	00	15	26
	91	00	05	84		1854	00	00	10
	98	00	12	30		1947	00	15	48
	127	00	05	32		1466	00	00	89
	128	00	00	56		1948	00	01	83
	130	00	01	47		1465	00	05	59
	129	00	04	93		1464	00	œ	04
	131	00	09	03		1471	00	22	3 9
	132	00	06	32		1457	00	00	90
	133	00	05	52		1472	00	02	85
	43	00	08	30		1456	00	15	90
	134	00	Œ	37		1453	00	00	72
	141	00	07	51		1455	00	02	08
	142	00	04	06		1454	00	œ	91
	143	00	05	61		1011	00	02	35
	145	00	06	17		1008	00	01	20
	146	00	02	7 1		2048	00	00	91
	147	00	Œ	78		1007	00	02	02
	154	00	- 04	4 0		1006	00	00	77
	171	00	04	84		1004	00	00	95
	172	00	04	04		1009	00	02	76
	173	00	œ	61		1010	00	01	15
	174	00	00	19		1014	00	01	48
(3) गाबिंदमुर	1909	00	02	78		1000	00	15	64

family die 26	11/1		11/11/11/11		1145,2011, 1143,11,				
1	2	3	4	5	. 1	2	3	4	5
(3) गाबिंदपुर-जारी	991	00	01	17	(3) गाबिंदपुर-जारी	2051		01	73
.,	999	00	01	31		2070	00	03	49
	983	00	08	00		1076	00	01	74
	984	00	01	75		1074	00	Œ	50
	985	. 00	00	58		1073	00	01	54
	986	00	02	00		1095	00	01	81
	987	00	00	34		1094	00	Œ	45
	682	00	01	65		1096	00	11	80
	643	00	05	7 5		1107	00	05	56
	644	00	00	82		1106	00	04	05
	646	00	00	46		1104	00	00	29
	647	00	01	36		1105	00	05	53
	648	00	00	35		1117	. 00	02	13
	649	00	00	44		1116	. 00	02	85
	650	00	00	24		1118	00	02	17
	645	00	00	71	•	1120	00	08	63
	653	00	00	91		1136	00	00	82
	651	00	05	43		1143	00	15	10
	652	00	03	57		1149	90	00	57
	635	00	00	39		1148	00	01	62
	633	00	04	84		1147	00	04	47
	621	00	00	22		1151	00	00	10
	632	00	01	63		1152	00	04	40
	634	00	01	61		1153	00	05	17
	1026	00	01	21		1154	00	11	94
	2041	00	01	35		1155	00	01	Œ
	631	00	00	39		1156	00	06	10
	630	. 00	00	66		1157	00	06	41
	1027	00	01	43		1158	00	14	49
	1049	00	04	68		1242	. 00	05	52
	629	00	00	10		1241	00	00	10
	1050	00	06	32		1243	00	09	98
	1056	00	02	94		1244	00	00	22
	1051	00	04	68		1245	00	07	77
	1053	00	01	50		1247	00	06	41
	1054	00	05	18		1246	00	00	10
	1054	00	05	48		1248	00	14	16
	1060	00	11	49		1249	00	05	48
	1063	00	04	91		1250	00	00	98
	1065	00	03	93		1255	00	00	65
		. 00	04	97		1258	00	0B	0.5
	2077	00	08	30		1259	00	05	81
	20//	w	w	JU		2055	00	´ 03	65

^	Λ		o
Z	U	4	ō

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MARCH 5,2011/PHALGUNA 14,1932

[Part II—Sec. 3(ii)]

					·				
1	2	3	_4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(4) जेनाबरेइ	284	00	- 08	72	(6) गौरपुर-जारी	355	00	00	30
	281	00	01	84		361	00	09	28
	280	00	05	84		356	00	02	22
٠,	279	00	02	35		360	00	05	53
	277	00	14	86		357	00	00	15
	276	00	00	47		359	00	16	18
	269	00	06	32		358	00	17	98
	268	00	Œ	31		318	00	00	10
	267	00	07	21		317	00	04	95
	102	00	00	35		313	00	17	85
	101	00	05	38		314	00	00	10
	99	00	18	15		1269	00	09	62
	100	00	00	90		312	00	01	46
	92	00	21	53		65	00	05	07
(5) झिकामुंडली	65	00	01	16		67	00	01	36·
(2) 141 111 30111	64					68	00	05	08
		. 00	00	10	•	<i>6</i> 9	00	20	35
	66	00	02	88		42	00	02	53
	67	00	02	66		40	00	08	17
	68	00	13	05		70	00	04	59
	71	00	02	72		72	00	00	98
	63	00	07	08	•	73	00	06	95
•	72	00	05	43		74	00	11	67
	62	00	05	75		75 	00	04	85
	42	. 00	04	37		79 ~~	00	06	86
	39	- 00	05	86		80	00	01	64
	43	00	17	20		81	00	07	85
	38	00	02	05		82 m	00	12	83
	37	00	01	27		83	00	000	10
	36	00	06	⊕		90	00	08	10
	35	00	00	15		91 m	00	14	00
	33	00	00	60		92 95	00	12	65
*,	27	00	07	26		96 96	00	05	38
	32	00	05	45	(7) बाल गोपालपुर	90 404	00 00	01 40	95 07
	29	00	13	18	(४) नाटा नावादापुर	403			97 49
	22	00	08	13		398	00 m	02	48 07
	19		01	47		396	00 00	21 16	97 69
		00	04	42		272			68 20
	20, 21 3 352	00	0	17			00	08	29 277
	3	00	11	08		371	00 m	11	η
6) गौरपुर	-; 352	00	00	75		373	00 m	14	78 eo
7 "\J\	363		07			363	00	16	89
	353	00 00		68 07		375	00	10	43
	333	w	01	07		361	00	01	4 6

1	2	3 %	-4	5	1	2	- 3	4 -	5
(7) बाल गोपालपुर	357	00	20	60	(8) बिद्याधरपुर	86	00	06	33
–जारी	163	00	07	53	–जारी	83	00	05	22
	162	. 00	20	08		82	00	00	69
	168	. 00	07	19		81	00	05	85
	169	00	07	68 -		78	00	11	80
	171	. 00	06	41		79	.00	. 00	81
	170	00	14	27		76	. 00	03	44
	160	00	07	86		198	00	00	14
	172	00	00	10		• 77	00	00	25
	173	00	09	54 .	(9) कुरुणिआ	1475	00	. 08	43
	158	00	03	48		1471	00	05	- 86
	174	00	08	90		1472	00	18	79
	157	00	02	36	Tarriga (Santa) (1) Para	1473	- 00	06	52
	156	00	. 20	. 79	x'	1468	00	16	88
	155	00	05	22		1469	00	00	82
	120	. 00	04	00		1465	00	04	17
	145	. 00	00	- 10		1464	00	16	20
	219	00	05	42		1413	00	00	21
	218	. 00	13	. 74		1412	00	01	93
	146	00	00	14	,	1411	00	06	79
	141	00	43	99		1410	00	01	5 8
	139	00	31	08		1406	00	Œ	72
(8) बिद्याधरपुर	581	00	06	19		1408	00	06	33
	579	00	10	27		1409	00	00	10
	580	00	03	42		1407	00	07	56
	577	00	22	41		1594	00	05	51
	576	- 00	09	71		1500	00	OB .	55
	575	.00	14	41		1501	.00	04	64
	510	00	.04	09		1393	00	Oŧ	67
	509	00	- 01	20		1392	00	00	63
	508	00	03	24		1530	00	Œ	74
	104	00	09	65		1365	.00	12	-95
	100	00	05	18		1359	00	02	96
	101	00	05	50		1360	00	07	21
	102	00	04	24		1357	00	00	13
	103	00	05	38		1356	00	07	97
	105	00	20	21		1355	00	02	39
	106	00	09	- 82		1353	00	02	49
	92	00	04	47		1354	00	11	37
	91	00	22	66		1547	00	00	14
	88	00	Œ	86		1339	00	09	44
	87	00	. 06	69		1319	00	. 03	53

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MARCH 5, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1932

[PART II—SEC. 3(ii)]

2	•	4	e		^			
				1				5
								26
				– जारी		00		51
		Œ	15		403	00	05	42
1579	00	00	10		397	00	00	75
1847	00	00	58		404	00	Œ	94
1580	00	11	99		402	00	00	49
1585	00	Œ	4 6		405	00	09	86
1582	00	09	39		406	00	00	47
1583	00	00	22		407	00	05	51
1584	00	05	18		433	00	04	60
1587	00	00	21		432	00	04	72
1594	00	08	4 9		431	00	01	(00)
1621	00	07	88		430	00	Œ	88
1622	00	00	27		438	00	00	85
1623	00	01	56		429	00	03	95
1626	00	09	43		1843	00	00	16
1625	00	00	10		427	∞	06	29
1620 1627 1612	00	07	87		422	00	16	67
	00	05	78		421	00	00	47
	∞	00	42		420	00	03	02
	00	25	17		804	00	06	31
1630	00	02	83		423	00	00	10
1631	00	07	89		803	00	01	62
1633	00	03	81		805	00	06	22
1632	00	04	87		806	00	05	72
901	00	02	85		802	00	11	78
900	00	05	20		801	00	02	62
899	00	05	84		792	00	02	94
898	00	08	65		7 90	∞	02	27
896	00	10	76		7 91	00	06	22
1817	00	00	12		793	00	06	22
894	00	08	86			00		Θ
								75
								Œ
								12
								55
								46
								17
								53
								04
								31
								52
	1847 1580 1585 1582 1583 1584 1587 1594 1621 1622 1623 1626 1625 1620 1627 1612 1629 1630 1631 1633 1632 901 900 899 898 898 896 1817	1320 00 1338 00 1581 00 1579 00 1847 00 1580 00 1585 00 1584 00 1587 00 1584 00 1621 00 1622 00 1623 00 1626 00 1625 00 1620 00 1627 00 1630 00 1631 00 1633 00 1631 00 1632 00 901 00 899 00 898 00 897 00 888 00 887 00 888 00 889 00 387 00 389 00 390 00 399 00	1320 00 08 1338 00 08 1581 00 08 1579 00 00 1847 00 00 1580 00 11 1585 00 03 1582 00 09 1583 00 00 1584 00 05 1587 00 00 1594 00 08 1621 00 07 1622 00 00 1623 00 01 1626 00 09 1625 00 00 1627 00 05 1612 00 07 1629 00 25 1630 00 02 901 00 02 900 05 08 899 00 05 899 00 05 894 00 08 897 00 08	1320 00 08 54 1338 00 08 06 1581 00 08 15 1579 00 00 10 1847 00 00 58 1580 00 11 99 1585 00 03 46 1582 00 09 39 1583 00 00 22 1584 00 05 18 1587 00 00 21 1594 00 08 49 1621 00 07 88 1622 00 00 27 1623 00 01 56 1626 00 09 43 1625 00 00 10 1620 00 07 87 1612 00 00 42 1629 00 25 17 163	1320 00 08 54 (9) बहुरुणिआ 1338 00 08 06 — जारी 1581 00 08 15 1579 00 00 10 1847 00 00 58 1580 00 11 99 1585 00 08 46 1582 00 09 39 1583 00 00 22 1584 00 06 18 1587 00 00 21 1594 00 08 49 1621 00 07 88 1622 00 09 43 1625 00 00 10 1620 00 07 87 1626 00 00 42 1627 00 05 78 1612 00 00 42 1629 00 25 17 1630 00 02 83 1631 00 07 89 1633 00 08 81 1632 00 04 87 901 00 02 85 900 00 66 84 898 00 06 63 896 00 10 76 1817 00 00 12 894 00 08 86 896 00 10 76 1817 00 00 12 898 00 08 65 896 00 10 76 1817 00 00 12 894 00 08 86 897 00 08 86 897 00 08 86 897 00 08 62 887 00 00 77 389 00 07 18 389 00 07 18 389 00 07 18 390 00 02 56 387 00 00 07 389 00 07 18 390 00 02 56 399 00 07 18 390 00 07 18 390 00 07 18 390 00 07 18 390 00 07 18 390 00 07 18 390 00 07 18 390 00 07 18	1320 00 08 54 (9) ब्रुह्णिआ 398 1338 00 06 - जारी 391 1581 00 08 15 403 1579 00 00 10 397 1847 00 00 58 404 1580 00 11 99 402 1585 00 08 46 406 1582 00 09 39 406 1583 00 00 22 407 1584 00 06 18 433 1587 00 00 21 432 1594 00 08 49 431 1621 00 07 88 430 1622 00 00 27 438 1623 00 01 56 429 1626 00 09 43 1843 1625 00 00 15 56 429 1626 00 09 43 1843 1625 00 00 10 427 1620 00 07 87 422 1627 00 06 78 421 1620 00 07 87 422 1627 00 06 78 421 1620 00 07 87 422 1627 00 06 78 421 1620 00 07 87 422 1627 00 06 78 421 1630 00 02 83 423 1631 00 07 89 803 1633 00 08 81 81 806 1633 00 08 81 81 806 901 00 02 85 802 900 00 05 84 792 898 00 08 66 790 899 00 06 84 792 898 800 88 66 790 899 00 06 84 792 898 800 08 66 790 899 00 06 84 777 888 00 08 86 777 888 00 08 86 777 888 00 08 86 777 76 888 00 00 07 78 77 76 765 388 00 00 07 78 77 765 388 00 00 07 78 77 765 389 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 18 735 339 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 78 77 765 3399 00 07 78 77 775 325 399 00 07 78 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 775 325 399 00 07 78 775 325 399 00 07 775 375 325 399 00 07 775	1320	1320

1			
ጉ	Λ	ε	1

[भाग]]—खण्ड	3(ii)]		भारत क	ा राजपत्र : म	ार्च 5, 2011/फाल्गुन 1	4, 1932	<u> </u>		2051
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(9) कुरूनिया	734	00	04	28	(10) भरदा (जारी)	629	00	03	21
(जारी)	738	00	00	09		556	00	Œ	31
	718	00	04	31		411	00	23	94
	717	00	07	93		336	00	01	91
	716	00	02	07	•	335	00	01	07
	688	00	04	83	(11) बालिपल	325	00	05	63
	690	00	01	60		324	00	01	66
	689	00	. 02	02		323	00	06	48
	678	00	04	56		609	00	06	5 0
	677	00	05	35		249	00	17	08
	676	00	00	10		246	00	01	68
	675	00	œ	86		248	00	07	93
	674	00	. 01	7 5		247	00	04.	93
	673	00	00	42		251	- 00	05	48
	666	00	24	11 -		261	00	00	25
	662	00	01	37		262	. 00	06	44
	1875	00	02	35		240	00	00	17
	1874	00	01	19		263	00	05	17
	658	00	02	09		264	00	02	70
	647	00	00	24		224	00	07	73
	1897	00	02	26		225	00	01	23
	651	00	06	12		226	00	02	95
	650	00	08	19		223	00	Œ	97
	649	00	04	39		222	00	Œ	46
	648	00	02	47		219	00	00	59
	64 1	00	00	89	•	220	00	œ	45
	640	00	02	38		- 2 2 1	00	02	63
	1873	00	00	30		227	00	00	50
	1823	00	01	75		229	00	07	06
(10) भरदा	607	00	11	55		211	00)	04	20
	606	00	06	52		230	000	06	36
	605	00	œ	00)		231	(X)	00	48
	604	00	17	76		132	(X)	07	72
	626	00	08	09		129	00	01	33
	627	00	03	53		131	00	Œ	64
	625	00	09	94		133	(0)	(1)	41
	624	00	00	30		134	00	Œ	06
	630	00	12	05		135	00	08	06
	631	. 00	10	œ		136	00	07	27
	632	00	04	œ		187	(X)	01	12
	634	00	00	57		139	_ α	07	81
	635	00	00	31		137	(X)	04	2.4

3		
_ •	4	5
00	03	5 6
00	00	70
00	œ	41
00	02	50
00	104	39
00	02	10
00	02	49
00	05	- 88
00	00	04
00	12	7 5
00	06	93
00	06	63
00	01	82
. 00	01	02
00	01	63
00	00	10
00	04	37
		31
		81
		87
00		45
00		26
		47
00	00	20
00	01	59
		10
		59
		61
		<i>7</i> 8
		10
		30
		19
		76
		18
		25
		47
		10
		11
		25
		45
		04
		19
w	w	19
	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 06 00 06 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 04 00 04 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 00 00 01 00 00 00 01 00 00 00 01 00 00 00 01 00 00 00 01 00 00 00 01 00 00 00 01 00 00 00 01

्भाग II—खण्ड	3(11)]		भारत क	ा राजपत्र : म	गच 5, 2011/फाल्गुन 1	4, 1932			2053
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(13) नच्छीपुर	717	00	13	77	(14) काशिमपुर	920	00	01	35
(जारी)	690	00	00	10		921	00	00	10
	691	00	00	76		919	00	09	27
	692	00	00	62		918	00	04	00
	693	00	00	10		916	00	00	23
	714	00	01	33		917	00	04	48
	715	00	06	31		1545	00	- 06	91
	713	00	08	15		915	00	05	24
	719	00	02	11		913	00	01	49
	72 0	00	œ	37		825	00	01	29
	<i>7</i> 21	00	02	89		824	00	03	48
	712	00	04	32		823	00	02	17
	726	00	00	10		822	00	03	24
. 1	727	00	01	20		820	00	06	31
	<i>72</i> 8	00	04	12		819	00	01	62
	729	00	05	86	•	816	00	00	82 .
	711	00	00	42		815	00	04	15
	730	00	00	10		814	00	06	40
	734	00	04	62		811	00	01	60
	735	00	0Ó	15		812	00	09	49
	733	00	07	28		796	00	00	76
	825	00	01	05		7 95	00	Œ	56
	783	000	01	02		794	00	04	94
	784	. 00	07	28		797	00	00	7 6
	789	00	04	21		798	00	00	10
	785	00	Œ	07	•	793	00	07	43
	788	00	00	74		792	00	Œ	06
	79 0	00	02	80	•	790	- 00	01	41
	791	00	04	35		791	00	06	74
	79 3	00	00	10	•	7 69	00	04	22
	79 9	00	08	61	<i>;</i> • •	765	00	00	72
	800	00	05	75		766	00	Œ	55
	801	00	00	90		767	00	07	05
	805	00	10	31		768	- 00	00	07
	798	00	00	39		746	00	00	, 66
	806	00	00	96	•	760	. 00	02	51
	807	00	00	10		759 750	00	05	28
	808	oo	11	01		758 757	00	01 00	45 20
	811	00	08	82		757 740	00 00	00 00	28 51
	447	00	31	42		749 751	00 m		51 45
	814	00	00	05		751 750	00 m	04 09	45 22
	017	w	w	w		7 5 0	00	02	33

					-,	·, - · · -	L.		=====(12)]
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(14) काशिमपुर	752	00	01	20	(14) काशिमपुर	537	00	01	69
(जारी)	729	00	00	02	(जारी)	529	00	01	53
	728	00	œ	82		531	00	07	21
	753	00	œ	11		530	00	00	48
	754	00	01	02		389	00	04	59
	727	00	06	03		382	00	00	24
	614 -	00	05	51		444	00	02	53
	<i>7</i> 26	00	00	28		390	00	12	67
	1535	00	01	39		391	00	08	94
	615	00	02	09		392	00	01	17
	613	00	00	58		401	00	02	08
	612	00	00	29		407	00	08	000
	616	00	05	94		408	00	00	72
	618	00	00	26		409	00	00	09
	617.	. 00	05	02		403	00	05	37
	600	00	01	98		406	00	07	54
	596	00	00.	10		411	00	01	55
	597	00	œ	82		404	00	00	19
	598	00	04.	26		405	00	(12	32
	599	00	04	96		1461	00	00	17
	587	 00	05	7 0	•	414	000	07	24
	588	00	04	35		415	00	05	04
	589	00	32	70		417	00	00	10
	577	00	00	39		416 :	00	01	30
	1592	00	00	19		237	00	13	81
	563	00	01	98		236	00	01	88
	573	00	01	14		235	00	14	70
	572	00	04	26		197	00	02	82
	564	00	03	78		196	00	02	12
	569	00	03	26		195	00	28	23
	570	00	02	92		192	00	11	15
	571	00	00	85	(15) मरायगन	गाँव सीमा और	00	02	22
	568	00	Œ	51		सर्वे सं. 182 के			
	567	00	05	76		बीच में			
	566	00	04	32		182	00 00	01 M	65 en
	539	00	00	51		183 1743	00	04 01	89 31
	538	00 00	07	02		1743	00	· ()4	òς γι
	535	00	02	67		177	00	08	Σ
	536	00	04	51		176	00	02	()K
	533	00 00	00	31 18		188	00	10	82
	533 532	00 00	04	93		174	00	00	7(1
	332	w	U+	30		•••	****		•

(15) मरायगन 189 00 00 05 171 00 01 486 00 00 170 00 05 193 00 00 191 00 02 192 00 00 169 00 07 168 00 05 167 00 00 487 00 00 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 492 00 00 495 00 05 46 00 05 546 00 05 546 00 05 546 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08 524 100 00 522 00 01 521 00 08 522 00 01 521 00 08 522 00 01 521 00 08 522 00 01 521 00 08 522 00 01 522 00	4 5	4	3	2	1
—जारी 190 00 05 171 00 01 486 00 00 170 00 65 193 00 00 191 00 02 192 00 00 169 00 07 168 00 05 167 00 00 487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 492 00 00 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 05 546 00 10 545 00 05 546 00 10 545 00 05 546 00 10 545 00 05 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 522 00 01	00 40	00	00	189	(15) मरायगन
486 00 00 170 00 05 193 00 00 191 00 02 192 00 00 169 00 07 168 00 05 167 00 00 487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 496 00 11 550 00 01	05 15	05	00	190 .	
170 00 05 193 00 00 191 00 02 192 00 00 169 00 07 168 00 05 167 00 00 487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 494 00 11 550 00 01 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 03	01 18	01	00	171	
193 00 00 191 00 02 192 00 00 169 00 07 168 00 05 167 00 00 487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521	00 10	00	00	486	
191 00 02 192 00 00 169 00 07 168 00 05 167 00 00 487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	05 84	05	00	170	
192 00 00 169 00 07 168 00 05 167 00 00 487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 08 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	00 36	00	00	193	
169 00 07 168 00 05 167 00 00 487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 495 00 06 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	02 31	02	00	191	
168 00 05 167 00 00 487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	00 10	00	00	192	
167 00 00 487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 04 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	07 93	07	00	169	
487 00 02 150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 03 496 00 06 493 00 03 492 00 00 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	05 40	05	00	168	
150 00 07 1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 08 496 00 06 493 00 03 492 00 00 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 05 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	00 14	00	00	167	
1760 00 01 497 00 04 498 00 08 496 00 06 493 00 03 492 00 00 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	02 38	02	00	487	
497 00 04 498 00 08 496 00 06 493 00 08 492 00 00 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 05 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	07 47	07	00	150	
498 00 08 496 00 06 493 00 03 492 00 00 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	01 71	01	00	1760	
496 00 06 493 00 08 492 00 00 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	04 38	04	00	497	
493 00 68 492 00 00 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	03 55	œ	00	498	
492 00 00 495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	06 99	06	00	496	
495 00 05 494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	03 15	œ	00	493	
494 00 11 550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	00 10	00	00	492	
550 00 01 503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 08 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	05 28	05	00	495	
503 00 00 546 00 10 545 00 03 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	11 86	11	00	494	
546 00 10 545 00 08 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	01 22	01	00	550	
545 00 08 547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	00 63	00	00	503	
547 00 17 540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	10 37	10	00	546	
540 00 00 539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	03 37	œ	00	545	
539 00 01 471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	17 01	17	00	547	
471 00 10 1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08	00 10	00	00	540	
1763 00 00 524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08					
524 00 11 1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08					
1730 00 07 522 00 01 521 00 08					
522 00 01 521 00 08		•		·	
521 00 08	*				
सव स. 182 (0) (B और गाँव सीमा के बीच में	03 96	03	00		

[फा. सं. एल-14014/16/2011-जी. पी.] के. के. शर्मा, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, 24th February, 2011

S.O. 679.— Whereas it appears to Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for

transportation of natural gas from onshore terminal at East Coast of Andhra Pradesh of M/s. Reliance Industries Limited to consumers in various parts of the country, Kakinada—Basudebpur—Howrah pipeline should be laid by M/s. Relogistics Infrastructure Limited;

And whereas, it appears to Government of India that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in Land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which are described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the Right of User therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri Bhaskar Tripathy, Competent Authority, Relogistics Infrastructure Limited, 1st Floor, Fortune Tower, Chandrasekharpur, Bhubaneswar-751 023, Orissa State.

SCHEDULE

	Mandal/Tehsii/ District: Bhadrak State: Orissa Taluk: Bhadrak Rural											
· - · · ·	Survey No./	Are	a to be a	cquired								
Village	Sub-Division	Hec	Are	C-Are								
1	2	3	4	5								

1	2	3	4	5 .	1	2	3	4	5
(1) Banatia	2575/625	00	00	35	(1) Banatia	1800	00	01	51
(Contd.)	2511	00	01	35	(Contd.)	1801	00	07	28
	2571/621	00	12	32		1291	00	01	23
	2570/620	00	12	26		1693	00	18	54
	2567/617	00	09	99		1692/444	00	11	92
	2566/616	00	02	38		1682	00	02	20
	2565/615	00	00	88		1681	00	02	32
	2564/614	00	00	15		1680	00	02:	10
	2562/612	00	04	<i>7</i> 5		1679	00	02	80
	2560	00	01	11		1678	00	02	11
	2516	00	22	4 1		1364/402	00	24	27
	5523	00	20	82		1363/401	00	04	19
	2551/537	00	12	35		1362/400	00	11	04
	535	00	10	79		1341/397	00	35	74
	523	00	01	39		1345	00	00	88
	1950/536	00	00	92		390	00	01	92
	1949	00	13	60		1330/391	00	01	35
	1948	00	00	37		1331/389	00	23	68
	1947/521	00	23	09		1320/384	00	12	70
	1893	00	04	81		1319/382	00	13	80
	1898/510	00	33	86		1318/383	00	33	77
	1896/509	00	00	65		1316	00	01	00)
	1865	00	00	92		300/177	00	20	26
	1875/498	00	23	28		391/176	00	12	31
	1873/496	00	13	87		392/175	00	22	85
	1871/495	00	œ	72		393/174	00	19	69
	1870/494	00	08	35		384	00	00	11
	1860	00	02	02		394	00	10	51
	1847	00	14	43		383/173	00	02	70
	1848/480	00	22	94		382	00	00	88
	1839	00	00	87		306/172	00	10	43
	1826/468	00	27	33		377	00	00	56
	1820/465	00	00	10		378	00	35	70
	1827/469.	00	00	44		381	00	04	75
	1825	00-	13	37		379	00	00	82
	1824	00	01	05		160/59	00	08	14
	1823/467	00	05	56		156/55	00	07	31
,	1813	00	00	37		155/54	00	15	14
	1814	00	00	43		153	00	01	58
	1804/456	00	01	69		152	00	02	22
	1804	00	12	95		151	00	02	()()
	1699/454	00	04	17		143/52	00	12	35

1	2	3,	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(l) Banatia	142/51	00	06	93	(1) Baulagadia	1799	00	05	27
(Contd.)	141	00	16	66	(Contd.)	1765	00	00	28
•	140/46	00	09	43		1776	00	15	43
	146	00	00	85		1777	00	00	25
Mandal/Tehsil/T	aluk : Soro	Dist	rict: Bale	hwar		1766	00	14	41
State : Orissa						1775	00	01	78
(1) Raghunath-	In bet VB &	00	01	⊕		1767	00	01	94
pur	suy no.46	~	m	5 0		1741	00	06	54
	46	00	02	78		1740	00	05	84
	54	00	00	10		1739	00	04	34
	45	00	00	10		2072	00	01	87
	48	00	00	43		1713	00	14	12
	47	00	02	78		1714	00	02	78
	42	00	Œ	⊕		1712	00	01	75
	14	00	01	54		1711	00	04	08
	49	00	00	75		1710	00	07	69
	39	00	Œ	33		1709	00	00	40
	In bet suy no.	00	00	58		1967	00	01	66
	39 & 40	00	11	42		1596	00	02	32
	40	00	11			1595	00	08	11
	36	00 00	05	88 70		1590	00	14	40
	9	00	00	12		1591	00	02	59
	10	00	04			1588	00	07	96
	35	00	01	02		1587	00	Œ	63
	11	00	05	35 55		1584	00	05	78
	12	00	01	55		1586	00	Œ	29
	13	00	01	12		1585	00	08	66
	7	00	08	06 ee		1583	00	Œ	06
	2	00 ~	01	82		1576	00	03	51
	1	00	02	21		1577	00	07	84
(2) Baladia	309	00	44	42		1578	.00	07	02
Mandal/Tehsil/T State:Orissa	aluk: Nilagin	Dis	trict:Bales	nwar		1564	00	02	08
(1) Baulagadia	1827	00	11	57		1562	00	Œ	66
(1) Daningania	1828	00	00	63		1561	00	04	41
	1792	00	01	53		1541	00	15	43
	1826	00	00	13		1563	00	00	86
	1802	00	09	33		1542	00	08	14
	1793	00	00	24		1512	- 00	14	93
	1797	00	04	04		1511	00	08	77
	1801	00	04	26		1513	00	00	48
	1800	00	02.	73		1514	00	00	28
	1000	w	OE.				- 00	00	10

1	2	3 _	4	5	1	2	3	4	_ 5
(2) Agiria	254	00	00	69	(1) Mahati Pur	1542	00	07	88
	288	00	05	66	(Contd.)	1539	00	02	23
	287	00	05	28		1541	00	Œ	67
	286	00	05	35		1540	00	05	14
	284	00	11	34		1537	00	05	80
	282	00	08	56		1630)0	00	39
	280	00	24	27		1460	00	12	54
	276	00	07	67		1461	00	04	70
	321	00	05	05		1462	00	07	80
	272	00	. 14	19		1464	00	01	16
	270	00	10	75		1455	00	11	56
	271	00	03	$\boldsymbol{\Theta}$		1424	00	Œ	88
	225	00	04	52		1423	00	07	36
	223	00	21	27		1429	000	02	27
	222	00	04	42		1428	00	04	02
	221	00	05	33		1427	00	00	20
220 219	220	00	05	19		1430	00	06	57
	219	00	20	73		1414	00	02	51
	217	00	00	10		1413	00	14	24
Mandal/Tehsil/T	aluk:Similia	Dis	trict:Bale	shwar		1415	00	00	10
State:Orissa						1314	00	02	52
State:Orissa (1) Mahati Pur	1602	00	09	45		1353	00	01	46
	1655	00	06	68		1352	00	18	17
	1596	00	10	78		1346	00	00	12
	1600	00	00	52		1349	00	01	48
	1597	00	11	58		1350	00	01	α
	1650	00	01	06		1351	00	08	40
	1598	00	11	74		1354	000	01	91
	1580	00	06	74		1256	00	01	37
	1579	00	06	19		1257	00	04	2
	1578	00	18	<i>7</i> 5		1258	00	01	59
	1572	00	14	57		1295	000	00	10
	1571	00	04	93		1294	00	Œ	8
	1638	00	10	49		1281	00	17	10
	1549	00	06	66	•	1293	00	00	7
	1640	00	00	40		1280	00	00	ł
	1548	00	07	60		1279	00	00	7
	1547	00	10	36		1282	∞	01	5
	1628	00	08	54		1284	00	05	9
	1546	00	08	12		1283	00	00	1
	154 3	00	05	68		1285	00	œ	2

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(1) Mahati Pur	1287	00	00	51	(2) Antara	1520	00	Œ	14
(Contd.)	1288	00	Œ	08	(Contd.)	1483	00	01	00
(2) Antara	644	00	02	28		1447	00	04	47
	768	00	œ	52	•	1010	00	17	62
	7 69	00	05	89		1026	00	08	19
	763	00	02	26		1027	00	13	58
	762	00	06	15		3140	00	22	50
	<i>7</i> 71	00	00	62		1081	00	22	46
	761	00	06	89		1082	00	02	16
	758	00	02	66		1090	00	Œ	70
	3293	00	05	81		1083	00	05	51
	757	00	07	54		1085	. 00	13	96
	756	00	09	84		1086	00	00	38
	754	00	Œ	84		1084	00	01	45
	748	00	02	Œ		1066	00	01	96
	738	00	08	77		1182	00	00	10
	732	00	06	36		1181	00	04	49
731 733	00	02	02		1174	00	03	03	
	733	00	06	87		1175	00	03	85
	734	00	00	24		1080	00	02	30
	928	00	02	36		1179	. 00	12	92
	930	00	09	63		1179	90	00	54
	932	00	02	56	•		00	11	24
	1544	00	00	22		1178			24 74
	1543	00	26	37		1177	00 ~	04	
	1542	00	04	98		1361	00	08	37
	1530	00	04	04		1360	00	12	31
	3182	00	01	67		1357	00	05	63
	1526	00	00	10		1355	00	07	19
	1527	00	02	19		1353	00	10	73
	1539	00	04	82		3310	00	08	22
	1649	00	14	22		1381	000	05	82
	1523	00	02	75		1380	00	08	16
	1522	00	02	37		1385	00	00	14
	1521	00	02	01		1384	00	06	73
	1650	00	07	11		1383	00	04	70
	1651	00	04 .m	80		1388	00	04	19
	1519	00	03 or	65 40		1390	00	08	10
	1518	00	03 m			1391	00	05	13
	1516	00 m	02 02	37 39		3313	00	12	88
	1517	00	02	39					

1	2	3	4	5	ì	2	3	4	5
	/Taluk : Remuna	Dist	trict : Bale	shwar	(1) Baruan	18	00	01	50
State : Orissa					(Contd.)	13	00	04	10
(1) Baruan	661	00	07	10		12	00	06	14
	660	00	07	99		21	00	01	48
	667	00 ~~	06	50		552	00	00	56
	649	00	00	10		24	00	08	26
	668	00	05	93		20	00	15	24
	669	00	11	<i>6</i> 9		22	00	02	777
	627	00	00	28		23	00	10	62
	67 0	00	00	31	(2) Shalagan	81	00	-00	10
	672	00	09	7 8	-	82	00	14	25
	671	00	12	28		80	00	00	41
	674	00	09	30		87	00	04	21
	644	00	00	10		86	00	Œ	59
	643	00	01	34		90	00	04	12
	675	00	05	82		88	00	03	07
	<i>67</i> 6	00	00	59		89	00	01	<i>⊕</i>
	637	00	01	54		70	00	01	79
	636	00	Œ	62		92	00	03	35
	635	00	04	66		91	00	06	84
	612	00	30	80		98	00	12	30
	626	00	00	10		127	00	05	32
	625	00	05	00		128	00	00	56
	613	00	27	50		130	00	01	33 47
	614	00	00	10		129	00	04	93
	592	00	08	72			w 00		
	591	00	04	62		131		09	03
	590	00	11	86		132	00	06	32
	587	00	05	24		133	00	05	52
	586	00	05	24		43	00	08	30
	585	00	00	15		134	00	03	37
	581	00	00	64		141	00	07	51
	580	00	00	90		142	00	04	06
	579	00	08	58		143	00	05	61
	578	00	Œ	72		145	00	06	17
	560	00	12	51		146	,00	02	71
	561	00	07	7 5		147	00	03	78
	562	00	00	50		154	00	()4	40
	556	00	16	44		171	00	04	84
	14	00	07	43		172	00	04	()4
	15	00	01	42		173	00	Œ	61
	17	00	00	55		174	00	00	19

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5 ,
(3) Gobindapur	1909	00	02	78	(3) Gobindapur	1000	00	15	64
	1910	00	08	22	(Contd.)	991	. 00	01	17
	2063	00	01	61		999	00	01	31
	1920	00	00	45		983	00	08	00
	1917	00	24	31		984	00	01	7 5
	1918	00	05	06		985	00	00	58
	1922	00	01	58		986	00	02	00
	2017	00	02	21		987	00	00	34
	1932	00	03	79		682	00	01	65
	1923	00	12	95		643	00	05	75
	1931	00	12	85		644	00	00	82
	1935	00	01	66		646	00	00	46
	1929	00	Œ	77		647	00	01	36
	1930	00	39	62		648	00	00	35
	1943	00	00	19		649	00	00	44
	1856	00	09	10		650	00	00	24
	1855	00	02	61		645	00	00	<i>7</i> 1
	1945	00	33	86		653	00	00	91
	1944	00	00	31		651	00	05	43
1	1946	00	15	26		652	00	Œ	57
	1854	00	00	10		635	00	00	39
	1947	00	15	48		633	00	04	84
	1466	00	00	89		621	-00	00	22
	1948	00	01	83		632	00	01	63
	1465	00	05	59		634	00	01	61
	1464	00	Œ	04		1026	00	01	21
	1471	00	22	39		2041	00	01	35
	1457	00	00	90		631	00	00	39
	1472	00	02	85		630	00	00	66
	1456	00	15	90		1027	00	01	43
	1453	00	00	72		1049	00	04	68
	1455	00	02	08		629	00	00	10
	1454	00	08	91		1050	00	06	32
	1011	00	02	35		1056	00	02	94
	1008	00	01	20		1051	00	04	68
	2048	00	00	91		1053	00	01	50
	1007	00	02	02		1054	00	05	18
	1006	00	00	77		1055	00	05	48
	1004	00	00	95		1060	00	11	49
	1009	00	02	76		1063	00	04	91
	1010	00	01	15		1065	00	Œ	93
	1014	00	01	48		1066	00	04	97

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(3) Gobindapur	2077	00	03	30	(3) Gobindapur	1259	00	05	81
(Contd.)	2051	00	01	73	(Contd.)	2055	00	03	65
	2070	00	03	49	(4) Jenabarei	284	00	08	72
	1076	00	01	74		281	00	01	84
	1074	00	03	50		280	00	05	84
	1073	00	01	54		279	00	02	35
	1095	00	01	81		277	00	14	86
	1094	00	03	45		276	00	00	47
	1096	00	11	80		269	00	06	32
	1107	00	05	56		268	00	03	31
	1106	00	04	05		267	00	07	21
	1104	00	00	29		102	00	00	35
	1105	00	05	53		101	00	05	38
	1117	00	02	13		99	00	18	15
	1116	00	02	85		100	00	00	90
	1118	00	02	17		92	∞	21	53
	1120	00	08	63	(5) Jhinkamundali	65	∞	01	16
	1136	00	00	82	• •	64	00	00	10
	1143	00	15	10		66	00	02	88
	1149	00	00	57		67	00	02	66
	1148	00	01	62		68	00	13	05
	1147	00	04	47		<i>7</i> 1	00	02	72
	1151	00	. 00	10		63	00	07	08
	1152	00	04	40		72	00	05	43
	1153	00	05	17		62	00	05	75
	1154	00	11	94		42	00	04	37
	1155	00	01	03		39	00	05	86
	1156	. 00	06	10		43	00	17	20
	1157	00	06	41		38	00	02	05
	1158	00 m	14	49 53		37	00	01	27
	1242	00 m	05	52		36	00	06	69
	1241 1243	00 00	00 09	10 198		35	00	00	15
	1243	00	00	22		33	00	00	60
	1245	00	07	77		27	œ œ	07	26
	1243		06	41		32	00	05	45
		00 00	00			29	oo oo	13	18
	1246 1248	00	14	10 16		22	00	08	13
	1248	w 00	05	48		19	00	01	4 7
	1250	00	00	46 98		20	00	04	42
	1255	w w	00	96 65		21	00	01	17
	1258	w w	œ	05		3			
•	1236	w	w	w		3	00	11	08

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(6) Gourpur	352	00	00	75	(7) Balagopalpur	371	00	11	77
	363	00	07	68	(Contd.)	373	00	14	78
	353	00	01	07		363	00	16	89
	355	00	00	30		375	00	10	43
	361	00	09	28		361	00	01	4 6
	356	00	02	22		357	00	20	60
	360	00	05	53		163	.00	07	<i>5</i> 3
	357	00	00	15		162	00	20	08
	359	00	16	18		168	00	07	19
	358	00	17	98		169	00	07 ~	68
	318	00	00	10		171	00	06	41 27
	317	00	04	951		170 160	00 00	14 07	27 86
	313	00	17	85		172	00	00	10
	314	00	00	10		173	00	09	54
	1269	00	09	62		158	00	08	48
	312	00	01	46		174	œ œ	08	90
	66	00	05	07		157	00	02	36
	67	00	01	38		156	00	20	79
	68	00	05	08		155	00	05	22
	69	00	20	35		120	00	04	00
	42	00	02	53		145	00	00	10
	40	00	08	17		219	00	05	42
	7 0	00	04	59		218	00	13	74
	72	00	00	98		146	00	00	14
	73	00	06	95		141	00	43	99
	74	00	11	<i>6</i> 7		139	00	31	08
	75	00	04	85	(8) Bidyadharpur		00	06	19
				86		579	00,	10	27
	79 80	00 00	06 01	64		580	00	03 ~	42
		00	07	85		577 5 76	00 00	22 09	41 71
	81	00	12	83		575	00	14	41
	82	00	00	10		510	00	04	09
	83			10		509	00	01	20
	90	00	08			508	00·	08	24
	91	00 ~	14	00		104	00	09	65
	92	00	12	65	•	100	00	05	18
	95	00	05	38		101	00	05	50
	96	00	01	95		102	00	04	24
(7) Balagopalpur		00	40	97		103	00	05	38
	403	00	02	48		105	00	20	21
	398	00	21	97		106	00	09	82
	396	00	16	68	,	92	00	04	47
	272	00	08	29		91	00	22	66

									
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(8) Bidyadharp	uir .88	00	œ	86	(9) Kurunia	1339	00	09	44
(Contd.)	87	00	06	$\boldsymbol{\Theta}$	(Contd.)	1319	00	Œ	53
	86	00	06	33		1320	00	03	54
	83	00	05	22		1358	00	03	06
	82	00	00	⊕		1581	00	03	15
·	81	00	05	85		1579	00	00	10
	78	00	11	80		1847	00	00	58
	79	00	00	81		1580	00	11	99
	76	00	03	44		1585	00	03	46
	198	00	00	14		1582	00	09	39
	77	00	00	25		1583	00	00	22
(9) Kurunia	1475	00	08	43		1584	00	05	18
	1471	00	05	86		1587	00	00	21
	1472	00	18	79		1594	00	08	4 9
	1473	00	06	52		1621	00	07	88
	1468	00	16	88		1622	00	00	27
	1469	00	00	82		1623	00 -	01	56
	1465	00	04	17		1626	00	09	43
	1464	00	16	20		1625	00	00	10
	1413	00	00	21		1620	00	07	87
	1412	00	01	93		1627	00	05	78
	14 11	00	06	79		1612	00	00	42
	1410	00	01	58		1629	00	25	17
	1406	000	03	72		1630	00	02	83
	1408	00	06	33		1631	600	07	89
	1409	00	00	10		1633	00	\mathfrak{B}	81
	1407	00	07	56		1632	(0)	04	87
	1394	00	05	51		901	00	02	85
	1500	00	03	55		900	00	05	20
	1501	00	04	64		899	00	05	84
	1393	00	01	67		898	00	08	65
	1392	00	00	63		896	00	10	76
	1530	00	œ	74		1817	00	00	12
	1365	00	12	95		894	00	08	86
	1359	00	(12	96		897	00	\mathfrak{B}	62
	1360	00	07	21		887	00	00	75
	1357	00	00	13		888	00	(12	24
	1356	00	07	97		889	00	Œ	18
•	1355	00	02	39		388	00	00	95
	1353	00	02	49		387	00	Œ	66
	1354	00	11	37		383	00	00	77
	1547	00	00	14		389	00	07	18

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(9) Kurunia	390	00	02	- 56	(9) Kurunia	731	00	OI	31
(Contd.)	399	00	02	80	(Contd.)	733	00	Œ	Ω
	400	00	01	71		734	00	04	28
	398	00	06	26	•	738	00	00	09
	391	∞	00	51		718	00	04	31
	403	00	05	42		717	00	07	93
•	397	00	00	7 5		716	00	02	07
	404	00	œ	94		688	00	04	83
	402	00	00	49		690	000	01	60
	405	00	09	86		689	00	02	02
	406	00	00	47		678	00	04	56
	. 407	00	05	51		677	00	05	35
	433	(X)	04	60		<i>67</i> 6	00	00	10
	432	00	04	72		675	00	Œ	86
	431	00	01	00		674	00	01	75
	430	00	œ	88	,	673	00	00	42
	438	00	00	85		666	00	24	11
	429	00	03	95		662	00	01	3 7
	1843	00	00	16		1875	00	02	35
	427	00	06	29		1874	00	01	19
	422	00	16	67		658	00	02	09
	42 1	00	.00	47		647	. 00	00	24
	420	00	Œ	05		1897	00	02	26
	804	00	06	31		651	00	06	12
	423	00	00	10		650	00	08	19
	803	00	01	62		649	00	04	39
	805	00	06	22.		648	00	02	47
	806	00	05	72		641	00	90	89
	802	00	11	7 8	_	640	00	02	38
	801	00	02	62		1873	60	00	30
	<i>7</i> 92	00	02	94		1823	00	01	75
	79 0	00	02	27	(10) Eharda	607	00	11	55
	<i>7</i> 91	00	06	22	(10) 1311111011	606	00	06	52
	<i>7</i> 93	00	06	22		605	00	œ	00.
	777	00	03	Θ		604	00	17	76
	77 6	00	00	75		626	00	08	09
	775	00	05	(12		627	00	œ	53
	762 762	00	01	12		627 625	00	09	94
	763 764	00 m	05 ~	55 46		624	00	00	30
	764 765	00 00	06 07	46 17		630	00	12	05
	700 735	w 00	07 05	53		631	00	10	03
	732	w 00	05	04		632	00	04	03

2000	THE OF	AZETTE OF	пирил.	TOD INC.	7, 2011/11/11/10/01/1	. 17, 1702			
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(10) Bharda	634	00	00	57	(11) Balipal	139	00	07	81
(Contd.)	635	00	00	31	(Contd.)	137	00	04	24
·	629	00	03	21		138	00	01	07
	556	00	œ	31		148	00	00	41
	411	00	23	94		140	00	Œ	12
	336	00	01	91		141	00	Œ	65
	335	00	01	07		142	00	00	33
(11) Balipal	325	00	05	63		146	00	10	12
	324	00	01	66		147	00	00	55
	323	000	06	48		152	00	œ	Θ
	609	00	06	50		153	00	02	56
	249	00	17	03		156	00	02	23
	246	00	01	68		155	00	00	51
	248	00	07	93		173	00	02	70
	247	00	04	93		157	00	œ	48
	251	Ò	05	48		158	(X)	01	05
	261	00	00	25		161	00	02	20
	262	00	06	44		162	00	02	74
	240	00	00	17		164	00	\mathfrak{B}	92
	263	00	05	17		163	00	01	46
	264	00	(12	70		160	(X)	00	32
	224	00	07	73		168	00	00	27
	225	00	01	23		167	(X)	(12	96
	226	00	02	95		166	(10)	œ	94
	223	00	(12	97		165	00	05	15
	222	00	Œ	4 6		370	(X)	()()	53
	219	00	00	59		371	()()	00	10
	22 0	00	œ	45	(12) Silasuan	984	00	Œ	11
1	221	00	05	63		985	00	(X)	87
	227	00	00	50		986	(0)	00	29
	229	00	07	06		983	00	00	03
	211	00	04	20		1277	œ	06	82
	230	00	06	36		1304	ω	02	83
	231	00	00	48		1303	(0)	01	87
	132	00	07	72		1302	00	Œ	20
	129	00	01	33		1436	(X)	12	44
	131	00	03	64		1301	(9)	03	27
	133	00	- 00	41		1300	(30)	()4	38
	. 134	00	03	06		1298	(0)	01	93
	135	00	08	06	•	1297	00	00	30
	136	00	07	27		1305	(3)	01	01
	187	00	01	12		1295	00	10	66

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(12) Silasuan	1294	00	08	24	(13) Nachhipur	686	00	05	04
Contd.	1321	00	ot	31	Contd.	670	00	00	19
	1322	00	03	56		717	00	13	77
	1293	00	00	70		690	00	00	10
	1323	00	Œ	41		691	00	00	76
	1324	00	02	50		692	00	00	62
	1330	00	04	39		693	00	00	10
	1331	00	02	10		714	00	01	33
	1329	00	02	49		715	00	06	31
•	1332	00	05	88		713	00	03	15
	1452	00	00	04		719	00	02	11
	1333	00	12	75		72 0	00	03	37
	1339	00	06	93		721	00	02	89
	1340	00	06	63		712	00	04	32
	1341	00	01	82		<i>72</i> 6	00	00	10
	1449	00	01	02		727	00	01	20
•	1350	00	01	63		728	00	04.	12
	1351	00	00	10		<i>7</i> 29	00 -	05	86
	1365	00	04	37		711	00	00	42
-	1366	00	04	31		730	00	00	10
	1367	00	01	81		734	00	04	62
	1364	00	01	87		735	00	00	15
	1363	00	01	45		<i>7</i> 33	60	07	28
	1362	00	01	26		825	00	01	05
	1370	00	01	47		783	00	01	02
	1369	00	00	20		784	00	07	28
	1371	00	01	59		789	00 -	04	21
	1361	00	04	10		7 85	00	Œ	07
	1360	00	00	59		788	00	00	74
	1359	00	05	61		<i>7</i> 90	00	02	80
	1358	00	00	78		<i>7</i> 91	00	04	35
	1263	00	01	10		793	00	00	10
	1375	00	- 00	30		799	00	08	61 34
(13) Nachhipur	840	00	01	19		800	00	05	75 m
•	679	00	00	76		801	00 m	00	90 31
	677	00	02	18		805	00 m	10 m	39
	676	00	01	25		798 . 806	00 00	00 00	39 96
	674	00	01	47		807	00	00	10
	683	00	05	10	•	808	00	11	01
	682	00	00	11		811	w w	03	82
	672	00	01	25		447	00	31	42
	684	00	05	45					05
	084	w	w	40		814	00	00	(

2068					5, 2011/PHALGUNA		<u> </u>		SEC. 3(11)]
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(14) Kasimpur	920	00	01	35	(14) Kasimpur	750	00	02	33
Contd.	921	00	00	10	Contd.	752	00	01	20
	919	00	09	27		729	00	00	02
	918	00	04	00		728	00	Œ	82
	916	00	00	23		753	000	Œ	11
	917	00	04	48		754	00	01	02
	1545	00	06	91		727	00	06	\mathfrak{B}
	915	00	05	24		614	00	05	51
	913	00	01	49		726	00	00	28
	825	00	01	29		1535	00	01	39
	824	00	08	48	•	615	00	02	(9)
	823	00	02	17		613	00	00	58
	822	00	03	24		612	000	00	29
	820	00	06	31		616	00	05	94
	819	00	01	62		618	00	00	26
	816	00-	00	82		617	00	06	(12
	815	00	04	15		600	000	01	98
	814	00	06	4 0		596	00	00	10
	811	00	01	60	•	597	00	Œ	82
	812	00	09	49		598	00	04	26
	796	00	00	76		599	00	04	96
	795	00	Œ	56		587	00	05	70
	794	00	04	94		588	∞	04	35
	<i>7</i> 97	00	00	76		589	$\mathbf{\omega}$	32	70
	798	00	00	10		577	00	00	39
	793	000	07	43		1592	00	00	19
	792	00	03	06		563	00	01	98
	<i>7</i> 90	00	01	41		573	00	01	14
	<i>7</i> 91	00	06	74		572	00	04	26
	769	00	04	22		564	00	03	78
	765	00	00	72		569	00	03	26
	766	00	Œ	55		57 0	00	02	92
	767	00	07	05		571	00	00	85
	768	00	00	07		568	00	03	51
	746	00	00	66		567	00	05	76
	760	00	02	51		566	00	04	32
	759	00	05	28		539	00	00	51
	758	00	01	45		538	00	07	02
	757	00	00	28		535	00	02	67
	749	00	00	51		536	00	04	51
	751	00	04	45		533	00	00	18

√	•
[भाग II — खण्ड 3(ii)]	भारत का राजपत्र : मार्च 5, 2011/फाल्पुन 14, 1932
[.II.) TT _ Gr.A _2(TT)]	alter an datas to the place and a sale above

		144.0					·		
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(14) Kasimpur	532	00	04	93	(15) Maraigan	188	00	10	82
Contd.	537	00	01	€9	Contd.	174	00	00	70
	529	00	01	53		189	00	00	40
	531	00	07	21		190	00	05	15
	530	00	00	48		171	00	01	18
	389	00	04	59		486	00	00	10
	382	00	00	24	×	170	00	05	84
	444	00	02	53		193	00	00	36
	390	ÇO	12	67		191	00	02	31
	391	00	08	94		192	00	00	10
	392	00	01	17		1 69	00	07	93 -
	401	00	02	08		168	00	05	40
•	407	00	08	00		167	00	00	14
	408	00	90	72		487	00	02	.38
	409	00	00	09		150 .	00	07	47
	403	00	. 05	37		1760	00	01	71
	406	00	07	54		497	00	04	38
	411	00	01	55		498	00	08	55
	404	00	00	19		496	00	06	99
	405	00	02	32		493	00	Œ	15
	1461	00	00	17		492	00	00 -	10
	414	00	07	24		495	. 00	05	. 28
	415	00	05	04		494	00	11	86
	417	00	00	10		550	00	01	22
	416	00	01	30		503	00	00	Ø
	237	00	13	81		546	00	10	37
	236	00	01	88		545	00	03	37
	235	Q Q	14	70		547	00	17	01
	197	Q 0	02	82		540	00	- 00	10
	196	00	02	12		539	00	01	80
	195	00	28	23		471	00	10	32
	192	00	11	15	•	1763	00	00	17
(15) Maraigan	Nala bet VB &	00	02	22		524	00	11	91
	suy no. 182			_		1730	00	07	4 6
	182	00	01	65		522	00	01	25
	183	00	04	89		521	00	Œ	39
	1743	00	01	31		Nala bet suy	00	Œ	96
	178	00	04	93		no. 521 & VB			
	177	00	08	22		•		14014/16/	
	176	00	02	08	•	K. K	L SHA	RMA, Un	der Sec

नई दिल्ली, 28 फरवरी, 2011

का,आ, 680,—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय के का.आ. 2447 दिनांक 10-09-10, द्वारा पेट्रोलियम और खिनिज पाइपलाइन्स (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे उसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा जाएगा) की धारा 3(1) के अधीन अधिसूचना प्रकाशित कर, रमन मंडी से बहादुरगढ (हरियाणा राज्य में) तक, पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद के परिवहन के लिए हिन्दुस्तार पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा ''जी जी एस आर उत्पाद निष्क्रमण परियोजना रमन मंडी से बहादुरगढ पेट्रोलियम पाइपलाइन'' के सम्बन्ध में उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट तहसील तलवंडी साबी जिला विठिण्डा राज्य पंजाब की भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आश्राय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 20-12-2010 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी;

और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है ।

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में उपयोग के अधिकार अजित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित किया जाता है है

और केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धाँग 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाए सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त होकर हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉपरिशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा । अनसची

तहसील : तलवंडी सामो			जिला : भटिंडा	राज्य : पंजाब			
क्रम सं	गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुस्तितिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				·	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मोटर
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1.	फूलो खारी	124	13	9	00	03	28
			18	22	00	12	90
				23	00	05	31
2	त्यौना पुजारीन	130	138	11/2/1	00	07	59
	•			11/2/2	00	03	79

[फा. सं. आर-31015/42/2009-ओ. आर-[<u>[</u>]

ए. गोस्वामी, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 28th February, 2011

S.O. 680.—Whereas, by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Pefroleum and Natural Gas. published in the Gazette of India vide number S.O. 2447 dated the 10-09-2010, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transportation of Petroleum Products from Raman Mandi to Bahadurgarh in the State of Haryana by the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited for implementing the "GGSR Products Evacuation Project pipeline from Raman Mandi to Bahadurgarh" in Tehsil Talwandi Saboo District Bathinda, in Punjab State;

And whereas, copies of the said gazette notification were made available to the public on 20-12-10.

And whereas, the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, has submitted his report to the Central Government.

And whereas, the Central Government after considering the said report is satisfied that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is acquired;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section. (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of users in the said land shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest from the date of publication of this declaration, in the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

			SCIE	DOLE			
Tehsil: Talwandi Saboo			District : Bh	atinda	State :		
S. No.	Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustatil No.	Khasra/Killa No.	Hectare	Area Are	Square Metre
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Phullo Khari	124	13 18	9 22	00 00	03 12	28 90
2	Teona Pujarin	130	138	23 11/2/1 11/2/2	00 00 00	05 07 03	31 59 79

[F. No. R-31015/42/2009-OR-II] A. GOSWAMI, Under Secv.

		2011				(1)	(2)	(2)	(4)	(6)
	नई दिल्ली, 1 मार्च		3		_	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	.—भारत सरकार व				(2)	रुक्मणिपुर (निरं		00	08	6
प्रतीत होता है कि मैर से समी कर सर को							901	00	19	60
में पूर्वी तट पर ऑस स्थापन							900	-00	00	2
उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृति इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड							1126	00	00	3
श्यास्ट्रक्चर ।लामटः बिछाई जानी चाहिए:		વાસુવવયુર-દ	शक्ष पाइ	प लाइ न			1936	00	01	14
							1935	00	04	2
	कार को उक्त पाइ						1125	00	06	6
लेए यह आवश्यक							1937	00	04	3
उक्त पा इपलाइन बि छ							1123	00	01	. 8
प्ते उपाबद्ध अनुसूची	में वर्णित है, उप	योग के अधि	धकार का	अर्जन			1128	00	03	6
किया जाए;							1127	00	04	α
अतः, अबः, भ	ारत सरकार, पेट्रोरि	नयम और स	विज पाइप	ख्लाइन			1938	00	Œ	8
(भूमि में उपयोग के र			-				1939	00	Œ	3
n 50) की धारा 3 व					•		1129	00	Œ	7
हरते हुए, उनमें उप					(3)	आमिपाडा	4	00	01	4
प्राशय की घोषणा क							7	00	10	7
		×	~ ~ ~				6	00	12	7.
काइ व्याक्त, र	जो उक्त अनुसूची र						, 5	00	07	1
^										
•			-				14	00	08	4
(1) के अधीन जारी	की गई अधिसूचन	त की प्रतिय	- i साधारण	जनता			14 10	00 00	08 00	
 के अधीन जारी हो उपलब्ध कर दी 	की गई अधिसूचन जाती हैं, इक्कीस	ाकी प्रतिय दिन के भीत	तं साधारण र, भूमि कं	जनता हे नीचे	-					2
 के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी ग्रहपलाइन बिछाए ज 	की गई अधिसूचन जाती हैं, इक्कीस ाने के लिए उपयोग	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक	ं साधारण र, भूमि कं तर के अव	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के		. '	10	00	00	2 3
 के अधीन जारी हो उपलब्ध कर दी इपलाइन बिछाए ज वंबंध में श्री बारिद ब 	की गई अधिसूचन जाती हैं, इक्कीस ाने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रां	ा की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस	ं साधारण र, भूमि कं तर के अव र्स रिलोजि	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स		. '	10 1 <i>7</i> 7 -	00	00 00	2 39 0
 के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज नंबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, 	की गईं अधिसूचन जाती हैं, इक्कीस गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्राा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र	हा की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ए के अधिक धिकारी, मैस प्रिमिल कोल	ं साधारण र, भूमि के तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016,		, '	10 1 <i>7</i> 7 11	00 00 00	00 00 03	2 39 00 22
 के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज नंबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, 	की गईं अधिसूचन जाती हैं, इक्कीस गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्राा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र	हा की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ए के अधिक धिकारी, मैस प्रिमिल कोल	ं साधारण र, भूमि के तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016,			10 177 11 13 12 25	00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02	2 39 00 22 40 40
(1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी ग्राइपलाइन बिछाए ज संबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड,	की गईं अधिसूचन जाती हैं, इक्कीस गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्राा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र	हा की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ए के अधिक धिकारी, मैस प्रिमिल कोल	ं साधारण र, भूमि के तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016,		, '	10 177 11 13 12	00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04	2 39 00 22 40 40
(1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज संबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, गिरुचम बंगाल राज्य	की गईं अधिसूचन जाती हैं, इक्कीस गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस ो मॉजिल कोल ां आक्षेप भेष	ं साधारण र, भूमि कं तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70 इ सकेगा ।	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016,		,	10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01	22 39 00 22 44 42 94
(1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज संबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, गश्चिम बंगाल राज्य गडल/तहसील/तालुक :	की गईं अधिसूचन जाती हैं, इक्कीस गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा त, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची इग्रा-॥ जिला : मे	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धकारी, मैस ग मंजिल कोर्ल ॉ आक्षेप भेज दिनीपुर राज्य	ं साधारण र, भूमि के तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70 त सकेगा ।	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के रिटक्स 0016,		, ,	10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05	2 39 00 24 44 45 94 64 54
(1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज संबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, गिरुचम बंगाल राज्य	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची इग्रा-11 जिला : में सर्वे सं/सब	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस ो मंजिल कोल ॉ आक्षेप भेज दिनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ.	ं साधारण र, भूमि कं तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू, अर्जित	जनता हे नीचे जैन के स्टिक्स 0016, 			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09	22 39 00 22 40 40 40 50 70
(1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज संबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, गश्चिम बंगाल राज्य गडल/तहसील/तालुक :	की गईं अधिसूचन जाती हैं, इक्कीस गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा त, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची इग्रा-॥ जिला : मे	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस मंजिल कोल र्व आक्षेप भेज सिनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के	ं साधारण र, भूमि क तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं काता-70 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप	जनता है नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016, । बंगाल कररे कल			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08	22 39 00 22 48 40 94 54 70
1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज नंबंध में श्री बारिद ब नफ़ास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, गिरचम बंगाल राज्य गडल/तहसील/तालुक :	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची इग्रा-11 जिला : में सर्वे सं/सब	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस ो मंजिल कोल ॉ आक्षेप भेज दिनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ.	ं साधारण र, भूमि कं तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू, अर्जित	जनता है नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016, । बंगाल कररे कल			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00	22 39 00 22 44 46 55 70 10 44
 के अधीन जारी तो उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज नंबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, शिचम बंगाल राज्य इल/तहसील/तालुक : 	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची इग्रा-11 जिला : में सर्वे सं/सब	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस मंजिल कोल र्व आक्षेप भेज सिनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के	ं साधारण र, भूमि क तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं काता-70 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप	जनता है नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016, । बंगाल कररे कल			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04	22 33 00 24 44 45 96 65 77 10 44
1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज नंबंध में श्री बारिद ब नफ़ास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, गिरचम बंगाल राज्य खंडल/तहसील/तालुक : गाँव का नाम	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्राां 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची इग्रा-II जिला : में सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं.	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस में मॉजिल कोल र्व आसेप भेज सिनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के हैक्टेयर (3)	ं साधारण र, भूमि के तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं काता-70 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप एयर सि	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016, । बंगाल करने कल (5)			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10	22 33 00 22 44 44 9-6 5-7 70 44 44 55
1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज नंबंध में श्री बारिद ब नफ़ास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, गिरचम बंगाल राज्य खंडल/तहसील/तालुक : गाँव का नाम	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' गने के लिए उपयोग् रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रार्ग 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची इग्रा-11 जिला : में सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं.	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस ो मंजिल कोल ॉ आक्षेप भेज सिनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के हैक्टेयर (3)	सिधारण र, भूमि कं तर के अव र्स रिलोजि तकाता-700 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू, अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप् एयर सि	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016, 			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10	22 33 00 22 44 45 66 55 70 10 44 45 50 92
1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज तंबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, शिचम बंगाल राज्य गाँव का नाम (1) 1) कृष्णपुर	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्राा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप मे अनुसूची इग्रा-॥ जिला : मे सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 105 104	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धेकारी, मैस मंजिल कोर्ल र्व आक्षेप भेड़ स्विचेयर (3) 00	ं साधारण र, भूमि के तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं काता-70 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप एयर सि	जनता हे नीचे र्जन के स्टिक्स 0016, । बंगाल करने कल (5)			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07	22 33 00 22 44 44 55 70 44 45 58
1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज तंबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, शिचम बंगाल राज्य गाँव का नाम (1) 1) कृष्णपुर	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' गने के लिए उपयोग् रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रार्ग 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची इग्रा-11 जिला : में सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं.	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस ो मंजिल कोल ॉ आक्षेप भेज सिनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के हैक्टेयर (3)	साधारण र, भूमि के जार के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-700 ज सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप् एयर सि (4)	जनता हे नीचे जैन के स्टिक्स 0016, 			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08	22 33 00 22 44 45 56 55 70 10 44 44 50 93 83 33
के अधीन जारी तो उपलब्ध कर दी इपलाइन बिछाए ज तंबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, रिचम बंगाल राज्य गांव का नाम (1) कुळापुर	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रार्ग 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची इग्रा-॥ जिला : में सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं, (2) 105 104 892	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धेकारी, मैस ग मंजिल कोल र्व आक्षेप भेज सिनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के है क्टेयर (3) 00 00	ं साधारण र, भूमि क तर के अव र्स रिलोजि तकाता-70 त सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू, अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप् एयर सि (4) 05 11 08	जनता हे नीचे जीन के स्टिक्स 0016, । बंगाल करने कल (5) 63 26 43			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00	22 33 00 22 44 44 96 65 57 70 44 45 58 33 66
के अधीन जारी तो उपलब्ध कर दी इपलाइन बिछाए ज तंबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, रिचम बंगाल राज्य गांव का नाम (1) कुळापुर	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप मे अनुसूची इग्रा-11 जिला : मे सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 106 104 892 2722	ा की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस ग मंजिल कोल ग आक्षेप भेज दिनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के हैक्टेयर (3) 00 00 00	सिधारण र, भूमि के तर के अव सं रिलोजिं तकाता-700 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप एयर सि (4) 05 11 08 08	जनता हे नीचे जैन के स्टिक्स 0016, बंगाल करने करने कर (5) 63 26 43			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00	22 33 00 22 44 44 99 66 55 70 10 44 44 56 93 83 33 66 11
1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज तंबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, शिचम बंगाल राज्य गाँव का नाम (1) 1) कृष्णपुर	की गईं अधिस्व- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' गने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप मे अनुसूची इग्रा-11 जिला : मे सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 105 104 892 2722 894	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस मंजिल कोल र्व आसेप भेज स्विनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के हैक्टेयर (3) 00 00 00 00	ं साधारण र, भूमि क तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप एयर हि (4) 05 11 03 06	जनता हे नीचे जैन के स्टिक्स 0016, बंगाल करने करने करने (5) 63 26 49			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58 57	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00	22 33 00 22 44 44 45 55 70 44 45 56 33 66 11 36
(1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी ग्राइपलाइन बिछाए ज संबंध में श्री बारिद ब त्फास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, ग्रिचम बंगाल राज्य गाँव का नाम (1) (1) कृष्णपुर	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' ाने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप मे अनुसूची इग्रा-॥ जिला : मे सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 105 104 892 2722 894 1910 895 896	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस मेजिल कोल र्व आसेप भेज स्विनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के हैक्टेयर (3) 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	ं साधारण र, भूमि के तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप एयर सि (4) 05 11 08 06 04 15 00	जनता हे नीचे जैन के स्टिक्स 0016, करने करने करने (5) 63 26 49 43 61 15			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58 57 56 384	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00	22 39 00 22 44 44 45 55 70 10 44 45 55 82 33 66 11 36 77
1) के अधीन जारी को उपलब्ध कर दी गइपलाइन बिछाए ज तंबंध में श्री बारिद ब न्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, शिचम बंगाल राज्य गाँव का नाम (1) 1) कृष्णपुर	की गईं अधिस्व- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' जाते के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप मे अनुसूची इग्रा-॥ जिला : मे सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 105 104 892 2722 894 1910 895 896 897	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस ग मंजिल कोल ग आसेप भेज विनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के हैक्टेयर (3) 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	सिधारण र, भूमि कं तर के अव सं रिलोजि काता-700 व सकेगा। : पश्चिम यू, अजित लिए क्षेत्रप एयर सि (4) 05 11 08 06 04 15 00 08	जनता हे नीचे जैन के स्टिक्स 0016, बंगाल करने कल एयर (5) 63 26 43 61 15 60			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58 57 56 384 55	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00 06 07	444 223 393 000 223 444 444 445 555 333 627 181 303 751
	की गईं अधिसूच- जाती हैं, इक्कीस ' ाने के लिए उपयोग रन गुहा, सक्षम प्रा 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसर्र को लिखित रूप मे अनुसूची इग्रा-॥ जिला : मे सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 105 104 892 2722 894 1910 895 896	त की प्रतिय दिन के भीत ग के अधिक धिकारी, मैस मेजिल कोल र्व आसेप भेज स्विनीपुर राज्य आर.ओ. के हैक्टेयर (3) 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	ं साधारण र, भूमि के तर के अव र्स रिलोजिं तकाता-70 इ सकेगा । : पश्चिम यू अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रप एयर सि (4) 05 11 08 06 04 15 00	जनता हे नीचे जैन के स्टिक्स 0016, करने करने करने (5) 63 26 49 43 61 15			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58 57 56 384	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00	223 393 000 223 444 445 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500

~ ~~~	
111.	

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MARCH 5, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1932

[PART II—SEC. 3(ii)]

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(3) आमिपाडा (निरंत	तर) 137	00	02	97	(5) उत्तर दाउदपुर	1012	(30)	04	96
	136	00	08	19	(निरंतर)	1019	00	04	05
	387	00	04	87		1017	00	(0)	10
	135	00	06	66		1008	00	11	68
	134	00	Œ	46		1007	00	00)	88
	157	00	04	32		977	00	07	$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}}$
	158	00	06	50		936	90	06	74
	156	00	05	42		935	00	10	(3)
	164	00	06	41		924	00	15	31
	165	00	06	75		925	00	00	35
	389	00	02	74		923	00	15	95
	394	00	00	80		905	00	10	(X)
	166	00	05	56	•	906	000	14	72
	395	00	01	60		907	(1)	œ	4()
	167	00	02	73		902	00	07	26
	168	00	01	20		809	00	04	(ξ):
	396	00	01	00		901	000	22	48
	201	00	00	4 9		200	00	24	5 6
	169	00	05	37		1142	00	01	44
	199	00	05	13		1143	00	00	19
	198	00	02	16		1145	00	13	04
	197	00	04	59		1163	00	05	85
	195	00	00	10		1164	00	00	26
	196	00	02	96		1162	00	12	4]
	325	00	09	24		1150	00	06	43
	193	00	05	02		सर्वे सं. 1150	00	Œ	51
	194	00	02	50		और 1157 के			
	192	00	01	50		बीच में			
	190	00	08	34		1157	00	08	83
	189	00	Œ	43		1223	00	00	21
	191	00	00	84		1224	00	12	27
<u> به ۴</u>	186	00	.01	40		1233	00	06	20
(4) निजदांडा	102	00	08	93		1225	00	02	42
	100	00	-03	80		1235	-00	02	76
	106	00	00	35		1234	00	08	64
	465	00	08	33		1232	00	08	99
	108	00	04	51		1237	00	00	10
(5) Triang	109	00	05	01		1231	00	17	88
(5) इत्तर दाउदपुर	गांव सीमा और	00	06	07		1243	00	22	29
	सर्वे सं. 1012 के बीच में					774	00	01	37
	חוק יו								

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(5) उत्तर दाउदपुर	775	00	19	15	(6) उत्तर सिलमपुर	1535	00	04	91
(निरंतर)	1245	00	12	90	(निरंतर)	230	00	08	60
	<i>77</i> 9	00	05	25		2748	00	37	59
	777	00	01	60		267	00	21	72
	1349	00	14	40		266	00	17	92
	1350	00	ю	86		262	00	00	96
	सर्वे सं. 1350	00	02	22		246	00	18	86
	और 856 के					2749	00	Œ	01
	बीच में					2750	00	13	09
	856	00	17	. 53		247	00	10	31
	3611	00	08	30		250	00	00	59
	3697	00	00	73	•	239	00	29	28
•	3701	00	01	49		238	00	10	56
	3702	00	08	18		240	00	01	45
	855	00	00	94		231	00	01	22
	857	00	-00	07		216	00	47	89
	3703	00	02	19	,	220	00	20	58
	3709	00	08	07		22 i	00	12	96
	3710	00	08	70	-	211	00	10	32
	3707	00	11	67	•	212	00	09	07
	854	00	21	87		209	00	04	52
	3711	00	14	58		208	00	24	90
	850	00	17	5 7		194	00	01	57
	सर्वे सं. 850 औ	₹ 00	00	85		193	00	01	87
	गांव सीमा के बीच में					791	00	38	45
(c) 		00	ne	90		187	00	07	53
(6) उत्तर सिलमपुर	561	00	08	80 55	-	169	00 '	15	51
	560	00	01 o=	55 00		155	00	11	-12
	333	00	05	0B		153	00	<u>(B</u>	26
	334	00	03	33		132	00	00	52
	332	00	15	56		133	00	03	33
	339	00	11	15		134	00	16	94
	338	00	09	41		139	00	17	37
	344	00	01	42		152	00	35	60
	343	00	06	47		2057	00	Õ1	90
	346	00	06	16		2058	00	00	0
	352	00	04	06		2829	00	05	7
	347	00	13	17		2053	00	00	8
	348	00	05	75		2056	00	13	60
	349	. 00	00	05		2055	00	23	ľ
	1534	00	01	04		2064	00	00	36

1	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
5	-	2828	00	08	39	(7) दरबार खान बार	275	00	02	8
-	(निरंतर)	2054	00	01	18		274	00	10	3
1		2831	00	08	98		441	00	16	3
:		2066	00:	00	10		401	00	08]
;		2834	00	07"	15		35	00	02	4
: !		2832	00	02	83		36	00	10	3
٠		2833	00	-12	67		34	00	13	
		2046	00	01	45		39	00	00	
		2838	00	Œ	91		32	00	09	
:		20 96 *	00	00	62		31	00	33	;
		2839	00	00	39		30	00	00	(
į		2840	00	00	24		17	00	Œ	
:	•	2842	00	00	10		22	00	00	
!		2099	00	22	67	(8) जगन्नाथ कारबार	गांव सीमा और	00	02	
:		2837	00	08	24		सर्वे सं. 1004			
		2836	00	01	54		के बीच में			
!		2100	00	01	69		1004	00	05	
		2823	00	00	10		207	00	01	
		2039	00	02	60		208	00	04	
		2038	00	08	24		209	00	01	
1		2037	00	02	21		210	00	03	
i		2111	00	08	56		213	00	04	
Ì		2306	00	15	09		219	00	00	
1		2107	00	15	21		212	00	09	
		2108	00	01	59		202	00	00	
:		1993	00	11	93		228	00	07	
		1994	00	Œ	84		229	00	04	
i		1995	00	Œ	53		230	00	03	
•		1998	00	07	22		231	00	00	
		1997	00	00	7 8		198	00	œ	
		1999	00	02	40		199	00	04	
į		2000	00	01	77		200	00	00	
		2001	00	05	33		197	00	09	
		2002	00	08	65					
-		2003	00	00	43		196	00	.11	
!		2004	00		08		301	00	02 00	
	•	2005	00	10	29	:	183	00		
	*	2006	00	00	19	•	179	00	04	
[2007"	00	08	93	•	174	00	09	
ļ		2008	00	04	77		178	00	00	
Ì		2009	00	04	80	•	177	00	00	
}		2010	00	04	29		176	00	05	
į	•	1990	00	16	87		175	00	01	
-		2011	. 00	00	83		172	00	08	
ļ		1989	00	25	04		173	00	08	
		1988	m	08	Ol		303	00	Œ	

भाग II—खण्ड 3(ii)]	भारत का राजपत्र स्मार्ज 5,2011/कारपुत्र 14, 1932	
	CALLO DE MAN AN PARTIE OF SECTION OF SECTION AND SECTION OF SECTION AND ADDRESS OF SECTION ADDRE	

(8) जगन्नाथ कारबार			(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	162	00	17	66	(9)	प्राकृत्वर (निरंतर)	56	00	02	32
(निरंतर)	161	00	02	62			. 8	00	. 04	36
	305	- 00	01	15	मंडर	√तहसील/तालुक ः	भगवानपर-II	जिला :	मेदिनी पर	
	360	00	01	20				राज्य : प	-	गाल
	361	00	08	82				-		_
	97	00	01	76	(1)	पुरुश्चिया	गांव सीमा ३		02	3
	160	. 00	00	10 -			समें भर 21 व	軒		
	363	00	09	98		and the second s	्र क्षेत्र में	00	- 40	
	365	00	07	97			21 10	00 00	00 16	1 0
	366	00	09	.21		•	9	00	. 20 04	~ 0
	367	00	№ 09	31			11	- 00	07	5
	368	. 00	Œ	52	-	:	18	00	09	3
	358	. 00	. 01	47			17	ã	10	00
	369	00	00	10	. 4 .		16	00	07	9
	376	00	18	58	erii. Gara		15	00	02	σ
•	377	00	01	17			38	60	03	a
	374	00	00	45			39	(3)	(B	5
	375	00	< : 07	æ			40	00	a 0	4
	378	00	06	53	•		· 47 7	600	62	4
	373	00	00	39			41	00	04	.7
	386	00	19	64			42	00	. 04	. 1
	388	00	16	4 37			48	60	04	9
	387	90	OI	70			* 44	00	Of	0
	39 9	00	04	79				- 89	08	1
	400	00	15	89			- 6	. 90	02	9
	522	00	16	21				. (0)	()1	1
	401	00	05	€9			3	€0	88	7
	426	00	08	80			S	90	07	6
	425	00	07	33			(25)	00	08	5
	427	00	.00	29		•		60	<u>(12</u>	7
	424	00	- 01	78			57	00	08	6
	428	00	13	12			₩.	00	13	0
	423	00	08	. 90		-	3	00	62 03	E E
	436	00	11	00	(2)	नया बसन उर्ज	,	00	00	1
	438	00∌	16	87	4-7	भाइपु क् रिया	î	00	(F)	0
) पाइकबर	62	00	05	31			109	00	O1	9
	61	09	14	33			137	00	05	2
	60	00	05	5 9			158	00	10	3
	5 9	00	04	08			157	00	O1	2
	41	00	- 60	81		e de la companya de La companya de la co	159	00	17	3
	42	00	81	· 87 :			160	00	12	. 1
	39	00	00	98			153	25 A 00 .	, 00	9
	52	00	01	70			152	00	04	. 9
	12	00	24	07		•	161	00	Œ	6
	11	00	09	45			207	00	07	8
	15	00	05	40		* *.	206	00	08	: 30
	9	oo i	25	64			205 194	00 00	14 02	23

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(
2) नया बसन उर्फ	195	00	00	40	(2) नया बसन उर्फ	964	00	00	
ं धाइपुखुरिया (नि	नरंतर) 20 4	00	11	55	धाइपुखुरिया (नि	नरंतर) 871	00	01	
	203	.00	00	62	.,,,,	949	00	10	
	198	00	00	62		2454	00	00	
	199	00	05	50		2453	00	14	
	201	00	12	56		2452	00	02	
	200	00	07	87		2451	00	00	
	292	00	02	08		977	00	03	
	331	00	00	51		978	00	22	
•	330	00	œ	77		2466	00	12	
	329	00	06	 78		2467	00	00	
	325	00	00	17		2465	00	05	
	328	00	07	21		2464	00	03	
	326	00	21	93		981	00	02	
	320	00	17	67		985	00	01	
	2245	00	04	31					
	317	00	02	72		987	00	12	
	344	00	05	05		988	00	12	
	1138	00	02	47		989	00	02	
	1137	00	00	96		996	00	04	
	1143	00	03	47		997	00	05	
	1142	00	03	27	*	998	00	11	
	2510	00	12	19		1000	00	09	
	2508	00	01	36		1007	00	10	
	2509	00	12	85		1009	00	00	
	2502	00	02	20		833	∞	04	
	1355	00	41	33		1010	00	04	
	1333 1348	00	01	29		1011	00	00	
	2492	00	05	69		832	(X)	Oi	
	1354	00	18	53		831	∞	08	
	2486	00	17	53 91		830	00	(12	
	2487	00	11	82		829	00	02	
	1361	00	23	10		825	00	02	
	1311	00	یں 10	61		2239	00	68	
	1362	00	01	57	(3) ज्यागोडी	323	00	01	
	1363	00	01	06		322	00	œ	
	1364	00	00	91		314	00	02	
	1365	w 00	00	91 10		310	00	55	
	1366	00	16	45		217	00	01	
	1367	00	02	4.5 84		216	00	02	
	1368	w 00	01	78		215	00	01	
	2546	w 00	01 11	78 01		483	00	14	
	2546 960	00	06	07		491	00	00	
	2376	w 00	04	08		492	00	œ	
	₩/O	w	(#	VO.		-,-	~~	•	

 \mathfrak{B}

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(3) ज्यागोडी (निरंतर)	495	00	09	19	(4) रघुनाथ चक्र	295	00	06	98
(5) - 4 1101 (7 11117)	496	00	05	60	(निरंतर)	302	00	07	19
	497	00	00	31		293	00	02	74
	237	00	02	['] 10		548	00	00	19
•	511	00	02	33		304	00	01	89
	512	00	08	29		303	00	02	23
	516	00	04	66		322	00	05	81
	213	00	06	05		390	00	05	52
	515	00	Œ	72		397	00	00	47
	465	00	06	80		392	00	00	60
	466	00	07	30	-	391	00	09	32
	467	00	Œ	27		625	00	10	33
	172	00	00	11		389	00	01	10
	463	00	06	49		386	00	. 05	89
	462	00	08	75		385	00	02	54
	461	00	08	83		384	00	10	33
	460	00	06	83		566	00	05	10
	459	00	02	55		380	00	02	89
	458	00	02	50		565	00	03	24
	173	00	00	46		564	00	02	98
	174	00	02	08	•	379	00	05	81
	171	00	02	03		377	00	06	57
	170	00	01	32	•	370	00	06	06
	122	00	00	76		3 <i>6</i> 9	00	. 04	88
	168	00	00	55	-	371	00	00	10
	175	00	00	35	•	366	00	00	44
	169	00	00	76		367	00	01	57
	सर्वे सं. 168	00	01	17	•	368	00	04	17
	और गांव साम					559	00	01	65
•	के बीच में	•				558	00	" 01	34
(4) रघुनाथ चक्र	गांव सीमा औ	₹ 00	01	24		360	00	00	
(4) (g'il 4 4xi	सर्वे सं. 537		-			557	00	00	
	के बीच में		•			556	00	00	
•	537	00	00	59		357	00	00	
·	284	00				563	00	01	23
	543	00				562	.00	01	57
	542	00				361	00		54
	541	00				561	00		71
	546	00				560	00		
	290	00				355	00		
	545	00				354	00		
	291	00				350	00		
	292	00				352	00		
•		00				351	00		
	444								
	555 296	· 00				347 सर्वे सं. 347	00 00		

2078	THE GAZETTE	OF IN	DIA : M	ARCH 5	, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1	932	[Part	II—Sec	. 3(ii)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(4) रघुनाथ चक्र	और 479 के				(6) एक्तारपुर (निरंतर)	303	00	08	84
(नि रंत र)	बीच में					304	000	10	16
	479	00	07	62		2106	000	00	97
(5) शनी चक्क	82	00	07	71		219	00	01	31
	7	00	07	49		316	00	10	86
	4	00	43	59		317	00	14	01
	- 11	00	04	08		318	00	03	36
•	12	00	06	17		315	00	00	10
	13	00	01	95		319	00	12	66
	14	00	08	15		488	00	01	28
	17	00	00	10		914	00	\mathbf{o}	15
	19	00	14	15		915	00	01	52
	20	00	00	39		916	00	Œ	46
6) एक्तारपुर	156	00	05	01		917	00	00	10
	157	00	02	17		918	00	00	80
	160	00	12	66		913	00	05	18
	161	00	17	17		919	00	13	21
	162	00	00	63		902	00	00	10
	181	00	07	17		901	00	01	45
	183	00	01	75		920	00	04	09
	182	00	05	77		898	00	00	18
	180	00	09	77		900	00	07	09
	179	00	00	12		899	00	03	14
	173	00	07	72		2861	00	01	87
	178	00	09	02		845	00	01	14
	201	00	08	18		856	00	00	82
	200	00	14	71		857	00	01	23
	203	00	00	17		865	00	06	30
	19 9	00	13	14		896	00	00	12
	247	00	01	59		891	00	00	13
	248	00	11	11		866	00	10	98
	249	00	12	83		864	00	02	11
	250	00	03	38		867	00	00	61
	280	00	00	10		882	00	00	22
	229	00	05	67		878	00	04	16
	228	00	08	86		871	00	Œ	36
•	230	00	08	10		877	00	06	19
	232	00	00	67		879	00	00	97
	202	nn.	^~	20	_	057	20		

 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

 $\mathbf{00}$

 ω

भारत का	राजपत्र	: मार्च	5, 2011/फाल्गुन	14, 1932
---------	---------	---------	-----------------	----------

[भाग II—खण्ड 3(ii)]

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(६) एक्तारपुर (निरंतर)	566	00	01	35	(7) माधा खलि	(निरंतर) 1125	00	00	31
	565	00	08	60	, ,	11 24	00	03	81
	560	00	14	39		1126	00	00	93
	559	00	00	51	•	1 12 7	00	01	05
	558	00	03	84		1128	00	Œ	20
	562	00	00	10		1130	- 00	09	99
	561	00	02	67		1129	00	00	92
	563	00	00	27		1107	00	00	10
	573	00	10	03		1104	00	13	85
	551	00	03	08		1133	00	02	84
	550	00	01	95		1132	00	00	05
	553	00	00	56		1134	00	00	76
	552	00	05	10		1144	00	00	10
	548	00	05	28		1135	00	12	81
	547	00	02	21		1137	00	00	33
	546	00	01	41		1136	00	03	57
	545	00	08	32		1085	00	00	38
	544	00	01	61		1138	00	00	32
•	1367	00	01	42		1139	00	20	17
	1426	00	01	88		1082	00	02	53
	1427	00	12	50		1313	00	23	06
	1428	00	03	29		1312	00	02	57
	1422	00	00	10		1306	00	. 04	89
•	1429	00	01	53		2754	00	05	92
	1450	00	13	17		i324	00	12	24
	1449	00	00	10		1304	0 0	06	56
	1451	00	00	91		1325	00	02	4]
	1452	00	00	10		1326	60	05	13
	1448	00	08	15		1328	00	00	38
	1447	00	09	01		1329	00	(12	15
	1473	00	08	47		1332	00	04	51
	1446	00	02	41		1345	00	02	17
	1445	00	01	19		1330	00	04	99
	1444	00	00	54		1331	00	03	54
	1436	00	01	08		1346	00	03	83
	1440	00	05	03		1344	00	· 07	85
	1441	00	08	14	·	2800	00	Œ	17
	1438	00	00	83		1347	00	05	87
	1439	00	01	62		1348	00	00	44
(7) माधा खलि	246	09	06	72		1349	00	00	10
• •	1152	00	02	39		2801	00	09	05
	1117	00	01	81		1350	00	11	39
	2737	00	00	10		1431	00	00	23
	1121	00	04	55	•	2768	00	06	16
	1120	00	02	38		1372	00	œ	10
	1122	00	02	27		2767	00	04	93

2080	THE GAZET	TE OF IN	DIA : M	ARCH 5	, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1	932	[PART	II—Sec	. 3(ii)]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(7) माधा खलि (वि	नरंतर) 1373	00	04	70	(8) बामुणिआ (निरंतर)	352	00	00	48
	2766	00	02	65	· · ·	270	00	29	94
	1374	00	11	32		266	00	01	95
	1394	00	06	14		263	00	09	05
	1395	00	01	10		269	00	16	91
	1396	00	04	04	•	157	00	00	25
	1397	00	00	10		262	00	02	84
	1393	00	04	82		158	00	16	82
	1400	00	00	84		159	00	20	44
	1401	00	03	81		107	00	02	14
	1392	00	01	63		160	00	13	19
	1411	00	01	70		89	00	05	17
	2459	00	00	54		88	00	18	95
	2458	00	09	28		87	00	00	10
	2457	00	. 09	08		84	00	07	23
	2463	00	11	83		85	00	04	78
	2466	00	00	10		82	00	02	07
	2465	00	Œ	48		86	00	00	18
	2464	00	05	79		सर्वे सं. 86	00	06	24
	2467	00	04	60		और 81			
	2455	00	08	<i>6</i> 9		के बीच में			
	2468	00	00	35		81	00	01	12
	2454	00	04	15		80	00	02	01
	2452	. 00	02	53		79	00	œ	63
•	2474	00	01	89		53	00	20	75
(8) बामुणिआ	299	00	01	45		सर्वे सं. 53	00	02	29
	298	. 00	04	7 1		और 66			
	293	00	06	34		के बीच में			
	295	00	05	06		66	00	12	85
	297	00	00	91		67	00	10	37
	294	00	06	32		65	00	02	15
	296	00	21	81		218	00	11	52
	332	m	OR.	15		62	m	12	14

	1394	00	06	14		263	00	09	05
	1395	00	01	10		26 9	00	16	91
	1396	00	04	04	•	157	00	00	25
	1397	00	00	10		262	00	02	84
	1393	00	04	82		158	00	16	82
	1400	00	00	84		159	00	20	44
	1401	00	03	81		107	00	02	14
	1392	00	01	63		160	00	13	19
	1411	00	01	70		89	00	05	17
	2459	00	00	54		88	00	18	95
	2458	00	09	28		87	00	00	10
	2457	00	09	08		84	00	07	23
	2463	00	11	83		85	00	04	78
	2466	00	00	10		82	00	02	07
	2465	00	03	48		86	00	00	18
	2464	00	05	79	•	सर्वे सं. 86	00	06	24
	2467	00	04	60		और 81			
	2455	00	08	69		के बीच में			
	2468	00	00	35		81	00	01	12
	2454	00	04	15		80	00	02	01
	2452	. 00	02	53		79	00	03	63
-	2474	00	01	89		53	00	20	79
(8) बामुणिआ	299	00	01	45		सर्वे सं. 53	00	02	29
	298	00	04	7 1		और 66			
	293	00	06	34		के बीच में			
	295	00	05	06		66	00	12	85
	297	00	00	91	-	67	00	10	37
	294	00	06	32		65	00	02	15
	296	00	21	81		218	00	11	52
	332	00	08	15		62	00	13	15
	340	00	07	95		63	00	01	80
	339	00	10	71		61	00	10	93
	341	00	06	19		60	00	01	88
	338	00	Œ	50	्(9) ∢गरनिआ	263	00	01	60
	337	00	26	38		292	00	10	27
	336	00	00	10		294	00	00	10
	355	00	02	85		293	00	01	21
	सर्वे सं. 355	00	00	94		628	00	00	10
	और 357					138	00	02	47
	को बीच में					627	00	01	14
	357	00	00	65		296	00	11	71
	354	00	02	91		297	00	02	91
	353	00	02	37		629	00	01	88

(1)	(0)	(2)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)			08	5
(9) गरनिआ (निरंतर		00	. 08	92 ~	(i) पाणिनाल (निरंतर)	82 83	00 00	47	2
	579	00	01	22	~ 		00	02	1
	583	00	00	44 > 00	(2) इस्माइलपुर	185			
	578	00	29	- 92 ez		174	00	01	-2
	581	00	00	87		175	00	01	3
	582	00	02	06.		176	00	01	-8
	538	00	14	13	(3) सतशातमल	207	00	07	6
	536	00	00	60		206	00	02	8
	537	00	05	89		205	00	02	. 8
	539	00	08	97		1212	00	06	4
	541	00	09	65		1208	00	08	. 4
	542	00	Œ	41		1209	00	15	3
	533	00	12	40		1210	00	02	1
	532	00	05	89		1211	00	01	5
	527	00	00	21			00	21	Č
	526	00	21	07		1207			2
	524	00.	13	15		1206	00	(12 ~	
	523	00	12	26		1224	00	06	
	517	00	11	<i>7</i> 1		157	.00	05	2
	516	00	04	32		1226	00	00	4
	514	00	04	89		156	00	29	
	513	00	00	78		124	00	01	3
	515	00	05	65		125	00	04	1
	500	00	04	90		115	00	27	(
	598	00	01	29		123	00	23	•
	499	00	04	23		93	00	Œ	(
	496	00	09	27		116	00	20	3
	597	00	23	60		121	00	01	1
	447	00	17	63	•	120	90	- 09	
	448	00	13	32				00	
	645	00	07	11		117	00		
	449	00	02	34		119	00	48	
	50	00	01	39		79	00	05	:
	1	00	03	58	•	78	00	15	;
(10) बृंदाबनपुर	1107	ã	00	10		77	00	05	•
(to) \$114 137	1106	00	18	41		76	00	07	(
	1235	00	00	48	•	<i>7</i> 5.	00	01	1
	1236	00	05	41		सर्वे सं. 75	00	02	1
	1109	00	05	95		और 352			
	1234	00	11	26		को बीच में			
	1110	00	02	53		352	00	11	
	1110	00	07	10		356	00	00	
	1112	00	12	63		353	00	08	
		00	15	65		354	00	08	>
	1113	00	01	44			00	08	
	874					355			
मंडल/तहसील/तालुक	ः पोटाशपुर-II	जिला :				357	00	00	
		राज्य : ¹	पाश्चम ब	गाल		358	00	00	
(1) पाणिनाल	84	00	04	49		359	00	01	(

~	n	а	•	
	13			

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MARCH 5, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1932

[PART II—Sec. 3(ii)]

+	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(:
	सतशातमल (निर्त		00	02	85	(4) संदलपुर (निरंतर)	1171	00	01	
)	संदलपुर	1356	∞	. 04	44		1082	00	01	
		1357	00	10	85		759	00	Œ	
		1355	00	00	34		757	00	04	
		1358	00	05	33		75 6	00	11	
		1360	00	07	20		755	00	10	
		1359	00	.05	66		22 7 2	00	00	
		1361	00	00	72		2265	00	01	
		1344	00	02	76		753	00	14	
		1245	00	01	83		754	00	02	
		1246	00	02	91		744	00	16	
		1390	00	01	60		1852	00	07	
		1244	00	01	38		1848	00	02	
		1249	00	02	92		1849	00	06	
		1248	00	08	80		1847	00	06	
		1250	00	01	80		1866	00	02	
		1240	00	00	91					
		1242	00	00	10		2248	00	03	
		1251	00	03	41		1845	00	04	
		1252	00	08	09		1868	00	15	
		1255	00	01	39		1870	00	02	
		1253	00	11	83		1838	00	29	
		1135	00	05	69		1837	00	02	
		1132	00	01	87		1828	00	07	
		1134	00	06	⊕		2328	00	02	
		1133	- 00	00	13		1826	00	01	
		1148	00	11	51		1829	00	04	
		1147	00	09	17		1830	00	08	
		1146	00	00	16		1815	00	25	
		1149	00	01	21		1814	00	06	
		1150	00	15	53		1501	00	02	
		1153	00	02	30		1500	00	15	
		1152	00	10	15		1496	00	21	
		1151	00	00	10		1497	00	02	
		1099	-00	01	37		1490	00	00	
		1156	00	04	71		1495	00	06	
		1157	00	12	22		1494	00	19	
		1163	00	12	58		1450	00	00	
		1161	00	00	93		1493	00	08	
		2162	00	08	48		1451	00	03	
		1164	00	01	07		1453	00	12	
		1165	00	07	27		1452	00	01	
		1166	00	11	31		1433	00	13	
		1167	00	04 ~	28		1432	00	19	
		1172	00	00	68		1452 सर्वे सं. 1432	00	00	
		1169	00	04	59 ~		सप स. 1432 और गांव सीमा	w	w	
		1388 1170	00 00	05 06	22 42		आर गाव सामा के बीच में			

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
) बाकु यिदनरी	1497	00	OI	14	(5) बाबुविदनरी (नि	तिर) 1015	00	00	10
	1498	00	01	98	_	1021	00	09	88
	1499	00	01	79		1022	00	Œ	92
	1500	00	19	.58		1120	00	08	38
	1501	00	00	90		1047	00	00	10
	1542	00	13	94		1104	00	29	11
	1540	00	04	01		1103	- 00	07	83
	1688	00	07	46		1100	00	07	74
	1544	00	02	88		1106	00	00	. 17
	1539	00	00	44		1099	00	10	25
	1538	00	13	35		1080	00	01	04
	1545	00	17	76		1097	00	04	45
	1536	00	00	96		1098	00	11	33
	1547	00	08	89		1090	00	15	62
	1546	00	07	58		10 91	00	00	90
	1553	00	OI.	67		1089	00	13	93
	1554	00	04	62		1086	00	14	86
	1555	00	00	55		309	00	01	81
	1562	00	14	07		308	09	12	73
	1244	00	04	96		1087	00	01	4
	1243	00	07	48	(६) सियनरी	28	00	02	06
	1215	00	04	38)	280	00	16	43
	1253	00	01	60	Í	276	00	00	66
	1250	00	16	26		277	00	06	40
	1251	00	02	Œ		279	00	08	70
	1248	00	00	94		273	00	02	54
	1249	00	18	00		278	00	12	95
	1237	00	05	49		266	00	œ	30
	1236	00	09	54		270	00	Œ	44
	1238	00	05	86		271	00	08	94
	1185	00	06	37		272	00	08	45
	1239	00	00	20		30	00	01	49
	1184	00	13	96		35	00	00	10
	1183	00	00	89		36	00	08	22
	1130	00	08	48		37	00	09	63
	1131	00	00	10		247	00	01	07
	1129	00	07	21		38	00	00	54
	1128	00	04	48		88	00	01	29
	1009	00	00	60		89	00	00	10
	1127	00	02	26	•	87	00		34
	1133	00	00	18		86	00	00	53
	1134	00	00	30		92	00	11	40
	1012	00	11	41		98	00	07	25
	1126	00	00	81		94	.00	07	42
	1125	00	00	10		97	00	11	83
	10 Í 4	00	02	79		96	-00	09	16

[PART	IISEC.	3(ii)]	
-------	--------	--------	--

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MARCH 5, 2011/PHALGUNA 14, 1932	THE GAZETTE OF	INDIA: M	IARCH 5.	2011/PHAL	GUNA	14, 1932
---	----------------	----------	----------	-----------	------	----------

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(6) सियनरी (निरंतर) 73	00	00	10	(i) शक्कलापुर (निरंत	t) 841	00	00	10
	106	00	12	67		882	00	02	60
	105	~ 00	09	9 1		881	00	08	90
	107	00	01	86		1532	00	00	70
	112	00	Œ	88		880	00	06	97
	113	00	00	10		883	00	00	54
	111	00	07	58		879	00	15	64
	127	00	06	15		872	00	00	36
	125	00	01	93	••	873	00	05	21
	1 2 6	00	04	06		874	000	05	33
	128	00	01	36		875	00	œ	33
	124	00	08	85		876	00	01	25
	121	00	04	13		1143	00	48	15
	123	00	01	97		1144	00	11	84
	122	00	17	27		1191	00	10	50
	133	00	01	46		1145	00	02	22
	134	00	03	7 1		1146	00	18	2
	148	00	00	61	•	1149	00	09	3
	147	00	09	96		1150	00	11	3
	146	00	03	08		1151	00	02	4
	145	00	04	54		1122	00	01	1
	144	00	00	10		1121	00	12	9
	143	00	11	98		1153	00	00	6
	142	00	01	68		1119	00	06	5
	500	00	02	78		1383	00	01	4
 						1120	00	07	5
नंडल/तहसील/तालु व	हः भगवानपुर-I	जिला : राज्य : प				1110	00	04	4
<u> </u>						सर्वे सं. 111	00 · 01	01	0
(1) शक्कुलापुर	1374		02	90		और गांव स			
	1373	00	(12	53		को बीच में			
•	<i>77</i> 9	00	00	33			िसला ।	मेदिनीपुर	
	1506	00	14	05	मंडल/तहसील/तालुक	: 4016151-11	ाजला : जन्म : 1	भाषपातुर पश्चिम ब	
	7 83	00	19	06					
	1502	00	Œ	04	(1) बन्बिस्नुपुर	1	00	04	1
	1505	00	09	12		276	00	01	-
	784	00	Œ	47		3	00	02	(
	782	00	01	09		17	00	03	1
	785	00	02	18		5 6	00	01	
	925	00	04	62		57	00	00	
	835			01	(2) बालुघाट	174	00	02	
	834	00	02	01	(2) ৰা লুঘাટ				4
		00 00	02 00	10	., -	18	00	04	
	834				· · · · ·	18 175	00 00	04	
	834 836	00	00 02	10	, J				
	834 836 836 837	00 00	00 02	10 76	, J	175 199	00	02	
	834 836 836	00 00	00 02 22	10 76 77	V. J	175 199 200	00 00	02 05	ı
	834 836 836 837 1525	00 00 00	00 02 22 01 12	10 76 77 00	V. J	175 199 200 210	00 00 00	02 05 13 01	1
	834 836 836 837 1525 838 839	00 00 00 00	00 02 22 01 12 03	10 76 77 00 78		175 199 200 210 201	00 00 00 00	02 05 13 01 01	
	834 836 836 837 1525 838	00 00 00 00 00	00 02 22 01 12 03 08	10 76 77 00 78 71		175 199 200 210	00 00 00 00	02 05 13 01 01	

New 1	Delhi, the 1st Mar	ch, 201	1			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	-Whereas it appea				(2)	Rukminipur	899	00	03	64
India that it is n						(Contd.)	901	00	19	65
transportation of na Coast of Andhra							900	.00	00	28
Limited to consu							1126	00	00	36
Kakinada—Basud			should l	oe laid			1936	00	01	14
by M/s. Relogistic	s Infrastructure L	imited;					1935	00	04	21
	s, it appears to						1125	00	06	65
that for the purpose to acquire the Righ							1937	. 00	04	38
pipeline is proposi							1123	00	01	80
the Schedule anne							1128	00	08	61
Now, therefo	ore, in exercise of	the pow	ers con	ferred			1127	00	04	02
by sub-section (1							1938	00	Œ	88
Minerals Pipelines	· -	-					1939	00	08	37
Act, 1962 (50 of 19 its intention to acq				CLARCS			1129	-00	03	71
	-			in the	(3)	Amipara	4	00	Oi.	43
said Schedule may	interested in the within twenty-o						7	00	10	77
on which the copie							6	00	12	72
Gazette of India u	nder sub-section (5	00	07	10
								~~	08	44
said Act, are made						•	14	00	UB	44
said Act, are made in writing to the a	cquisition of the	Right o	f User t	herein		•	14 10	w w	00	22
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe	equisition of the line under the lan	Right o	f User t ri Barid	herein Baran		·				44 22 39
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S	cquisition of the line under the lan Authority, Relo	Right of d to Shi gistics	f User t ri Barid Infrastr	herein Baran ucture		·	10	00	00	22 39
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S	cquisition of the line under the lan Authority, Relo	Right of d to Shi gistics	f User t ri Barid Infrastr	herein Baran ucture		•	10 1 <i>7</i> 7	00 00	00 00	22
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S	cquisition of the line under the lan Authority, Relo	Right of d to Shi gistics	f User t ri Barid Infrastr	herein Baran ucture		•	10 177 11	00 00	00 00 03	22 39 08 23
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State.	equisition of the cline under the lan Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K	Right of d to Shi gistics (olkata -	f User t ri Barid Infrastn 700 016	herein Baran ucture i, West			10 177 11 13	00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06	22 39 08
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State.	equisition of the cline under the lan Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K	Right of d to Shi gistics (olkata -	f User t ri Barid Infrastn 700 016	herein Baran ucture i, West			10 177 11 13 12	00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01	22 39 08 23 48 42 94
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/	cquisition of the eline under the lan Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu	Right of d to Shi gistics Colkata -	f User to ri Barid Infrastr 700 016	herein Baran ucture , West			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26	00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05	22 39 08 23 48 42 94
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State.	cquisition of the eline under the lan Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./	Right of d to Shi gistics Colkata -	f User to ri Barid Infrastri 700 016	herein Baran ucture i, West engal			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381	00 00 00 00 00	00 00 08 06 04 02 01 05 09	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/	cquisition of the eline under the lan Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu	Right of d to Shi gistics colkata -	f User to in Barid Infrastr 700 016 : West B to be acc for RO	herein Baran ucture b, West engal quired			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22	00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village	cquisition of the eline under the lan Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division	Right of d to Shi gistics Colkata -	f User to in Barid Infrastri 700 016 : West B to be acc for RO	herein Baran ucture i, West engal quired U C-Are			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 08 06 04 02 01 05 09 08	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village	cquisition of the eline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2)	Right of d to Shi gistics Colkata - State Area t Hec (3)	f User to ri Barid Infrastr 700 016 : West B to be acc for ROU Are (4)	herein Baran ucture i, West engal quired U C-Are (5)			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20	00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village	cquisition of the cline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 105	Right of d to Shi gistics colkata - r State Area t Hec (3)	f User to it Barid Infrastru 700 016 : West Boto be accommod for ROUAre (4)	engal C-Are (5)			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 08 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village	cquisition of the eline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2)	Right of d to Shi gistics Colkata - State Area t Hec (3)	f User to ri Barid Infrastr 700 016 : West B to be acc for ROU Are (4)	herein Baran ucture i, West engal quired U C-Are (5)			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48 56
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village	cquisition of the cline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 105	Right of d to Shi gistics colkata - r State Area t Hec (3)	f User to it Barid Infrastra 700 016 : West B to be accommod for ROU Are (4) 05 11 08	engal C-Are (5) 63 26 43			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48 56 93
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village (1) (1) Krishnapur	cquisition of the cline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 105 104	Right of d to Shi gistics colkata - r State Area t Hec (3) 00 00	f User to ri Barid Infrastro 700 016 : West B to be according for ROUAre (4)	engal C-Are (5) 63 26 43			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59		00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48 56 93 82
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village (1) (1) Krishnapur	cquisition of the cline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 105 104 892	Right of d to Shi gistics colkata - r State Area t Hec (3) 00 00 00	f User to it Barid Infrastra 700 016 : West B to be accommod for ROU Are (4) 05 11 08	engal C-Are (5) 63 26 43			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59		00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48 56 93 82
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village (1) (1) Krishnapur	cquisition of the cline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 105 104 892 2722	Right of d to Shi gistics colkata - r State Area t Hec (3) 00 00 00	to be accorded to the second of the second o	engal C-Are (5) 63 26 43			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58		00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48 56 93 82 33 62
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village (1) (1) Krishnapur	cquisition of the cline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 105 104 892 2722 894	Right of d to Shi gistics Colkata - r State Area t Hec (3) 00 00 00 00 00 00	f User the right of User the right of Barid Infrastru 700 016 .: West B to be accomposed for ROI Are (4) 05	engal C-Are (5) 63 26 43 26 49			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58		00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 07 08 15 00	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48 56 93 82 33 62
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village (1) (1) Krishnapur	cquisition of the cline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 105 104 892 2722 894 1910	Right of d to Shi gistics colkata - r State Area t Hec (3) 00 00 00 00 00 00	f User the function of the fun	engal quired U C-Are (5) 63 26 43 26 49 43			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58 57 56		00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00 06	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48 56 93 82 33 62 18
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village (1) (1) Krishnapur	cquisition of the cline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 105 104 892 2722 894 1910 895	Right of d to Shi gistics Colkata - T State Area t Hec (3) 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	to be accomposed of the state o	engal quired U C-Are (5) 63 26 43 61			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58 57 56 384		00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00 07 01 01	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48 56 93 82 33 62 18 36 75
said Act, are made in writing to the a for laying the pipe Guha, Competent Limited, 7, Wood S Bengal State. Mandal/Tehsil/ Taluk: Egra-II Village (1) (1) Krishnapur	cquisition of the cline under the land Authority, Relo Street, 3rd Floor, K SCHEDULE District: Medinipu Survey No./ Sub-Division (2) 105 104 892 2722 894 1910 895 896	Right of d to Shi gistics colkata - r State Area t Hec (3) 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	f User the file of User the file of Barid Infrastru 700 016 cc. : West B cc. be acc. for ROI Are (4) 05 cc. 11 cc. 05 cc. 06 cc. 04 cc. 15 cc. 00 cc. 00 cc. 15 cc	engal quired U C-Are (5) 63 26 43 26 49 43 61 15			10 177 11 13 12 25 27 26 381 22 23 20 382 44 45 59 31 58 57 56		00 00 03 06 04 02 01 05 09 08 00 04 10 07 08 15 00 06	22 39 08 23 48 42 94 64 54 76 10 44 48 56 93 82 33 62 18

[PART II—Sec. 3(ii)]

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(3) Amipara (Contd.)) 137	00	02	97	(5) Uttar Daudpur	1012	00	04	96
	136	00	08	19	(Contd.)	1019	00	04	05
	387	00	04	87		1017	00	00	10
	135	00	06	66		1008	00	11	68
	134	00	03	46		1007	00	00	88
	157	00	04	32	•	977	00	07	72
	158	00	.06	50		936	00	06	74
	156	00	05	42		935	00	10	08
	164	00	06	41		924	00	15	31
	165	00	06	75		925	00	00	35
	389	00	02	74		923	00	15	95
	394	00	00	80	•	906	00	10	00
	166	00	05	56		906	00	14	72
	395	00	01	60		907	00	02	40
	393 167		02			902	00	07	26
		00		73 20		809	00	04 ~~	00
•	168	00	01	20		901	00	22	48
	396	00	01	00		200	00	24 01	56
	201	00	00	49	:	11 42 11 43	00 00	00	44
	169	00	05	37		1145	00	13	19 04
	199	00	05	13		1163	00	05	85
	198	00	02	16		1164	00	00	26
	197	00	04	59		1162	00	12	41
	195	00	00	10	`	1150	00	06	43
	196	00	02	96		In bet suy no		03	51
	325	00	09	24		1150 & 1157		_	
	193	00	05	02		1157	00	08	83
	194	00	02	50		1223	00	00	21
	192	00	01	50		1224	00	12	27
•	190	00	08	34		1233	00	06	20
	189	00	03	43		1225	00	02	42
	191	00	00	84		1235	00	02	76
	186	00	01	40		1234	00	08	64
4) Nijadanda	102	00	08	93		1232	00	08	99
	100	00	03	80		1237	00	00	10
	106	00	00	35		1231	00	17	88
	465	00	08	33		1243	00	22	29
	108	00	04	51		774	00	01	37
	109	00	05	01		775	00	19	15
5) Uttar Daudpur	In bet VB &	00	06	07		1 24 5	00	12	90
· / 	suy no. 1012					<i>77</i> 9	00	05	25

(Contd.) 1349 00 14 40 (Contd.) 246 00 18 8 8 1350 at 1550 at	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1350 00 10 86 2749 00 18 00 18 00 18 18 18 1850 82 18 18 19 19 19 19 18 18 19 10 10 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 18 18 19 19 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	(5) Uttar Daudpur	777	00	01	60	Uttar Silampur	262	00	00	
In bet suy no. 00 02 22 22 2750 00 13 00 10 3 13 00 13 00 13 00 13 00 15 13 00 16 3 13 00 16 3 13 00 16 3 13 10 10 10 10 13 13 10 10 10 10 10 13 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	(Contd.)	1349	00	14	40	(Contd.)	246	00	18	86
1350 & 856		1350	00	10	86		2749	00	Œ	01
8866 00 17 53 250 00 00 9 9 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 00 00 00 8 5 0 1 5 5 0 1 5 5 0 0 1 1 1 15 1 3 4 00 16 5 9 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		In bet suy no.	00	02	22		2750	00	13	09
3611 00 08 39, 239 00 29 22 3697 00 00 73 238 00 10 59 3701 00 01 49 240 00 01 4 3702 00 08 18 231 00 01 4 855 00 00 94 216 00 47 8 857 00 00 77 220 00 20 58 857 00 00 77 220 00 20 58 3709 00 08 70 211 00 10 33 3700 00 11 67 209 00 09 43 3700 00 11 67 209 00 09 43 3710 00 11 67 209 00 09 43 3711 00 14 58 194 00 01 57 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 58 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 55 187 00 07 33 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 88 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 88 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 83 450 00 15 55 155 00 11 12 3333 00 05 03 03 132 00 07 33 334 00 05 03 03 133 132 00 05 33 334 00 05 03 133 132 00 05 33 334 00 05 03 133 132 00 05 33 334 00 05 03 133 132 00 05 33 334 00 05 03 133 132 00 05 33 334 00 05 03 133 132 00 05 33 335 00 05 05 03 153 00 05 33 336 00 06 47 2057 00 11 55 34 338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 3352 00 15 55 135 134 00 16 93 338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 3352 00 05 16 2053 00 00 99 3552 00 05 75 2055 00 13 66 3552 00 04 06 2268 00 00 08 39 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2055 00 231 00 00 89 349 00 00 05 2265 00 231 00 00 89 349 00 00 05 2265 00 231 00 00 89 349 00 00 05 2265 00 231 00 00 89 349 00 00 05 2265 00 231 00 00 89 349 00 00 06 2268 00 00 01 18 250 00 08 39 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 01 18 267 00 21 72 2824 00 07 18		1350 & 856					247	00	10	31
3697 00 00 73 238 00 10 50 50 3701 00 01 49 240 00 01 44 3702 00 08 18 231 00 01 42 855 00 00 94 216 00 47 88 855 00 00 94 221 00 12 98 3709 00 08 07 211 00 10 33 3707 00 11 67 209 00 04 53 854 00 21 87 208 00 24 90 3711 00 14 58 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 57 18 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 57 18 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 55 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		856	00	17	53		250	00	00	59
3701 00 01 49 240 00 01 48 3702 00 08 18 231 00 01 22 85 855 00 00 94 216 00 47 88 855 00 00 07 220 00 20 59 3703 00 02 19 221 00 10 23 99 3709 00 08 70 211 00 10 3710 00 08 70 211 00 10 3710 00 11 67 209 00 04 53 854 00 21 87 208 194 00 01 57 88 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 57 88 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 57 88 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 55 18 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 55 18 850 00 17 55 18 850	•	3611	00	08	30 ,		239	00	29	28
3702		3697	00	00	73		238	00	·10	56
855 00 00 94 216 00 47 88 857 00 00 00 07 2220 00 20 50 3703 00 02 19 221 00 12 96 3709 00 08 07 211 00 10 33 3707 00 11 67 209 00 04 52 3711 00 14 58 194 00 01 57 88 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 85 3791 00 38 850 850 850 850 850 850 850 850 850 85		3701	00	01	49		240	00	01	45
857 00 00 07 220 00 20 55 3703 00 02 19 221 00 12 96 3709 00 08 07 211 00 10 32 3709 00 08 70 211 00 10 32 3707 00 11 67 209 00 04 52 3711 00 14 58 194 00 15 51 155 00 11 15 134 00 16 94 139 00 17 373 374 00 01 45 56 133 00 08 373 374 00 01 45 56 133 00 08 373 374 00 01 42 152 00 09 35 60 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 349 00 00 65 75 2055 00 23 17 344 00 06 47 2057 00 01 35 60 349 00 00 65 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 00 01 35 154 00 06 37 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 55 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 57 2056 00 13 66 349 00 00 05 57 2056 00 13 66 349 00 00 05 57 2056 00 01 35 60 349 00 00 05 57 2056 00 00 00 05 57 2056 00 00 00 05 57 2056 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		3702	00	08	18		231	. 00	01	22
3703 00 02 19 221 00 12 99 3709 00 08 07 211 00 10 33 3710 00 08 70 212 00 09 07 3707 00 11 67 209 00 04 53 854 00 21 87 208 00 24 95 3711 00 14 58 194 00 01 57 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 57 187 00 07 53 1850 00 11 55 1850 00 11 12 333 00 08 80 153 00 08 33 334 00 08 33 33 34 00 08 33 34 34 00 08 34 34 34 00 09 41 32 34 00 16 94 34 34 00 09 42 35 34 34 00 09 41 32 30 00 07 33 34 34 00 08 34 34 34 00 09 41 32 30 00 08 34 34 34 00 09 41 32 30 00 08 34 34 34 00 09 41 32 30 00 08 34 34 34 00 09 41 32 30 00 08 34 34 34 00 09 41 32 30 00 08 34 34 34 00 09 41 32 30 00 08 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 00 09 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34		855	00	00	94	•	216	00	47	89
3709 00 08 07 211 00 10 33 3710 00 08 70 212 00 09 07 3707 00 11 67 209 00 04 53 854 00 21 87 208 00 24 95 3711 00 14 58 194 00 01 57 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 55 1850 & VB 6) Uttar Silampur 561 00 08 80 169 00 15 53 333 00 05 08 153 00 08 26 334 00 06 33 31 132 00 06 33 332 00 15 56 133 00 08 26 333 00 06 07 11 15 134 00 16 94 3338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 346 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 347 00 13 17 2058 00 00 99 347 00 13 17 2058 00 00 99 347 00 13 17 2058 00 00 99 347 00 13 17 2058 00 00 99 348 00 06 75 2055 00 23 17 348 00 06 75 2055 00 23 17 348 00 07 55 2056 00 13 2054 00 00 36 1534 00 01 04 91 2054 00 00 88 99 2748 00 07 59 2066 00 00 07 282		857	00	00	07		220	00	20	58
3710 00 08 70 212 00 09 00 37707 00 11 67 209 00 04 52 3854 00 21 87 208 00 24 90 3711 00 14 58 194 00 01 57 850 195 00 01 85 195 00 07 53 850 50 VB 187 00 07 53 333 00 05 03 33 132 00 05 56 133 00 08 33 33 339 00 11 15 338 00 09 41 139 00 01 77 37 37 338 00 09 41 139 00 01 77 37 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 36 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 344 00 07 35 36 37 37 38 344 00 07 35 36 37 37 38 344 00 07 35 36 37 37 38 36 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 37 38 344 00 07 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38		3703	00	02	19		221	00	12	96
3707 00 11 67 209 00 04 55 854 00 21 87 208 00 24 90 3711 00 14 58 194 00 01 57 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 87 187 00 07 33 84 850 & VB 187 00 07 33 33 00 05 05 05 155 155 00 11 12 333 00 05 05 334 00 07 11 15 134 00 16 94 338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 05 35 348 00 05 16 2058 00 00 05 16 2058 00 00 05 15 348 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 2056 00 13 66 2064 00 00 05 1534 00 01 04 22 2054 00 00 89 2066 00 00 05 1534 00 06 99 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 00 06 89 2066 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		3709	00	08	07		211	00	10	32
854 00 21 87 208 00 24 95 3711 00 14 58 194 00 01 57 850 00 17 57 193 00 01 85 187 00 07 53 850 00 01 55 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 08 80 169 00 15 51 155 00 11 12 133 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 00 08 33 153 153 00 08 33 153 153 00 08 33 153 153 00 08 33 153 153 00 08 33 153 153 153 155 155 155 155 155 155		3710	00	08	70		212	00	09	07
3711 00 14 58 194 00 01 57 850 195 00 01 57 850 00 17 57 195 00 01 85 187 00 07 33 48 850 850 8 VB 187 00 07 33 187 00 05 560 00 01 55 155 00 11 12 333 00 08 33 132 00 06 33 33 132 00 06 33 33 132 00 06 33 33 132 00 06 33 33 132 00 06 33 33 132 00 06 33 33 132 00 06 34 13 153 00 08 33 33 132 00 06 34 153 00 08 36 153 00 08 36 153 00 06 94 11 139 00 17 37 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 344 00 06 47 2057 00 01 95 346 00 05 16 2058 00 00 05 95 347 00 13 17 2056 00 13 65 348 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 16 2058 00 00 00 35 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 06 06 2222 00 00		3707	00	11	67		209	00	04	52
850 00 17 57 193 00 01 85 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 07 53 187 00 08 18 187 00 08 18 183 00 08 183 00 08 183 00 08 183 00 08 183 00 08 183 00 08 183 00 08 183 00 08 183 00 08 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 00 08 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 18		854	00	21	87		208	00	24	90
In bet suy no. 00 00 85		3711	00	14	58		194	00	01	57
850 & VB 187 00 07 53 560 00 01 55 155 00 11 12 333 00 05 08 334 00 06 33 332 00 15 56 338 00 01 15 338 00 00 11 15 134 00 16 344 00 01 42 152 00 343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 36 37 346 00 06 352 00 06 36 37 347 00 13 17 2053 348 00 05 348 00 05 348 00 06 348 00 06 349 349 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00				17	57		193	• 00	01	87
6) Uttar Silampur 561 00 08 80 169 00 15 51 55 56 00 11 12 333 00 08 26 334 00 08 33 132 00 00 52 332 00 15 56 133 00 08 33 339 00 11 15 15 134 00 16 94 338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 346 00 06 16 2058 00 00 09 352 00 04 06 2829 00 06 79 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 348 00 05 75 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 349 00 00 05 352 00 04 06 2829 00 06 79 349 00 00 05 352 2055 00 23 17 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 05 352 2055 00 23 17 2056 00 13 65 2054 00 01 36 65 2054 00 01 18 230 00 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 10 10 267 00 00 05 267 00 01 18 267 00 01 18 267 00 01 18 267 00 01 17 27 2056 00 01 18 267 00 01 18 267 00 07 15			00	00	85				38	45
560 00 01 55 155 00 11 12 333 00 08 08 153 00 08 26 334 00 08 33 132 00 00 52 332 00 15 56 133 00 08 33 339 00 11 15 134 00 16 94 338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 346 00 05 16 2058 00 00 09 347 00 13 17 2053 00 08 79 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 1534						•				\mathfrak{A}
333 00 08 08 153 00 08 26 334 00 08 33 132 00 00 52 332 00 15 56 133 00 08 33 339 00 11 15 134 00 16 94 338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 346 00 05 16 2058 00 00 09 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 66 349 00 00 05 2066 00 13 66 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00	6) Uttar Silampur			08						51
334 00 08 33 132 00 00 52 332 00 15 56 133 00 08 33 339 00 11 15 134 00 16 94 338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 346 00 06 16 2058 00 00 09 352 00 04 06 2829 00 06 79 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 05 75 2055 00 23 17 349 00 00 06 2064 00 00 36 1534				01				00	11	12
332 00 15 56 133 00 08 33 339 00 11 15 134 00 16 94 338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 346 00 05 16 2058 00 00 09 352 00 04 06 2829 00 06 79 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 06 2064 00 00 36 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2054 00 01 18 230 00 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>05</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>26</td>				05						26
339 00 11 15 134 00 16 94 338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 346 00 05 16 2058 00 00 09 352 00 04 06 2829 00 06 79 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 06 2064 00 00 36 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2054 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 </td <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>Œ</td> <td>33</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>52</td>		•		Œ	33					52
338 00 09 41 139 00 17 37 344 00 01 42 152 00 35 60 343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 346 00 05 16 2058 00 00 09 352 00 04 06 2829 00 05 79 347 00 13 17 2063 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 05 2065 00 23 17 349 00 00 05 2064 00 00 36 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2064 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00<				15	56 .	•				33
344 00 01 42 152 00 35 - 60 343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 346 00 05 16 2058 00 00 09 352 00 04 06 2829 00 05 79 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 05 2064 00 00 36 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2064 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00<		339	00	11	15	,				94
343 00 06 47 2057 00 01 96 346 00 05 16 2058 00 00 09 352 00 04 06 2829 00 05 79 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 06 2064 00 00 36 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2064 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15		338	00	09	41					37
346 00 06 16 2058 00 00 09 352 00 04 06 2829 00 06 79 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 05 2064 00 00 36 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2054 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 00 15 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15			00	01	42					60
352 00 04 06 2829 00 06 79 347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 05 2055 00 23 17 354 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2054 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15		343	00	06	47					96
347 00 13 17 2053 00 00 89 348 00 05 75 2056 00 13 65 349 00 00 05 2055 00 23 17 349 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2054 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15 2832 00 08 98		346	00	05	16					09
348 00 05 75 349 00 00 06 1534 00 01 04 2055 00 23 17 2064 00 00 36 1535 00 04 91 2054 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15		352	00	04	06					79
348 00 05 75 349 00 00 05 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15 2832 00 07 15		347	00	13	17					
349 00 00 06 1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2054 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15 2832 00 07 83		348	00	05	75					
1534 00 01 04 2828 00 08 39 1535 00 04 91 2054 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15		349	00	00	05					
1535 00 04 91 2054 00 01 18 230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15			00	01	04					
230 00 08 60 2831 00 08 98 2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15		1535	00	04	91					
2748 00 37 59 2066 00 00 10 267 00 21 72 2834 00 07 15		230	00	08	60					98
2927 00 07 92		2748	00	37	<i>5</i> 9		2066	00	00	10
266 00 17 92 2832 00 02 83		267	00	21	72					15 m
		266	00	17	92		2832	w	Œ,	ĸ

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1) .	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
Uttar Silampur	2833	00	12	67	Darbar Khan Bar	36	00	10	3
(Contd.)	2046	00	01	45	(Contd.)	34	00	13	3
	2838	00	Œ	91		39	00	00	1
	2098	7 00	00	62	-	32	00	09	;
	2839	00	00	39	•	31	00	33	
	2840	00	00	24		30	00	00	
	2842	. 00	00	10		17	00	Œ	1
	2099	00	22	67		22	00	00	
	2837	00	08	24	(8) Jagannath Karbar	In bet VB &	00	02	
	2836	00،	01	54		suy no. 1004			
	2100	00	01	. 69		1004	00	05	
	2823	00	00	10		207	00	01	
•	2039	00	02	60		208	00	04	
	2038	00	08	24		209	00	01	
	2037	00	02	21		210	00	Œ	
	2111	00	08	56		213	00	04	
•	2306	00	15	- 09		219	00	00	
	2107	00	15	21		212	00	09	
	2108	00	01	59		202	00	00	
	1993	00	11	93		228	00	07	
	1994	00	03	84		22 9	00	04	
	1995	00	03	53		230	00	\mathbf{a}	
	1998	00	07	22		231	00	00	
	1997	00	00	78		198	00	\mathfrak{B}	
	1999	00	02	40		199	00	04	
	2000	00	01	77	·	200	00	00	
	2001	00	05	33		197	00	09	
	2002	00	03	65		196	00	11	
	2003	00	00	43		301	00	02	
	2004	oo	08	08		183	00	00	
	2005	00	10	29		1 7 9-	00	04	
-	2006	00	00	19		174	00	09	
	2007	00	03	93		178	00	00	
	2008	00	04	77		177	00	00	
	2009	00	04	80		176	00	05	
	2010	00	04	29		175	00	01	
	1990	00	16	27 87		172	00	08	
		00	00	83		173	00	08	
	2011	00	25	04		303	00	Œ	
	1989 1988	00	08	01		304	00	00	
		00	00			162	00	17	
DadVI D	1983		02	26 96		161	00	02	
Darbar Khan Bar		00		86 25		305	00	01	
	274	00	10	35		360	00	01	
:	441	00	16	33		361	00	08	
	401	00	08	13		97	00	01	
	35	00	02	48		160	00	00	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
Jagannath Kar	tbar 363	00	09	98	(1) Purulia (Contd.)	10	00	16	O
(Contd.)	365	00	07	97		9	. 00	04	0
	366	00	09	21		11	60	07	
	367	00	09	31		18	00	09	. 3
	368	00	08	52		17	00	10	(
	358	00	01	47		16	. 00	07	9
	3 69	00	00	10		15	00	02	(
	376	00	18	58		38	00	Œ	•
	377 .	00	01	17		39	00	œ	;
	374	00	00	45		40	00	00	•
	375	00	07	63		477	W	(2	•
	378	00	06	53		41	00	04	•
	373	700	00	3 9	-	42	00	04	
	386	00	19	64		43	00	04	•
	388	00	16	37	,	44	00	01	(
	387	00	01	70		46	00	08	
	399	00	04	79		45	00	02	!
	400	00	15	89		54	00	01	
	522	00	16	21		55	00	08	•
	401	00	05	69		53	00	07	
	426	00	08	80		489	00	08	
	425	60	07	33		58	000	02	
	427	00	00	29		<i>5</i> 7	00	Œ	,
	424	00	01	78		63	00	13	
	428	00	13	12		3	00	02	
	423	00	08	90	•	1	00	Œ	
	436	00	11	00	(2) Naya Basan Urf	2	00	00	
	438	00	16	87	Dhaipukhuria	1	00	01	
) Paikbar	62	00	05	31		109	00	01	
,	61	00	14	33		137	00	05	
	60	00	05	59		158	00	10	
	59	. 00	04	08		157	00	01	
	41	00	00	81		159	00	17	
	42	00	81	87		160	00	12	
	39	00	00	98		153	00	00	
	52	00	.01	70		152	00	04	
	12	00	24	07		161	00	03	
	11	00	09	45		207	00	07	
	15	00	05	40		206	00	08	
	9	00	25	64		205	00)	14	
	56	00	02	32		194	00	02	
	8	00	04	36		195	00	00	
[andal/Tehsil/Tal	·					204	. 00	11	
ianuav i Gusiv 181	nv · mirakawani		: Mest I			203	00	00	
N D 1'	G 11 ·					198	00	00	
l) Purulia	Canal bet		02	39		199	00	05	
	& suy no. 21	. 41	00	17		201	00	12	

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MARCH 5,2011/PHALGUNA 14,1932

[PART II—SEC. 3(ii)]

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
!	Naya Basan Urf	200	00	07	87		Naya Basan Urf	2451	00	00	40
1	Dhaipukhuria	292	00	02	08		Dhaipukhuria	977	00	Œ	78
į	(Contd.)	331	00	00	51		(Contd.)	978	00	22	88
į		330	00	Œ	77			2466	00	12	49
		329	00	06	78			2467	00	00	37
		325	00	00	17			2465	00	05	08
		3 2 8	00	07	21			2464	00	08	70
		326	00	21	93			981	00	02	00
		320	00	17	6 7			985	00	01	45
		2245	00	04	31			987	00	12	29
		317	00	02	72			988	00	12	17
		344	00	05	05			989	00	02	65
		1138	00	02	47			996	00	04	99
		1137	00	00	96			997	00	05	57
		1143	00	Œ	47			998	00	11	96
		1142	00	Œ	27			1000	00	09	58
		2510	00	12	19			1007	00	10	60
		2508	00	01	36			1009	00	00	37
		2509	00	12	85			833	00	04	52
		2502	00	02	20			1010	00	04	19
		1355	00	41	33			1011	00	00	16
		1348	00	01	29			832	00	01	30
		2492	00	05	69			831	00	08	93
		1354	00	18	53			830	00	02	90
		2486	00	17	91			829	00	02	91
		2487	00	11	82			825	00	02	38
		1361	00	23	10			2239	00	03	17
		1311	00	01	61	(3)	Jiagodi	323	00	01	90
		1362	00	01	<i>5</i> 7	(-)	714g5til	322	00	03	15
		1363 1364	00	01	06			314	00	02	5 9
			00	00	91			310	00	55	61
		1365 1366	00	00	10			217	00	01	99
		1367	00 00	16 02	45 84			216	00	02	26
		1368	00	01				215	00	01	42
		2546	00	11	78 01			483	00	14	93
		960	00	06	07			403 491	w 00	00	93 89
		2376	00	04	08			492	00	03	95
		961	00	07	68						
		962	00	00	55			493	00 m	06	16
		963	00	00	33 11			494	00 m	06 12	(B
		964	00	00	10			212	00		81
		871	00	01	15			495 406	00	09	19
		949	00	01	36			496	00	05	60
		2454	00	00	98,			497	00	00	3I
		2453	00	14	>10, 67			237	00	02	10
		2453 2452	00	02	15			511	00	02	33
		LAUL	w	uz	D			512	00	08	29

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	_	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(3)	Jiagodi (Contd.)	516	00	04	66	(4		397	00	00	47
		213	00	06	05		(Contd.)	392	00	00	60
		515	00	08	72			391	00	09	32
		465	00	06	80			625	00	10	33
		466	00	07	30			389	00	01	10
		467	00	Œ	27			386	00	05	89
		172	00	00	11			385	00	02	54
		463	00	06	49			384	00	10	33
		462	00	08	75			566	00	05	10
		461	00	08	83			380	00	02	89
		460	00	06	83			565	00	03	24
		459	00	02	55			564	00	02	98
		458	00	02	50			379	00	05	81
		173	00	00	46			377	00	06	57
		174	00	02	08			370	00	06	06
		171	00	02	Œ			3 69	00	04	88
		170	00	01	32			371	00	00	10
		122	00	00	76			366	00	00	44
		168	00	00	55			367	00	01	57
		175	00	00	35			368	00	04	17
		169	00	00	76			559	00	01	65
		Road bet suy	00	01	17			558	00	01	34
		no. 169 & VB						360	00	00	90
(4)	Raghunath Chak	In bet VB &	00	01	24		•	557	00	00	64
		suy no. 537						556	00	00	43
		537	00	00	59			357	00	00	45
		284	00	00	63			563	00	01	23
		543	00	02	42			562	00	01	57
		542	00	12	17			361	00	01	54
		541	00	06	13			561	00	01	71
		546	00	06	25			560	00	01	90
		290	00	06	07			355	00	06	33
		545	00	11	02			354	00	10	71
		291	00	12	41			350	00	06	51
		292	00	02	75			352	00	00	79
		555	00	01	21			351	00	03	94
		296	00	05	51			347	00	00	10
		294	00	02	09			In bet suy no	. 00	03	08
		295	00	06	98			347 & 479			
		302	00	07	19			479	00	07	62
		293	00	02	74	(5)	Rani Chak	82	00	07	71
		548	00	00	19			7	00	07	49
		304	00	01	89			4	00	43	59
		303	00	02	23			11	00	04	08
		322	00	. 05	81			12	00	06	17
		390	00	05	52			13	00	01	95

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(5) Rani Cl	hak (Contd.) 14	00	08	15	(6) Ektarpur (Contd.)	488	00	Oł	2×
	17	00	00	10		914	00	Œ	15
	19	00	14	15		915	00	01	52
	20	00	00	39		916	∞	\mathfrak{B}	46
(6) Ektarpu	ur 156	00	05	Ol		917	900	00	10
	157	00	(12	17		918	00	(0)	80
	160	00	12	66		913	α)	Œ	18
	161	00	17	17		919	(10)	\mathbf{B}	21
	162	00	00	63		902	00	00	1(
	181	00	07	17	:	901	00	01	45
	183	00	01	75		920	00)	04	09
	182	00	05	77		898	00	(3)	18
	180	00	09	77		900	(10)	07	(E
	179	00	00	12	•	899	(0)	Œ	14
	173	00	07	72		2861	600	\mathfrak{P}	87
	178	00	09	(12		845	00	01]4
	201	00	08	18		856	(0)	()()	82
	200	00	14	71		857	(1)	01	2
	203	000	00	17		865	(1)	06	30
	199	00	13	14		896	(X)	(3)	i.
	247	00	01	59		891	00	00	1
	248	00	11	11		866	00	10	9
	249	000	12	83		864	00	02	1
	250	00	03	38		867	00	00	6
	280	00	00	10		882	00	(0)	2
	229	00	05	67		878	00	()4	1
	228	00	\mathbf{o}	86		X71	00	Œ	.3
	230	00	03	10		877	00	06	ì
	232	00	00	67		879	00	00	9
	233	00	00	10		876	00	06	8
	2132	00	05	35		875	00	06	6
	227	00	01	72		873	()()	00	l
	226	00	05	53		874	600	02	4
	225	00	10	5 0		1339	00	17	ì
	293	00	01	66		1340	00	07	9
	224	00	01	73		567	00	01	1
	302	00	12	20		569	00	00	l
	303	00	08	84		566	00	01	
	304	00	10	16		565	-00	α	(
	2106	00	00	97		560	00	14	3
	219	00	01	31		559	00	00	5
	316	00	10	86		558	00	B	8
	317	00	14	01		562	00	00	1
	318	00	03	36		561	00	02	6
	315	00	00	10		563	00	∞	2
	319	00	12	66		573	00	10	0

(6) Ektarpur (Contd.) 551 00 08 08 08 (7) Madha Khahi 1133 00 00 08 58 (Contd.) 1132 00 00 06 58 590 00 01 95 (Contd.) 1132 00 00 06 08 552 00 06 1134 00 12 84 1135 00 12	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
553	(6) Ektarpur (Cont	d.) 551	00	Œ	08		1133	00	02	84
552		550	00	01	95	(Contd.)	1132	00	00	05
548		553	00	00	56		1134	00	00	76
547		552	00	05	10		1144	00	00	10
546		548	00	05	28		1135	00	12	81
545		547	00	02	21		1137	. 00	00	33
1367 00		546	00	01	41		1136	00	Œ	<i>5</i> 7
1367		545	00	08	32		1085	00	00	38
1426		544	00	01	61		1138	00	00	32
1427		1367	00	01	42		1139	00	20	17
1428		1426	00	01	88		1082	00	02	53
1422				12	50		1313	00	23	06
1429		1428	00	Œ	29		1312	00	02	57
1450		1422	00	00	10		1306	00	04	89
1449					53			03	05	92
1451		1450	00	13	17		1324	00	12	24
1452				00	10		1304	00	06	56
1448									02	
1447								00 .	05	13
1473							•	00	00	38
1446									02	15
1445 00 01 19 1330 00 04 99 1444 00 00 54 1331 00 08 54 1436 00 01 08 1346 00 08 83 1440 00 05 03 1344 00 07 85 1441 00 08 14 2800 00 08 17 1438 00 00 83 1347 00 05 87 1439 00 01 62 1348 00 00 44 (7) Madha Khali 246 00 06 72 1349 00 00 10 1152 00 02 39 2801 00 09 05 1117 00 01 81 1350 00 11 39 2737 00 06 10 1431 00 00 23 1121 00 04 55 2768 00 06 16 1120 00 02 38 1372 00 00 10 1121 00 04 55 2768 00 06 16 1122 00 02 27 2767 00 04 79 1125 00 03 31 1373 00 04 79 1126 00 00 93 1374 30 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 10 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82									04	51
1444								00	02	17
1436									04	99
1440										
1441									Œ	83
1438										
1439										
(7) Madha Khali 246 00 06 72 1349 00 00 10 1152 00 02 39 2801 00 09 05 1117 00 01 81 1350 00 11 39 2737 00 00 10 1431 00 00 23 1121 00 04 55 2768 00 06 16 1120 00 02 38 1372 00 00 10 1122 00 02 27 2767 00 04 99 1125 00 00 31 1373 00 04 70 1124 00 08 81 2766 00 02 65 1126 00 00 98 1374 10 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10										
1152 00 02 39 2801 00 09 05 1117 00 01 81 1350 00 11 39 2737 00 00 10 1431 00 00 23 1121 00 04 55 2768 00 05 16 1120 00 02 38 1372 00 00 10 1122 00 02 27 2767 00 04 93 1125 00 00 31 1373 00 04 70 1124 00 03 81 2766 00 02 65 1126 00 00 93 1374 30 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 03 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129										
1117 00 01 81 1350 00 11 39 2737 00 00 10 1431 00 00 23 1121 00 04 55 2768 00 06 16 1120 00 02 38 1372 00 00 10 1122 00 02 27 2767 00 04 99 1125 00 00 31 1373 00 04 70 1124 00 08 81 2766 00 02 65 1126 00 00 93 1374 00 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 09 1397 00 00 00 10	(7) Madha Khali									
2737 00 00 10 1431 00 00 23 1121 00 04 55 2768 00 06 16 1120 00 02 38 1372 00 00 10 1122 00 02 27 2767 00 04 99 1125 00 00 31 1373 00 04 70 1124 00 03 81 2766 00 02 65 1126 00 00 93 1374 30 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 03 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 04 08 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 08 <										
1121 00 04 55 2768 00 06 16 1120 00 02 38 1372 00 00 10 1122 00 02 27 2767 00 04 93 1125 00 00 31 1373 00 04 70 1124 00 08 81 2766 00 02 65 1126 00 00 93 1374 00 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 04 82										
1120 00 02 38 1372 00 00 10 1122 00 02 27 2767 00 04 98 1125 00 00 31 1373 00 04 70 1124 00 08 81 2766 00 02 65 1126 00 00 93 1374 00 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 00 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82										
1122 00 02 27 2767 00 04 98 1125 00 00 31 1373 00 04 70 1124 00 03 81 2766 00 02 65 1126 00 00 93 1374 00 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 03 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82										
1125 00 00 31 1373 00 04 70 1124 00 03 81 2766 00 02 65 1126 00 00 93 1374 00 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82										
1124 00 03 81 2766 00 02 65 1126 00 00 93 1374 00 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82										93
1126 00 00 93 1374 00 11 32 1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82									04	
1127 00 01 05 1394 00 06 14 1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82						·				
1128 00 08 20 1395 00 01 10 1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82										
1130 00 09 99 1396 00 04 04 1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82										
1129 00 00 92 1397 00 00 10 1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82										
1107 00 00 10 1393 00 04 82										
1104 00 13 85 1400 00 00 84										
		1104	00	13	85	•	1400	00	00	84

	(1)	(2)	3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(7)		1401	00	03	81	(8)	Bamunia (Contd.)	160	00	13	19
	(Contd.)	1392	00	01	63			89	00	05	17
		1411	00	01	70			88	00	18	9
		2459	00	00	54			87	00	00	16
		2458	00	09	28			84	00	07	2
		2457	00	09	08			85	00	04	7
		2463	00	11	83			82	00	02	0
		2466	00	00	10			86	00	00	1
		2465	00	03	48			In bet suy no	o. 00	06	2
		2464	00	05	79			86 & 81			
		2467	00	04	60			81	00	01	1
		2455	00	08	69			80	00	02	(
		2468	00	00	35			79	00	03	6
		2454	00	04	15			53	00	20	7
		2452	00	02	53			In bet suy ne	o. 00	02	2
		2474	00	01	89			53 & 66			
(8)	Barmmia	299	00	01	45			66	00	12	8
(-)		298	00	04	71			67	00	10	3
		293	00	06	34			65	00	02	1
		295	00	05	06			218	00	11	:
		297	00	.00	91			62	00	13	
		294	00	06	32			63	00	01	;
		296	00	21	81			61	00	10	9
		332	00	08	15			60	00	01	
		340	00	07	95	(9)	Garania	263	00	01	(
		339	00	10	71	(-)		292	00	10	
		341	00	06	19			294	00	00	
		338	00	03	50			293	00	01	:
		337	00	26	38			628	00	00	
		336	00	. 00	10			138	00	02	
		355	00	02	85			627	00	01	
		In bet suy no.		00	94			296	00	11	
		355 & 357	••					297	00	02	
		357	00	00	65			629	00	01	
		354	00	02	91			580	00	03	
		353	00	02	37			579	00	01	
		352	00	00	48			583	007	00	
		270	00	29	94			578	00	29	
		266	00	01	95			581	00	00	
		263	00	09	95 05			582	00	02	
		269	00	16	w 91			538	00	14	
		157	00	00	25			536 536	00	00	
			00	02	23 84			537	00	05	
		262						537 539	00	08	
		158	00 m	16	82 44			539 541	00	09	
		159	00	20 m							
		107	00	02	14			542	00	Œ	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(9) Garania (Contd.)	533	00	12	40	(3) Satshatamal	1210	00	02	17
	532	00	05	89	(Contd.)	1211	00	01	50
	527	00	00	21		1207	00	21	65
	526	00	2 1	07		1206	00	02	21
	524	00	13	15		1224	-00	06	70
	523	00	12	26		157	00	05	23
	517	00	11	71		1226	00	00	49
	516	00	04	32		156	00	29	79
	514	00	04	89		124	00	01	36
	513	00	00	78		125	00	04	86
	515	00	05	65		115	00	27	60
	500	00	04	90		123	00	23	
	598	00	01	29					78
	499	00	04	23		93	00	·08	65
	496	00	09	27		116	00	20	36
	597	00	23	60		121	00	01	13
	447	00	17	63		120	00	09	63
	448	00	13	32		117	00	00	10
	645	00	07	11		119	00	48	49
	449	00	02	34		79	00	05	24
	50	00	01 m	39		7 8	00	15	51
(10) Daiwdahaanna	1	00	03	.58		77	00	05	42
(10) Brindabanpur	1107 1106	00	00 18	10 41		76	00	07	68
	1235	00 00	19	48		75	00	01	06
	1236	00	05	40 41		In pet Suy no.	. 00	02	7 1
	1109	00	05	95		75 & 352			
	1234	00	11	26		352	00	11	38
	1110	00	02	53		356	00	00	10
	1111	00	07	10		353	00	08	16
	1112	00	12	63		354	00	08	29
	1113	00	15	65		355	00	08	53
	874	00	01	44		357	00	00	29
Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk:			: Medi			358	00	00	62
minidal familiants	ւ ուսթախաւտ		West B	_		359	00	01	62
(1) Paninala	84	00	04	49			00 00	02	85
(१) रक्षणात्वाद	82 82	00	08	57		351			
	83	00	47	29	(4) Sandalpur	1356	00	04	44
(2) Ismailpur	,185	00	02	11		1357	00	10	85
(2) istimipu	174	00	01	27		1355	00	00	34
	175	00	01	35		1358	00	05	53
	176	00	01	84		1360	00	07	20
(3) Satshatamal	207	00	07	60		1359	00	05	66
~/ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	206	00	02	81		1361	00	00	72
	205	00	02	82		1344	00	02	76
	1212	00	06	41		1245	00	01	83
	1208	00	03	43		1246	00	02	91
	1209	.00	15	31		1390	00	01	60
		-60	•••	51					

PART	IISEC.	3	(ii)	ı	
------	--------	---	------	---	--

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(4) Sandalpu	r (Contd.) 1244	00	01	38	(4) Sandalp	our (Contd.) 1848	00	02	66
()	1249	- 00	02	92		1849	00	06	84
	1248	00	03	80		1847	00	06	64
	1250	00	01	80		1866	00	02	93
	1240	00	00	91		2248	00	03	48
	1242	00	00	10		1845	00	04	96
	1251	00	08	41		1868	00	15	94
	1252	00	08	09		1870	00	02	99
	1255	00	- 01	39		1838	00	29	31
	1253	00	11	83		1837	00	02	32
	1135	00	05	69	•	1828	00	07	60
	1132	00	01	87		2328	00	02	08
	1134	00	06	⊕		1826	00	01	53
	1133	00	00	13		1829	00	04	9
	1148	00	11	51		1830	00	08	24
	1147	00	09	17		1815	00	25	7
	1146	00	00	16			00	06	5
	1149	00	01	21		1814	00	02	بر 7
	1150	00	15	53		1501			, %
	1153	00	02	30		1500	00	15	
		00	10	15		1496	00	21	(
	1152			10		1497	00	02	5
	1151	00	00	37		1490	00	00	0
	1099	00	01	31 71		1495	00	06	9
	1156	00	04	22		1494	00	19	7
	1157	00	12	58		1450	00	00	(
	1163	00	12 00	.28 93		1493	00	08	9
	1161	00 00	03	48		1451	00	\mathbf{a}	ā
	2162	00	01	07		1453	00	12	(
	1164		07	27		1452	00	01	(
	1165	00	11	31		1433	00	13	3
	1166	00	04	28		1432	00	19	(
	1167	00	00	68		In bet suy n	o. 00	00	•
	1172		04	59		1432 & VB			
	1169	00 00	05	22.	(5) Babuic	lanri 1497	00	01	
	1388		06	42	(5) 1541541	1498	00	01	9
	1170	00	01	*2 89		1499	00	01	
	1171	00		48		· ·	00	19	
	1082	00	01	33		1500		00	
	759	00	03			1501	00		
	757	00	04	04		1542	00	13	
	756	00	11	39 10		1540	00	04	•
	755	00	10			1688	00	07	•
	2272	00	00			1544	00	02	
	2265	00		66		1539	00	00	
	753	00				1538	00	13	
	754	00				1545	00	17	
	744	00				1536	00	00	
	1852	00	07	54				·	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
) Babuidanri	1547	00	08		(5)	Babuidanri	1090	00	15	- 62
(Contd.)	1546	00	07	58		(Contd.)	1091	00	00	96
	1553	00	01	67			1089	00	13	95
	1554	00	04	62			1086	00	14	89
	1555	00	α	55			309	00	01	81
	1562	00	14	07						
	1244	00	04	96			308	00	12	73
	1243	00	07	48			1087	00	Ot	48
	1215	00 .	04	38	(6)	Sianri	28	00	02	06
	1253	00	01	60			280	00	16	43
	1250	00	16	26			276	00	00	65
	1251	00	02	03			277	00	06	42
	1248	00	00	94			279	00	08	70
	1 249	00	18	00			273	00	02	54
	1237	00	05	49			278	00	12	95
	1236	00	09	54			266	. 00	02	30
	1238	00	05	86			270	00	98	44
	1185	00	06	37					100	
	1239	00	00	20			271	00	√08 ~~	94
	1184	00	13	96			272	00	08	49
	1183	00	00	89			30	00	01	49
	1130	. 00	08	48			35	00	00	10
	1131	00	00	10			36	00	08	22
	1129	00	07	21			37	00	09	63
	1128	00	04	48			247	00	01	07
	1009	00	00	60			38	00	00	54
	1127	00	02	26			88	00	01	29
	1133	00	00	18			89	00	00	10
	1134	00	00	30			87	. 00	10	34
	1012	00	11	41 81			86	00	00	53
	1126 1125	00 00	00 00	10			92	00	11	40
	1014	00	02	79						
	1014	w 00	. 00	10			.93 \	00	07	25
	1021	00	09	88			94	00	07	42
	1022	00	03	92			97	00	11	83
	1120	00	08	38			96	.00	09	16
	1047	00	00	10			73	00	00	10
	1104	00	29	11			106	00	12	67
	1103	00	07	83			105	00	09	91
	1100	00	07	74			107	00	01	86
	1106	<u>~</u>	00	17			112	00	03	88
	1099	00	10	29			113	00	00	10
	1080	00	01	04			111	00	07	58
	1097	00	04	49			127	00	06	15
	1098	00	11	33			125	00	01.	93

[PART II—Sec. 3(ii

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(6) Sianri (Contd.)	126	00	04	06	(1) Shakrullapur	881	00	08	90
	128	00	01	36	(contd.)	1532	00	00	7(
	124	00	08	85		880	00	06	9.
	121	00	04	13		883	00	00	54
	123	00	01	97		879	00	15	6
•	122	000	17	27		872	00	00	36
	133	00	01	46		873	00	05	2
	134	00	03	71		874	00	05	3
	148	00	00	61		875	00	\mathbf{a}	3
•	147	00	09	96		876	00	01	2
	146	00	03	08		1143	00	48	1
				54		1144	00	11	8
	145	00	04			1191	00	10	5
	144	00	00	10		1145	00	02	2
	143	00	11	98		1146	00	18	2
	142	00	01	68		1149	00	09	3
	500	00	02	78		1150	00	11	,
/andal/Tehsil/Talul	c · Bhagawannu	ır-I Disi	t. : Med	linipur		1151	00	02	4
THINKIN I WANTE THE			: West l			1122	00	01]
(1) Chalmall	1374	00	02	90		1121	00	12	٩
(1) Shakrullapur			02	53		1153	00	00	(
	1373	00				1119	00	06	-
	779	00	00	33		1383	00	01	2
	1506	00	14	05 ~		1120	00	07	-
	783	00	19	06		1110	00	04 01	(
	1502	00	03	04	'	In bet suy		01	,
	1505	00	09	12	<u> </u>	no. 1110 &			
	784	00	Œ	4 7	Mandal/Tehsil/Talu	k : Sutahata-II		t.: Med	•
	782	00	01	09			State	: West I	3eng
	<i>7</i> 85	00	02	18	(1) Banbishnupur	1	00	04	
	835	00	04	62		276	00	01	
	834	00	02	01		3	00	02	-
	830	00	00	10		17	00	Œ	
	836	00	02	, <i>7</i> 6		56	00	01	
	837	00	22	77		57	00	00	
	1525	00	01	00	(2) Balughata	174	00	02	
	838	00	12			18	00	04	
	839	00	03	7l		175	00	02	
	840	00	03	59		199	00	05	
	843	00				200	00	13	
	843 842	00	15			210	00	01	
						201	00	01	11.6
	841 882	00				[F, No K, K. SI	.L-1401		

	नई दिल्ली, 1 मार्च,	20 11			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	2,—भारत सरकार व				1. पश्चिम चक् (निरंतर) 278	00	02	60
प्रतीत होता है कि मैर						27 9 ·	00	02	89
में पूर्वी तट पर ऑ						280	00	03	38
उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृ						528	00	12	46
इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेर बिछाई जानी चाहिए		।सुदबपुर-ह।	।वड्। पाइ	पलाइन		44 7	00	03	59
,	•					446	00	14	90
	परकार को उक्त पाइ					445	00	08	27
के लिए यह आवश्य						448	00	08	94
उक्त पाइपलाइन बिर						469	. 00	07	82
से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची	म बाणत ह, उपय	।गक आध	कार का	अजन		449	00	20	21
किया जाए;						450	00	00	24
	गरत सरकार, पेट्रोलि					467	00	07	69
(भूमि में उपयोग के						466	00	Œ	00
का 50) की धारा 3						451	00	17	04
करते हुए, उनमें उ		का अजन	करने के	अपने .		454	00	05	15
आशय की घोषणा व	हरता ह;					455	00	08	04
	जो उक्त अनुसूची में					465	00	08	85
उस तारीख से जिस						458	00	04	65
(१) के अधीन जारी						457	00	09	79
	सात है देवकीय वि	ਰਜ਼ ਕੜੇ ਪੀਕਤ						01	477
को उपलब्ध करा दी						182	00	OI.	47
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज	ा ने के लिए उपयोग	के अधिका	र के अ	र्जन कै	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और	00	08	4/ 17
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम	के अधिका प्राधिकारी,	ार के अ रिलोजि	र्जन के स्टिक्स	2. पोर चिंगरा				
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम , ७, बूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी प	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मॅजिल, कोल	ार के अ रिलोजि काता-70	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016,	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और			
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम ,7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी व को लिखित रूप में	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मॅजिल, कोल	ार के अ रिलोजि काता-70	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016,	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के			
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम , ७, बूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी प	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मॅजिल, कोल	ार के अ रिलोजि काता-70	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016,	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में	00	08	17
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंग्राल राज्य	ाने के लिए उपयोग वरन गुहा, सक्षम ,7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी प को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मॉजल, कोल आक्षेप भेज	ार के अ रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, 1	2. पोर चिंगरा	मॉॅंव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344	00	10	17 55
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड	ाने के लिए उपयोग वरन गुहा, सक्षम ,7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी प को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला	र के अ रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । 	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343	00	08 10 05	17 55 44
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक	ाने के लिए उपयोग वरन गुहा, सक्षम ,7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी व को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची ह: भगवानपुर-II	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य :	र के अ रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी : पश्चिम	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल	2. पोर चिंगरा	मॉंव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813	00 00 00 00 00	10 05 00 02 08	55 44 72 78 53
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंग्राल राज्य	ाने के लिए उपयोग वरन गुहा, सक्षम ,7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी को को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची ह: भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.र	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी : पश्चिम	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347	00 00 00 00 00	10 05 00 02	55 44 72 78 53
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक	ाने के लिए उपयोग वरन गुहा, सक्षम ,7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी व को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची ह: भगवानपुर-II	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मॉजल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.य के f	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनीः : पश्चिम मृ, अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रण	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल । करने फल	2. पोर चिंगरा	मॉंव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813	00 00 00 00 00	10 05 00 02 08	55 44 72 78 53
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग वरन गुहा, सक्षम ,7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी को को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची ह: भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मॉजल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.य के f	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी : पश्चिम	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल । करने फल	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347	00 00 00 00 00 00	10 05 00 02 08 22	55 44 72 78 53
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग वरन गुहा, सक्षम ,7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी को को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची ह: भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मॉजल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.य के f	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनीः : पश्चिम मृ, अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रण	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल । करने फल	2. पोर चिंगरा	मॉंव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	10 05 00 02 08 22 00	55 44 72 78 53 66 76
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग स्वरन गुहा, सक्षम , 7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी व को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची ह: भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं.	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मॉजल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.य के f	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी : पश्चिम पू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्र र एयर रि	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल । करने फल स एयर	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341 2609	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	03 10 05 00 02 08 22 00 03	55 44 72 78 53 66 76 03
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम : 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी ग को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची : भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 616 615	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.र के 1 हेक्टेय (3) 00	र के अप रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनीए : पश्चिम यू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्र र एयर स्थि (4)	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । । पुर बंगाल । करने फल (5) 17 49	2. पोर चिंगरा	मॉंव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341 2609 354	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	03 10 05 00 02 03 22 00 03 07	55 44 72 78 53 66 76 03 67
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम : 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी ग को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची ि : भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 616 615 612	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.र के f हेक्टेय (3) 00 00	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी : पश्चिम यू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्र र एयर रि (4) 14 00 01	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल पकरने फल (5) 17 49 56	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341 2609 354 332	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	03 10 05 00 02 08 22 00 03 07 00	55 44 72 78 53 66 76 03 67
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम : 7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी ग को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची : भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 616 615 612 514	को अधिका प्राधिकारी, मॉजल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.र को ि हेक्टेय (3) 00 00 00	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी : पश्चिम यू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्र र एयर रि (4) 14 00 01 08	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल पक्त एयर (5) 17 49 56 68	2. पोर चिंगरा	मॉंव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341 2609 354 332	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	03 10 05 00 02 03 22 00 03 07 00	55 44 72 78 53 66 76 03 67 17 91
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम : 7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी ग को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची : भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 616 615 612 514 538	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.र के 1 हेक्टेय (3) 00 00 00	र के अप रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनीः : पश्चिम पृ. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्र र एयर रि (4) 14 00 01 08 00	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । । , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341 2609 354 332 355	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	03 10 05 00 02 03 22 00 03 07 00 09	55 44 72 78 53 66 76 03 67 17 91
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम : 7, चूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी ग को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची : भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 616 615 612 514 538 535	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.य के 1 हेक्टेय (3) 00 00 00 00	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी : पश्चिम यू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्रण र एयर रि (4) 14 00 01 08 00 30	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल पकरने फल स एयर (5) 17 49 56 68 39 36	2. पोर चिंगरा	मॉंव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341 2609 354 332 355 356 359	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	03 10 05 00 02 08 22 00 03 07 00 09 07	55 44 72 78 53 66 76 03 67 17 91 02 12
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग स्वरन गुहा, सक्षम , 7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी ग को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची ह : भगवानपुर-II सवें सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 616 615 612 514 538 535 537	को अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.र को ि हेक्टेय (3) 00 00 00 00 00	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी : पश्चिम यू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्र र एयर रि (4) 14 00 01 08 00 30 00	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । । , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2. पोर चिंगरा	गाँव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341 2609 354 332 355 356 359 360	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	03 10 05 00 02 03 22 00 03 07 00 09 07	55 44 72 78 53 66 76 03 67 17 91 02 12 34 57
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग : बरन गुहा, सक्षम : त, यूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी । को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची : भगवानपुर-II सर्वे सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 616 615 612 514 538 535 537 533	के अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.र के f हेक्टेय (3) 00 00 00 00 00 00	र के अप रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनीः : पश्चिम यू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्र र एयर स्थि (4) 14 00 01 08 00 30 00 11	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । पुर बंगाल । करने फल (5) 17 49 56 68 39 36 10 11	2. पोर चिंगरा	मॉंव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341 2609 354 332 355 356 359 360 361 362	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	03 10 05 00 02 03 22 00 03 07 00 09 07 07 07	55 44 72 78 53 66 76 03 67 17 91 02 12 34 57 10
पाइपलाइन बिछाई ज संबंध में श्री बारिद इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य मंडल/तहसील/तालुक गांव का नाम	ाने के लिए उपयोग स्वरन गुहा, सक्षम , 7, सूड स्ट्रीट तिसरी ग को लिखित रूप में अनुसूची ह : भगवानपुर-II सवें सं/सब डिविजन सं. (2) 616 615 612 514 538 535 537	को अधिका प्राधिकारी, मंजिल, कोल आक्षेप भेज जिला राज्य : आर.ओ.र को ि हेक्टेय (3) 00 00 00 00 00	र के अज रिलोजि काता-70 सकेगा : मेदिनी : पश्चिम यू. अर्जित लिए क्षेत्र र एयर रि (4) 14 00 01 08 00 30 00	र्जन के स्टिक्स 10016, । । , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2. पोर चिंगरा	मॉंव सीमा और सर्वे सं. 344 के बीच में 344 343 345 2813 347 353 341 2609 354 332 355 356 359 360 361	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	03 10 05 00 02 08 22 00 03 07 00 07 07	55 44 72 78 53 66 76 03 67 17 91 02 12 34 57

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
. पोर चिंगरा (निरंतर)	367	00	05	34	2. पोर चिंगरा (निरंतर)	731	00	09	5
	369	00	06	7 8		789	00	01	8
	376	00	04	90		790	00	00	2
	378	00	00	37		730	00	08	3
	381	00	13	55		729	00	04	1
	382	00	16	13		732	00	Œ	8
	383	00	00	35		728	00	01	
	400	00	\mathfrak{B}	70	•	726	00	09	
	394	00	09	55	•	<i>7</i> 25	00	10	
	399	00	00	53		<i>T</i> 24	00	00	
	393	00	07	71		719	00	01	
	392	00	Œ	00		718	00	02	
	391	00	01	68		734	. 00	04	
	390	00	08	99		717	00	$\mathbf{\alpha}$	
	388	00	00	33		716	00	00	
	389	00	04	27		715	00	10	
•	419	00	00	57		714	00	02	
	859	00	12	69		713	00	05	
	858	00	03	35		2803	00	œ	
	855	00	13	41		712	00	00	
	856	00	00	99		2670	00	11	
	857	00	15	70		1184	00	00	
	840	00	11	45	·	1185	00	13	
	843	00	00	64		1186	00	05	
	2323	00	00	10		1196	00	01	
	841	00	15	05		1187	00	11	
	835	00	02 09	30 89		1192	00	11	
	834 833	00	04	59		1188	00	00	
	832	00	03	16		1195	00	05	
	831	00	01	96		1197	. 00	00	
	829	00	13	80	•	1194	00	09	
	826	00	00	10		1193	00	(0)	
	827	00	œ	24		1202	00	00	
	828	00	07	63		1203	00	12	
	786	00	04	59		1205	00	11	
	791	00	00	10		2707	00	00	
	721 सर्वे सं. 791 और		. 00	10		1206	00	16	
	सवासः 191 आर 788 के बीच में	w	w	Ю		2761	00	27	
	788	00	03	97		2760	00	()2	
	787	00	03	. 06		1759	00	01	

1317 00 04 02 15266 00 01 24		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1304 00 01 56 1527 00 00 09 1757 00 01 32 1528 00 00 56 1320 00 05 93 1522 00 05 56 1318 00 13 96 1519 00 04 01 1319 00 10 58 1518 00 08 54 1313 00 00 23 1517 00 02 23 2787 99 13 74 1516 00 01 66 1333 00 00 23 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 22 1449 00 06 58 1331 00 18 77 2727 00 04 45 1337 00 10 73 2728 00 00 13 1340 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 2712 00 02 16 1446 00 12 23 1342 00 02 66 1447 00 00 25 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 08 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1369 00 02 27 1466 1438 00 08 22 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 08 09 1359 00 02 27 1435 00 00 01 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 04 22 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1381 00 04 79 1434 00 04 22 1383 00 02 66 17 2722 00 05 53 1381 00 04 79 1434 00 04 22 1532 00 14 47 1431 00 10 04 1530 00 12 24 1931 00 14 49 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91	2.	पोर चिंगरा (निरंतर)	1316	00	Œ	44	2. पोर चिंगरा (निरं	R) 1525	00	00	. 90
1757 00 01 32 1528 00 00 56 56 1320 00 05 56 13320 00 05 98 1522 00 06 5 56 1318 00 13 96 1519 00 04 01 1319 00 10 98 1517 00 02 33 1517 00 02 33 1517 00 01 66 1333 00 00 22 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 22 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 22 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 22 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 22 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 22 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 12 1449 00 06 87 1331 00 18 77 27727 00 04 45 1337 00 10 73 27728 00 00 13 1340 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 27712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 2 2712 1342 00 02 66 1447 00 02 25 1344 00 02 66 1336 00 21 46 1438 00 08 22 1446 1447 00 00 38 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1369 00 1435 00 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1435 00 00 02 66 1378 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 22 1378 1383 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 22 1378 1383 00 02 66 1378 1389 00 00 90 1435 00 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 1389 00 00 90 1435 00 00 00 81 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 12 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 12 1378 1380 00 10 98 1434 00 04 22 1378 1383 00 02 66 1388 00 00 90 1435 00 00 00 81 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1381 00 04 79 1460 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 1389 00 00 10 98 1460 00 00 50 53 1381 00 04 79 1460 00 00 39 1435 00 00 00 81 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1381 00 04 79 1460 00 00 39 1435 00 00 00 65 53 1380 00 10 98 1460 00 00 65 53 1380 00 10 98 1460 00 00 65 53 1380 00 10 98 1460 00 00 65 53 1380 00 10 98 1460 00 00 65 53 1380 00 10 98 1460 00 00 65 65 970 00 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	•		1317	00	04.	. 02		1526	00	01	24
1320 00 05 93 1522 00 05 56 1318 00 13 96 1519 00 04 01 1319 00 10 38 1518 00 03 1313 00 00 33 1517 00 02 53 1333 00 00 23 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 22 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 52 1449 00 05 87 1331 00 18 77 2777 00 04 45 1333 00 01 73 27728 00 00 13 1340 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 2712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 23 1342 00 02 65 1447 00 00 25 1344 00 07 51 1451 00 03 09 1364 00 12 23 1455 00 01 50 1369 00 02 23 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 68 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 39 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1435 00 00 81 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 65 1381 00 04 79 1430 1449 00 04 22 1382 00 14 47 1430 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 1430 00 05 17 2723 00 03 11 967 00 00 10 2724 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1383 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1384 00 05 05 970 00 00 00 1401 00 00 33 1932 00 06 14 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			1304	00	01	56	. :	1527	00	00	91
1318 00 13 96 1519 00 04 01 1319 00 10 38 1518 00 08 54 1313 00 00 33 1517 00 02 23 1333 00 00 22 1508 00 01 66 1332 00 04 05 1510 00 00 10 2786 00 00 52 1449 00 05 87 1331 00 18 77 2777 00 04 45 1337 00 10 73 27728 00 00 10 2712 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 03 09 1344 00 07 51 1451 00 03 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 68 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1359 00 02 25 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 45 46 47 1382 00 14 47 1383 00 02 66 17 1381 00 04 79 45 46 47 1383 00 02 66 17 2723 00 03 11 967 00 00 00 1401 00 05 53 1932 00 06 54 1401 00 05 53 1932 00 06 54 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			1757	00	01	32		1528	00	00	56
1319 00 10 58 1518 00 03 54 1313 00 00 33 1517 00 02 53 2787 99 13 74 1516 00 01 66 1333 00 00 23 1508 00 01 66 1333 00 00 22 1449 00 06 87 1331 00 18 77 2727 00 04 45 1337 00 10 73 2728 00 00 13 1340 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 2712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 23 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 03 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1369 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1435 00 00 81 1375 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 36 36 00 00 10 1382 00 14 47 37 37 37 37 1383 00 02 66 37 37 37 37 1401 00 00 53 31 32 30 30 44 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1526 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1526 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1527 1528 10 10 10 10 1528 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1529 1524 10 10 10 10 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1526 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 1527 1728 1			1320	00	05	· 93		1522	00	05	56
1313 00 00 33 1517 00 02 53			1318	00	13	96			00	04	
2787 99 13 74 1516 00 01 66 1333 00 00 23 1508 00 01 66 1332 00 04 05 1510 00 00 10 2786 00 00 52 1449 00 06 87 1331 00 18 77 2727 00 04 45 1337 00 10 73 2728 00 00 13 1340 00 01 40 1459 00 07 02 2712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 23 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 08 09 1364 00 12 25 1455 00 01 50 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 04 22 1378		•	1319	00	10	58			00		
1333 00 00 23 1508 00 01 66 1332 00 04 05 1510 00 00 10 2786 00 00 52 1449 00 06 87 1331 00 18 77 2772 00 04 45 1337 00 10 73 27728 00 00 13 1340 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 2712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 23 1342 00 02 66 1447 00 00 25 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 03 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 30 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 468 469 469 469 469 1382 00 14 47 47 47 1383 00 02 66 10 49 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1526 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1526 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1527 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1528 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1540 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1540 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1550 00 01 05 973 00 01 01 1550 00 01 05 973 00 01 01 1550 00 01 05 973 00 01 01 1550 00 01 05 973 00 01 01 1550 00 01 05 973 00 01 01 1550 00 01 05 973 00 01 01 1560 00 01 05 973 00 01 01 1560 00 01 05 973 00 01 01 1570 00 01 05 00 00 00 1570 00 00 00 00 00 00 150			•								
1332 00				•							
2786 00 00 52 1449 00 06 87 1331 00 18 77 2727 00 04 45 1337 00 10 73 2728 00 00 13 1340 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 2712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 23 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 08 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1369 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1435 00 00 81 1379 00 07 18 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/वहसील/वालुक : सुवाहाटा-II जिल्ला : मेरिन्पीपुर राज्य : परिचम बंगाल								•			
1331 00 18 77 2727 00 04 45 1337 00 10 73 2728 00 00 13 1340 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 2712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 23 1342 00 02 66 1447 00 00 25 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 08 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 66 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 02 66 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 148 1469 00 05 53 1382 00 14 47 1383 00 02 66 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1534 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1536 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1536 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1537 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1538 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1539 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91											
1337 00 10 73 27728 00 00 13 1340 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 2712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 23 1342 00 02 66 1447 00 03 09 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 03 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 16 1469 176 176 1382 00 14 47 176 176 1383 00 02 66 1. 1384 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 05 50 1524 00 01 06 973 00 11 91 1404 00 01 25 972 00 05 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1406 00 00 00 10 10 10 1407 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91 1526 126 127											
1340 00 01 40 1450 00 07 02 2712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 23 1342 00 02 65 1447 00 00 25 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 03 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 68 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 #*** #********************************						•					
2712 00 02 19 1446 00 12 23 1342 00 02 66 1447 00 00 25 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 08 09 1364 00 12 75 1465 00 01 90 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1435 00 00 81 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाट-II जिला : मोदिनीपुर 1382 00 14 47 1383 00 05 17 2723 00 08 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 08 11 97 966 00 05 17 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 05 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91								and the second second			
1342 00 02 65 1447 00 00 25 1341 00 07 51 1451 00 08 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 66 1358 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 1438 1469 00 05 53 1383 00 02 66 1 148 180 180 180											
1341 00 07 51 1451 00 08 09 1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/वहसील/वालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेरिनीपुर एक्य : पश्चिम मंगल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 03 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1350 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 1504 00 01 05 17 1504 00 01 05 17 1504 00 01 05 17 1504 00 01 05 17 1504 1504 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 1504 1504 00 01 05 17 1504 1504 1505 01 16 9770 00 11 05 1504 1504 1505 01 16 9772 00 06 50 15 1504 1504 1505 01 16 9772 00 06 50 15 1504 1504 1505 01 16 9772 00 06 50 15 1504 1504 1505 1505 1505 1505 150											
1364 00 12 75 1455 00 01 50 1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेरिनीपुर 1382 00 14 47 रुन्य : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खन्ना जलपाइ 966 00 05 17 2723 00 06 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 10 2722 00 05 05 970 00 00 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91											
1363 00 21 46 1438 00 08 82 1360 00 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 संख्ला/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेरिनीपुर फञ्च : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश छन्ना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 06 11 97 966 00 05 17 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 10 2722 00 05 05 970 00 00 14 49 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 29 1534 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 24 1534 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 24 1534 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 25 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91											
1360 00 00 68 1437 00 00 56 1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेदिनीपुर राज्य : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खन्ना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 03 11 967 00 00 10 27722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 03 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91											
1359 00 02 23 1436 00 02 65 1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18- 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/वहसील/वालुक : सुवाहाटा-II जिला : मेदिनीपुर पुरुष : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 08 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91											
1358 00 00 90 1435 00 00 81 1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18- 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेदिनीपुर राज्य : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 03 11 966 00 05 17 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 03 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			4			100			00		
1374 00 00 39 1434 00 04 22 1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेरिनीपुर 1382 00 14 47 रुज्य : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 06 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 06 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91				•					.00		
1378 00 18 71 1431 00 10 04 1379 00 07 18- 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेदिनीपुर राज्य : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खन्ना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 03 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 06 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 29 1524 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 29 1524 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 15 29 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91		•						1435	00	00	81
1379 00 07 18- 1469 00 05 53 1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेदिनीपुर 1382 00 14 47 रुच्य : पश्चिम मंगल 1383 00 02 66 1. मंश खन्ना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 08 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 06 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91								1434	00	04	22
1380 00 10 98 2470 00 01 38 1381 00 04 79 मंडल/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेरिनीपुर 1382 00 14 47 रुज्य : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खन्ता जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 03 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 03 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91								1431	00	10	04
1381 00 04 79 मंडल/तहसील/तालुक : सुताहाटा-II जिला : मेदिनीपुर राज्य : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खन्ना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 03 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 03 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91				,				1469	00	05	53
1382 00 14 47 राज्य : पश्चिम बंगाल 1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 08 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 03 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91							·	2470	00	01	38
1383 00 02 66 1. बंश खन्ना जलपाइ 965 00 15 29 1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 08 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 06 973 00 11 91			1381		04	79	मंडल/तहसील/तालुक :	सुताहाटा-II		-	
1531 00 11 97 966 00 05 17 2723 00 08 11 967 00 00 10 2722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 06 973 00 11 91			1382	00	14	4 7		_	राज्य : पा	श्चम <i>बं</i> ग	गल
27723 00 08 11 967 00 00 10 27722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			1383		œ	•	1. बंश खन्ना जलपाइ	965	00	15	29
27722 00 02 24 1931 00 14 49 1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			1531	00	11	97		966	00	05	17
1532 00 05 05 970 00 00 49 1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			2723	00	03	11		967	00	00	10
1401 00 00 53 1932 00 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			2722	00	02	24		1931	00	14	49
1401 00 00 53 1932 90 08 14 1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			1532	00	05	05		970	. 00	00 -	49
1530 00 12 14 971 00 11 05 1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			1401	00	00	53		•	90	Œ	14
1404 00 01 25 972 00 06 50 1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			1530	00`	12	14			00	11	
1524 00 01 05 973 00 11 91			1404	00	01		*				
					01	05					
			1523	00	01	82		974	\00	07	41

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(
. बंश खन्ना जलपाइ	975	00	01	07	1. बंश खन्ना जलपाइ	सर्वे सं 1272	00	00	9
(निरंतर)	1005	00	01	4 9	(जारी)	और 1274			
	1006	00	04	06		के बीच में			
.7	1007	00	01	47		1274	00	24 02	
	731	00	00	25		1275 सर्वे सं. 1275	00 00	00	
	730	00	05	33		और गाँव सीमा	w	w	
	729	00	06	94		के बीच में			
	728	00	09	53	2. चकदिपा	134	00	03	
	727	00	03	59		135	00	09	
	726	00	16	99		136	00	11	
	2082	00	06	93		137	000	02	
						138	00	00	
	1032	00	18	06 ~~		139	00	02	
	1031	00	11	28		141	00	04	
	2091	00	06	43		140	00	05	
	2090	00	01	25		144	00	14	
	1029	00	07	18		149	00	01	
	1033	00	00	09		147	00	01	
	1039	00	32	46		148	00	00 m	
	1158	00	00	10		150	00	02 00	
	1157	00	17	36 21		152 153	00	œ	
	1156 1145	00 00	45 16	<i>7</i> 1 <i>7</i> 1		155	00	02	
	1983	00	00	77		160	00	00	
	1144	00	03	51		159	00	02	
	1170	00	16	69		155	00	05	
	1171	00	02	44		158	00	04	
	1169	00	00	53		161	00	01	
	1172	00	22	48		180	00	08	
	1123	00	16	36		183	00	01	
	1174	00	05	50		181	00	01	
	1176	00	09	11		178	00	00	
	1175	000	04	10		177	00	00	
	1209	00	10	23		182	00	13	
	1214	00	09	70		188	00	00	
•	1215	00	17	20		189	00	06	
	1906	. 00	02	38		190	00	Œ	
	1228	00	12	66		215	00	04	
	1216	00	02	24		214	00	02	
	1217	00	04	85		216	00	01	
	1272	00	04	04		217	00	10	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	218	00	10		2. चकदिपा (निरंतर)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
- 400411	233	00	00	58	८ पकार्या (।नस्तर)	711	00	07 ~~	23
	219	00	00	10		665	00	00	10
	522	00	07	10 42		712 713	00	08	00
	232	00	01	22		713	00 m	10	77 ~
	231	00	06	98		714 215	00	00	96
	221	00	00	70 10		715 661	00	06	74
	223	00	05	36		660	00	00	19
	224	00	06	11		716	00	05	32
	225	00	03	16		855	00	21	91
	227	00	14	80		870	00 00	01 ~	32
	495	00	02	29		869	00	04 m	01
	496	00	00	54		838	00	03 01	84 57
	518	. 00	01	20		867	00	06	18
	497	00	14	46		861	00	01	84
	494	00	00	35		3792	00	00	55
	498	00	01	88		866	00	01	37
	470	00	01	14		862	00	17	17
	503	00	00	11		863	00	08	49
	514	00		48		791	00	03	12
	513	00	08	89		844	00	02	62
	512	00	01	81	•.	830	00	01	37
	505	00	04	31		831	00	01	55
	504	00	05	21		843	00	00	72
	482	00	11	61		832	00	06	62
	508	00	00	21		833	00	00	10
	509	00	05	16		3750	00	Œ	37
	479	00	04	08		834	00	16	81
	3776	00	23	46		821	οÓ	11	90
	574	00	05	64		822	- 00	01	52
	684	00	01	43		820	00	13	42
	673	00	02	59		3842	00	01	25
	672	00	10	56		सर्वे सं 3842	00	01	83
	670	00	07	65		और 3869			
	691	00	00	73		के बीच में			
	669	00	05	57		3869	00	08	01
	<i>((</i> 0	~							

2104 T	HE GAZETTE	OF INE	IA: MA	RCH 5,	2011/PHALGUNA 14,	1932	[Part I]	[Sec. :	3(ii)]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(2) चकदिपा (निरतर)	1760	90	01	11	(3) ब्रजबी नंदा चक्	147	00	02	86
	3877	00	09	81	(निरंतर)	106	00	14	84
	3878	00	05	65		109	00	01	51
	सर्वे सं 3878	00	01	49		179	00	07	77
	और 1799		-			108	00	11	08
	के बीच में					187	00	02	02
	1799	00	01	12		194	00	04	94
	1764	00	01	00		136	00	06	33
	1 77 0	00	19	61	•	137	00	00	55
	1 7 87	00	14	55		183	00	14	26
	1786	00	04	63		184	00	00	98
	1789	00	21	07		133	00	11	37
	1782	00	00	10		132	00	28	59
	1790	00	05	17	मंडल/तहसील/तालुकः	नंदीग्राम-11	जिला: मे	दिनीपर	
	1792	00.	07	24	400000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	राज्य : प		गल
	3374	. 00	00	33	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	420			
	1868	00	01	35	(l) हनु भुँया	439	00	07	55
	1791	00	11	œ		436	00	01	76
	3375	00	09	30		435	00	02 ~	97 ~
	3376	00	09	22		433	00	09	03
	3380	00	01	22		434	00	00	74
	3381	00	01	87		430	00	00	53
	3382	00	01	42		429	00	10	42
	3383	00	04	11		428	00	00	80
	3385	00	18	63		431	000	06	40
(3) ब्राजबी नंदा चक्	170	00	06	91		427	00	04	86
	213	00	05	61		99	00	06	36
•	212	00	04	62		100-	00	10	31
	94	00	00	55		101	00	07	1.5
	95	00	15	58		102	00	00	10
	96	00	02	95		97	00	00	2
•	93	00	03	19		96	00	02	2
	92	00	02	17		105	00	00	5
	102	00	10	69		106	000	00	4.
	188	00	05	21		108	00	00	1
	101	00	08	\mathbf{o} 3		. 107	00	15	5
	99	00	00	10		95	00	01	0
	100	00	05	99		152	00	04	8
	103	00	00	12		153	00	10	1
	104	00	15	47		151	00	02	8
	105	00	08	<i>7</i> 1		150	00	Œ	3

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
(1) हनु भुँया (निरंतर)	154	00	14	10.	(1) हनु भुँया (निरंतर)	1988	00	01	6
	139	00	11	61		1989	00	01	7
	138	00	06	39		1990	00	07	2
	137	00	06	20		2017	00	01	4
	136	00	04	77		2196	00	00	8
	158	00	08	57 ^		2195	00	17	3
	159	00	14	41		2194	00	12	3
	161	00	06	17		2186	00	04	0
	160	00	06	70	· .	2187	00	08	4
	207	00	27	40		2185	00	00	4
	217	00	04	17	•	2188	00,	00	1
·	208	00	09	⊕		2183	. 00	07	2
	214	00	07	79		2184	00	10	0
	215	00	17	43		2181	00	08	· 7
	1732	00	06	82		2178	60	09	4
	1733	00	11	14		2177	00	04	6
	1738	00	03	95		2169	00	10	0
	1739	00	19	37		2176	00.	06	6
	1740	00	07	87		2171	00	19	7
	1748	00	.08	78		2132	00	08	5
	1747	00	12	27		2133	00	04	5
	1756	00	11	64		2134	00	02	2
	1744	00	11	20		2131	00	10	9
	1845	00	19	33	•	2130	00	11	4
	1844	00	01	99		2129	00	12	3
	1846	. 00	02	51		2363	00	01	0
	1848	00	01	23		2128	00	œ	S
	1847	00	09	89		2366	00	10	3
	1895	00	- 10	97	•	2365	00	01	0
	1896	00	17	21		2367	00	11	1
	1899	00	08	08	(2) शिब्रामपुर	816	00	01	9
	1900	00	01	34		817	00	01	30
•	1898	00	06	55		<i>7</i> 37	00	13	0
	1897	00	04	15		736	00	04	8
	1890	00	01	42		738	00	17	8
	1981	00	02	70		72 6	00	07	6
	1980	00	10	68		727	00	04	7.
	1985	00	00	96		72 5	00	15	6
	1987	00	04	38		724	00	12	3
	1991	00	01	72		718	00	08	9

^	•	~	
7	-1	616	

[PART II—SEC. 3(ii)]

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(2)	शिब्रामपुर (निरंतर)	933	-00	05	01	(2) शिब्रामपुर (1	नरंतर) 654	00	00	31
		712	00	09	08		655	00	05	28
		934	00	04	39		652	00	13	50
		711	00	œ	80		651	00	Œ	12
		935	00	04	80		650	00	10	75
		937	000	08	57		645	000	17	()4
	•	936	00	04	23		643	(X)	00	49
		945	00	01	23		644	00	01	77
		944	∞	04	69		459	000	00	42
		946	00	04	58		429	00	13	71
		943	. 00	00	43		432	00	04	51
		952	00	00	60		430	00	06	42
		949	00	09	Œ		431	00	12	70
		950	000	04	52		428	00	Œ	38
		951	00	01	37		419	00	11	10
		912	00	01	64		418	00	02	27
		962	00	05	65		411	00	22	00
	961 963 978	961	.00	01	48		409	00	01	72
		963	00	05	05		420	00	00	10
		978	00	06	12		424	00	20	14
		977 -	00	06	$\mathfrak B$		425	000	00	42
		186	00	08	37	(3) खोदमबरी	747	000	07	49
		976	00	04	80		748	000	10	31
		975	00	06	82		749	00	09	73
		974	00	02	4 0		756	00	00]()
		187	. 00	00	21		755	00	16	57
		973	00	21	97		754	00	00	31
		1090	00	00	42		780	00	00	10
	•	972	00	10	96		781	00	01	84
		971	00	02	65		782	00	07	84
		1093	00	07	58		783	00	08	09
		666	00	04	18		784	00	15	41
		1094	00	12	65		753	00	05	69
		665	00	00	34		806	00	01	34
		1095	00	\mathbf{o} 3	15		808	00	14	()7
		663	00	17	96		810	00	05	88
		662	00	. 06	35		811	00	08	2 9
		653	00	11	44		4710	00	00	33
		658	00	00	82		861	00	02	80
		657	00	02	02		812	00	01	34

[भाग II—खण्ड 3(ii)]	भारत का राजपत्र : मार्च 5,2011/फाल्गुन 14, 1932
C (L)	100 40 0418 1414 2)2011/40(3/14, 1932

					र् 5,2011/फालाुन 14, 1932 				210
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(
3) खोदमबरी (निरंतर)	860	00	04	19	(3) खोरमबरी (निरंतर)	1147	. 00	00	
	859	00	11	91		1148	00	02	
	885	00	01	51		1149	00	17	
	858	00	04	85		1154	00	00	
	886	00	05	45		1150	00	06	
	887	00	15	84		4141	00	05	
	889	00	01	14		1151	00	00	
	888	00	07	51		3075	00	14	
	890	00	04	Œ		3073	00	09	
	908	00	05	94		3074	00	02	
	909	00	01	87		1 7 07	00	00	
	910	-00	01	62		1706	00	00	
	907	00	10	24	•	1708	00	œ	
	899	00	05	21		1699	00	01	
	900	00	00	Œ	•	1710	00	02	
	906	00	14	47	•	1712	00	01	
	938	00	10	80	•	3044	00	02	
	939	00	01	29		3043	00	00	
	940	00	10	30		1713	. 00	02	
	937	. 00	00	78		1714	00	11	
	941	00	04	57		2715	00	. 13	
	942	00	10	93		1220	00	04	
	943	00	09	39		2719	00	00	
	1020	00	08	46		1722	00	16	
	1019	, 00	10	7 0		1734	00	15	٠.
	1016	00	00	10		1735	00	Œ	
	1018	00	01	59		1736	00	01	:
	1017	00	13	02		1739	00	05	(
	1009	00	01	82		1738	00	06	4
	1013	00	04	58		1742	00	04	
	4619	00	04	62		1741	00	09	(
	1010	00	09	26		3017	00	01	4
	1011	00	07	46		1747	00	14	:
	1007	00	00	60		3016	00	03]
	1137	00	05	81		4654	00	07	3
	1136	00	10	81		3024	00	02	2
	1135	00	00	73		1748	00	00	ŧ
	1139	00	16	64		3013	00	00	8
	1141	00	00	82		3012	00	17	2
	1140	00	08	99		3010	00	œ	

[PART I	I—Sec.	3(n)
---------	--------	------

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA	MARCH 5 2011/PH	ALGUNA 14, 1932
	, 14TLTI///TI ~' 707 TL1 IT	(DOOD112 II) *>>>

2108 TI	HE GAZETT	E OF IND	IA:MA	RCH 5,	2011/PHALGUNA 14,	, 1932	[Part I	I—Sec.	3(ii)]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(3) खोदमबरी (निरंतर)	2378	00	01	36	(3) खोदमबरी (निरंतर	2321	00	07	98
	3009	00	09	24		2310	00	09	75
	2476	00	02	48		4786	00	02	13
	2474	00	12	20		2311	00	09	01
	2475	00	00	01		2289	00	00](
		. 00	04	55		2288	00	01	9
	2465	00	00	75		2287	00	00	6
	2450	00	16	41		2312	00	01	3
•	2451	00	01	22		2278	00	10	3
	2453	00	00	72		2277	00	08	7
	2464	00	06	52		2281	00	00	2
	2457	00	08	24		2275	00	00	8
	2455	00	02	72		2276	00	07	3
	2461	00	03	21		2235	. 00	06	
	2458	00	05	41		2236	00	02	4
	2460	00	00	88		2237	00	01	,
	2459	00	12	23		2241	00	07	
	2436	00	00	24		2232	00	04	
	2440	00	11	63	·	2231	00	02	
	2441	00	00	10		2230	00	04	
	2439	00	15	56		2229	00	()4	
	2537	00	00	10	•	2228	00	10	
	2418	00	10	68		2227	00	01	
	2417	00	09	74		2226	000	00	
	2552	00	00	51		2225	000	00	
•	2416	00	01	40		2224	00	00	
	2553	00	08	86		2223	00	∞	
	2414	œ	14	59		2218	00	02	
	2412	00	05	73	(4) ठाकुर चक्	260	00	01	
	2580	00	07	23	(4) 41 61 11	255	00	00	
	2411	00	07	06		256	00	07	
	2575	00	01	97		251	00	00	
	2581	00	00	71		254	00	02	
	2373	00	01	79		252	00	04	
	2328	. 00	02	49		253	. 00	10	
	2328 2327	00	02	62		247	00	09	
	2307	00	04	64		330	00	02	
	2323	00	01	64		329	00	00	
	2322	00	08	14		329	00	10	
	7.3 <u>7.7</u>	w				1,51	200	10	

(1)	(2)-	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(4) ठाकुर चक् (निरंतर)	333	00	00	28	(4) ठाकुर चक् (निरंतर) 483	00	04	39
	336	00	09	23		102	00	04	80
	335	00	10	74		100	00	06	66
	339	00	12	58		101	00	11	44
	345	00	06	57	•	484	00	04	37
	344	00	01	32		97	00	00	12
	346	00	06	22		95	00	10	68
•	208	00	04	89		94	00	00	36
	359	00	06	04		340	00	00	68
	209	00	. 12	26		332	00	01	42
	210	00	03	97	•	394	00	01	40
	204	00	07	67		258	00	16	62
	211	00	00	94	•	259	00	02	59
	213	00	00	65		246	00	01	21
•	202	00	06	5 0	(5) जयनपुर	1057	00	06	57
	124	00	00	23		1056	00	06	91
	55	00	14	75		1039	00	02	53
	203	00	13	37		1054	00	12	34
	56	00	œ	48		1052	00	13	96
	123	00	00	85		1050	00	- 01	16
	54 .	00	06	43		992	00	02	01
	57	00	13	98		990	00	01	88
	132	00	Œ	22		821	00	02	66
	131	00	10	87		991	00	26	20
	122	00	10	14		819	00	00	53
	141	00	00	26		823	00	02	38
	117	00	02	58		822	00	16	81
	118	00	11	96		817	00	06	05
	115	00	01	13		825	00	01	84
	116	00	09	04	•	824	00	09	10
	120	00	01	01		816	00	00	84
	119	00	01	70	•	829	00	00	25
	51	00	01	06	• •	830	00	15	98
	111	00	08	, 85		834	00	00	10
	110	00	09	23	· .	832	00	21	63
	107	00	. 00	7 6		831	00	07	98
	74	00	10	56		735	00	00	53
	109	00	07	24		833	00	16	37
	108	00	10	04		732	00	08	84
	81	00	15.	21		<i>7</i> 31	00	15	28

110: 11					,2011/PHALGUNA				3(11)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(5) जयनपुर (निरंतर)	730	00	06	42	(6) पथुरिया	441	00	01	67
	679	00	Œ	67		331	00	Œ	80
	680	00	10	24		330	00	05	31
	1065	00	01	87		329	00	07	94
	<i>7</i> 25	00	œ	22		333	00	00	8
	724	00	œ	66		332	00	16	2
	678	00	05	57		926	00	00	7.
	656	00	01	29		336	00	11	8
	671	00	03	86		334	00	09	C
	699	00	17	95		338	00	04	C
						357	00	00	ŀ
	697	00	00	64		349	00	07	8
	695	00	09	30		348	00	11	Ć
	694	00	02	21		337	00	00	2
	702	00	00	10		352	00	07	(
	703	00	01	44		355	00	Œ	
	691	00	15	80		354	00	09	
	692	00	00	39		306	00	00	9
	690	00	01	24		353	00	09	
	1015	00	00	23		351	00	600	(
	473	00	05	Œ		350	000	Œ	ļ
	475	00	02	52		308	00	06	;
	476	00	00	33		सर्वे सं. 308	00	02	•
	471	00	00	28		और 309	_		
	470	00	08	55		के बीच में रा 309	स्ता 00	01	
	469	00	02	43		269	00	04	
	468	00	07	35		307	00	22	•
	467	00	04	96		937	00	09	:
	465	00	04	26		267	00	04	
	466	00	07	04		268	00	04	
	463	00	01	34		266	00	. 03	
	464	00	09	92		312	00	00	
	461	00	00	39		264	00	07	
	474	00	03	24		262	00	00	
	705	00	08	72		275	00	02	
	1038	00	00	33		280	00	00	
	1041	00	Ò1	24		265	00	08	
	704	00	00	73		921	00	02	
	1093	00	00	10		274	000	19	
	472	00	01	16		920	00	01	4

<u>(1)</u>	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(6) पथुरिया (निरंतर)	328	00 -	Œ	51	(7) बयल (निरंतर)	1610	00	01	71
	442	00	06	09		1716	00	04	50
	443	00	06	77		1707	00	00	18
	444	00	02	97		1704	00	01	14
	445 .	00	01	76		2475	00	02	- 87
•	446	00	00	53		2474	00	œ	84
(7) ৰশল	2034	00	03	87		1706	00	01	70
	2033	00	16	2 7		1717	00	11	67
	2040	0	02	34		1708	00	04	18
	2036	00	04	22		1712	00	04	79
	2035	00	07	18		1661	00	13	43
	2232	00	01	39		1718	. 00	02	26
	2032	00	Œ	83		1701	00	07	70
	2028	00	07	31		1553	00		
	2052	00	06	35				18	09
	2009	00	00	31		1702	00	04	10
	2050	00	.00	10		1570	00	08	. 95
2	2056	00	24	24		1569	00	06	50
	2054	00	00	24		1713	00	15	21
	2037	00	01	46		1735	00	00	10
	2086	00	00	10		1564	00	01	49
	2060	00	02	97		1711	00	06	48
	2062	00	00	13		1705	00	09	10
	2057	00	25	68		1802	00	02	00
•	2025	00	06	37		1658	00	04	85
	58	00	01	39		1552	00	04	23
	2059	00	12	98		1608	œ	10	24
	2076	00	00	19		1710	00	00	10
	2064	00	02·	51		1613	00	03	81
	2063	00	07	86		2660	00	16	01
	1725	00 ~~	00 ~	35		1736	. 00	11	78
	2066	00	02	13		1709	00	19	68
22	2065	00	13	60		1801	00	01	
	2240	00	04	08		1612			47
	1563	00 m	00 m	30		1573	00	10 m	04
	2166	00 m	00 m	10 36			00 .	09	08
	1554 1715	00	02 00	25		1656	00	13	77
	1715 1609	00	00	10		2480	00	22	14
	1611	00 m	01	37 55		2246	. 00	02	77
	1011	00	01	55		1687	00	01	35

FPART	IISec.	3(ii)1
fr vers	AT MALON	~(**))

2112T	HE GAZETTE	OF IND	IA: MA	RCH 5,	2011/PHALGUNA 14	, 1932	[PART II	[Sec. :	3(ii)]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(i)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(7) बयल (निरंतर)	सर्वे सं. 1687	00	05	17	(9) बलरामपुर भाग-I	I 55	00	00	25
	और 2568				(निरंतर)	123	00	01	06
	के बीच में					53	00	06	10
	2568	00	04	74		80	00	01	37
	2693	00	05	78		81	∞	œ	86
(8) नरसिंहपुर जलपाइ	37	00	02	2 0		82	000	09	67
	35	00	14	49		83	00	02	19
	36	00	13	59		115	00	06	28
	33	00	15	12		84	00	16	81
	32	00	01	87		41	00	04	39
	21	00	13	54		40	00	05	Œ
	22	00	08	85		. 69	00	09	2)
	325	.00	02	35		36	00	02	09
	198	00	00	44		38	00	01	42
	197	00	17	97		37	00	06	80
	199	00	11	45		33	00	01	86
	196	00	10	53		34	00	(12	α
	195	00	19	88		32	00	19	23
	191	00	10	17		121	00	00	10
	190	00	13	16		31	00	06	41
	189	00	00	69		30	00	00	3(
	187	00	02	05		113	00	00	()5
	316	00	Œ	62		28	600	06	21
	224	00	03	08		24	00	00	74
	223	00	27	30		27	00	06	0:
	222	00	01	44		26	00	(12	34
	249	00	05	02		25	00	(3	6
(9) बलरामपुर भाग-II	108	00	16	15	- \ -				·
,,	1101	00	00	17		जिला : मेदिनीपुर	राज्य : प	11६८२ व	11161
	109	00	15	81	तालुक: नंदीग्राम-I				
	107	00	24	43	(1) भिमकटा	10	00	Œ	4
	106	00	01	66	•	1	00	04	4
	73	00	04	4 6		6	00	07	5
	72	00	. 06	31		363	00	01	2
	105	00	09	93		14	00	()]	(1
	74	00	00	19		214	00	02	()
	126	00	05	13		22	00	06	7
	102	ÓΟ	05	86		4	00	\mathbf{w}	2
	77	00	02	07		3	00	(12	9
	79	00	24	06		24	00	32	4
	100	00	02	23		23	00	04	3

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(l) भिमकटा (निरंतर)	21	00	02	00	(2) मनु चक् (निरंतर)	96	00	15	52
	31	00	12	37		114	00	05	52
	32	00	05	83		115	00	08	32
	101	00	09	64		116	00	01	95
	116	00	10	96		118	00	09	74
	115	00	05	50		112	00	11	04
	114	00	13	86		117	00	00	37
	135	00	06	48	·	119	00	09	56
	136	00	06	83	•	122	00	07	23
	137	00	04	92		337	00	01	87
	138	00	12	49		338	00	01	71
	133	00	00	10		339	00	02	28
	229	00	22	53		547	. 00	00	12
	230	00	00	54		548	00	16	83
	231	00	12	29		549	00	05	59
	232	00	13	18		534	00	16	28
	284	00	09	96		538	00	00	37
	233	00	00	57		536	00	11	70
	266	00	00	53		525	00	10	27
	2 67	00	00	73		526	00	18	19
	283	00	09	03		527	00	00	45
	282	00	08	63		518	00	24	80
	268	00	01	52		522	00	00	33
	270	00	00	11		521	00	00	77
	280	00	15	85		.519	00	09	82
	279	00	04	75		520	00	00	64
	273	00	00	19		511	00	22	41
	2 <i>7</i> 7	∞	07	26		636	00	14	00
	274	00	02	59		637	00	14	61
	275	00	04	97		638	00	12	80
	276	00	04	61		632	00	02	60
	सर्वे सं. 276	00	01	51		631	00	08	57 77
	और गाँव सीमा					628	00	47 ~	75 20
(2) — —	को बीच में	00	.01	•		627	00	05 ~~	78 51
(2) मनु चक्	241	00	01	20		623	00 m	07	51
	140	00	18	14		624	00	20 m	27
	109	00	13	82		706 707	00	02	77 05
	138	00	03	90	(A) III ==	707	00	06 m	85 14
	134	00	35	00	(2) मनु चक् जलपाइ	119	00	02 os	14
	133	00	09	39		781	. 00	05 ~~	01
	95	00	00	10		782	00	08	43

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
3) मनु चक् जलपा	इ <i>7</i> 92	00	08	15
(निरंतर)	7 93	00	08	25
	7 91	00	00	12
	783	00	00	64
	784	00	13	61
	<i>7</i> 78	00	05	23
	203	00	07	44
	120	00	01	91
	7 77	00	02	58
	691	00	00	24
	754	00	03	90
	692	00	06	24
	688	00	09	03
	687	00	06	33
	121	00	05	64
	122	00	00	10
	109	00	02	06
	90	.00	10	72
	89	00	12	48
	88	00	17	29
	91	00	00	10
	87	00	00	46
	86	00	07	43
	92	00	02	67
	57	00	08	69
	58	00	21	77
	60	00	15	57
	61	00	15	45
	80	00	16	57
	64	00	00	24
	67	00	15	61
	68	00	00	10
	718	00	06	16
	7 17	00	06	16
	730	00	06	64
	729	00	04	91
	735	00	00	11
	1110	00	08	89

New Delhi, the 1st March, 2011

S.O. 682.—Whereas it appears to Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for transportation of natural gas from onshore terminal at East Coast of Andhra Pradesh of M/s. Reliance Industries Limited to consumers in various parts of the country. Kakinada - Basudebpur - Howrah pipeline should be laid by M/s Relogistics Infrastructure Limited;

And whereas, it appears to Government of India that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which are described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the Right of User therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri Barid Baran Guha, Competent Authority, Relogistics Infrastructure Limited, 7, Wood Street, 3rd Floor, Kolkata -700016, West Bengal State.

SCHEDULE

Mandal/Tehsil/ District : Medinipur State : West Bengal Taluk : Bhagawanpur-II

	Village	Survey No./	Area t	o be ac	quired
		Sub-Division		for RO	U
			Hec	Are	C-Are
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	Paschim Chak	616	00	14	17
		615	00	00	49
		612	00	01	56
		514	00	08	68
		538	00	00	39
		535	00	30	36
		537	00	00	10
		533	00	11	11
		532	00	13	79
		529	00	13	55
		275	00	04	03
		278	00	02	60
		279	00	02	89

[भाग —खण्ड 3(ii)]	<u> </u>	भार	तकास०	गपत्र : मार्च	5, 2011/फाल्गुन 14, 19	32			2115
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Paschim Chak	280	00	03	38	Pora Chingra	383	00	00	35
(Contd.)	528	00	12	46	(Contd.)	400	00	03	70
	44 7	00	Œ	5 9		394	00	09	55
	446	00	14	90		399	00	00	53
	445	00	Œ	27		393	00	07	71
	448	. 00	08	94		392	00	Œ	00
	469	00	07	82		391	00	01	68
	449	00	20	21		390	00	08	99
	450	00	00	24		388	000	00	33
	467	00	07	69		389	00	04	27
	466	00	08	00		419	00	00	57
	451	00	17	04		859	00	12	69
	454	00	05	15		858	00	03	35
	455	00	08	04		855	00	13	41
	465	00	08	85		856	00	00	99
	458	00	04	65 ~~		857	00	15	70
	457	00	09	79 47		840	00	11	45
2) Pora Chingra	182 Note bet VID 6	00	01	47		843	00	00	64
) Fora Chingra	Nala bet VB &	00	œ	17		2323	00	00	
	suy no. 344 344	00	10	55					10
	3 44 343	00	10 05	55 44		· 841	00	15 m	05
	345	00	00	77 2		835	00	02	30
	2813	00	02	78		834	00	09	89
	347	00	03	53		833	00	04	59
	353.	00	22	66		832	00	03	16
	341	00	00	76		831	00	01	96
	2609	00	03	08		82 9	00	13	80
	354	00	07	67		826 .	00	00	10
	332	00	00	17		827	00	Œ	24
	355	00				828	00	07	63
			09	91 m		<i>7</i> 86	00	04	59
	356	00	07	02		791	00	00	10
	359	00	07	12		In bet suy.	00	00	10
	360	00	07	34		no. 791 & 788			
	361	00	07	57		788	00	\mathfrak{B}	97
	362	00	07	10		787	00	œ	06
	363	00	15	31		731	00	09	54
	364	00	05	74		789	00	01	82
:	366	00	05	21		790	00	00	26
	367	00	Œ	34		730	00	08	
	369	00	06	78					37
	376	00	04	90		729	00	04	14
	378	00	00	37		732	00	œ	89
	381	00	13	55		728	00	01	48
	382	00	16	13		<i>72</i> 6	00	09	51

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
Pora Chingra	725	00	10	41	Pora Chingra	2786	00	00	5
(Contd.)	724	∞	00	28	(Contd.)	1331	00	18	7
	719	00	01	21		1337	00	10	7.
	718	∞	02	62		1340	00	01	-1
	734	00	04	()5		2712	00	(12]
	717	00	\mathbf{o}	42		1342	00	02	(;
	716	000	00	18		1341	000	07	
	715	00	10	12		1364	00	12	,
	714	00	(12	20		1363	000	21	Ü
	713	00	05	44		1360	(X)	(X)	{
	2803	00	Œ	65		1359	()()	02	-
	712	00	00	18		1358	00	()()	í
	2670	00	11	58		1374	00	(X)	
	1184	00	00	16		1378	00	18	•
•	1185	000	13	32		1379	00	07	
	1186	00	05	33		1380	00	10	
	1196	000	01	39		1381	00	()4	
	1187	00	11	49		1382	00	14	
	1192	00	11	13		1383	00	02	
	1188	00	00	10		1531	(00)	IJ	
	1195	00	05	74		2723	-00	(\mathfrak{B})	
	1197	00	Õ0	49		2722	00	()2	
	1194	00	09	10		1532	00	05	
	1193	00	00	26		1401	00	(X)	
	1202	00	00)	65		1530	00	12	
	1203	00	12	39		1404	00	01	
	1205	00	11	57 		1524	00	01	
	2707	00	00	78		1523	00	01	
	1206	00	16	41 `		1525	(10)	(X)	
	2761	00	27	91		1526	00	()]	
	2760	00	02	95		1527	00	(X)	
	1759	000	01	38		1528	00	()()	
	1316	00	\mathfrak{B}	44		1522	00	tβ	
	1317	00	04	02		1519	00	()4	
	1304	00	01	56		1518	(3)	()3	
	1757	00	01	32		1517	00	02	
	1320	00	05	93		1516	(0)	()]	
	1318	00	13	96		1508	()()	0]	
	1319	00	10	58		1510	00	(X)	
	1313	00	00	33		1449	00	06	
	2787	99	13	74		2727	(0)	()4	
	1333	00	00	23		2728	00	00	
	1332	00	04	()5		1450	00	(17	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
Pora Ching	gra 1446	00	12	23	Bansh Khana	1157	00	17	30
(Contd.)	1447	00	00	25	Jalpai (Contd.)	1156	00	45	7
	1451	00	Œ	09		1145	00	16	7
	1455	00	01	50		1983 1144	00 00	00 03	7 5
	1438	00	08	82	•		. 00	16	6
	1437	00	00	56		1171	00	02	4
	1436	00	02	65		1169	00	00	5.
	1435	00	00	81		1172	00	22	4
	1434	00	04	22		1123	00	16	3
	1431	000	10	04		1174	00	05	5
	1469	00	05	53		1176	00	09	1
	2470	00	01	38		1175	00	04	10
Mandal/Tehsil/	/Taluk : Sutahata-II	District:				1209	00	10	2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Medinip		engal		1214	00	09	7
(1) Bansh Kha	апа 965	00	15	29		1215	00	17	2
Jalpai	966	00	05	17		1906	00	02	38
•	967	00	00	10		1228	00	12	66
	1931	00	14	49		1216	00	02	2
	970	00	00	49		1217	00	04	8
	1932	()()	œ	14		1272	00	04	0
	971	00	11	05		In bet suy.	00	00	90
	972	00	06 ·	50		no. 1272 & 1			_
	973	00	11	91		1274 1275	00 00	24 02	34 68
	974	00	07	41		In bet suy.	00	00	3
	975	00	01	07		no. 1275 & V			,
	1005	00	01.	4 9	(2) Chak Dipa	134	00	03	0
	1006	00	04	06	•	135	00	09	6
	1007	00	01	47		136	00	11	2
	731	∞	00	25		137	00	02	9
	730	00	05	33	•	138	00	00	33
	729	00	06	94	•	139	00	02	40
	728	(10)	09	53		141	00	04	0
	727	60	\mathfrak{B}	59		140	00	05	99
	726	00	16	99		[44	00	14	79
	2082	00	06	93		149	00	01	75
	1032	00	18	06		147	00	01	7.
	1031	00	11	28		148	00	00	5
	2091	-00	06	43		150	000	02	49
	2090	00	01	25		152	00	00	10
	1029	-00	07	18		153	00	03	59
	1033	00	00	09		154	(0)	02	8
									O-
	1039	00	32	4 6		160 159	00 00	00 02	83 67

(5	(4)	(3)	(1) (2)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(1) (2)
O	()4	00	(2) Chak Dipa (Contd.) 479	68	05	00	Chak Dipa (Contd.) 155
40	23	00	3776	97	04	00	158
6	05	00	574	48	01	00	161
4	01	∞	684	78	08	00	180
5	(22	00	673	96	01	00	183
5	10	00	672	04	01	00	181
6	07	00	67 0	23	00	00	178
7.	(0)	00	691	58	00	00	177
5	Œ	00	669	61	13	. 00	182
4,	04	00	668	78	00	00	188
6	0]	(3)	667	86	06	00	189
8	.01	()()	666	42	Œ	00	190
5	00	00	703	58	04	00	215
2	07	00	711	32	02	00	214
J	00	00	665	59	01	00	216
()	08	00	712	06	10	00	217
7	01	00	713	56	10	00	218
9	00	00	714	58	00	00	233
7	06	00	715	10	00	00	219
1	00	(X)	661	42	07	00	522
3	05	00	660	22	01	00	232
Ċ	21	00	716	98	06	00	231
3	01	00	855	10	00	00	221
(04	00	870	36	05	00	223
,	\mathfrak{B}	00	869	11	06	00	224
-	Of	00	838	16	\mathfrak{B}	00	225
	0.6	00)	867	80	14	00	227
ò	01	00	861	29	02	00	495
	()()	(X)	3792	54	00	00	496
-	01	(X)	866	20	01	00	518
	17	(X)	862	46	14	00	497
	(8	()()	863	35	00	00	. 494
	B	00	791	88	01	00	498
1	02	00	844	14	01	00	47 0
	01	00	830	11	()()	00	503
	01	00	831	48	00	00	514
	00	00	843	89	Œ	00	513
1	06	(0)	832	81	01	00	512
	00	00	833	31	04	00	505
-	B	00	3750	21	05	00	504
1	16	00	834	61	11	00	482
(11	()()	821	21	00	00	508
	01	00	822	16	05	00	509

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(2) Chak Dipa (Contd.)	820	00	13	42	(3) Brajabi Nanda	101	00	08	Œ
•	3842	00	01	25	Chak (Contd.)	99	00	00	10
	In bet suy.	00	01	83		100	00	05	99
	no. 3842 & 3869					103	00	00	12
	3869	00	08	01		104	00	15	47
	3868	00	06	22		105	00	08	71
	3840	00	05	52		147	00	02	86
	3841	00	. 00	74		106	00	14	84
	3873	00	16	57		109	00	01	51
	1760	00	01	11		179	00	07	77
	3877	00	09	81	•	108	00	11	08
	3878	00	05	65		187	00	02	02
	In set suy.	00	01	49		194	00	04	94
	no. 3878 &			-		136	00	06	33
	1799					137	00	00	55
	1799	00	01	12		183	00	14	26
	1764	00	01	00		184	00	00	98
	1770	00	19	61		133	00	11	37
	1787	00	14	55		132	00	28	59
	1786	00	04	63	1.6 - 1.170 1 (100 1.1				
	1789	00	21	07	Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk : Nandigram-II	District : Medinipur	Stat Beng	e:West	
•	1782	00	00	10					
	1790	00	05	17	(1) Hanu Bhunya	439	00	07	55
	1 7 92	00	07	24		436	00	01	76 ~~
	3374	00	00	33		435	00	02	97
	1868	00	01	35		433	00	09	Œ
	1791	00	11	03		434	00	00	74
_	3375	00	09	30		430	00	00	53
	3376	00	09	22		42 9	00	10	42
	3380	00	01	22		428	00	00	80
	3381 3382	00 00	01 01	87 42		431	00	06	46
	3383	00	04	11		427	00	04	86
	3385	00	18	63		99	00	06	36
(3) Brajabi Nanda	170	00	06	91		100	00	10	31
Chak	213	00	05	61		101	00	07	15
	212	00	04	62		102	00	00	10
	94	00	00	55		97	00	00	26
	95	00	15	58		96	00	02	20
	96	00	02	95		105	00	00	-59
	93	00	03	19		106	00	00	43
	92	00	02	17		108	00	00	18
	102	00	10	69		107	00	15	59
		•••		0,5					08

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
) Hamu Bhunya	152	00	04	80	(1) Hanu Bhunya	1991	00	01	7.
(Contd.)	153	00	10	14	(Contd.)	1988	00	01	6
	151	00	02	89		1989	00	01	7
	15 0	00	03	36		1990	00	07	2
	154	00	14	10		2017	00	01	4
	139	00	11	61		2196	00	00	8
	138	00	06	39		2195	00	17	3
	137	00	06	20		2194	00	12	3
	136	00	04	77		2186	00	()4	•
	158	00	Œ	57	•	2187	00	08	
	159	00	14	41		2185	00	00	
	161	00	06	17		2188	00	00	
	160	00	06	70		2183	00	07	
	207	00	27	40		2184	00	10	
	217	00	04	17		2181	()()	08	
	208	00	09	69		2178	00	09	
	214	000	07	79		2177	()()	04	
	215	00	17	43		2169	00	10	
	1732	00	06	82		2176	00	06	
	1733	00	11	14		2171	(X)	19	
	1738	00	\mathfrak{B}	95		2132	00	08	
	1739	00	19	37		2133	00	04	
	1740	00	07	87		2134	00	02	
	1748	00	08	<i>7</i> 8		2131	(X)	10	
	1747	00	12	27		2130	(X)	11	
	1756	00	11	64		2129	00	12	
	1744	00	11	20		2363	000	01	
	1845	00	19	33		2128	(10)	Œ	
	1844	00	01	99		2366	()()	10	
	1846	00	02	51		2365	()()	01	
	1848	00	01	23		2367	000	11	
	1847	00	09	89	(2) Shibrampur	816	00	Ol	
	1895	00	10	97		817	(X)	01	
	1896	00	17	21		737	00	13	
	1899	00	08	Œ		736	(0)	04	
	1900	00	01	34		738	00	17	
	1898	00	06	55		726	00	(17	
	1897	00	04	15		727	()()		
	1890	00	01	42		725	00	15	
	1981	00	02	70		724	00	12	
	1980	00	10	68		718	()()	08	
	1985	00	00	96		933	00	05	
	1987	00	04	38		712	00	09	

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(2)	Shibrampur	934	00	04	39	(2) Shibrampur '	645	00	17	04
	(Contd.)	711	00	03	80	(Contd.)	643	00	00	49
		935	00	04	80		644	00	01	77
		937	00	08	57		459	00	00	42
		936	00	04	23		429	00	13	71
		945	00	01	23		432	00	04	51
		944	. 00 -	04	69		430	00	. 06	42
		946	00	04	58		431	00	12	70
		943	00	00	43		428	00	03	38
		952	00	00	60	·	419	00	11	10
		949	00	09	Œ		418	00,	02	27
		950	00	04	52		411	00	22	00
		951	00	01	37		409	00	01	72
		912	00	01	64	-	420	00	00	10
		962	00	05	65		424	00	20	14
		961	00	01	48		425	00	00	42
		963	00	05	05	(3) Khodambari	747	00	07	49
		978	00	06	42	,	748	′ 00	10	31
		977	00	06	Œ		749	. 00	09	73
		186	-00	08	37		756	00	00	10
		976	00	04	80		755	00	16.	57
		.275	00	06,	82		754	00	00	31
		974	00	02	40		780	00	00	10
		187	00	00	21		781	00	01	84
		973	00	21	97		782	00	07	84
		1090	00	00	42		783	00	08	09
		972	00	10	96		784	00	15	41
		971	00	02	65	`	753	00	05	<i>6</i> 9
		1093	00	07	58		806	00	01	34
		666	00	04	18	_	808	00	14	07
		1094	00	12	65		810	00	05	88
		665	00	00	34		811	00	08	29
		1095	00	Œ	15		4710	00	00	33
		663	00	17	96		861	00	02	80
		662	00	06	35		812	00	01	34
		653	00	11	44		860	00	04	19
		658	00	00	82	•	859	00	11	91
٠		657	00	02	02		885	. 00	01	51
		654	00	00	31	·	858	00	04	85
		655	œ	05	28		886	00	05	45
		652	00	13	50		887	00	15	84
		651	00	œ	12		889	. 00	01	14
		650	. 00	10	75		888	00	07	51

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5
3)	Khodambari	890	00	04	03	(3) Khodambari	1706	00	00	10
	(Contd.)	908	. 00	05	94	(Contd.)	1708	00	\mathfrak{B}	2
		909	00	01	87		1699	00	01	5
		910	00	01	62		1710	00	(12	8
		907	00	10	24		1712	00	01	ϵ
		899	00	05	21		3044	00	92	l
		900	00	00	Œ		3043	00	(X)	1
		906	00	14	47		1713	00	02	- (
		938	00	10	80		1714	00	11	
		939	00	01	29		2715	00	13	2
		940	00	10	30		1220	00	(14	4
		937	00	00	78		2719	00	()()	4
		941	00	()4	57		1722	00	16	,
		942	00	10	93		1734	00	15	
		943	00	09	39		1735	00	()3	;
		1020	00	08	46		1736	00	01	
		1019	00	10	70		1739	00	05	+
		1016	00	00	10		1738	00	06	
	1018	00	01	59		1742	00	04		
	1017	00	13	02		1741	00	09		
		1009	00	01	82		3017	00	01	
		1013	00	04	58		1747	00	14	
		4619	00	04	62		3016	00	03	
		1010	00	09	26		4654	00	(17	
		1011	00	07	46		3024	00	112	
		1007	00	00	60		1748	(X)	(X)	
		1137	00	05	81		3013	(X)	(1)	
		1136	(X)	10	81		3012	00	17	
		1135	00	00	73		3010	00	\mathfrak{B}	
		1139	000	16	64		2378	()()	01	
		1141	00	-00	82		3009	(0)	09	
		1140	00	08	99		2476	(X)	(2	
		1147	00	00	11		2474	(0)	12	
		1148	00	02	43		2475	. 00	()()	
		1149	00	17	83		2473	00	()4	
		1154	00	00	35		2465	00	(1)	
		1150	00	06	48		2450	00	16	
		4141	00	05	58		2451	(X)	61	
		1151	00	00			2453	00	(X)	
		3075	00	14	21		2464	000	06	
		3073	00	09	54		2457	∞	08	
		3074	00	02	25		2455	(0)	(12	
		1707	00	00	4 6		2461	(0)	œ	

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2).	(3)	(4)	(5)
(3)		2458	00	05	41		Khodambari	2232	00	04	57
	(Contd.)	2460	00	00	88		(Contd.)	2231	00	02	21
		2459	00	12	23			2230	00	04	24
		2436	00	00	24			2229	00	04	79
		2440	00	11	63			2228	00	10	09
		2441	00	00	10			2227	00	01	37
		2439	00	15	56			2226 2225	00 00	00	94
		2537	00	00	10			2224	00	00 00	66 50
		2418	00	10	68			2223	00	00	60
		2417	00	09	74			2218	00	02	14
		2552	00	00	51	(4)	Thakur Chak	260	00	01	08
		2416	00	01	40			255	00	00	86
		2553	00	08	7 0 86			256	00	07	81
		2414						251 .	00	00	16
			00	14	59			254	00	02	04
		2412	00	05	73			252	00	04	02
		2580	00	07	23			253	00	10	97
		2411	00	07	06			247	00	09	12
		2575	00	01	97			330	00	02	61
		2581	00	00	71			329	00	00	10
		2373	. 00	01	79			331 334	00 00	10 05	84 20
		2328	00	02	49			333	00	00	28 28
		2327	00	02	62			336	00	09	23
		2307	00	04	64			335	00	10	74
		2323	00	01	64			339	00	12	58
		2322	00				•	345	00	06	57
				08	14 m			344	00	01	32
		2309	00	04	23			346	00	06	22
		2321	00	07	96			208	00	04	89
		2310	00	09	75			359	00	06	04
		4786	00	02	13			209	00	12	26
		2311	000	09	01			210	00	03	97
		2289	00	00	10			204 211	00	07	67 04
		2288	00	01	97			213	00 00	00	94 65
		2287	00	00	65			202	00	06	50
		2312	00	10	30			124	00	00	23
		2278	00	10	37			55	00	14	75
								203	00	. 13	37
		2277	00	08	71 ~~			56	00	\mathfrak{B}	48
		2281	00	00	22			123	00	00	85
		2275	00	00	87			54	000	06	43
		2276	00	07	35			57	00	13	98
		2235	00	06	79			132	00	Œ	22
		2236	00	02	92			131	00	10	87
		2237	00	01	27			122	00	10	14
		2241	00	07	47			141	00	00	26 50
				Ψ,	••			117	00	02	58

Transfer at the contraction of t	[PART	II-	-Sec.	3(ii)
--	-------	-----	-------	-------

2124	THE GAZETT	E OF IND	1/1 , IVI/	inch 3,	ZUTI/TTIALOUNA T	7, 1752	[Akt II		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(4)Thakur Chak	118	00	11	96	(5) Jayanpur	833	00	16	37
(Contd.)	115	00	01	13	(Contd.)	732	(0)	08	84
(2011)	116	00	09	()4		731	00	15	2×
	120	00	01	01		730	(30)	06	51
	119	00	01	70		679	(0)	\mathfrak{B}	U_{ℓ}
	51	00	01	06		680	00	10	24
	111	00	03	85		1065	00	01	87
	110	00 -	09	23		725	00	03	2
	107	00	00	76		724	(00)	\mathfrak{B}	60
	74	00	10	56		678	(10)	05	57
	109	00	07	24		656	()()	01	(Χ
	108	00	10	04		671	< 00	B	(4
	81	00	15	21		699	00	17	95
	483	00	04	39		697	(00)	00	ℓ_2
	102	00	04	80		695	00	09	34
	100	00	06	66		694	00	02	21
	101		11	44		702	(0)	(Y)	{ (
	484	00	04	37		703	00	01	4
	97	00	00	12		691	00	15	84
	95	00	10	68		692	00	00	30
	94	00	00	36		690	00	01	2
	340	00	00	68		1015	()()	(1()	2.
	332	00	01	42		473	00	05	U
	39 4	00	01	40		475	000	02	5
	258	00	16	62		476	00	00	3
	259	00	02	59		471	00	(X)	2
	246	00	01	21		470	00	08	5
(5) Invanner	1057	00	06	57		469	()()	(12	.1
(5) Jayanpur	1056	00	06	91		468	00	07	3
	1039	00	02	53		467	000	04	ij
	1054	. 00	12	34		465	00	()4	2
		00	13	96		466	(0)	07	()
	1052 1050	00	01	16		463	00	01	3
	992	00	02	01		464	00	09	9
	990	00	01	88		461	00	(1)	3
	821	00	02	66		474	00	03	2
	991	00	26	20		705	00	08	7
		00	00	53		1038	00	(X)	3
	819 823	00	02	38		1041	00	01	2
	822	00	16	36 81		704	00	(1)	7
			06	05		1093	00	()()	l
	817	00				472	00	01	,
	825 824	00	01 09	84 10	(6) Pathuria	441	. 00	0)	6
	824	00 m	00 .	10 84	(O) Famulia	331	. 00	B	· ·
	816	00				330	00	()5	
	829	00	00	25 ne		329	(0)	07	
	830	00	15	98		329	00	00	;
	834	00	000	10		333 332	00	16	:
	832	00	21	63			00	(X)	
	831	00	07	98		926			
	735	00	00	53		336	00)	1]	

भाग	H—खण्ड 3(n)]		भारत	काराज	।पत्र ; माप	र 5, 2011/फाल्गुन 1 4 , 193	· 			<i>¥</i> 123
((1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(6) I	Pathuria	334	00	09	04	(7) Bayal (Contd.)	2054	00	00	24
	(Contd.)	338	00	04	03	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2037	00	01	46
	` '	357	00	00	10		2086	00	00	10
		349	00	07	82		2060	00	02	97
		348	00	11	67		2062	00	00	13
		337	00	00	27		2057	00	25	68
		352	00	07	. <i>6</i> 9		2025	00	06	37
		355	00	03	13		58	00	01	39
		354	00	09	28		2059	00	12	96
		306	00	00	81		2076	00	00	19
		353	00	09	13		2064	00	02	5
		351	00	00	69		2063	00	07	80
		350	00	Œ	85		1725	00	00	3:
		308	00	06	51		2066	00	02	13
		Road bet suy	00	02	23		2065	00	13	6
		no. 308 & 309	•~	\ AL			2240	00	04	0
		309	00	01	33		1563	00	00	3
		269	00	()4	23		2166	m	00	10
		307	00	22	45		1554	00	02	2
		937	00	09	25		1715	00	00	1
		267	00	04	39		1609	00	01	3
		268	00	04	90		1611	00	01	5
		266	00	œ	83		1610	00	01	7
		312	00	00	14		1716	00	04	5
		264	00	07	60 -		1707	00	00	1
		262	00	00	28		1704	00	01	1
		275	00	02	07		2475	00	02	. 8
		280	00	00	10		2474	00	03	8
		265	00	08	87		1706	00	01	7
		921	00	02	52		1717	00	11	
		274	õ	19	83		1708	00	04	1
		920	00	01	66		1712	00	04	-
		328	00	03	51		1661	00	13	2
		442	00	06	09		1718	. 00	02	2
		443	00	06	77		1713 1 7 01	00	07	-
		444	00	02	97		1553	00	18	(
		445	00	01	76		1702	00	04	
		446	00	00	53		1570	00	08	
70	Daval	2034	00	03	87		1569	00	06	
1)	Bayal	2033	00	16	27		1713	00	15	2
		2033 20 4 0	0	02	34			00	00	
		2036	00	04	22		1735	00	01	
			00	07	18		1564	w	06	
		2035 2232	00	01	39		1711 1705	00	09	
				08	83		1705		02	(
		2032	00 m				1802	00		
		2028	00	07 06	31		1658	00	04	;
		2052	00	06	35 21		1552	00	04	,
		2009	00	00	31		1608	00	10	
		2050	00	00	10		1710	00	00	
		2056	00	24	24		1613	00	03	

2126 IH	E GAZETTE	OF IND	IA : MI	ARCH 3,	2013/:	PHALGUNA 14, 15	/32	[PART 1	1SEC. 3)(II)]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(7) Bayal (Contd.)	2660	00	16	01	(9)	Balarampur Part-II	55	00	00	25
(-,,,	1736	00	11	78	(-)	(Contd.)	123	00	01	06
	1709	00	19	68		()	53	00	06	10
	1801	00	01	47			80	00	01	37
	1612	00	10	04			81	00	œ	86
	1573	00	09	08			82	00	09	67
	1656	00	13	77			83	00	02	19
	2480	00	22	14			115	00	06	28
	2246	00	02	77			84	00	16	81
	1687	00	01	35			41	00	04	39
	In bet suy.	œ	05	17			40	00	05	\mathfrak{B}
	no. 1687 &	ω	w.	1,			Θ	00	09	21
	2568						36	00	02	09
	2568	00	04	74			38	00	01	42
	2693	00	05	78			37	00	06	80
(8) Narasinghapur	37	00	02	20			33	00	01	86
Jalpai	35	00	14	49			34	00	02	(0)
•	36	00	13	59			32	00	19	23
	33	00	15	12			121	00	00	10
	32	00	01	87			31	00	06	41
	21	00	13	54			30	00	00	30
	22	00	08	85			113	00	00	()9
	325	00	02	35			28	00	06	20
	198	00	00	44			24	00	00	74
	197	00	17	97			27	00	06	01
	199	00	11	45			26	00	02	36
	196	00	10	53			25	00	Œ	63
	195	00	19	88	Ma	ndal/Tehsil/Taluk:	District:	Sta	te: West	
	191	00	10	17		Nandigram-I	Medinipur	Ben	gal	
	190	00	13	16	<u>(1)</u>	Bhimkata	10	00	02	46
	189	00	00	69	• • •		1	00	04	93
	187	00	02	05			6	00	07	52
•	316	. 00	Œ	62			363	00	01	20
	224	00	Œ	08			14	00	01	06
	223	00	27	30			214	00	02	00
	222	00	01	44			22	00	06	78
	249	00	05	02			4	00	œ	23
(9) Balarampur Part-II	108	00	16	15			3	00	(12	97
	1101	00	00	17			24	00	32	42
	109	00	15	81			23	00	04	34
	107	00	24	43			21	00	02	(00
	106	00	01	66			31	00	12	37
	73	00	04	46			32	00	05	83
	72	00	06	31			101	00	09	64
	105	00	09	93			116	00	10	96 50
	7 4	00	00	19			115	00	05	50 94
	126	00	05	13			114 135	00	13 06	86 48
	102	00	05 m	86			136	00	06	83
	77 70	00 00	02 24	07 06			137	00	04	92
	79 100		02	06 23			138	00	12	49
	100	00	(L	ω			150	w	12	42

_	<u>(1)</u>	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	Bhimkata (Cont		00	00	10	(2)	Manu Chak	511	00	22	41
		229	00	22	53		(Contd.)	636	00	14	00
		230	00	00	54			637	00	14	61
		231	00	12	29			638	00-	12	80
		232	00	13	18			632	00	02	60
		284	00	09	96			631	00	08	57
		233	00	00	57			628	00	47	75
		266	00	00	53			627	00	05 ~~	78
		267 283	00 00	00	73 m			623	00	07	51
		282	00	09 08	08 63			624	00	20 02	27
		262 268	00	01	52			706 707	00 00		77
		. 270 ·	00	00	32 11	(2)	Manu Chak Jalpai		. 00	06 02	85 14
		280	00	15	85	(3)	-	781	00	05	01
		279	00	04	75		(782	.00	08	43
		273	ã	00	19			792	00	08	15
		277	$\widetilde{00}$	07	26			793	00	08	25
		274	õõ	Ő2	5 9			791	õõ	õ	12
		275	00	04	97			783	00	00	64
		276	00	04	61		•	784	00	13	61
		In bet suy.	00	01	51			778	00	05	23
		по. 276 &						203	00	07	-44
		V B					•	120	00	01	91
(2)	Manu Chak	241	00	01	20			777	00	02	58
		140	00	18	14			691	00	00	24
		109	00	13	82			754	00	Œ	90
		138	00	03	90			692	00	06	24
		134	00	35	00			688	00	09	08
		133	00	09	39			687	00	06	33 .
		95 ~	00	00	10			121	00	05	64
		96	00	15	52			122	00	00	10
		114	00 00	05	52 22			109	00	02	06
	•	115 116	00	08 01	32			90 90	00	10	72 49
		118	00	09	95 74			89 88	00 00	12 17	48 29
		112	00	11	04			∞ 91	00	- 00	10
		117	00	00	37			87	. 00	00	46
		119	00	09	56		•	86	00	07	43
		122	00	07	23			92	00	02	6 7
		337	00	01	87			57	ã	08	69
		338	00	01	71			58	00	21	77
		339	00	02	28			60	00	15	<i>5</i> 7
		547	00	00	12			61	00	15	45
		548	00	16	83			80	. 00	16	57
		549	00	05	59			64	00	00	24
		534	00	16	28			67	00	15	61
		538	00	00	37			68	00	00	10
		536	00	11	70			718	. 00	06	16
	-	525	00	10	27			71 7	00	06	16
		526	00	18	19			730	00	06	64
		527	00	00	45			729	00	04	91
		518	00	24.	80			735	00	00	11
		522 531	00	00	33			1110	00	08	89
		521 519	00 00	00 09	77 82		 -		No. L-14014/		
			4 8 6	1 14	X/			117.1		الثالاكتنفه	

श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 8 फरवरी, 2011

का, आ, 683.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नागपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 14/2008) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 7-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-12012/50/2008-आई आर(बी-I)] रमेश सिंह; डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 8th February, 2011

S.O. 683.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 14/2008) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workman, received by the Central Government on 7-2-2011.

[No. L-12012/50/2008-IR(B-I)] RAMESH SINGH, Desk Officer

Date: 1-2-2011

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI J. P. CHAND, PRESIDING OFFICER, CGIT-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NAGPUR

Case No. CGIT/NGP/14/2008

: The Asstt, General Secretary,

Petitioner/ Party No. 1

State Bank Staff Union, Nagpur Module,

C/o Dy. General Manager, SBI Zonal Office,

S. V. Patel Marg, Kingsway,

Nagpur.

Versus

Respondent/ Party No. 2

: The Chief General Manager,

State Bank of India,

LHO, Synergey Building, G-Block, Street No. C-6, Bandra-Kurla Complex,

Mumbai-400051.

AWARD

(Dated: 1st February, 2011)

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) ("the Act" in short), the Central Government has referred the industrial dispute between the employers, in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workman, Shri M. M. Bajaj for adjudication, as per letter

No. L-12012/50/2008-IR(B-I) dated 14-5-2008, with the following schedule:

"Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India, Nagpur in awarding punishment of dismissal without notice from the Bank service to Shri M. M. Bajaj, Assistant (Cash/Accounts) w.e.f. 30-12-2005 is just, legal and proper? To what relied is the workman entitled?"

- 2. Though, in this case, the reference had been received by the Tribunal on 22-5-2008 and the union representative on behalf of the workman had been directed to file the statement of claim, till 30-12-2010, statement of claim had not been filed by the union representative. However, in the interest of justice, on 30-12-2010, a last chance was given to the union representative to file the statement of claim on 1-2-2011. On 1-2-2011, none appeared on behalf of the workman. No statement of claim was also filed on that date. Hence the case was closed for passing award.
- 3. As no statement of claim was filed by the workman, it is found that the workman is not interested to proceed with the case. Hence, it is necessary to pass a "no dispute award". Hence, it is ordered:

ORDER

The case be treated as "no dispute award", due to the default of the workman.

J. P. CHAND, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 684.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ बीकानेर एण्ड जयपुर के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में कन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 18/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 7-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-12012/129/2006-आई आर(बी-1)] रमेश सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th February, 2011

S.O. 684.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 18/2007) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jappun as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of Bikanar and Jaipur and their workman, received by the Central Government on 7-2-2011.

2.

and the state of the confidence would be the population of

[No. L-12012/129/2006-IR(B-1)] RAMESH SINGH, Desk Office:

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JAIPUR

}

PRESENT

N. K. PUROHIT, Presiding Officer

I.D. No. 18/07

Reference No. L-12012/129/2006-IR(B-I)

Dated: 12-2-2007

The General Secretary Akhil Bhartiya SBBJ Karamchari Sangh C-13, Ojhaji Ka Bagh Gandhi Nagar Mod, Jaipur.

V/s

The Dy. General Manager State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur Zonal Office, Sarojini Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur

AWARD

(Dated: 27th January, 2011)

- 1. The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (d) of sub-section 1 and 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has referred the following industrial dispute to this tribunal for adjudication which runs as under:
 - "Whether the action of the management of State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur through Dy. General Manager, Zonal Office, Jaipur for utilizing workman Shri Ram Gopal Sharma, Driver as peon instead of driving the vehicle is justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to and from which date?
- 2. Pursuant to the receipt of reference order, the registered notices were issued to both the parties. On perusal of the proceedings, it appears that the representative who appeared on behalf of the applicant union sought time for filing claim statement on 17-3-10, 26-4-16, 2-6-10, 29-7-10, 14-9-10 and 25-10-10. On 23-11-10 last opportunity was given to file the claim statement on next date i.e. II-1-11, but none appeared on behalf of the applicant union on the said date, therefore, ex-party proceedings were drawn against the applicant union.
- 3. Heard submissions on behalf of the non-applicant.
- 4. The applicant union has failed to file any claim statement despite several opportunities provided to it and ex-party proceedings have been drawn against the applicant union. It appears that the applicant union is not willing to contest the case further. Under these circumstances, there is no material on record to decide the

reference under adjudication on merits. Therefore, "No Claim Award" is passed in this matter. The reference under adjudication is answered accordingly.

5. Award as above.

N. K. PUROHIT, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 685.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सी. एम. पी. एण्ड डी. आई. एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, भुवनेश्वर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 96/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/97/1994~आई आर(सी-II)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th February, 2011

S.O. 685.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 96/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Bhubaneswar as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Mine Planning and Design Institute Limited and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 8-2-2011.

[No. L-22012/97/1994-IR(C-II)] D. S. S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

PRESENT:

Shri J. Srivastava, Presiding Officer, C.G.I.T.-cum, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar.

Tr. INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 96/2001

Date of Passing Award - 18th January, 2011

Between

The Management of the Regional Director, Central Miss Planning and Design Institute Limited.

Regional Institute VII, 4th - 7th Floor, Gruba Nirman Bhawan, Schivalaya Marg, Unit-III, Bhubaneswar-751 001.

... 1st Party-Management

(And)

Their workman Shri Biswambar Sahu. Represented through the Br. Secretary and C C Member,

National Coal Organization Employee's and Design Institute Ltd., Regional Institute-VII, 4th - 7th Floor, Gruha Nirman Bhawan, Sachivalaya-Marg, Unit-III, Bhubaneswar - 751 001

... 2nd Party-Union

APPEARANCES

M/s. N. K. Mishra, : For the 1st Party-

Advocate

Management

M/s. R. K. Bose.

: For the 2nd Party-Union

Advocate

AWARD

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour has referred the present industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the management of C.M.P.D.I. Limited and their Workman in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 vide their letter No. L-22012/97/94-IR (C. II), dated 13-7-1994.

- 2. The dispute as mentioned under the schedule of reference reads as follows:
 - "Whether the action of the management of CMPDI in not regularizing the services of Shri Biswambar Sahu, casual workman inspite of the fact that his juniors have been regularized is justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"
- 3. A corrigendum substituting the dispute as mentioned in the letter of reference was received from the Ministry of Labour vide their letter of even number dated 31-8-1995. Accordingly the dispute now stands as mentioned in the preceding para.
- 4. The 2nd Party-Union representing the disputantworkman filed statement of claim and stated that the disputant-workman Shri Biswambar Sahoo joined as a casual worker at Rampur camp under CMPDI R.I.-VII in February 1986. Along with him five other persons were also engaged as casual workers in different camps. Feeling satisfied with the work of the disputant-workman the Management issued three certificates of conduct in his favour and verbally assured him of regularization of his service. Accordingly the officer-in-charge of Rampur camp sent a letter dated 10-3-1990 to the Regional Director, Bhubaneswar calling for his detailed attendance. Thereupon the Management sent a telegram to the Personnel Officer, CMPDI, R.I. - VII, Bhubaneswar on

16-10-1991 regarding month and year wise attendance of the workman. After receiving the telegram the Officer incharge of the Rampur camp sent a detailed report of the workman on 20-10-1991. In this report the Management had shown that the disputant-workman had worked for more than 240 days. But the Management without considering his case again sent a letter to the Deputy Chief Personnel Manager, Ranchi calling for attendance of seven other casual workmen. One of those workmen Shri Kulamani Moharana had worked only for 194 days from January 1988 to May 1989 and for 147 days from June 1989 to May 1990. But the Management vide their letter dated 22-7-1991 issued to Shri Kulamani Moharana informed him that his service has been regularized. The Management also informed Shri Pradeep Toppo, Shri Debraj Bhaisal, Shri Dibasa Sah and Shri Lochan Singh that their services had been regularized vide office order dated 29-1-1990, though they had not completed 240 days of service in any year of employment. The disputantworkman made several representations to the Management for regularization of his service, but to no avail. The Management closed the Rampur camp in September 1992. but the disputant-workman was allowed to continue till December 1992 in the same camp. On 13-10-1992 he gave a letter to the Officer-in-charge of Rampur camp for regularization of his service. But the Management without considering his case retrenched him in January 1993 Thereafter he took shelter before the Asst. Labour Commissioner (Central), but nothing fruitful was done. It is therefore prayed that his service as a workman in Category-1 General Mazdoor be regularized from the year 1990 with back wages from the date of regularization.

5. The 1st Party-Management replied in their written statement that the purported complaint of the Union is beyond the scope of adjudication as it cannot be construed as an industrial dispute. The 2nd Party-workman was engaged as a casual worker intermittently against certain leave vacancies in exigencies of work on his personal approach. He has never completed 240 days of work during any calendar year. His attendance figure for the last three years preceding cessation of engagement shows a dismal picture which does not justify his claim. His engagement was never continuous or uninterrupted. He was emgaged in various temporary nature of jobs and quite offen 🚈 a badli casual worker such as truck helper, security guard. Filter helper etc. He was well awere of his status of engages and He was never in the permanent rolls of Rampur camp of CM F.D.I. Regularization of casual/temporary workers used to be done at the headquarters level. He never completed 240 days of actual working period in a calendar year. Under the certified Standing Order of the C.M.P.D.I., which came into effect on 7-8-1991. regularization of casual or temporary workers is done after completion of 240 days of attendance in any post or category either after the operation of the standing orders

or during one year immediately preceding thereto. Since the case of the disputant workman did not fall in any of the categories for consideration his case could not be considered by the 1st Party-Management. The dispute after being raised before the Asst. Labour Commissioner (Central), Bhubaneswar, the Management resisted the false and concocted assertion made by the Union on behalf of the disputant-workman. The conciliation ultimately, failed. The Management never gave any assurance to the disputant-workman for regularization of his service. All such averments are baseless, untrue and misconceived. The letter dated 30-3-1991 was admittedly issued by the 1st Party-Management, but the same was in respect of casual workers engaged at Talcher camp only and it had no relevance whatsoever with the Rampur camp. With regard to the regularization of Kulamani Maharana it has been submitted that his regularization was an outcome of a settlement between the Management and the concerned Union in course of conciliation proceedings. In the cases of other workers their attendance in the year 1988 and thereafter was considered by the Management before issuing letter of regularization. The attendance figures of the 2nd Party-workman during the relevant time fell short of others who were considered for regularization. The Rampur camp was closed in September 1992 for under utilization of manpower and machinery. Hence utilization of service of the disputant-workman till December 1992 did not arise. The question of alleged regularization of juniors to the 2nd Party-workman does not arise in the case of casual workers. Thus the reference is totally misconceived and is liable to be answered in favour of the 1st Party-Management and against the 2nd Partyworkman:

- 6. The 1st Party-Management has further filed an additional written statement in which they have challenged the corrigendum issued by the Government of India on the ground that by substituting the original reference, nature and scope of the present dispute has been changed in material aspect. As such a fresh reference dated 8-9-1995 is untenable and should be rejected as not maintainable. It is arbitrary, unilateral and violative of principles of natural justice.
- 7. In his rejoinder to the written statement the 2nd Party-workman has reiterated those facts which were averred in the statement of claim and also explained the points raised by the 1st Party-Management in their written statement.
- 8. On the pleadings of the parties following issues were framed for adjudication:

ISSÜJES

 Whether the action of the Management of CMPDI in not regularizing the services of Shri Biswambar Sahu, casual workman inspite of the

- fact that his juniors have been regularized is justified?
- (2) To what relief, if any, the workman is entitled?
- (3) Whether the reference is maintainable?
- The 2nd Party-Union has examined two witnesses, namely Shri Biswambar Sahoo as W.W.-1 and Shri Pravakar Tripathy as W.W.-2 on its behalf and filed five numbers of documents proved and exhibited as Ext.-1 to 5.
- 10. The 1st Party-Management has examined three witnesses, namely Shri S. R. Kurandwad as M.W.-1, Shri-Muralidhar Naik as M.W.-2 and Shri Birendra Prasad Singh as M.W.-3 on their behalf and filed 23 numbers of documents proved and exhibited as Ext.-A to N.

FINDINGS

lasue No. III

- 11. As this issue relates to maintainability of the reference it is taken up first.
- 12. The 1st Party-Management has raised this issue, firstly, on the ground that the order of reference is not maintainable as it does not constitute an industrial dispute and secondly, by issuing corrigendum dated 18-9-1995 the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour has changed the nature and scope of the original reference to a material extent. The action of the Central Government in substituting the original reference by another reference without giving any opportunity of hearing to the 1st Party-Management is arbitrary, unilateral and also violative of the principles of natural justice. The Government has no power either express or implied to supersede or cancel an old reference hence substituting a new one in its place amounts to withdrawal of a reference validly made.
- 13. It is an undisputed fact that the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour has previously referred the dispute on 13-7-1994 to the following effect:

Whether the action of the Management of CMPDI is justified in not regularizing services of Shri Biswambar Sahu, Casual workman who worked from 1986 to 1993 as per clause 3.7 of certified Standing Order and in view of the fact that his juniors have been regularized?

14. Subsequently through a corrigendum dated 31st August/8-9-1995 issued by the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour schedule of reference made earlier was substituted in the following words:

Whether the action of the Management of CMPDI is not regularizing the services of Shri Biswambar Sahu, casual workman inspite of the fact that his juniors have been regularized is justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

15. It has been stated on behalf of the 2nd Party-Union that the earlier reference dated 13-7-1994 did not include any relief portion. Hence no relief could have been given to the disputant-workman. The disputant-workman took the matter to the appropriate Government and the Government has made necessary correction in the reference through the corrigendum. The impugned corrigendum has neither superseded nor cancelled nor withdrawn the reference. The Government has ample power to correct the reference to enable the Tribunal to give relief. In this reference the case of "State of Bihar -Versus-D. N. Ganguly and others" (AIR 1958 SC 1018) has been relied upon by the 1st Party-Management. In this case the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court was confined to the narrow question as to whether an order of reference made by the appropriate Government under section 10(1) can be subsequently cancelled or substituted by it. The question of Government's power to amend or to add to a reference made under section 10(1) was not considered here. The Hon'ble Apex Court held that "the Act (I.D. Act 1947) does not expressly confer any power on the appropriate Government to cancel or supersede a reference made under section 10(1) of the Act nor can such power be claimed by implication on the strength of Section 21 of the General Clauses Act.

16. In the above noted case the Government of Bihar referred an industrial dispute between the Management of Bata Shoe Co. Ltd. Dighaghat (Patna) and their 31 workmen. The dispute was whether the dismissal of the workmen in question was justified? If not, whether they were entitled to reinstatement or any other relief? Later, near about three months thereafter a similar industrial dispute between the same Bata Shoe Co. Ltd. and its 29 other workmen was referred by the Government of Bihar to the same Tribunal. Both the references were consolidated by the Tribunal and it made some progress. The Government of Bihar issued a third notification on 17-9-95 superseding the two earlier notifications to combine the said two disputes into one dispute, to implead the two sets of workmen involved in the two said disputes together and to add the Bata Mazdoor Union to the dispute, and to refer it to the adjudication of the same Industrial Tribunal. The dispute thus referred to the Tribunal was, "Whether the dismissal of the 60 workmen, mentioned in Annexure-B was justified or unjustified, and to what relief, if any, those workmen are entitled". Consequently the Tribunal passed order cancelling the hearing of the two prior references and directing that the files of the said references should be closed. The Bata Company and its workmen approached the Patna High Court for quashing of the last notification which, in result, was quashed. Then this matter went to the Apex Court in appeal. The Hon'ble Apex Court upheld the findings of the Hon'ble Patna High Court cancelling the first two notifications by the Government of Bihar as invalid and

ultra vires and ordered to go on with the previous two references which have remained pending before the Tribunal for fairly a long time.

- 17. Now it has to be seen as to whether by issuing corrigendum the Governmet of India in the Ministry of Labour has cancelled or superseded the previous reference or it was only a correction or amendment to the original reference?
- 18. On comparison with the original schedule of reference it comes out that certain words read as "who worked from 1986 to 1993 as per clause 3.7 of certified Standing Order" were deleted in the corrigendum and a relief clause was added which was not there in the earlier schedule of reference. It is not clear as to why the working period of the disputant-workman from 1986 to 1993 was deleted alongwith the words "as per clause 3.7 of the certified Standing Order". It appears that the service period of the disputant-workman was wrongly mentioned in the earlier schedule of reference as the case of the disputantworkman was that he worked as a casual worker from February, 1986 to December, 1992. The certified Standing Order came into operation on 7-8-1991. According to the version of the 1st Party-Management these standing orders do not apply in the case of the disputant-workman as he was employed prior to coming into force of the certified Standing Order? Therefore, due to above reasons the above noted portions from the corrigendum of the schedule of reference might have been deleted and the relief clause was added as it was some how left. The corrigendum wholly substituted the original schedule of reference though it was in substance the same with regard to the dispute. Therefore, it cannot be called a new reference superseding the previous one. In my view it was only a correction or amendment of the mistake crept in the original reference. Although Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 does not permit the appropriate Government to withdraw or supersede or cancel the reference altogether earlier made, by a subsequent notification, yet under the inherent powers if there comes any mistake that may later be corrected or amended. Likewise if in the schedule of reference anything material with regard to the industrial dispute has been left out mistakenly it may be added by way of corrigendum. Perhaps sub-section (4) of Section 10 of the Act has been inserted for the purpose which reads out as below:

"Where in an order referring the industrial dispute to a Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal under this section or in a <u>subsequent order</u> (emphasis laid) the appropriate Government has specified the points of dispute for adjudication, the Labour Court or the Tribunal or the National Tribunal, as the case may be, shall confine its adjudication to those points and matters incidental thereto".

19. In view of the matter the plea of the 1st Party-Management that the reference is not maintainable on the ground of alteration in the nature and scope of the original reference and it is beyond the powers of the appropriate Government does not hold good. So far as the existence of industrial dispute on the basis of the schedule of reference and consequently on the pleadings of the parties is concerned they cetainly constitute an industrial dispute and the reference cannot be said to be non-maintainable on the aforesaid ground. Thus all the pleas taken in this regard by the 1st Party-Management shatter down and the issue is decided against the 1st Party-Management.

Issue Nos. 1 and 2

- 20. As both the issues are inter-dependent and interconnected with each other, they are taken up together for convenience sake.
- 21. It is an undisputed fact that the disputantwerkman Shri Biswambar Sahu had worked as a casual workman under the 1st Party-Management from 21-2-1986 to September, 1992. The disputant-workman has also pleaded that he had worked till December, 1992 even after closure of the Rampur camp of the CMPDI, though it is denied by the 1st Party-Management. But the 1st Party-Management itself has filed the attendance sheet of the disputant-workman for the months of November, 1992 and December, 1992. Though these attendance sheets have not been marked exhibits in the evidence of Management witnesses, perhaps intentionally to deny the allegation of the disputant-workman yet they show that he had worked till December, 1992 in the Rampur camp. However, that is not a material fact to affect the decision of the case. The case of the disputant-workman is that he had worked for more than 240 days in a calendar year during April, 1986 to March, 1987. It is evident from Ext.-E and Ext.-F/1 filed by the 1st Party-Management itself. The 1st Party-Management has refuted the allegations of the disputantworkman and contended that the disputant-workman had not completed 240 days continuous service in a calendar year as is reflected from the letter dated 16-4-1993 sent by the Personnel Officer of the CMPDI to the Asst. Labour Commissioner (Central), Bhubaneswar. The officer had informed the Asst. Labour Commissioner (Central), Bhubaneswar that during the period of engagement Shri Biswambar Sahu had not completed 240 days attendance in a calendar year. As such he was not regularized. It was further stated that Shri Biswambar Sahoo was engaged as and when required for temporary or for non-perennial nature of job and provisions of certified Standing Order dated 8-7-1991 will not be applicable in his case because he was engaged prior to the certification of the Standing Order. The attendance sheet Shri Biswambar Sahu which was sent to the Regional Director, CMPDI, R.I.-7, Bhubaneswar marked as Ext.-E and F/1 shows year-wise attendance of Shri Biswambar Sahu from the year 1986 to 1989. In none of these years Shri Biswambar Sahu had put

- in 240 days service, but it was written underneath that he has completed 241 days from April, 1986 to March, 1987. There has not been made any specific provision under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 as to from which month, calendar year for calculation of continuous service of 240 days shall start. Clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 25-B of the Industrial Disputes Act only says that:
 - "(a) for a period of one year, if the workman, during a period of 12 calendar months preceding the date with reference to which calculation is to be made has actually worked under the employer for not less than:
 - (i) one hundred and ninety days in the case of a workman employed below ground in a mine; and
 - (ii) two hundred and forty days, in any other case:
- 22. It is relevant to mention here that the officer incharge, CMPDI exploration camp, Rampur has sent the attendance sheet of the disputant-workman to the Regional Director CMPDI, R.I.-7, Bhubaneswar-1 by letter dated 10-3-1990 (Ext.-3) and to the Chief Personnel Manager, CMPDI Headquarters, Ranchi on 20-10-1991 (Ext.-4). Therefore, it has to be taken to mean that the calculation of 240 days continuous service for the purpose of regularization has to be reckoned either from the month of February, 1990 or September, 1991 to the preceding rest of the 11 months. There is no evidence on record that the disputant-workman has completed 240 days service from the month of February/March, 1990 or September/October 1991 to the preceding 11 months i.e. from February/March, 1989 to January/February, 1990 or from September/October, 1990 to Augutst/September, 1991. Therefore it comes out that on the date of consideration of the matter of regularization of the disputant-workman he had not completed 240 days continuous service as envisaged under Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act. In this view of the matter his case for regularization in service was rightly rejected by the 1st Party-Management, of course, without any regard for discrimination.
- 23. Now the question arises as to whether the action of the Management of CMPDI is justified in not regularizing the services of the disputant-workman Shri Biswambar Sahoo despite the fact that his juniors were regularized. The 1st Party-Management has taken two stands in his behalf, firstly they alleged that they had not regularized any person purportedly junior to Shri Biswambar Sahoo and secondly, that there is no question of treatment of casual workers as junior or senior. As such the question of alleged regularization of juniors to the disputant-workman does not arise. These assertions of the 1st party-Management explicitly make it clear that juniors to the disputant-workman have been regularized in service by

the 1st Party-Management. Although it is not very much clear as no evidence regarding inter-se-seniority of the disputant-workman has been led by either of the parties, yet there is a statement on record of Exploration Camp at Gopalpur regarding date of engagement of casual workers duly signed by the Officer-in-charge which shows that except Pradip Topo, Debraj Bhaisal, Debasa Shah and Lochan Singh joined the service after the disputantworkman in the year 1986. But they had been regularized by office order dated 19-1-1990 which is Ext.-A. This office order does not disclose as to whether they had completed 240 days of service on the date of consideration preceding the 12 calendar months, M.W.-1 Shri S. R. Khandual could not tell about any of the workmen regularized in Gopalpur Camp was junior to the 2nd Party-Workman. He has stated that no seniority list or casual workmen is prepared. He has specifically stated that he did not remember if each of the workmen as per Ext.-A had completed 240 days of continuous employment. M.W.-2 Muralidhar Nayak, who was officer-in-charge of Rampur camp from January, 1982 to September, 1989 has stated that engagement of Shri Biswambar Sahoo in Rampur camp was not regular and continuous. It was intermittent in nature. His service was required for exigencies of work. He has denied that the case of Shri Biswambar Sahoo was overlooked though he was senior to Pradip Topo etc. M.W.-3 Shri B. P. Singh, Personnel Manager at Ranchi Headquarters has stated that the service of Shri Kulamani Moharana working at Talcher camp was regularized by virtue of tripartite settlement vice Ext.-L/1 which shows that he had completed 240 days of service. Since the Rampur camp was under closure there was no necessity for regularizing the services of any casual worker. He has also stated that after closure of Rampur camp the disputant-workman was not engaged and no compensation was paid to him. He has denied the fact that Shri Pradip Topo and others were junior to the disputant-workman. He has further stated that seniority as a whole was not maintained, but it was maintained camp wise. But mere oral submissions do not suffice and it remains open to challenge whether juniors to the disputantworkman were regularized in service or not?

24. In this regard the disputant-workman Shri Biswambar Sahoo has stated that four employees, namely, Pradip Toppo, Debraj Bhaisali, Lochan Singh, Dibasa Shah were engaged as casual labourers after him in Gopalpur camp while he was working as a casual worker in Rampur camp. The Officer-in-charge has recommended for his regularization vide Ext.-3 and sent his attendance vide Ext.-4. The working particulars of seven persons junior to him were also sent to the Head Office vide Ext.-5. One Kulamani Moharana out of them was regularized in service. He was junior to him. But the contention regarding Kulamani Moharana is not tenable as the office order regarding his regularization speaks out completion of 240 days service, while the disputant-workman has not completed 240 days of continuous service at the time of

consideration of his case. He could not tell anything about the attendance of other casual workers working in other camps who were regularized by the 1st Party-Management. W.W.-2 Shri P. Tripathy has stated in his evidence that regularization of Kulamani Moharana was done pursuant to a tripartite settlement. Regarding other four persons, who were regularized in service, he expressed his inability to tell about the period of their engagement. Therefore, his evidence also does not help in deciding the fact as to whether juniors to the disputant-workman were regularized in service by the 1st Party-Management.

25. The initial burden to prove the fact that juniors to the disputant-workman were regularized in service by the 1st Party-Management though lies on the disputantworkman, yet by asserting that his juniors were regularized by the 1st Party-Management he has dischreed his burden to prove that fact. Now the burden shifts on the 1st party-Management to deny the fact by specific evidence as the whole service record has to be maintained by the Management. But the Management has not come out with clean hands and led the true facts before this Tribunal to disprove the factum of juniors to the disputant-workman having been regularized in service. Ext.-5 filed by the contestant union on behalf of the disputant-workman shows that five casual workers excepting Shri Kulamani Moharana were not regularized as they had not worked for 240 days in a calendar year. They had put in very lesser number of days in service. The disputant-workman has alleged them junior to him. This fact gains significance as their services were required to be ceased before the disputant-workman on closure of the Rampur Camp. It is not clear as to what has been done with regard to these casual workmen on closure of Rampur Camp. Ext.-D filed by the 1st Party-Management only recites that 20 workmen and two officers of drilling camp at Rampur are transferred to Hydeogeological Department, CMPDI Headquarters after closure of the drilling camp at Rampur. It does not name any of the workmen who had been transferred and nothing has been mentioned about the casual workers. Thus it appears with some certainty that the justice has not been meted out to the disputant-workman while ceasing his job as casual workman. Since a long period of about 18 years has elapsed and the drilling camp at Rampur is not in operation, no relief with regard to providing him job of casual worker can be granted. But viewing the high handedness of the 1st Party-Management in violating the principles of natural justice and the statutory provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, I think it proper to award Rs. 50,000 to the disputant-workman as compensation in the facts and the circumstances of the case. The above two issues are decided accordingly. The compensation shall be paid to the disputant-workman within a period of three months from the date of publication of the Award.

26. The reference is answered with the above directions.

Dictated and Corrected by me.

J. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 686.---औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार सी.पी.आर.एस. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण-II, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 582/2005/ 583/2005 और 584/2005) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल.-42012/82/2003-आई आर(सी-11), एल.-42012/8/2003-आई आर(सी-!!), एल.-42012/6/2003-आई आर(सी-II)]

डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th February, 2011

S.O. 686.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 582/ 2005, 583/2005 & 584/2005) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Potato Research Station and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 08-2-2011.

> [No. L-42012/82/2003-IR(C-II), L-42012/8/2003-IR(C-II), L-42012/6/2003-IR(C-II)]

D.S.S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-IL CHANDIGARH

Present:

Sri A.K. Rastogi, Presiding Officer.

 Case No. I.D. 582/2005 Registered to 23-08-2005

Sh. Salig Ram S/o Sh. Shiv Ram, Village Tathakar, P.O. Majhar, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla (H.P.)

Case No. I.D. 583/2005 Registered on 23-08-2005

Sh. Balak Ram S/o Sh. Mansa Ram R/o Village Makhrol, P.O. Fagu, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla (H.P.)

3. Case No. I.D. 584/2005 Registered on 23-08-2005

Narotam Ram S/o. Sh. Padma Ram, R/o Village Bagha, P.O. Fagu, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla (HP). ... Applicants

The Office In-charge, Central Potato Research Station, Kufri, Shimla Hills, Shimla (HP)-171012 ... Responde:

APPEARANCES:

For the workmen

: Sh. Pawan Sharma, Advocate in

I.D. No. 582/2005

Sh. Vivek Sharma & Archana Sharma, Advocates in LD No. 583/2005 and ID No. 584/2005

For the Management: S/Sh Raj Kumar Sharma, Arun Batra & Amit Sharma, ARs.

AWARD

Passed on 28th December, 2010

Central Government vide Notification No. L-42012/ 82/2003-IR (CM-II) Dated 06-04-2004 (in ID No. 582/2005), Notification No. L-42012/8/2003 IR(CM-II) Dated 12-05-2004 (in ID No. 583/2005) and Notification No. L-42012/6/2003-IR (CM-II) Dated 12-05-2004 (In ID No. 584/ 2005), by exercising its powers under Section 10 Sub-section (1) Clause (d) and Sub-section 2(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as Act) has referred the following industrial disputes respectively for adjudication to this Tribunal:

- 1. "Whether the action of the management of Central Potato Research Station, Kufri, Shimla Hills (HP) in terminating the services of Sh. Salig Ram, Ex-Beldar (Daily Wage basis) in the year 1997 without any notice and without any payment of retrenchment compensation is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled and from which date?"
- 2. "Whether the action of the management of Central Potato Research Station, Kufri, Shimla Hills (HP) in terminating the services of Sh. Balak Ram, Beldar (Daily Wage basis) without any notice and without any payment of retrechment compensation is just, fair and legal? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled and from which date?"
- 3. "Whether the action of the management of Central Potato Research Station, Kufri, Shimla Hills (HP) in terminating the services of Sh. Narotam Ram, Ex-Beldar (Daily Wage basis) without any notice and without any payment of retrechment compensation is just, fair and legal? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled and from which date?"

In all the above three references common questions of law and facts are involved, hence, they are being adjudicated upon by this single award. In I.D. No. 582/2005 vide reference No. L-42012/82/2003-IR (CM-II)) Dated 06-04-2004, in I.D. No. 583/2005 vide reference No. L-42012/

8/2003-IR (CM-II)) Dated 12-05-2004 and I.D. No. 584/2005 vide reference No. L-42012/6/2003-IR (CM-II)) Dated 12-05-2004.

The workmen were engaged as Beldars on daily wage basis in different years by the management. Their services were terminated in the year 1997. The specific date of termination of service has not been given in the claim statements. The workmen have raised an industrial dispute by stating that their services were terminated without giving any termination notice or retrenchment compensation as per provisions of Section 25F of the Act though they have completed more than 240 days in every preceding year during their engagement and persons junior to the claimants were retained and engaged by the respondent in violation of the provisions of Section 25H of the Act.

In I.D. No. 582/2005 the workman Salig Ram had additionally pleaded that he is entitled to regularization in Group 'D' post and also for temporary status as per Government of India D.P. & T. OM No. 49014/18/84-DS+C dated 07-05-1985.

The workman have prayed for his reinstatement with all consequential benefits.

The claim was contested by the respondent. It was stated that management is not an industry and there is no relationship of employer and employee between the management and workman, In O.A. No. 233/HP/2001 the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal has held that the claimants along with others were being kept for work by the contractor and also that the workmen have not completed 240 days including broken days. The workmen cannot reagitate the same pleas before this Tribunal. Their claims are time barred also. Workmen had moved earlier another Original Application in O.A. No. 234/HP/98. They had claimed many reliefs in the aforesaid Original Applications, which will be deemed to have been declined by the Hon'ble Tribunal and the present reference before this Tribunal is liable to be declined on this ground alone. Since April 1998 the work which is of purely seasonal nature, is being given to contractors and no direct casual worker was engaged after April 1998.

In I.D. No. 582/2005, the workman Salig Ram has named certain persons as junior to him and retained or reengaged by the management in villation of Section 25H of the Act. Regarding these persons the management in its written statement has stated that having completed 240 days in the preceding year and being on Muster Roll in the year 1993 these persons had fulfilled the eligibility criteria and therefore, were conferred temporary status as per judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Union of India & another Versus Mohan Pal J.T. 2002 (Suppl.)(1) SC 312 and clarification dated 06-06-2002 issued by ICAR.

In I.D. No. 583/2005 and 584/2005 workmen have filed rejoinder also. It was submitted that at the time when OA No. 233/HP/2001 was disposed the workmen were engaged through contractor but the employment of the workmen had been terminated in 1997 and the respondent, in the authority below, has admitted that the workmen had completed 240 days in preceding 12 calendar months and were in the employment of the respondent. The workmen cannot be denied relief under the Act on the basis of the findings recorded in OA No. 233/HP/2001. There is a relationship of employer and employee between the respondent and the workmen. The man days shown in the written statement are not correctly calculated. They were entitled to temporary status.

On the pleadings of the parties, the following issues arise for consideration:

- 1. Whether the management is an industry, if not its effect?
- 2. Whether there is a relationship of employer and employee between the respondent and workmen?
- 3. What is the effect of the order of Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal in OA No. 234/HP/98 and OA No. 233/HP/2001?
- 4. Whether the reference can be invalidated on the grounds of its being time barred?
- 5. Whether the workmen are entitled to the protection of Section 25F of the Act and whether their services were terminated in violation of the aforesaid provisions?
- 6. Whether persons junior to workmen were retained by the respondent in violation of Section 25G of the Act, if so, its effect?
- 7. Whether subsequent to the termination of the workmen, persons junior to them were engaged by respondents in violation of Section 25H of the Act, if so, its effect?
- 8. To what relief, if any, are the workmen entitled to?

by workman Salig Ram in ID No. 582/2005 that he is entitled to regularization in Group 'D' post and also for temporary status as per Government of India DP&T OM No. 49014/18/84-DS+C dated 07-05-1985 is beyond the scope of the reference. The reference is with regard to the legality and justification of the termination of the service of the workman without any notice and without any payment of retrenchment compensation and not whether the workman is entitled to regularization or to a temporary status. The pleá raised by the workman in this regard is not incidental

either to any of the issues involved for the adjudication of the disputes.

In support of their claims the workmen have tendered their affidavits, while on behalf of respondent the affidavit of A.S. Koprate, Assistant Administrative Officer has been tendered in evidence along with certain Annexures.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the evidence on record. My findings on the various issues are as follows:

Issue No. 1

The management has taken a plea that it is an institute conducting research activities in the Potato to guide the Potato growers and there is no commercial activity it is not producing and distributing services, which are intended or meant for specifying human wants and needs. It is more an institution discharging governmental functions than a commercial enterprise. The jurisdiction of the Tribunal has been denied on this ground.

Regarding this plea the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the leading case Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board Versus A. Rajappa & another 1978-1 LLJ 349 is important. Regarding Research Institute, the Hon'ble Court held:

"Even though a Research Institute may be a separate entity disconnected from the main industries which founded the institute itself it can be regarded as an organization, propelled by systematic activity, modeled on cooperation between employer and employee and calculated to throw up discoveries and inventions and useful solutions which benefit individual industries and the nation in terms of goods and services and wealth. It follows that research institute, albeit run without profit motive, are industries."

In view of the law laid down by the Apex Court, it is clear that the respondent in the case is an industry. Issue No. 1 is decided against the management/respondent.

Issue Nos. 2 & 3

On the basis of the order passed by the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal in OA No. 233/HP/2001 it was argued by the learned counsel for the management that it has been held by the Hon'ble Tribunal that the work own are contractor's men and there is no relationship of employer and employee between the respondent and the workmen. The management has made available order of the Hon'ble Tribunal in the aforesaid case. It is Annexure R-1 on the record of ID No. 583/2005.

The learned counsel for workmen disputed this argument. In OA No. 233/HP/2001 work...an Balak Ram of ID No. 583/2005 and Narotam Ram of ID No. 584/2005 were also applicants. It is important to note that the application

in the said case was moved in 2001 i.e. subsequent to the termination of the workmen in 1997. In that case the case of the workmen was that since 1997 they were deemed retrenched without any notice and without any retrenchment benefits and since then the Seed Potato cultivation job was declared to have been assigned to contractor.

From the written statement of the management also it is clear that the work is being done through contractors since April 1998. Obviously, the contract system was not prevaient during the employment of the workmen which tasted upto 1997 only. The plea of the management, therefore, that the workmen are the men of contractor on the basis of the order of the Hon'ble Tribunal in O.A. No. 233/HP/2001 does not hold ground.

In OA No. 234/HP/1998 the rights of any party were not decided by the Hon'ble Tribunal. The applicants, however, were given liberty to file a representation for the consideration of the authority concerned by detailing all the reliefs which they were entitled to.

Thus, the orders of the Tribunal have no effect on the dispute involved in the present references.

The management on merits in para No. 1 has admitted in their written statement that the workmen had been engaged by it and they worked up to the season period of 1997. It is, therefore, clear that there was a relationship of employer and employee between the workmen and respondent.

Issue Nos. 2 and 3 is therefore, decided against the management.

Issue No. 4

Management has agitated that the claim petition is time barred but from the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Karan singh Versus Executive Engineer Haryana State Marketing Board 2007 (4) RSJ 675 the Industrial Tribunal cannot invalidate the reference on the ground of delay. The employer may challenge the reference by way of writ petition and say that since claim is belated there is no industrial dispute. Issue No. 4 is decided against the management.

Issue No. 5

The workman Balak Ram and Narotam Ram in ID No. 583/2005 and 584/2005 have stated to have completed more than 240 days in each preceding 12 months in a calendar year. Similarly the workman Salig Ram in ID No. 582/2005 had alleged that he has completed 240 days in every preceding 12 calendar months during his engagement. The workmen have not alleged to have completed 240 days service in 12 calendar months preceding to the date of their termination. They have not given the date and month of their termination either. For the protection of Section 25F of the Act only the working days of 12 calendar months

preceding to the date of termination are relevant. It is not relevant that how many days a workman worked in earlier years. Therefore, the workmen have failed to plead even that they had completed 240 days service in the 12 calendar months preceding to the date of termination of their services. As per written statements in ID No. 583/2005, the workman Balak Ram in the year 1997 worked for 121 days including the broken period and in ID No. 584/2005 the workman Narotam Ram worked in 1997 for 231 days induding broken period of 13 days. Thus, according to management in the year 1997, the said workmen did not complete 240 days of service. It is important to note that the initial burden is on the workman to prove that he has worked for 240 days in the 12 calendar months preceding to the date of termination. The aforesaid workmen have failed to discharge their burden. Obviously, they are not entitled to the protection of Section 25F of the Act.

The case of workman Salig Ram of ID No. 582/2005 is, however, different. In Annexure 2 of the written statement of the respondent, the workman Salig Ram has been shown to have worked for 242 days including broken period in the year 1997. Obviously, he was entitled to the protection of Section 25F of the Act and his services could not have been terminated without notice and without paying retrenchment compensation.

It is, therefore, held that the termination of the services of workman Balak Ram in ID No. 583/2005 and Narotam Ram, in ID No. 584/2005 without notice and paying retrenchment compensation as per provisions of Section 25F of the Act are legal and justified while the termination of service of Salig Ram in ID No. 582/2005 is illegal and unjustified being inviolation of the provisions of Section 25F of the Act. Issue No. 5 is accordingly decided against Balak Ram, Narotam Ram in ID No. 583/2005 and ID No. 584/2005 respectively and in favour of Salig Ram in ID No. 582/2005.

Issue No. 6

Workmen have alleged that persons junior to them were retained by the respondent in violation of Section 25G of the Act. Workman Salig Ram in ID No. 582/2005 has named persons who were juniors to him and were retained by the respondent. The other workmen have not named these persons.

According to the learned counsel for the respondent the persons named had been conferred temporary status as they had completed 240 days in the preceding year and were on the Muster Roll in the year 1993 as a one time measure as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Union of India & another Versus Mohan Pal JT 2002 (Suppl.) (1) SC 312. From the Office Memorandum dated 06-06-2002 Annexure R-4 on the file of ID No. 582/2005 if appears that in the Casual Labourers (Grant of

Temporary Status and Regularization) Scheme of Government of India, 1993, temporary status was conferred on all casual labourers who were in employment on the dates of issue of the OM (namely 10-09-1993); if they had rendered a continuous service of atleast one year, which means that they must have been engaged for a period of at least 240 days (206 days in the cases of offices observing 5 days' week).

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Union of India & another Vs. Mohan Pal etc. (Supra) held:

"The scheme of 01-09-14-3 is not a ongoing scheme and the temporary status can be conferred on the casual labourers under that scheme only in fulfilling the conditions incorporated in Clause IV of the Scheme namely; they should have been casual labourers in the employment on the date of commencing of the scheme and they should have rendered continuous service of at least one year i.e. at least 240 days in a year or 206 days (in case of offices having 5 days; a week)."

The workmen have not alleged that the persons junior to them and named in the claim statement of ID No. 582/2005 were not entitled to the benefit of the scheme. It is clear that persons junior to workmen were retained in service on fulfilling the condition of Section 25G of the Act. Issue No. 6 is decided against the workmen.

Issue No. 7

The workmen alleged the violation of Section 25H of the Act also and had stated that subsequent to the termination of their services, fresh hands were employed. But the workmen have not given the specific instance. The respondent on the other hand pleaded that no direct casual worker has been engaged after April 1998 as work is being done through contractor. The workmen have failed in discharging the burden to prove the lolation of Section 25H of the Act also. Issue N 7 is therefore, decided against the workmen.

Issue No. 8

Working Balak Ram in ID No. 580/2005 and Narol in Ram in ID 1 a 584-2005 are not entitled to any relied as the terminate of their services was not in violation of Section 25F of the Act.

In ID No. 582/2005 it has been held that the workman Salig Ram was entitled to the protection of Section 25F of the Act. Admittedly, his services were iterminated without compliance of the said provisions which was illegal and unjustified. Question is to what relief be is entitled? The respondent has not alleged that the workman had been

engaged by an authority not competent to appoint him. However, there is nothing on record to show that the workman had been engaged through a regular process and against a sanctioned post, his name has been sponsored by the Employment Exchange and he had been issued an appointment letter. I am, therefore, of the view that he cannot claim his reinstatement as a matter of right particularly when the work has been assigned to contractor now. I however, find him entitled to just and proper compensation. As per Annexure R-2 of the written statement in ID No.582/2005, the workman Salig Ram has been in service since 1980 to 1997 except in the years 1985:1986, 1988, 1990 and 1993. Considering his length of service I am of the view that a compensation of Rs. 80,000 will be just and proper for him. The management is directed to pay the aforesaid compensation to workman Salig Ram within a month from receiving the copy of the award. Reference in 583/2005 and 584/2005 is answered against the respective workmen Balak Ram and Narotam Ram. The reference in ID No. 582/2005 is answered in favour of workman Salig Ram accordingly. Copy of award be placed on the record of ID Nos. 582/2005, 583/2005 and 584/2005 each.

Let two copies of award after due compliance be sent to the Central Government for further necessary action.

ASHOK KUMAR RASTOGI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 687.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मध्य रेलवे के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नागपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 19/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 07-02-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-41012/193/2001-आई आर(बी-I)] रमेहा सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th February, 2011

S.O. 687.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 19/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Railway and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 07-2-2011.

[No. L-41012/193/2001-IR(B-J)]
RAMESH SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI J.P. CHAND, PRESIDING OFFICER, CGIT-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NAGPUR

Case No. CGIT/NGP/19/2002 Dated 02-02-2011

Petitioner/ Party No. 2 : Sh. Vijay Shravan Jagtap, Church Hill Road, Dak Bunglow No. 244, Out House, Igatpuri.

Dist. Nasik (M.S.)

Versus

Respondent/ Party No. 1 : The Divisional Manager, Central Railway, Bhusawal, Dist, Jalgaon (M.S.)

AWARD

(Dated: 2nd February, 2011)

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) ("the Act" in short), the Central Government has referred the industrial dispute between the employers, in relation to the management of Central Railway, Bhusawal and their workman, Shri Vijay Shravan Jagtap for adjudication, as per letter No. L-41012/193/2001-IR(B-I) dated 29-03-2002, with the following schedule:

"Whether the action of the management of Divisional Railway Manager, Central Railway, Bhusawal in removing from the services of Shri Vijay Shravan Jagtap, Hospital Attendant, Igatpuri, w.e.f. 30-09-1977 after imposing penalty is justified? If not, what relief the said workman is entitled?"

2. After receipt of the reference, the parties were noticed to file their respective statement of claim and written statement. In response to such notice, the workman, Shri Vijay Shravan Jagtab ("the workman" in short) filed his statement of claim and the management of Central Railway ("the party No. 1" in short) filed its written statement.

The case of the workman as projected in his statement of claim is that in the year 1976, the Party No. 1 issued a charge sheet against him leveling false allegations of misbehavior with a staff nurse and conducted the departmental enquiry inconsistent to the provisions of the railway servants (D&A) Rules, 68 and due to the result of the faulty enquiry conducted with pre-determined mind to punish him, he was dismissed from service and the format of the charge sheet was wrong and the said charge sheet did not contain, which of the conduct rules were violated by him and the list of relied upon documents and list of witnesses were supplied to him in haphazard manner and not in a proper format and one and the same person was the charge sheet issuing authority, Inquiry Officer and the

Disciplinary Authority and the Inquiry Officer submitted two reports, i.e. one on 7-6-1976 and the other on 21-8-1977 and on his objection, he was taken back on duty and the reports submitted by the Inquiry Officer dated 7-6-1976 was set aside, but, he was removed from service on the basis of the first report of the Inquiry Officer, which was set aside and there were serious lacunae and latches in the two reports of the Inquiry Officer and there were also contradiction in the evidence of the witnesses examined on behalf of the Party No. 1 and the report submitted by the Inquiry Officer was without any basis and not based on the evidence on record and the appeals preferred by him were dismissed without assigning any reason for the same and he made mercy petition to the higher authorities of the Party No. 1 and to the Hon'ble Railway Minister, but without any result, so he sent notice through his advocate and lastly filed original application before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai Bench, Mumbai bearing No. 165/95, praying for reinstatement in service w.e.f. 20-12-1988 and the findings of the Inquiry Officer are perverse and the punishment imposed is disproportionate to the charges levelled against him. The workman has prayed for his reinstatement in service with continuity and payment of back wages.

3. The Party No. 1 in its written statement pleaded inter-alia that the workman was initially appointed in its office as a Hospital Attendant in 1969 and he was made permanent in the said post on 25-12-72 and on 5-5-76, the workman committed serious misconduct by entering into the duty room of the nurses unauthorizedly, mishandled and assaulted the nurse, namely, Ms. V.S. Mhaiske, also abuted her in abusive language, threatened her and disturbed the indoor patients by creating commotion and noise and for the said act of misconduct, a proper departmental enquiry was conducted against the workman and the punishment of removal from service was imposed upon him on 26-9-77 and thereafter, the workman filed an appeal to the prescribed Appellate Authority on 12-11-1977 and his appeal was dismissed by order dated 15-11-1977 by the Appellate Authority and prior to submission of charge sheet also, there were complaints against the workman and on 14-4-1975, the staff nurse Mrs. A.D. Jadhav had submitted a report against the workman stating that the work of the workman was very unsatisfactory and he used to remain unauthorized absent and as such, the workinan was warned and on 10-11-1975, nursing sister Miss Balani had made complaint to the Divisional Medical Officer that on that day at 1.30 PM, the workman threatened her of dire consequences in case of her talking with staff nurse Miss. Mhaiske and an enquiry had been conducted and the workman was found guilty of misbehaving with nursing sister Miss Balani and on 3-2-1976, the sister on duty, Mrs. V.R. Vohol had also submitted a report that the workman while on duty found absent from 7.30 PM till 9.00 PM and thereafter he came in a drunken state and started

abusing and shouting at persons in the department and the patients and all of a sudden entered into the nurse duty room with a thick rod and broke the telephone receiver and three glass windows pane without any reasons and the sister on duty wes frightened and ran away from the office and the workm an was placed under suspension from 6-2-76 to 10-4-76 and on 19-12-75, a complaint had been filed by Mrs. Merri D'Souza, a female hospital attendant stating that on 12-121-75, at 9.30 PM the workman abused and threatened her without any reason and in the departmental enquiry, the principles of natural justice were adhered to and the findings of the Inquiry Officer are based on the evidence on record and the punishment imposed against the workman is justified, in view of the serious misconduct's proved against him and the workman is not entitled for any relief. It is also pleaded by the Party No. I that the workman had also preferred the second appeal on 22-1-19 78 and the second Appellate Authority also upheld the planishment imposed by the Disciplinary Authority and thereafter the workman filed original application No. 165/95 before the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai challenging the impugned order and subsequently he withdrew the application and as such, the present reference is barred under the principles of res judicata and as such, the reference is liable to be dismissed.

- 4. As this is a case of removal from service of the workman, after holding a departmental enquiry, the validity of the departmental enquiry was taken for determination as a preliminary issue and as per orders dated 24-3-2009, the departmental enquiry was held to the legal, proper and following the principles of natural justice.
- 5. The points remain for consideration are regarding the perversity of the findings and the quantum of punishment.

In the written notes of argument, it has been submitted by the learned advocate for the workman that the charge sheet dated 12-5-1976 does not contain any thing about the violation of any rules, under which the charge memorandum has been issued and as such, it is not understood as to whether major penalty charges or minor penalty charges were levelled against the workman and the charge sheet issuing authority, Enquiry Authority and Disciplinary Authority were the same and one man and the Inquiry Officer submitted his report on 7-6-1977 and again on 21-8-1977 and the second report was submitted as per the order of the Appellate Authority vide letter dated 15-4-1977, but the Appellate Authority did not mention the reason for reordering t'ne enquiry and as such, the order was not legal and the same cannot be sustained. In support of such submission, reliance has been placed by the learned advocate for the workman on the

decision reported in Alok De Roy Vs. Union of India and Others, 2010 I CLR 228 (Calcutta High Court). It has also been submitted by the learned advocate for the workman that though according to the allegation, the workman misbehaved with Ms V.S. Mhaiske in presence of the DMO, the DMO was not examined in the enquiry and the evidence was not sufficient to hold the workman guilty of the charges and the findings are perverse and the punishment of dismissal from service is disproportionate to the charges levelled against the workman and as such, the same is liable to set aside. In support of such contention, reliance has been placed on the decision reported in State of UP and Others Vs. Ram Daras Yaday, 2010 (4) Mh. L.J. 58.

On the other hand, it was submitted by the 6. learned advocate for the management that in the departmental enquiry, though the workman was intimated about the date of the enquiry and was given all reasonable opportunity to be presented in the enquiry, he remained absent and as such, the enquiry was proceeded as per rules and the Disciplinary Authority after considering the enquiry proceedings found the charges leveled against the workman to have been proved and imposed the penalty of removal from service w.e.f. 8-7-1976 and being aggrieved, the workman preferred an appeal to the Appellate Authority on 4-8-1976 and Appellate Authority upheld the punishment imposed against the workman by a reasoned order dated 11-8-1976 and the workman preferred the second appeal on 19-8-1976 to the prescribed Appellate Authority and the Appellate Authority remanded the matter to the Inquiry Officer to conduct a de novo enquiry to give the workman to be present at the time of the enquiry, by order dated 15-4-1977 and in view of such remand the enquiry was conducted afresh and the workman was present during the enquiry and he was also given all reasonable opportunity to defend himself and after considering the oral and documentary evidence on record, the Disciplinary Authority found the charges levelled against the workman to have been proved, imposed the punishment of removal from service on 26-9-1977 and as such there is no question of imposing the sentence basing on the first report submitted on 7-6-1976 and the findings of the Inquiry Officer are not preserved and the same are based on the evidence on record and the punishment imposed against the workman is also not shockingly disproportionate and as such, no interference can be made with the punishment.

7. Perused the record including the documents filed by the parties and found that the second Appellate Authority to give a fair chance to the workman to take part in the departmental enquiry, set aside the first order of punishment imposed against him and remanded the case for fresh enquiry and accordingly, the enquiry was held afresh giving all opportunity to the workman to take part in the enquiry and to defend himself in the enquiry. Therefore, with respect, I am of the view that the decision reported in 2010 I CLR 228 (supra) has no application in this case, as in the case referred in the decision, the first charge sheet was dropped and the second charge sheet was issued without disclosing sufficient reason for issuance of the second charge sheet, so, the Hon'ble Court held that submission of the second charge sheet without assigning any reason is bad, in view of rule 9(a)(i) of RS (D&A) Rules, 1968. However, in this case, no second charge sheet was submitted after dropping of the first charge sheet, but, the enquiry was conducted afresh on the same charge sheet to give a scope to the workman to take part in the enquiry. Hence, I find no force in the contention raised by the learned advocate for the workman.

8. On perusal of the evidence on record, it is found that the findings of the Inquiry Officer are not perverse. Reasons have been assigned in support of the findings and the findings are based on evidence on record. It is well settled that in a departmental proceeding, it is not necessary to prove the charges beyond all reasonable doubts like in a criminal case, but the charges are to be proved by preponderance of probability. It is also well settled that unless the punishment imposed against the workman is shockingly disproportionate to the charges levelled against him, the Tribunal is not authorized to interfere with the same. In this case, taking into consideration, the serious misconduct proved against the workman and his previous conducts, I find that the sentence of removal from service imposed against the workman is not shockingly disproportionate and as such, there is no scope to interfere with the said punishment. As the facts and circumstances of the present case at hand are quite different from the facts and circumstance of the case referred in the decision reported in the decision, 2010 (4) Mh. L.J. 58 (supra), with respect, I am of the view that the principles enunciated in the said decision by the Hon'ble Apex Court are not applicable to this case.

In view of the evidence on record and the discussion made above, it is found that the action of the Party No. 1 in removing the workman from service is justified. Hence, it is ordered:

ORDER

The action of the management of Divisional Railway Manager, Central Railway, Bhusawal in removing from the services to Shri Vijay Shravan Jagtap, Hospital Attendant, Igatpuri, w.e.f. 30-09-1977 after imposing penalty is justified. The workman is not entitled for any relief.

J.P. CHAND, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का, आ, 688.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 18/98) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 07-02-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल.-12012/186/96-आई आर(बी-I)] रमेश सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 688.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 18/98) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 07-2-2011.

[No. L-12012/186/96-IR(B-I)] RAMESH SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, JABALPUR

No.CGIT/LC/R/18/98

PRESENT:

Shri Mohd. Shakir Hasan, Presiding Officer

Shri Shriniwas Tiwari, S/o Ram Aashray Tiwari, R/o Hal Mukam Post Hardwahi, Distt Panna

...Workman

Versus

The Asstt. General Manager, State Bank of India, Region-III, Regional Office, Civic Centre, Marhatal, Jabalpur

... Management

AWARD

Passed on this 21st day of January, 2011

. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-12012/186/96-IR (B-I) dated 27/28-1-98 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:

Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India, Jabalpur in not regularizing the services of Shriniwas Tiwari and not giving the benefits applicable to regular employees is legal and justified? If not to what relief the workman is entitled?

- 2. The case of the Union/Workman in short is that the workman was appointed on 30-3-92 on daily wages in Hardwahi Branch of State Bank of India and was continuously working as messenger for more than 8 years. He applied many times for his regularization on the post of waterman/messenger but the management did not take any decision. The workman claims that the management be directed to regularize his service w.e.f. 30-3-92 with all benefits.
- 3. The management appeared and contested the reference by filing Written Statement. The case of the management Bank in short is that the workman was engaged on casual basis only for 74 days and he said engagement did not create any statutory right for appointment in the Bank. The further case of the management is that there is a welfare committee and the Branch Manager is the President. The Bank provides subsidy to the committee for running the canteen and catering services for the staff members working at the Branch. The said committee recruits Canteen Boy. The Bank has nothing to do with the said recruitment. The alleged workman was engaged as a Canteen Boy from 1-10-1992 to 30-4-1995 and again from July 1995 to April 1996. The work done by the Canteen Boy in the canteen run by the Local Implementation Committee cannot be said to be the employee of the Bank. On these grounds, it is submitted that the alleged workman is not entitled to any relief.
- 4. On the basis of the pleadings of both the parties, the following are issues reframed:
 - (i) Whether there was relationship of employer and employee between the management Bank and the workman?
 - (ii) Whether the workman is entitled to be regularized in the service of the Bank?
 - (iii) To what relief, the workman is entitled?
- 5. The Union/Workman became absent and therefore the reference proceeded ex parte against the Union/Workman on 16-10-2009.

6. Issue No. I

The management has examined one witness to establish the case. The management witness Shri Sushil Kumar is Branch Manager of Hardwahi Branch of SBI. He has stated that the alleged workman was engaged as a Canteen Boy by the Local Implementation Committee for the Canteen. The Bank has nothing to do with the said recruitment. The Bank has no right to control and supervise the canteen boy employed by the committee and the canteen boy cannot be said to be the employee of Bank. His evidence is unrebutted. There is no reason to disbelieve his evidence.

7. The Learned counsel for the management has relied a decision reported in (2000) 5 S.C.C. 531, State Bank of India and others versus State Bank of India Canteen Employee's Union (Bengal Circle) and others wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that:

> "We, therefore, hold that employees of the canteens which are run at various branches by the Local Implementation Committee as per the welfare scheme framed by SBI would not become employees of the Bank as the Bank is not having any statutory or contractual obligation or obligation arising under the award to run such canteens. Hence, it is not necessary to decide the second question that fresh petition for the same cause was not maintainable in view of the order dated 14-10-1985 passed by this Court in Civil Appeal No. 840 of 1977."

Thus it is clear that there is no relationship of employer and employee between the management and the workman. This issue is decided in favour of the management.

8. Issue Nos. II & III

On the basis of the discussion made above, it is clear that the workman is not the employee of the Bank and therefore he is not entitled to be regularized in the service of the Bank. He is also not entitled to any relief. Accordingly the reference is answered.

- 9. In the result, the award is passed without any costs.
- 10. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

MOHD. SHAKIR HASAN, Presiding Office

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 689 --- औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का (4) की एए 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं भारतीय खार । नगम के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 19/2005) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल.-22013/1/2011-आई आर(सी-॥)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 689 .- In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 19/2005) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the

management of FCI and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-2-2011.

> [No. L-22013/1/2011-IR(C-II)] D.S.S. SRINIVASARAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT:

Shri Ved Prakash Gaur, Presiding Officer Dated the 29th day of December, 2010 Industrial Dispute L.C. No. 19/2005

Between:

Sri Shaik Mahaboob Ali, S/o Ameer Ali, C/o Saheb Ali, Beside Court, Building, Vidyanagar, Miryalaguda, Nalgonda District

.... Petitioner

AND

- 1. The Senior Regional Manager, Food Corporation of India, Haca Bhawan, Hydreabad
- 2. The District Manager, Food Corporation of India, Nalgonda, Nalgonda District

.... Respondents

APPEARANCES:

For the Petitioner

: M/s. Jalli Kanakaiah and

Jalli Narendar, Advocates

For the Respondent : M/s. B.G. Ravindra Reddy and B.V. Chandra Sekhar, Advocates

AWARD

Sri Shaik Mahaboob Ali has filed this petition under Sec. 2A(2) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

2. It has been alleged by the Petitioner that during the year 1975-76 due to heavy procurement and bumper paddy crop. The Food Corporation of India was entrusted and entered into procurement of the paddy on war footing in 1977 and opened number of procurement centres in the entire state of Andhra Pradesh including Nalgonda District. The Food Corporation of India opened centres at Bhongir, Aleru, Chittial, Suruapet, Huzurnagar, Miryalguda, Nidamanuru etc. obtained godowns and opened storage units and also employed local persons to discharge the duty of a storage and procurement of the paddy. The

Hetitioner was also appointed as casual labour along with many other persons in the year 1976 on daily wage of Rs. 6/- per day and he continued to work upto 1981. Thereafter his services were terminated without following the due process of law. It is stated that some of the workers approached this tribunal by raising I.D. No. 33/1982 and another I.D. which were disposed off and the tribunal was pleased to direct the Respondent to consider the case of the workman of those IDs for re-appointment under Sec. 23H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The Respondent preferred W.P. Nos. 1618 and 11320 of 1985 which was disposed of after hearing both sides and the Hon'ble High Court also directed Respondent to consider re-appointment of the Petitioners of that cases. It has been further contended that Petitioner of this case could not file any ID due to financial constraints and he was under the impression that the benefit given to the Petitioners of those IDs would also be extended to the Petitioner of the present case. Under same misunderstanding the Petitioner filed W.P. No. 21564/2001 which was disposed of on 2-5-2001. After receiving the order, the Respondent management did not acted upon the direction of the Hon'ble High Court, as such, contempt case was filed which was dismissed hence, the present petition.

- 3. It has been contended by the Petitioner that he worked along with other co-workers under the direct control of Pood Corporation of India. Petitioner was sponsored through District Employment Exchange, Nalgonda. No notice was given to the Petitioner under Sec. 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 before termination, as such, the termination order was violative of the provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, it is discriminatory, illegal and unjust and deserves to be quashed and the Petitioner deserves to be reinstated or re-employed in the service.
- 4. Counter statement has been filed by the Respondent management stating therein that Petitioner of this case had never worked as casual labour at any point of time in Food Corporation of India. The casual labours who worked in Food Corporation of India had raised an industrial dispute through ID No. 33/1982 and by virtue of the award passed therein they were considered for the appointment in the corporation. The Petitioner has not worked in the corporation and there is no relation of employee and employer between the Petitioner and the Respondent. The Petitioner alongwith 12 other persons filed W.P. No. 21564/2000 before Hon'ble High Court of A.P., and Hon'ble High Court has directed the Petitioner to make fresh representation giving all the particulars. He filed contempt Case No. 90/2002 which was dismissed. Though the Petitioner has stated in the present ID that he had not filed any ID prior to this petition and he was not a party in ID No. \$3/1982, one Mr. Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Hussain Saheb filed LCID No. 65/2003 and another ID No. 165/2003 was filed by Mr. Shaik Mahaboob Ali and present ID is also filed by Mr. Shaik Mahaboob Ali, every time he has

- given different particulars. One Sri Shaik Mahaboob Ah S/o Imam Saheb who had worked as casual labour and who is one of the Petitioner of ID No. 33/1982, he was given appointment as watchman. The Petitioner is taking advantage of identity of names with sole intention of gaining employment in the corporation. The Petitioner has filed this petition after 20 years of the alleged disengagement from the service and it is liable to be dismissed on this ground alone.
- 5. Parties were directed to file their respective evidence. Petitioner Sri Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Amir A has filed his affidavit in support of his claim statement and has presented himself for cross-examination and has been cross-examined at length. Apart from the oral evidence the Petitioner has filed copy of the judgment in W.P. No. 21564/2000 Ex. W1, copy of the letter addressed by Respondent to the Petitioner dated 16-3-2000 Ex. W2 and 12-6-2001 Ex. W3, memo issued by Respondent dated 14-3-2002 Ex. W4, copy of representation dated 21-4-2001 Ex. W5, copy of order in CC No.90/2002 dated 19-7-2002 Ex. W6, Copy of the memo dated 14-3-2002 Ex. W7. Respondent has filed affidavit of Sri. P. C. Krishna Naik, Area Manager MW1 and has filed the documents Ex. M1 to M10 which have been marked by the MW1 and has presented Sri P.C. Krishna Naik for cross-examination.
- 6. I have heard Learned Counsels for Petitioner and Respondent and I have gone through the pleadings and evidence available on the record. This Tribunal has to consider the following points in the present case:
 - (I) Whether the relationship of employee and employer exists between the Petitioner workman and the Food Corporation of India management at any time before filing of this petition?
 - (II) Whether the Petitioner's services were terminated without following the procedure, due process of the law?
 - (III) Whether the Petitioner is entitled for any relief?
- 7. Point No. (I): It has been alleged by the Petitioner in his claim statement that he was engaged for the year 1975-76 as casual labour at Food Corporation of India, Naigonda district alongwith other casual workers on the payment of Rs. 6/- per day as wage. In the year 1981 he was dismissed from the service without following any process and procedure. The Respondent has alleged that the Petitioner has never worked in the Food Corporation of India and no person in the name of Mr. Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Amir Ali has ever been employed in the Food Corporation of India in any of its godowns or: ...ge units. It has been alleged by the Respondent that one Mr. Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Iman Saheb was casual labour and he was one of the claimant in ID No. 33/1982, in which the award was passed in favour of the workmen and they

were re-employed by the corporation. Taking advantage of the identity of the name the Petitioner has several times filed claim statement with different contentions. He filed Writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court bearing No. 21564/2000 wherein Hon'ble High Court directed the Petitioner to make fresh representation giving true particulars with reference to their parentage, date of employment and member of writ petition and has further directed the Respondent to consider the case of the Petitioner. It appears that no action was taken by Respondent.

- 8. The Petitioner of this case filed contempt Case No. 90/2002, the Hon'ble High Court has dismissed the contempt petition on the ground that in the earlier W.P. no direction was given by the Hon'ble High Court to pass the order by Respondent in a particular manner. This shows that the contention of the Respondent management that the Petitioner has worked with the management was considered by Hon'ble High Court vide order passed in the Writ Petition and on the basis of the assertion made by the Respondent before Hon'ble High Court the contempt petition was dismissed. It is the responsibility of the Petitioner workman to prove before this Tribunal that he has ever worked with the Respondent or the Petitioner of this case was appointed by the Respondent, as casual worker at the rate of Rs. 6/- per day in 1976, no document or paper has been filed by the Petitioner nor the Petitioner has been able to produce a single working employee of the Food Corporation of India in support of his claim that the Petitioner Mr. Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Amir Ali has ever worked alongwith the working employees of the corporation in Food Corporation of India. No doubt, the Petitioner workman has filed Ex. W1 to W7, to prove that Petitioner was asked to submit original certificate in light of the direction passed in W.P. No. 21564/2000, by Ex. W3 he was informed by the management that appointment has already been given to Mr. Shaik Mahaboob Ali vide proceeding No. Estt/L/2/97 dated 30-12-1997 in terms of the judgement passed in W.P. No. 17766/97 and a fresh appointment does not arise.
- 9. It has further been informed that if the Petitioner feel that he is right person and the person working with the management is an impersonate person, then the Petitioner was advised to prove his identity through a competent court of law. The Petitioner was not able to produce a single piece of paper to show that he has ever worked in the Food Corporation of India. If the Petitioner has worked in the year 1976—1981 what was the reason not to join the Petitioners of ID No. 33/1982. In case Petitioner was actually retrenched from the service of Food Corporation of India management he would have surely joined with the Petitioners in ID No. 33/1982. His contention that he could not join Petitioners of ID 33/1982 due to financial constraints is nothing but an eye wash. The Petitioner filed W.P. No. 21564/2000 he would have proved before the

Respondent management that he is the right person who has worked with the management and who was entitled for re-employment. The management has specifically informed the Petitioner to prove his identity and challenged the identity of Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Imam Saheb but the Petitioner has not taken any step to challenge the identity of Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Imam Saheb. There is no other evidence on the record in the form of documentary or in the form of oral evidence to prove that the Petitioner has ever worked as casual labour in the management corporation. The management has produced Sri P. C. Krishna Naik and he has been cross-examined at length by the Petitioner workman's counsel but nothing has come out from the oral testimony of the MW1 that present Petitioner has ever worked in the organization in the Food Corporation of India management. The Petitioner himself has admitted in his cross-examination that Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Imam Saheb was given appointment as per I.D. No. 33/1982 this itself is sufficient to prove that the Petitioner of this case does not challenging the identity of Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Imam Saheb or he does not claim that Shaik Mahaboob Ali S/o Imam Saheb has impersonated the present Petitioner.

10. The Petitioner has stated in the claim statement that he filed W.P. No. 21564/2000 and also filed contempt petition No. 90/2002 but when he was cross-examined and questioned on this point he denied of filing the writ petition or contempt petition. This proves that the Petitioner is not a trust worthy witness and no credence can be given to the testimony of this witness. The management has filed 10 documents Ex. M1 to M10 which prove that Petitioner has already raised the same dispute before Hon'ble High Court and he was not able to prove his identity or his relationship of master and servant or employer and employee before this court also. The Petitioner has not been able to produce any piece of paper or witness to prove that he has worked in the organization of Food Corporation of India management. Hence, this tribunal is of the opinion that there was no employee and employer relationship between the Petitioner and the management of Food Corporation of India. Point No. (I) is decided accordingly.

- 11. Point No. (II): From the discussion of point No. (!) this tribunal is of the conclusion that the Petitioner was never appointed and never worked with the management of Food Corporation of India, hence, he was neither terminated nor retrenched nor disengaged from the service, as such, the question of determination of its legality or otherwise doe not arise. Point No. (II) is decided accordingly.
- 12. Point No. (III): From the above conclusion and discussion this tribunal is of the opinion that the Petitioner has not been able to establish the relationship of employee and employer nor he has been able to prove that he has ever worked in the Food Corporation of India as casual

labour hence, there is no question of his re-employment or reinstatement. He is not entitled for any relief. Point No. (III) is decided accordingly.

13. From the above discussion, this tribunal has come to the conclusion that the Petitioner is not entitled for any relief. Petition deserves to be dismissed, it is dismissed, hence, this award.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant transcribed by her corrected by me on this the 29th day of December, 2010.

VED PRAKASH GAUR, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for : Witnesses examined for the the Petitioner Respondent

WW1: Sri Sk. Mahaboob: MW1: Sri P. C. Krishna Naik Ali

Documents marked for the Petitloner

Ex. W1: Copy of order of Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 21564/2000.

Ex. W2: Copy of Ir. No. IR 32(2)/2000 dt. 16-3-2001 by Respondent to WW1.

Ex. W3: Copy of Ir. No. IR 32(2)/2000 dt. 12-3-2001 by Respondent to WW1.

Ex. W4: Copy of memo No. 1R 32(2)/2000 dt. 14-3-2002 by Respondent to WW1.

Ex. W 5: Copy of representation to Respondent dt. 21-4-2001.

Ex. W6: Copy of order of Hon'ble High Court in contempt Case No. 90/2002.

Ex. W7: Copy of memo No. IR 32(2)/2000 dt. 14-3-2002 by Respondent to WW1.

Documents marked for the Respondent

Ex. M1: Copy of order of Hon'ble High Court in WP No. 1776/97 dt. 22-9-97.

Ex. M2: Copy of order No. Estt. 1/2/97, dt. 30-12-97 offer of appointment to Sk. Mahaboob Ali S/o Late Sk. Imam Saheb.

Ex.M3: Copy of memo No. IR 32(2)/2000 dt. 15-3-2002 by Respondent to concerned Petitioners.

Ex. M4: Copy of counter affidavit filed by Respondent against ID No. 65/2003.

Ex. M5: Copy of counter affidavit filed by Respondent against ID No. 165/2003.

Ex. M6: Copy of order of Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 21564/2000.

Ex. M7: Copy of counter affidavit filed by Respondent

in W. P. No. 21564/2000.

Ex. M8: Copy of counter affidavit filed by Respondent

in contempt Case No. 90/2002.

Ex.M9: Copy of order of Hon'ble High Court in

contempt Case No. 90/2002 dt. 22-2-2002.

Ex.M10: Copy of order of Hon'ble High Court in

contempt Case No. 90/2002 dt. 19-7-2002.

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 690.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं सी. पी. ढब्स्यू. डी. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अमुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं.-II, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 1042/2k5) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-42012/295/2003-आई आर(सीएम-II)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 690.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 1042/2k5) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. II, Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Public Works Department, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-2-2011.

[No. L-42012/295/2003-IR(CM-II)] D.S.S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT NO. II. CHANDIGARH

LES INT: Sri A. K. Rastogi, Presiding Officer

Case No. L.D. 1042/2K5

Registered on 19-9-2005

All India CPWD (MRM) Karamehari Sanghathan, CPWD Store Building, Sector 7-B, ChandigarhApplicant

Versus

The Executive Engineer, Chandigarh Central Divn. II, CPWD, Chandigarh, Kendriya Sadan, 2nd Floor, Sector 9-A, Chandigarh [Vide Carrigendum No. L-42012/295/2003-IR (CM-II) dated 16-9-2003] ... Respondent

APPEARANCES

For the workman : Sh. S. D. Sharma, Advocate

For the Management : Sh. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate

AWARD

Passed on 1st February, 2011

Central Government vide Notification No. L-42012/295/2003-IR (CM-II) dated 23-8-2004 by exercising its power under Section 10, Sub-section (1)(d) and Sub-section (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the Act), has referred the following industrial dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of CPWD, Chandigarh in terminating the services of Sh. Shakti Chand S/o Sh. Ridku Ram, Cook-cum-Caretaker w.e.f. 1-8-2003 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

Although in the reference order only All India CPWD (MRM) Karamchari Sanghathan Registered (hereinafter called as Karamchari Sanghathan) is a claimant but in the claim statement the concerned workman Shakti Chand S/o Sh. Ridku Ram has also joined as a claimant, For the purpose of the dispute under reference only this much of the case of the claimants is relevant that Claimant-1 Karamchari Sanghathan is a Registered Union whereof concerned workman Claimant-2 is a member. The concerned workman was employed on the basis of work order issued from time to time by the management as Cook-cum-Caretaker at Inspection Bungalow, Chandigarh w.e.f. 1-9-1992 for attending the work of perennial nature and he continued as such till 8-1-2003 when his services were terminated in violation of the provisions of the Act, while he had completed more than 240 days in a year and had worked for about 11 years. He discharged his duties under the control and direction of the authority of the management and his employment was not concurrent or simultaneous with the award of any particular contract. While terminating the services of the Claimant-2, persons junior to him were retained.

The claimants have mentioned the judgment of the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal, Delhi in O.A. No. 845/2000 and have claimed regularization of concerned workman on the sanctioned vacant post of Cook-cum-Caretaker and have also demanded equal pay for equal work.

The claim was contested by the management. In the written statement two preliminary objections have been taken; firstly, that the Claimant-2 being a contrator is not a workman under the Act and has no locus standi to file the claim petition. There is no relationship of master and servant. And, secondly the Claimant No. 1 Karamchari Sangathan is not a recognized body and Claimant No. 2 being a contractor cannot be a member of Claimant No. 1. Claimant No. 1 is not competent to file the claim petition.

It was further stated in the written statement that there is no post of Cook-cum-Caretaker and the job of Cook is not of a perennial nature and Claimant-2 was not appointed as Cook-cum-Caretaker. He was a contractor. His services were not terminated. Rather on completion of work order, the work was not extend further and there was no violation of the provision of the Act. It was denied that he worked under the direct supervision and control of the management. Regarding the cessation of the employment of Claimant-2 it was stated that the tender had been refloated but the rates quoted by Claimant-2 were not the lowest. Hence, the work was assigned to another person, whose rates were lowest. According to the management, the claimants are not entitled to any relief.

In a rejoinder the workman has pleaded that if the veil is pierced, then it would be established beyond any iota of doubt that there eixisted a relationship of employer and employee and the contract was sham and a camouflage.

From the pleadings of the parties for the purpose of the present reference, following issues arise for consideration:

- 1. Whether the Karamchari Sanghathan not being a recognized body is not competent to raise the dispute. If yes, its effect?
- Whether Claimant-2 Shakti Chand is a workman and there is a relationship of master and servant between the management and the workman as alleged by the claimants?
- 3. Whether Claimant-2 Shakti Chand was a contractor as alleged by the management? If yes, its effect?
- 4. Whether the services of Claimant No. 2 Shakti Chand were terminated by the management in violation of Section 25F of the Act?
- 5. Whether juniors were retained by the management while terminating the services of the Claimant No. 2?
- 6. To what relief the Claimant No. 2 is entitled?

It is worth mentioning that claimant's demand of equal pay for equal work is beyond the scope of reference.

In support of his claim the concerned claimant Shakti Chand has filed his own affidavit and the affidavit of Raj Kumar, Chowkidar, Central Sub Division-IV, Sector 7-B, Chandigarh, while on behalf of management, affidavit of Executive Engineer D. K. Gupta was filed. Both the parties have relied on certain papers, which will be discussed as and when necessary.

Parties have filed the written arguments in the case, which were perused by me alongwith materials on record. My findings on various issues are as follows:

Issue No. 1

As it has been stated above the Zonal Secretary of the Karamchari Sanghathan is a party in the order of the Central Government and claim statement has been filed by the Karamchari Sanghathan and concerned workman. Management has taken a plea that Karamchari Sanghathan may be a Registered Trade Union but is not a body recognized by the Government, hence, it could not raise the dispute and the reference should be declined on this ground alone. I find the arguments of the management not acceptable for the following reasons:

- Management has not cited or referred any provisions or rule under which only a Trade Union recognized by the Government is entitled to raise a dispute.
- The Central Government itself has referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal mentioning the Karamchari Sanghathan as a party.
- 3. As per definition clause Section 2(qq) of Act 'Trade Union' means a Trade Union registered under the Trade Unions Act and under Section 36, Sub-section (1) Clause (a) of the Act a workman may be represented by any member of the Executive or other office bearer of a Registered Trade Union of which he is a member. There is no requirement that the Trade Union must be recognized by the Government to represent a workman.

I see no reason to disbelieve the statement made in the claim petition and in the affidavit of claimant Shakti Chand that he is a member of Claimant-1.

I, therefore, hold that Karamchari Sanghathan is competent to raise the dispute. Reference cannot be declined on the ground that the Karamchari Sanghathan not being a recognized Body is not competent to raise the dispute. Issue No. 2 is decided against the management.

Issue Nos. 2 and 3

Both the issues being inter-connected are being taken together. The claimant's case is that Claimant No. 2, Shakti Chand was a workman employed by the management on a

work order. He had worked from 1-9-1992 till his services were terminated on 8-1-2003. His work was of perennial nature and he worked under the control and direction of the authority of the management. His employment was not concurrent and simultaneous with the award of any particular contract.

Management also says that Claimant No. 2 had worked on work order. It however, denied the status of workman to Claimant No. 2. According to it, Claimant No. 2 was a contractor. His services were not terminated. Rather on completion of work order, the work was not extended further and there was no violation of the provisions of the Act. Claimant No. 2 never worked under the direct supervision and control of the management. There is no post of Cook-cum-Caretaker and the job of a cook is not of a perennial nature and Claimant No. 2 was never appointed as Cook-cum-Caretaker.

It is thus admitted to both the parties that Claimant No. 2 throughout his tenure of about 11 years worked on work order. The argument of the Learned Counsel for the workman is that Claimant No. 2 is a workman. In support of his arguments the Learned Counsel placed reliance on the order of Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribuna) in MA No. 1082 of 2000 in OA No. 845 of 2000 titled as All India CPWD (MRM) Karamchari Sanghathan and others Versus Union of India and Another, a copy whereof is paper No. 29 on record. Claimant No. 2 was an applicant in this case and his name figures at Sl. No. 13. The Hon'ble Tribunal has mentioned in this order " As regards the fact that these applicants are working on Muster Roll for a long time, the same is not disputed." The learned counsel for workman argues that the Hon'ble Tribunal recognized the status of the Claimant No. 2 as a Muster Roll Employee. He further invited my attention to letters MW-1/7 to MW-1/9 and MW-12 filed by management. All these letters are a fall out of the order of the Hon'ble Tribunal. They show the follow up action taken by the management in the case. Nowhere in these letters it has been stated that the concerned claimant was a contractor and not a workman.

The Learned Counsel for the workman also argued that the claimant had moved an application for summoning the Attendance Register, Takeover and Handover Register of Inspection Bungalow CPWD. Sector 7, Chandigarh. Photo copies whereof had been filed by the claimant but the management did not produce the record, which shows the mala fide intention of the management and presumption should be drawn against the management.

The argument of the management on the other hand is that workman was working as a contractor on the basis of work order placed on him from time to time on the basis of rates approved. He was neither engaged as a casual labour nor on scroll and nor as a daily wager. Regarding the order of the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal it was argued that it was obtained by the claimant by

misrepresentation. There was no relationship of employer and employee between the management and the Claimant No. 2 and the latter was neither engaged as an employee nor he was paid wages.

So far as this argument of the management is concerned that the order of the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal is based on misrepresentation by the claimant, it is simply not acceptable. If it was passed on misrepresentation, why the management did not seek the proper remedy and why it proceeded to take up the follow up action in the matter? There is no escape from the order of the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal.

It is interesting to note that the management has filed the work orders dated 10-8-92 and 30-6-2000 of concerned clamant Shakti Chand as Ex. MW-6 but has not filed the last work order relating to him. In the order dated 10-8-92 the description of work has been given as "Care taker-cum-Cook for the guest house in Sector 7-B, Chandigarh, Central Division No. II, CPWD, Chandigarh for six months from 10-8-92 to (illegible)." In the work order dated 30-6-2000 the description of work is mentioned as "to run and maintenance of CPWD Inspection Bungalow, In-charge cooking, serving and attending the guest as and when required keeping proper watch and ward." It was for a period from 1-7-2000 to 30-9-2000. From these work orders it is clear that the claimant has been employed as a worker and not as a contractor as defined in Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970. As per definition clause Section 2(1) (c) of the said Act in relation to an establishment, contractor means "a person who undertakes to produce a given result for the establishment other than a mere supply of goods or article of manufacture to such establishment through contract labour or who supplies contract labour for any work of the establishment....."

Management does not say that the contract awarded to the Claimant-2 was according to the provisions of the said Act and he is a licensed contractor under the said Act.

Further from the work orders mentioned above it is clear that Claimant-2 was not to act as an independent contractor but under the control and supervision of the management. Work order dated 10-8-92 shows that Claimant-2 was to keep the record of the Guest House in a proper register and as per directions of the Assistant Engineer, Guest House. The same condition is mentioned in the work order dated 30-6-2000 also.

It is also amply clear that the work was of continuous and perennial nature. The workman continued for about 11 years. He was to maintain the Guest House in proper and running condition, look after the guests, to keep the record of the Guest House in a proper register and to arrange the cooking material.

Thus, Claimant-2 does not appear as contractor from any angle. It is clearly established that he was a workman and there is a relationship of master and servant between the management and him. Issue No. 2 is accordingly decided in favour of the Claimant-2 and Issue No. 3 against the management.

Issue No. 4

Admittedly, the Claimant-2 worked from the date of his employment in 9/1992 till 8-1-2003 continuously. Therefore, it can be accepted without any fear of contradiction that he worked for more than 240 days in 12 calendar months preceding the date of his termination.

Admittedly also the services of the Claimant-2 were terminated without complying with the provisions of Section 25F of the Act. Neither he was paid retrenchment compensation nor he was given any notice or was paid compensation in lieu of notice. The case of the management is that on completion of work order the work was not extended further and tenders were refloated and as the rates quoted by Claimant-2 were not the lowest hence, the work was assigned to another person whose rates were lowest. Management papers MW-1/2 to MW-1/5 are the copies of the same notice inviting quotations. It appears that the device of inviting quotations was adopted for the first time. No such notice inviting quotations has been filed by the management before placing work order on Claimant-2. Claimant-2, Shakti Chand in his statement during cross-examination has specifically stated that earlier no quotations were called when the work was assigned to him.

Obviously, the device of inviting quotations was adopted by the management to make out a case of Section 2(00) (bb) but the law in such cases is very clear. In PWD through Deputy Director, Horiculture Versus Satyapal 2007-I-LLJ 500 where the appellant had engaged respondentworkman as driver continuously for three years on work orders and terminated his services without notice without following the provisions of Section 25F of the Act. It was held by a Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi that "the device of issuing work orders was to satisfy the letter of law as contained in Section 2 (00) (bb) but in fact it was nothing but an employment on continuous basis. The very purpose for which Section 2(00) (bb) was introduced was to avoid saddling an employer with the liability under Section 25F, where a worker had been engaged for a very short period to say two or three months. It was not meant to be invoked in a situation where the worker is in continuous employment for over three years. If one were to interpret Section 2(00) (bb) in the manner that the appellant suggests it would permit the law to be misused to avoid a statutoty liability. It must be kept in mind that the I.D. Act is intended to protect a workman whose services have been continuously engaged for a

considerable period of time. It is in this background that the provisions of Section 2(00) (bb) should be interpreted."

It is clear that the work orders issued by the management were only a camouflage to avoid the liability of the management under the Industrial Disputes Act and to deprive Claimant-2 from the benefits of the Act. His services cannot be treated to have been terminated on completion of work order. The work still exists and it was assigned to another person. Obviously, the termination of the services of the Claimant-2 is in violation of the Section 25F of the Act and is void ab-initio. Issue No. 4 is accordingly decided in favour of the workman and against the management.

Issue No. 5

Workman/claimant have taken a plea that while terminating the services of Claimant-2, persons junior to him were retained but there is no evidence in this regard. The person to whom the job was assigned in place of Claimant-2 cannot be regarded as a person junior to Claimant-2. Issue No. 5 is therefore, decided against the workman and in favour of the management.

Issue No. 6

From the above going discussions it is clear that Claimant-2 was a workman and there was a relationship of employer and employee between the management/respondent and Claimant-2. His services were terminated without compliance of Section 25F of the Act. He remained for about 11 years in the employment of the management. The termination of his services is void ab-initio, for want of compliance of Section 25 of the Act. The question is to what rejief Claimant-2 is entitled. He has claimed regularization on sanctioned post of Cook-cum-Caretaker and pay parity with regular employees.

As stated earlier pay parity is not a matter under reference. Regarding regularization of service there is already an order of the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal in O.A. No. 845/2000. In this reference I find Claimant-2 entitled to the relief of reinstatement and full back wages. The management/respondent is directed to reinstate Claimant-2/workman on the same conditions, which were applicable at the time of the termination of his services and pay full back wages to him within 15 days of receiving the copy of the award. Reference is accordingly answered in favour of the workman and against the management. Let two copies of award be sent to the Central Government for further necessary action.

ASHOK KUMAR RASTOGI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का आ. 691.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार नेशनल डेयरी रिसर्च इन्स्टीट्यूट के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं. 2, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 102/2K5) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 09-02-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 42012/146/2004-आई आर (सीएम-!!)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 691.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 102/2K5) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 2, Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of National Dairy Research Institute, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 9-2-2011.

[No. L-42012/146/2004-IR (CM-II)] D. S. S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, CHANDIGARII

Case No. I.D. 102/2K5

Registered on 18-07-2005

Shri Rajesh Kumar, S/o. Shri Maman Ram, Village Khetri Naru Teh. & District Karnal. ... Applicant

Versus

The Director, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal (Haryana) ... Respondent

APPEARANCES:

For the workman : Shri D. R. Kaith, Advocate

For the management : Shri Amit Sharma, Advocate

AWARD

Passed on 18th January, 2011

Central Government vide Notification No. L-42012/146/2004/IR (CM-II) dated 27-06-2005, by exercising its powers under Section 10 of Sub-section (1) Clause (d) and Sub-section 2(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as Act) has referred the following Industrial dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal in terminating the services of Shri Rajesh Kumar S/o. Shri Mamman Ram, AI & Vety. First Aid w.e.f. 7-1-2003 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

The workman has raised an industrial dispute stating that he had been selected for training in Certificate in AI & Vety. First Aid under the management and after completion of the Course he was deputed for training under one Shri Ram Yatan Rai, In-charge of Artificial Insemination Center, village Ranwar. Subsequently, he was appointed on 14-7-1997 at AI Centre, Birchpur, Extension Division NDRI, Karnal, The aforesaid Ram Yatan Rai and the workman both worked there till 16-9-1997 when Ram Yatan Rai was shifted to Bhagwanur and the workman was made the In-charge of the AI Center Birchpur, Thereafter in 1998 Ram Yatan Rai was again transferred to some other place and the charge of AI Centre Bhagwanur was also handed over to the workman. The workman continuously worked from 16-09-1997 under the supervision and control of the respondent/management and of respective panchayats for whose benefit the centers are run by the management till 7-01-2003 when his services were terminated. The workman has alleged that his services were terminated without any notice and without payment of compensation in lieu of notice or retrenchment compensation and while terminating his services, the management retained the persons junior to him and after the termination of his services the management appointed one Jai Bhagwan Sharma in his place and thus there is a violation of Sections 25G and 25H of the act. The workman has prayed for his reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages.

The claim was contested by the respondents. It was denied that the management is an industry and the Tribunal has jurisdiction in the matter. According to the management it is an Institute, conducting research activities and discharging governmental functions. On facts it was alleged that the institute organizes long and short term vocational course in agriculture and allied vocations for the farmers and rural youths with emphasis on "learning by doing" for higher production on farms and generating self employment. The workman had undertaken training under the said programme for two months but he was never deputed to work at Al Center Ranwar. He had been verbally allowed on his request to have field/practical experience at AI Center Birchpur. The charge of the said Center was never handed over to him. Ram Yatan Rai referred to in the claim is a regular employee of the institute and he was working at Birchpur Center. The Birchpur Center was never shifted to Budha Khera as alleged by the workman. It was further alleged that Jai Bhagwan was employed after adopting due procedure. The workman was never appointed/terminated by the management and no violation of provisions of Industrial Disputes Act is involved in the case.

From the pleadings of the parties following issues arises for consideration:

 Whether the management/respondent is an industry and the Tribunal has jurisdiction to adjudicate the matter?

- Whether the workman was an employee of the management and his services were terminated in violation of Section 25F of the Act? If so, its effect?
- 3. Whether there is a violation of Section 25G and 25H of the Act in the matter? If so, its effect?
- 4. To what relief the workman is entitled?

In evidence the workman has filed his affidavit and the affidavit of Smt. Rupali Devi, Sarpanch of village Birchpur. He relied on certain papers and summoned the record relating to his demand notice from the office of Assistant Labour Commissioner, which was produced by Baldev Raj, U.D.C. another workman witness. He summoned certain records from the management also.

On behalf of management the affidavit of Dr. Om Vir Singh, Technical Officer, N.D.R.L., Karnal was filed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record. My findings on the above issues are as follows:

Issue No. 1

The management has taken a plea that the respondent institute is not an industry. It is carrying on activities of research in a systematic manner with the help of its employees/contractors but that element alone cannot make it an organization carrying on an activity which may be analogous to be carrying on trade or business because it is not producing and distributing services, which are intended and meant for satisfying human wants and needs.

The learned counsel for workman has misread the judgment of Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in Raghbir Singh Versus Presiding Officer, Labour Punjab 2004(4) RSJ 488 in placing the burden of proof on the management to establish that institute is not an industry. The Hon'ble High Court has held the opposite.

As per law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board versus A. Rajappa and another 1978-1-LLJ 349 a Research Institute can also be regarded as an organization propelled by systematic activities, modeled on cooperation between the employer and employee and calculated to through up discoveries and inventions and useful solutions which benefit the nation in terms of goods, services and wealth though they are run without profit motives, and is, therefore, an industry.

From the statement of MW-1, it is clear that the institute is not concerned with research only but provides the services of First Aid and Artificial Insemination also and it has been admitted in the written statement that the institute is carrying the activities in a systematic manner with the help of employees. It is therefore, held that respondent/management is an industry and the Tribunal

has jurisdiction to adjudicate the matter. Issue No. 1 is decided accordingly against the management.

Issue No. 2

The important question is whether the workman was arl employee of the management and there was a contract of service between the management and the workman? It is important to note that he has specifically pleaded that he had been appointed at AI Centre Birchpur Extension Division NDRI on 14-7-1997. During cross-examination he has stated that he had been appointed as Storeman and his job was Artificial Insemination and Veterinary First Aid to arlimals and that he was registered in Employment Exchange and he had applied for the job of Stock Assistant to the Head of the Department and he was getting Rs. 1500 P.M. as salary. He, however, did not produce any appointment letter nor he placed on record his application for the job. There is no evidence of payment of salary. Thus, there is no document to prove the employment of the claimant with the management.

The learned counsel for the claimant has referred various documents filed by the workman as the proof of his being employee of the management. Annexure W-2 is being referred as the charge certificate by the claimant but as it was argued by the management it is simply a list of articles given in the custody of the claimant. It cannot be regarded as a proof of employment. Annexure W-3 is a copy of Attendance Register but it does not bear any stamp, seal of Officer of the management. Annexure W-4 is a copy of Artificial Insemination Register on its basis also it cannot be inferred that the claimant was an employee of the management. Annexure W-5 is a Field Experience Training Group Report in its acknowledgement the name of the claimant figures as Vet. Live Stock Development Assistant but it cannot be regarded as an acknowledgement by the management that the claimant was an employee of the management. Annexure W-6 the reply of the management before the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Annexure W-7, a copy of the working register or ICAR, Karnal, Annexure W-8, the statements regarding the work done in village Birchpur and bearing the signatures of the claimant as Stockman, Annexure W-9 a certificate of Sarpanch Gram Panchayat, who has filed her affidavit also cannot be regarded as an evidence of the employment. It is important that the management is not denying the working of the claimant with the management but according to the management the claimant was undergoing training only.

Another document relied on by the claimant is the report of investigation as per directions of the Conciliation Officer and Assistant Labour Commissioner, Faridabad. The investigator had reported that the monthly reports of the Insemination of Live Stock substantiate the claim of the claimant having worked for NDRI and the representative of the management did not contest the fact that they had not engaged the claimant as learning worker but they had

claimed that they had not paid him officially any wages. The investigator concluded that the claimant had rendered his service to NDRI, Karnal as field staff.

As it has been held above, the working of the claimant for the management is not in dispute but the dispute is about the capacity in which the claimant worked. There is no appointment letter and no evidence to show that the management was the pay master and claimant worked under the supervision and control of the management. I am of the view that the workman cannot be regarded as an employee of the management and I, therefore, hold accordingly. When the claimant was not an employee of the management, the question of terminating his services by the management does not arise and the question of violation or compliance of Section 25 of the Act becomes irrelevant. Issue No. 2 is decided accordingly, against the workman.

Issue No. 3

Because the workman was not an employee of the management and he was not a retrenchee, therefore, the question of violation of Section 25G and 25H of the Act does not arise. Issue No. 3 is accordingly decided against the workman.

Issue No. 4

From the above going discussion it is clear that the claimant was not an employee of the management and his services were not terminated by the management. He is not entitled to any relief. Reference is answered against him. Let two copies of award after due compliance be sent to the Central Government for further necessary action.

ASHOK KUMAR RASTOGI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 692.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं भारतीय खाद्य निगम के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कोचीन के बंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 13/2009) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-22011/49/2008-आई आर (सीएम-II)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अभिकामे

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 692.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 13/2009) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Cochin as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Food

Corporation of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-2-2011.

[No. L-22011/49/2008-IR (CM-II)] D. S. S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

Shri D. Sreevallabhan, B.Sc., LL.B., Presiding Officer

I.D. 13/2009

Union

The State Secretary,

BKNK Sangh,

Food Corporation of India, District Office, Kundankulathi

and the Market M

Towers,

M.G. Road, Cochin.

By Adv. Shri P. M. Mohammad Shiraz.

Management :

The General Manager (Kerala),

Food Corporation of India,

Regional Office, Kesavadasapuram, Trivandrum-04

By M/s. Sukumaran & Usha.

This case coming up for hearing on 31-01-2011, this Tribunal-cum-Labour Court on 3-2-2011 passed the following.

AWARD

As part of modernization and administrative reforms computerized Bio-metric Punching System was introduced for marking attendance by the members of the staff and officers of the various offices of the Food Corporation of India in Kerala replacing the system of manual marking of attendance in the attendance register. The union, though not against the implementation of computerized Bio-metric Punching System, opened its armory to fight against it was prior to the launching of the system by resorting to improve and of non compliance of the prescribed procedure use of how as its weapon and the battle still continues with the extreme cunder Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

2 The reference is:

"Whether the action of the management of FCI in introducing Computerized Bio-metric Punching System instead of the present method of marking attendance results in change in service condition?

If so, to what relief is the union concerned entitled for?

and the control of th 3. BKNK Sangh, claiming to be the only recognised union of the employees of the Food Corporation of India. field claim statement by making the allegations that the service conditions of the employees of Food Comoration :: of India are governed by the FCI Manual and Ina 3.2 in Volume-Lof the manual provides for marking of attendance. n the attendance register in the custody of the Assistant & Manager and hence it requires amendment of the provisions in the manual for the implementation of Bio-metric Punching System for marking attendance. The provision contained therein as to the hours of attendance is a condition of service in respect of a matter specified in the Fourth Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act which makes its: mandatory to give notice to the workmen or the union representing the workmen in the prescribed manner No such notice was given to the workmen or union representing the workmen before the introduction of the new systems in The nature of the work in FCI requires adjustments as to the attendance of the employees during office hours and the exercise of discretion in granting permission to come to the office late or leave the office early cannot be exercised ? due to the introduction of the computerized Bio-Metric Punching System. Punctuality can be ensured by the ? continuance of the manual system of marking attendance. Parliamentary Standing Committee of Food, Consumer Affairs and Public Distribution recommended introduction :: of Bio-metric Punching System for marking attendance of se labourers by the management to prevent proxy attendance. But the same could not have been introduced due to their and protest and thereby it was introduced for the members of a the staff. The introduction of Bio-metric Punching System. in lieu of the existing system is a measure to be taken at the national level in all the offices with sufficient safeguards for protecting the interests of the workmen and the management. The Computerized Bio-metric Punching System after its introduction in the head quarters of the Food Carporation of India was withdrawn due to protest from the unions of the Sall Suindlined the to Jack of practicability in the " dona Office, Bangalore, Hence the unich prays for giv " direction to the management to stop the use of the Computerized Blo-Metric Purching System to mark an endance of employees of the Food Corporation of Inulian Kerela region after entering into a finding that in the introduction of that system instead of the earlier system of mandal marking of aftendance resulted in the change in grand the many many through the control of the property and

service condition of the employees of the Food Corporation of India.

- 4. The contentions put forward by the management in the written statement are that as per the recommendation of the Parliamentary Standing Committee initially the Bio-Metric Punching System was installed in the Depots of the Food Corporation of India and as it was found to be more effective and fruitful making it easy for calculation of salary, O.T. etc. It was introduced in the Regional Office and District Offices of Trivandrum and Kochi and later in all the District Offices and Food Storage Depots of the Food Corporation of India in Kerala. The F.C.I. Manual 1965 provides guidelines on functioning and set up of F.C.I. offices whereas the service conditions as per the requirement of I.D. Act does not speak about the mode of marking attendance. The marking of attendance and keeping record of the same is being continued in the new system and the only difference is in the marking of attendance through the computerized finger print reader instead of affixing the signature in the attendance register manually. There is absolutely no change in any service condition as to the items mentioned under item No. 9 of the Fourth Schedule which renders it necessary to issue notice as provided under Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act. Several practical difficulties can be avoided and the work load can be reduced through the installation of the Bio-Metric Punching System. The union representing the workmen were called for a discussion with regard to the installation of Bio-Metric Printing Reader on 30-11-2007 and there was again a meeting on 24-01-2008 with the representatives of the unions about the practical viability of the new system. As there is no change of service condition of the employees in respect of any of the matters specified in the Fourth Schedule of the I.D. Act union is not entitled to the relief claimed in the claim statement.
- 5. Union filed rejoinder denying the contentions in the written statement and reaffirming the allegations made in the claim statement. It is further stated that the attendance register is the record showing the hours of attendance of an employee and the provision regarding hours of attendance is a condition of service in respect of a matter specified in Fourth Schedule of the LD. Act. Any change in the system of manual marking of attendance will affect the hours of attendance and hence there is change of service condition making it necessary to issue notice in the prescribed manner to the workmen and the union representing the workmen. The introduction of the new system without prior discussion and without considering the posuitability to the conditions of work in FCI

necessitated the union to oppose it even though it is not against the implementation of the computerized Bio-Metric Punching System.

6. The point for determination is:

Whether the action of the management of FCI in introducing the computerized Bio-Metric Punching System instead of the method of marking attendance manually in the attendance register results in change in service condition, and if so what relief the union is entitled for?

- 7. The evidence consists of the deposition of WWI and Exts. W1 to W7 on the side of the workmen and MWI and Exts. M1 to M5 on the side of the management.
- 8. The Point: The introduction of the computerized Bio-Metric Punching System was done in a phased manner in the offices of the Food Corporation of India in Kerala and the same is evidenced by Exts. W2 and M3 to M5. There was vehement opposition from the union for the implementation of such a mode of marking attendance in lieu of the manual marking of attendance in the attendance register even though union has specifically averred that there is no objection for introducing such a new system and their objection is only with regard to the unsuitability of the newly introduced system considering the work of the employees in the offices of the Food Corporation of India. They have put forward the specific case that the use of the Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System affect the condition of service with regard to items specifically provided in Fourth Schedule of the I.D. Act and hence required the mandatory procedural compliance under Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act. For that purpose they relied on para 3.2 in Vol. 1 of the Food Corporation of India manual which provides provisions as to hours of attendance and the procedure for marking attendance by the employees of the Food Corporation of India. It is contained in Ext. W5 and the same is extracted below:

"3.2. Hours of Attendance:

(i) For all members of the Establishment, the hours of Literdance are from 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m. on all working days with a luncheon interval of half an hour between 1 p.m. and 2 p.m. All offices will remain closed on the second Saturday of each month and on other declared holidays. Every member of the Establishment is expected to be in his seat and attend to his work from 10 a.m. In specific cases, the Assistant Manager may permit

members of the staff to come late or leave the office early.

- (ii) An attendance register in form 3.2 will be kept in the custody of the Assistant Manager. The Assistant Manager, Assistants (Grade I and II) and the typist must initial it as soon as they come to office. It will be closed ten minutes after the office opens and will be laid down before the Assistant Manager with the casual leave and late attendance reports.
- (iii) If any member of the staff does not attend office punctually, the word 'late' will be entered against his name in the column for that date. If he comes later on, the hour at which he arrives should be entered by the Assistant Manager. On the 1st of each month, Attendance Registers will be submitted to the Head of the Office. Forfeiture of a day's casual leave will ordinarily be the penalty for every two days late attendance without permission.
- (iv) Staff who require the permission to attend late must apply for it beforehand wherever possible. Any day on which a person attends office after one o'clock whether with or without permission will be treated as on casual leave".
- 9. The learned counsel for the union has argued that there will be change in the hours of attendance if the Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System is used for marking attendance. It is the specific contention of the management that the introduction of the Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System will only change the modality of marking attendance and it will not in any way effect any condition of service in the Fourth Schedule of the I.D. Act. There will not be any change in the working hours due to the marking of attendance through the Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System. The hours of attendance is from 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m. with a lunch interval of an hour between I p.m. and 2 p.m. and with regard to it if any change is made it can be pointed out as a reason to satisfy that there is a change of condition of service coming under item No. 4 of Fourth Schedule of the I.D. Act. The provisions provided for exercise of the discretion to grant permission to come late or leave the office early and the forfeiture of a day's casual leave or two days' late attendance without permission can be duly complied with even if the marking of attendance is through the Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System. Para 3.2 (iv) provides that staff who require the permission to attend late must apply for it before-

hand wherever possible. So the member of the staff who is to be granted permission to attend late must apply for it and the same is to be considered by the Assistant Manager. The permission can be granted even if the marking of attendance is through the Computerized Bio-metric Punching Systèm. The forfeiture of casual leave for late attendance will be considered only once in a month and for that purpose also it is not necessary to have the marking of the attendance manually in the attendance register. The time of arrival is not to be entered in the attendance register as it can be clearly ascertained with the Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System. The change in the method of marking attendance cannot in any way be said to be a reason to hold that it will affect any condition of service in the Fourth Schedule of the LD. Act.

- 10. The union mainly makes the challenge based on S. 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act by contending that notice was not given as provided under that Section as the change of the system of marking attendance resulted in change of condition of service. S. 9-A of the I.D. Act relied upon by the union provides that no employer, who proposes to effect any change in the conditions of service applicable to any workman in respect of any matter specified in the Fourth Schedule to the Act cannot affect such change without giving to the workmen notice in the prescribed manner. The provisions of the section are no doubt mandatory. But the preconditions to their applicability are:
 - (i) there must be a change in the conditions of service;
 - (ii) the change must be such that it adversely affect the workmen;
 - (iii) the change must be in respect of any matter provided in the Fourth Schedule to the Act.

All the change are not required to be notified. The Fourth Schedule provides for eleven conditions of service for change of which notice is to be given. There may be conditions which by a process of interpretation can be included within one or other eleven listed. The conditions of service for change of which notice is to be given under the Fourth Schedule do not in turn include the matters provided under para 3.2 of the F.C.I. Manual. At this juncture it is also to be pointed out that the F.C.I. Manual contains the regulations and those are not Rules promulgated in exercise of the powers under Section 44 of the Food Corporation of India Act, 1964. Punctuality can be strictly maintained by the introduction of the new system and it

will not make any change in the existing rules of discipline. It cannot be said that it is a case of introduction of new rules of discipline or alteration of existing rules to being it under item No. 9 in the Fourth Schedule of the I.D. Act. The management is not bound under Section 9-A of the I.D. Act to give notice about the introduction of Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System for marking the attendance as it will not affect the conditions of service in any manner.

11. Though there are allegations from both sides as to the merits and demerits, success and failure of the Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System, it does not assume any relevance to answer the reference.

CONTRACTOR OF A MISSISSIAN CONTRACTOR

- 12. On a consideration of the facts and circumstances there is no reason to enter into a finding that the Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System of marking attendance affect the conditions of service. There was discussion with the union before the introduction of the Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System and the same is evidenced by Exts. M1 and M2. Whatever it be, there is no necessity to give notice under Section 9-A to the workmen or union about the introduction of a new system for marking attendance.
- 13. In view of the above discussion I hold that the action of the management of Food Corporation of India introducing new system has not resulted in change in service condition.

In the result an award is passed finding that the action of the management of Food Corporation of India in introducing Computerized Bio-Metric Punching System instead of the method of marking attendance in the attendance register manually has not resulted in change in service condition and hence the union concerned is not entitled to any relief.

The award will come into force one month after its publication in the Official Gazette.

Dictated to the personal Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and passed by me on this the 3rd day of February, 2011.

D. SREEVALLABHAN, Presiding Officer

ing Boshies Follower bosh Geografi**Appendix** english

Witness for the Union:

WW1 - Shri K. Devaraja Panicker, State Secretary, BKNK Sangh.

Witness for the Management:

MW! - Smt. Sosamma Punnen, Manager (IR), FC!
Regional Office, Trivandrum.

Exhibits for the Union:

- W1 Copy of letter No. BKNKS/SS/Kerala/07 dated 29-10-2007 submitted by union to the General Manager (Kerala), Food Corporation of India.
- W2 Copy of Circular No. IR. 32(5)/2007 dated
 19-11-2007 issued by General Manager
 (Kerala) to all Officers and Staff, FCI,
 Regional office, Trivandrum.
- W3 Copy of letter No. BKNKS/SS/Kerala/2007 dated 23-11-07 submitted by union to the General Manager (Kerala), Food Corporation of India.
- W4 Copy of complaint letter dated 27-11-2007 submitted by union to General Manager (Kerala), FCI.
- W5 Copy of extract of FCI Manual, Vol. 1.
- W6 Copy of the Minutes of the conciliation proceedings held on 23-4-2008 in the industrial dispute between the union representatives and the management.
- W7 Copy of Notice No. Estt. 38(2)/AS/2008-09 dated 9-9-2010.

Exhibits for the Management:

- M1 Copy of the relevant page of file No. IR(S)/ 32(5)/2007 dated 25-10-2007 maintained in the Regional Office of FCI, Trivandrum.
- M2 Copy of the Office Copy of Attendance
 Certificate No. IR/14(3)/2007/ID/Pouching
 dated 6-12-2007 issued by Sosamma
 Punnen, Manager (IR);
- M3 Copy of Circular No. 1R/32(5)/07 dated 1-12-2007.
- M4 Copy of the Office Circular No. IR/32(5)/ 07 dated 3-12-2007.
- M5 Copy of the Circular No. IR/32(5)/2007 dated 7-12-2007.

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 693.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं डब्लू सी. एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नागपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 150/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-22012/167/2001-आई आर(सीएम-II)]

हो एस.एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 693.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 150/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Kawadi Open Cast Mine of Western Coalfield Ltd., and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-2-2011.

[No. L-22012/167/2001-IR(CM-II)]

D.S.S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI J.P. CHAND, PRESIDING OFFICER, CGIT-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NAGPUR

Case No. CGIT/NGP/150/2002 Dated 31-01-2011

Party No. 1

: The Sub Area Manager, Kawadi Open Cast Mine of Western Coalfield Ltd., Post-Shivjinagar, Chandrapur

Versus

Party No. 2

The President,
Lal Zanda Coal Mines Mazdoor
Union, (CITU), New Majri
Colliery, Near Kali Tanki,
Double Stories,
Post-Shivjinagar, Chandrapur

AWARD

(Dated: 31st January, 2011)

This is a reference made by the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) and sub-section 2(A) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) ("the Act" in short), of the industrial dispute between the employers in relation

to the management of Kawadi Open Cast Mine of Western Coalfields Ltd. and their workman, Shri Rajdeo Nathuni Yadav for adjudication, as per letter No. L-22012/167/2001-IR (CM-II) dated 27-08-2002, with the following Schedule:

"Whether the action of the management of M/s. Western Coalfields Ltd., in relation to their Kawadi Open Cast Mine in fixing the pay of Sh. Rajdeo Nathuni Yadav, consequent upon his transfer from Pench Area to Kawadi Open Cast Mine in Majri Area as per letter No. WCL/Pench/K.V./STR/23/134/98 dated 1-4-1998 of Personnel Manager, Pench Area initially at Rs. 72.96 p.d. in category-I and then revising it to Rs. 80.94 p.d. in category-II is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. On receipt of the reference, the parties were noticed to file their respective statement of claim and written statement and accordingly the workman, Shri Rajdeo ("the workman" in short) filed his statement of claim and the management of the W.C.L. ("the Party No. 1" in short) filed their written statement:

In the statement of claim, it is averred by the workman that he was working as a Tub Loader in the piece-rated category in East Donger Chikhali Colliery, Pench Area of W.C.L. with the basic pay of Rs. 135.51 per day and for exigency of work, he was asked to give his consent to be posted at Majri Area as General Mazdoor Cat.-I on conversion to time rated category and vide his letter dated 17-4-1998, he gave his consent for the transfer, subject to the condition of full protection of his pay and payment of T.A., DA and quarters facility as applicable to loaders and accordingly, he came to be transferred on administrative grounds to Majri Open Cast Mine w.e.f 20-7-1998 in time rated category, as General Mazdoor-Cat.-I and vide office order dated 22-1-1999, the Party No. 1 illegally fixed his basic wages firstly in Cat.-1 at Rs. 72.96 and revised to Cat.-III at Rs. 80.94 per day, though he was getting a basic pay of Rs. 133.51 per day, prior to his transfer to time rated category and thus his basic pay was reduced by Rs. 52.57 per day and such reduction of pay was contrary to clause 5 of the settlement dated 31-10-1995, which postulates that such piece rated workman, who may be put in time rated by managerial decisions will continue to get protection of piece rated wages and there was no conceivable nexus or sound reasoning advanced by Party No. 1 to fix his basic wages in the middle of category-III at Rs. 80.94 per day and the impugned action was arbitrary and in colourable exercise of employer's rights and without due application of mind and wholly illegal and unjustified. The workman has

prayed to declare the fixation of his pay at Rs. 72.96 and then at Rs. 80.94 per day as illegal and unjustified and further declaration that he is entitled to protection of pay, which he was drawing prior to his conversion on transfer and payment of back wages and consequential benefits.

3. The Party No. 1 in its written statement pleaded inter alia that a notification was issued by the Area Personnel Manager, Pench Area of WCL dated 1-4-1998, inviting options from the workmen of Pench, who were willing to go to other areas in time rated jobs, for which there was urgent requirement and the said notification had a separate clause for the piece rated workers, which stated that such piece rated workers, who were willing to go in time rated jobs would be converted and transferred in time-rate, this would be applicable from the date they would assume charge in the time rate and the workman, who was a piece-rated loader at E.D.C. Colliery of Pench Area applied for transfer in time rated job and his option was voluntary and he was aware that according to the policy decision of the company, on his change over to time rate, he would get the wage of the Cai., in which he would be deployed and fixed at the mid point of the time rate and in the notification, no assurance was given for giving protection of his basic wage of piece rate, which he was enjoying at Pench Area and he was fully aware of the donsequence of his option to switch over to time rate and its impact upon his wage and the workman while opted for his transfer in time rated job, though put a condition of protection of his piece rated basic, as in the notification, there was no stipulation of any conditional option of wage protection, this aspect of his option was not considered and he was transferred to Majri Area in time rated vide order dated 3-6-1998 issued by the Dy. Chief Personnel Manager (I.R.) and he was relieved from E.D.C. Colliery of Pench Area vide office order dated 15-7-1998 of the Mines Manager, with advise to report at Majri Area to be deployed in time rated job and on his reporting to Majri Area, he was posted to Kawadi open cast in Coal Handling Plant as General Mazdoor Cat.-I vide office order No. WCL/MA/GM/PER/98/108/4080 dated 20-7-98 issued by the Personnel Manager, Majri Area and it was stipulated in the said office order that on his conversion from piece rate to time rate, his wage fixation would be done as per the relevant clause of the settlement dated 31-10-95 and accordingly, his wages was fixed at the mid point of Cat. -I i.e. Rs. 72.96 basic per day, but as per the result of the decision with the representatives of the INTUC union held on 18-1-1999, the case of the workman was review in consultation with the concerned department and he was posted as conveyor khalasi/operator vide office order dated 21/22-1-1999 and accordingly, his wage was fixed at Rs. 80.94 in Cat.-III, which was the mid-point of Cat.-III and thereafter, he case stood settled and grievance raised by the workman in this regard was-

resolved and he continued to receive the wages fixed as above and subsequently another union namely Lalzenda Coal Mines Mazdoor Union took up his case after about 11/2 years and raised an industrial dispute, before the ALC(C), Chandrapur, vide its letter dated 4-5-2000 and the management clarified its position and as no settlement could be arrived at, failure report was submitted to the Government by the ALC(C), which ultimately led to the present reference and from the facts, it would be clear that the decision about the coversion and placement of the workman was strictly in accordance with the settlement dated 31-10-1995 and the workman never demanded to be posted back as loader and the management is ready to put him back in his original job as loader and the offer is open to him and in case he does not accept the offer, then it becomes transparently clear that he wants to reap the benefit of lower category job and higher category wage and the settlement dated 31-10-1995 clearly stipulates that from the date of his settlement, the piece rated workers engaged in time rated job would be paid as per the nature of the job performed by them and as the worker was deployed in time rated job, he was rightly paid the wages of the corresponding category. It is further pleaded by the Party No. 1 that the workman was not drawing a basic pay of Rs. 133.51 per day and the wage of a piece rated loader is not fixed and the same goes on varying according to the work done by him on day-to-day basis and piece rated workers, who are engaged in time rates against notification and in exercise of option are not entitled for the benefit of protection as stated in clause 5 of the settlement dated 31-10-1995 and as such, the workman is not entitled for any relief.

4. The parties were allowed to lead evidence in support of their respective claims. The workman has examined himself as a witness and has reiterated the facts mentioned in his statement of claim. One A.M. Bogawar. Personnel Manager, New Majri O.C. II has been examined as a witness on behalf of Party No. 1. The evidence of this witness is also in the same line of the stands taken by the management it, the written statement. This witness filed the documents relating to the notification, option of the workman, transfer and fixation of the pay of the workman and has proved the same.

The option letter of the workman, office orders dated 20-7-1998, 20/22-1-1999 and the representation of the workman dated 29-1-1999 have been marked as Exts. W-3, W-4, W-5 and W-6 respectively, whereas, documents filed by the Party No. 1 have been marked as Exts. M-1V and M-XIII.

5. It is clear from the pleadings of the parties, the documents on record and the oral evidence adduced by the parties that on 1-4-1998, the Party No. 1 made a notification inviting options from the workers of Pench Area, who were writing to work as time rated workers in

other areas except Kanhan Area, as there was urgent requirement of time rated workers in other areas and in response to such notification, the workman vide his letter dated 17-4-1998 (document Ext. W-3 from the side of the workman and Ext. M-XII from the side of management) submitted his option for such transfer and to work as time rated workers, with the conditions of protection of his basic pay and of providing quarters, T.A. and D.A. and thereafter, the Party No. 1 as per orders dated 3-6-1998 transferred the workman and twenty two piece rated workers to different areas of WCL and out of them, the workman and two others were transferred to Majri Area and then, the workman was relieved from E.D.C. Colliery, Pench Area and joined at Majri Area on his conversion in time rated category as General Mazdoor Cat.-I and posted to Kawadi open cast colliery in coal handling plant and then his pay was fixed at Rs. 72.95 per day at first and later on, the same was refixed at Rs. 80.94. There is also no dispute that fixation of pay of workers like the workman is required to be made in accordance with the settlement dated 31-10-1995.

At the time of argument, it was submitted by the learned advocate for the workman that as the option submitted by the workman was a conditional option and the workman had specifically mentioned for protection of his basic pay and thereafter his transfer was made by the Party No. 1 on administrative grounds and in the transfer order, it was mentioned by the Party No. 1 that the workers, who were transferred would get all the benefits of transfer, the workman is entitled for protection of his basic pay, which he was getting as a piece rated loader prior to his transfer. It was further argued that in view of clause 5 of the Agreement dated 31-10-1995 also, the workman is entitled for protection of his basic pay. In support of such contentions, the learned advocate for the workman placed reliance on the decision reported in 2000 LAB I.C. 3624 (Karnataka High Court) (S.G. Koragar Vs. the Managing Director, Kamataka S.T.C.).

7. In reply, it was submitted by the learned advocate for the Pasy No. 1 that as in the notification dated 1-4-1998, there was no stipulation of any conditional option of wage protection, the condition of protection of basic pay of the workman was not considered and in the notification dated 1-4-1998, it was clearly mentioned that the piece rated workers, willing to go in time rated jobs would be converted and transferred in time rate and in the transferred order also, it was specifically mentioned that the workman and 22 others piece rated workers are transferred on the basis of the applications submitted by them and on their joining in the respective area, they would be allowed to work as time rated workers and their pay would be fixed in accordance with the agreement dated 31-10-1995 and thereafter, the workman was relieved from duty and he willingly joined in Majri Area as a time rated worker, so there is no question of the protection of his

basic pay. It was also submitted that no doubt, in the said transfer order, it had been mentioned that as the transfer is on administrative ground, the workers would be entitled to transfer TA and Transit period etc. in accordance with the Rules, the same does not mean that the basic pay of the workers would be protected. It was further submitted that in the relieved order also, it had been mentioned that the workman would be entitled to transfer T.A. and Transit period, as the transfer was done for administrative exigency and in the posting order issued by the Personnel Manager dated 20-7-1998 also, it had been specifically mentioned that, "his fixation on coversion shall be done as per relevant clause of settlement dated 31-10-1995 and according to clause 1 and 3 of the settlement dated 31-10-1995, which were applicable to the case of the workman, the wages of the workman was fixed and as such, the workman was and is not entitled for protection of his basic pay and the action of the Party No. 1 is justified."

- 8. Peruse the documents filed by the parties. From the document, Ext. M-IV, it is found that the Party No. 1 had called for the options of the piece rated workers of Pench Area to work as time rated workers in other Areas and it was specifically mentioned in the same that the workers have to give their willingness by filing application and as the transfer would be due to administrative exigency, the workers would get the benefit of transfer, such as, T.A./D.A. and transfer grants. It was also specifically mentioned in Ext. M-IV that the piece rated workers would be converted into time rated workers on their joining at the new Areas of their posting. It is also found that though the workman in his application had given his option for such transfer, he had asked for protection of his basic pay, but Party No. 1 did not consider such request and made the transfer order dated 3-6-1998 and in the said order, there was specific mention about fixation of pay as per the settlement dated 31-10-1995. From the documents filed by the parties, it is found that there was no stipulation in any of the documents of the Party No. 1 of giving protection of pay to the workers including the workman and as such, on that score, the workman is not entitled for protection of pay.
- 9. The settlement dated 31-10-1995 has been marked as Ext. M-VII. Clauses 1, 3 and 5 of the said settlement read as follows:

4

(1) Item Nos. 1, 3 Demand No. 1 (III) reads as below:

That the management shall on conversion from PR to TR/MR will fully protect the group wages including SPRA wherever applicable. The basic pay so fixed in the TR MR category/grade if exceeds the maximum of the category/grade, the balance be treated as personal pay to the person concerned

which shall be adjusted in the subsequent revision of pay/promotion. This decision shall be effective from 1-1-1992. It is also agreed that the cases already converted between 14-11-1990 to 31-12-1991 shall be considered for notional fixation only and earlier cases will not be considered.

The above will be modified as below from 1-11-1995.

All such piece rated workers who have given or may give option for time rated/monthly rated jobs or in case of their selection through internal notification for any time rated/monthly rated jobs will be fixed in the middle of commensurate category for which they in the time rated only and no personal pay will be allowed w.e.f. 1-11-1995."

- (3) Hence forth the piece rated workers who are engaged on time rated jobs will be paid as per the nature of work performed by them.
- (5) Such piece rated workman who may be put in time rated/monthly rated in future by managerial decisions i.e., without seeking option for time rated/monthly rated or without going through the selection process against internal notification for time rated/monthly rated, will continue to get protection of piece rated wages. Such piece rated workman who comes to TR as per option given by them will not get the benefit.

In this case, there was a notification by the Party No. I inviting options from piece rated workers of Pench Area to work as time rated workers in other Area with free consent and willigness and in response to such notification, the workman submitted his option and thereafter, the Party No. 1 selected the workman and 22 others and transferred them and as such, it cannot be said that the conversion of the workman from piece rated category to time rated category was by managerial decision i.e., without seeking option for time rated category or without going through the selection process against internal notification, which are the requirements of getting the protection of piece rated wages. Hence, it is found that the workman, who submitted his option to work as a time rated worker in response to the notification dated 1-4-1998 of Party No. 1 is not entitled for protection of his piece rated wages under clause 5 of the settlement dated 31-10-1995.

So far the decision reported in 2000 LAB IC-3624 (supra), on which reliance has been placed by the learned advocate for the workman is concerned, with respect, I am of the view that the same has no application to the present case at hand as the facts and circumstances of

the case referred in the said decision are quite different from the facts and circumstances of the case at hand. In the case referred in the decision, there was no regulation but in this case, there is a settlement dated 31-10-1995 providing the procedures for fixation and protection of wages of the workmen and the Party No. 1 are bound by such agreement. Hence, it is ordered:

ORDER

The action of the management of M/s, Western Coalfields Ltd. in fixation of the pay of Shri Rajdeo Nathuni Yadav, the workman at Rs. 72.96 per day initially and at Rs. 80.94 per day in category-III is justified and the workman is not entitled to any relief.

J.P. CHAND, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 694.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार डब्लू.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नागपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 268/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 09-02-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-22012/67/2000-आई आर(सी-11)]

डी. एस.एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 694.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 268/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of W.C.L., and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 09-2-2011.

[No. L-22012/67/2000-IR(C-II)]

D.S.S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI J.P. CHAND, PRESIDING OFFICER, CGIT-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NAGPUR

Case No. CGIT/NGP/268/2000 Dated 3-2-2011

Party No. 1

- : 1. The Sub Area Manager, WCL Pipla Sub-Area, Pipla, Tah. Saoner, Dist. Nagpur
- The General Manager, WCL Area, Jaripatka, Nagpur

Versus

Party No. 2

: Shri Kashinath C. Sawarkar, Pipla Colliery, At. & Post-Pipla, Dakbangala, Taq. Saoner, Dist. Nagpur

AWARD

(Dated: 3rd February, 2011)

The Central Government being of the opinion of having the existence of an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of the General Manager, WCL and their workman, Shri Kashinath C. Sawarkar, Clerk Grade-II (LDC) have referred the dispute for adjudication by exercising the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) ("the Act" in short), as per letter No. L-22012/67/2000-IR(CM-II) dated 21-08-2000, with the following schedule:

"Whether the action of the management of Western Coalfields Ltd. through its Sub Area Manager, Pipla Sub Area, Pipla & General Manager, Nagpur Area, Nagpur in dismissing/terminating the services of Sh. Kashinath C. Sawarkar, Clerk Gr. II (LDC), Pipla Colliery, Distt. Nagpur w.e.f. 17-2-1999 is legal, proper and justified? If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled?"

2. After receipt of the reference and being noticed, the workman, Shri Kashinath C. Sawarkar ("the workman" in short) filed his statement of claim and the management of WCL ("Party No. 1" in short) filed its written statement.

It is averred by the workman in his statement of claim inter alia that he joined the services of the Party No. 1 on 19-3-1975 and was promoted as Clerk Grade-III in 1984 and as Clerk Grade-II in 1995 and his service record during the said period was clean and excellent without any adverse remark but he was served with a charge sheet dated 16-11-1998, for the act of misconducts alleged to be committed by him, punishable under clauses 26.1 and 26.3 of the certified standing orders, applicable to the operatives of the Party No. 1 and he was not given sufficient time to reply to the said notice and as a L.D.C., his duty was to prepare the wage sheets of the employees every month and it was alleged that while he was checking the wage sheets of the employees in computer section, Silwara, deliberately increased the days of attendance of Shri Kaka Gulab from 3 and 6 days to 25 and 26 days in the wage sheets for the months of May and June, 1998 respectively and the days of attendance of Shri Hari Santosh from 12 days to 25 days in the wage sheet for the month of May, 1998 and due to his said acts, the aforesaid two employees had received the increased salary for the month of May and June, 1998 and thus he was held responsible for committing misconducts and he denied the allegations of misconduct stating that the attendance of loaders was marked in the MT/C section and the Munshi used to fill up the Form No. 4 and on the basis of Form No. 4, Form No. 10 was being filed up by him and he had correctly filled up Form No. 10 in respect of those two employees and he had not committed any mistake and for the mistake, if any, he was not responsible and the statements of the aforesaid two employees were not recorded either by the department or by the Enquiry Officer during the departmental enquiry proceeding and he was not guilty of the misconducts under standing orders 26.1 and 26.3 and the departmental enquiry was conducted by Shri Arun Khobragade, a Senior Personnel Officer and the enquiry commenced on 7-12-1998 and was completed on 21-1-1999 and the Inquiry Officer submitted his report holding him guilty of the charges and under office memorandum dated 12-2-1999, the second show cause notice was served on him alongwith the copy of the enquiry asking him to show cause against the proposed punishment of dismissal from service and by order dated 17-2-1999 of the Party No. 1, he was dismissed from service and the appeal preferred by him on 22-2-1999 was not considered by the Appellate Authority, It is further pleaded by the workman that the Inquiry Officer did not follow the established procedure of departmental enquiry and he did not direct the management representative at the beginning of the enquiry to submit list of witnesses and documents and as such, did not follow the principles of natural justice and the original documents were not filed in enquiry, instead of which, xerox copies were filed and copies of the documents were not supplied to him and statements of material witnesses were not recorded, in violation of the basic of departmental enquiry and he was not allowed to re-examine witnesses, Shri Kaka Gulab and Shri Hari Santosh and the findings of the Inquiry Officer are perverse, illegal and based upon no evidence and the enquiry was held while he was on sick leave from 7-12-1998 to 6-1-1999, which is against the principles of natural justice and his past clean service record of 24 years was not taken into consideration, while awarding the punishment of dismissal and the punishment imposed against him is disprope cionate to the charges levelled against him. The workman has made prayer for his reinstatement in his original post with continuity in service and payment of full back wages.

3. The Party No. 1, in its written statement has pleaded that the workman was involved in various acts of misconduct, breach of trust and cheating in connection with the day-to-day affairs entrusted to him, resulting in

issuance of the charge sheet on 16-11-1998 on various grounds stated therein and after the charges were proved against the workman, he was dismissed from services vide the order of the Disciplinary Authority dated 17-2-1999 and the workman had been demoted from post of Clerk Grade-II to H/Kh. Category-III in the year 1991, on accourt of theft, fraud and dishonesty in connection with the jobs entrusted to him and the said charges were proved against him, but on consideration of his mercy appeal, the workman was allowed to continue on the same post and as such, it can be safely said that the act and conduct of the workman was willful and no leniency could have been shown towards an employee who was dishonest to the organization and the workman indulged himself into certain acts, which were due to willful omission and negligence of the workman and he was dismissed from services as his acts were of the nature involving moral turpitude and the workman tampered the attendance sheets maintained by the Party No. 1 in Form 'C' of the months of May and June, 1998 and increased the attendance in respect of Shri Kaka Gulab from 3 and 6 days to 25 and 26 days in the month of May and June. 1998 respectively and from 12 days to 22 days in May, 98 in respect of Shri Hari Santosh in the wage sheets in Form No. 'X' prepared by him and the charge sheet dated 16-11-1998 was served on the workman on 21-11-1998 and sufficient time was given to him on his request but till 2-12-1998, no reply was received from him and the workman did not commit any mistake but his act was willful-for unlawful gain and he was guilty of the misconduct as enumerated under the standing orders 26.1 and 26.3 and the appeal filed by the workman was rightly rejected as he committed fraud intentionally knowing fully well that the same is against the interest of the management, for unlawful gains and the enquiry was held by following the principles of natural justice and sufficient opportunity was given to the workman to defend himself in the enquiry and the findings of the Inquiry Officer are not perversed but the same are based on evidence on record and the punishment of dismissal from services imposed against the workman is not at all disproportionate to the charges levelled against him and as such, the workman is not entitled for any relief,

4. It is necessary to mention here that after filing of the statement of claim and written statement, all the issues involved in the case were taken for consideration at a time by the Tribunal and parties were allowed to adduce evidence in support of their respective claims. After taking into consideration the materials on record and the submissions made by the parties, the Tribunal passed the award on 27-11-2002, answering the reference in favour of the workman and directing for his reinstatement in the original post with continuity of service and 50% of the tack wages. Challenging the award dated 27-11-2002, the ty No. I filed writ petition No. 1528 of 2003 before the

- Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Nagpur Bench, Nagpur. The Hon'ble Court by order dated 3-7-2003 was pleased to quash and set aside the order dated 27-11-2002 and directed to first take up the issue of fairness of enquiry as a preliminary issue and to dispose of the case in accordance with law.
- 5. According to the direction of the Hon'ble High Court in writ petition No. 1528/2003, the validity of the departmental enquiry was considered as a preliminary issue. After taking into consideration, the pleading of the parties, the materials on record and the submissions made by the parties, by order dated 3-8-2006, the departmental enquiry was held to be invalid and the management was given the liberty to prove the charges before the Tribunal.
- 6. The Party No. 1, to prove the charge against the workman examined two witnesses, namely, Shri Arun Antoni Khobragade and Shri Hansraj Bhagwandas Khandekar, who were in Inquiry Officer and Presenting Officer in the departmental enquiry held against the workman respectively, besides relying on documentary evidence. The workman examined himself as a witness in his defence.
- 7. At the time of argument, it was submitted by the learned advocate for the workman that as the departmental enquiry held against the workman has been found to be invalid by the Tribunal, the evidence recorded in the domestic enquiry cannot be taken into consideration and cannot be relied on. In support of such contention, reliance has been placed on the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of judicature, Bombay reported in 2001 (III) CLR-306 (Maharashtra General Kamgar Union Vs. U.S.V. Limited and another). The further submission made by the learned advocate for the workman was that the Party No. 1 has not been able to prove the charge levelled against the workman and as such, the punishment imposed against the workman can be safely held to be unjustified and unwarranted and as such, the punishment imposed against the workman is to be quashed and set aside and the workman is entitled to be reinstated in service with continuity and back wages.
- 8. On the other hand, the learned advocate for the management submitted that the workman was involved in several acts of malpractices, fraud, negligence, cheating undue influence, gross violation of various laid down norms and rules of the Party No. 1, while dealing with coworkers and as such various complaints were received against him and on the basis of various complaints and after the charges have been fully proved, the workman was dismissed from the services. Before mentioning about the further contentions raised by the learned advocate for the management, I think it proper to mention here that the first part of the aforestated contention is not proper, as there is no material on record in support of the same and the same is without any basis. Moreover, such allegations

have neither been made in the charge sheet submitted against the workman nor any evidence, oral or documentary has been adduced by the Party No. 1 in this respect. Hence, I find no force in the said contention.

The further contention raised by the learned advocate for the Party No. 1 was that in 1991, the workman had been found guilty of theft, fraud and dishonesty in the departmental enquiry held against him and he had been punished with demotion from Clerk Grade-II to H/ Khalasi category-III but on consideration of his mercy appeal, he was allowed to continue on the same post and though in this case, the domestic enquiry has been held to be illegal by the Tribunal, it can be held from the evidence adduced by the Party No. 1, both oral and documentary and the evidence of the workman himself that the charge leveled against the workman to have been proved. It was also contended that once it is held that the charges leveled again the workman to have been proved, there is no scope for the Tribunal to interfere with the punishment imposed against the workman and the punishment is not shockingly disproportionate to the charges of serious misconduct committed by the workman. In support of such contention, reliance has been placed on the decisions reported in 1996 LAB IC-462 (B.C. Chaturvedi Vs. Union of India), 1996 LAB IC-469 (Allahabad Bank Vs. Prem Narain Pande), 2005 LAB IC-4158 Vs. A.P. SRTC and 2005 LAB I.C. 854 (Bharat Forge Co. Ltd. Vs. Uttam Manoher Nakate).

At this juncture, I think it proper to mention that as in this case, the disciplinary proceeding has been held to be invalid, the questions of consideration of the past record of the workman and regarding the scope of interference with the punishment imposed against the workman will be arised, if the charge leveled against the workman will be found to have been proved from the evidence adduced by the Party No. 1.

9. Before delving into the merit of the matter, I think it apropos to mention about the principles enunciated by the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay as reported in 2001 (III) CLR 306 (supra). The Hon'ble High Court, following the judgement of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Neeta Kapilash Vs. Presiding Officer, Labour Court & Anr. (1999 I LLJ 95) have held that,

"Held: Labour Court, in the circumstances of the case, erred in relying on the evidence recorded in the domestic enquiry once it was held vitiated".

It is necessary to mention here that the Hon'ble Apex Court in the decision reported in 1999 I LLJ-95 (supra) have held that:

"The record pertaining to the domestic enquiry would not constitute "fresh evidence" as those proceedings have already been found by the Labour Court to be defective. Such record would also not

contribute "material on record" as contended by the counsel for the respondents, within the meaning of Section 11-A, as the enquiry proceeding, on being found to be bad, have to be ignored altogether. The proceedings of the domestic enquiry could be, and were, in fact relied upon by the management for the limited purpose of showing at the preliminary stage that the action taken against the appellant was just and proper and that full opportunity of hearing was given to her in consonance with the principles of natural justice. This contention has not been accepted by the Labour Court and the enquiry has been held to be bad. In view of the nature of the objections raised by the appellant, the record of enquiry held by the management ceased to be "material on record" within the meaning of Section 1]-A of the Act and the only course open of the management was to justify its action by leading fresh evidence as required by the Labour Court, if such evidence has not been led, the management has to suffer the consequences."

In view of the settled principles enunciated by the Hon'ble Courts as mentioned above, now, it is to be considered as to whether, the Party No. 1 has been able to prove the charge levelled against the workman on the basis of the fresh evidence adduced before this Tribunal.

10. The first witness of the Party No. 1 is Arun, the Inquiry Officer, who had conducted the domestic enquiry against the workman. He has stated that the workman was working as Lower Division Clerk and was entrusted with the jobs of Billing Clerk and his duties were to check and prepare the wage bills as per the information contained in Form 'A' and the workman prepared the wage bills of the employees till September, 1998 and complaints dated 9-11-1998 and 12-11-1998 submitted by Shri Namdeo Shamji Shinde, who was working as the Senior Clerk, Pipla were received against the workman regarding tampering of the attendance records and on the basis of the said complaints, preliminary engiry was held by the committee consisting of Office Superintendent, Sr. Accounts Officer and Dy. Personnel Manager of Pipla Colliery and it was found that there was valid ground for initiating an enquiry against the workman and on the basis of such enquiry, charge sheet was issued against the workman and he was appointed as the Inquiry Officer. This witness has stated about the procedures adopted by him in the departmental enquiry and his findings, but the same is of no consequence as the said enquiry is already found to be invalid. In his cross-examination, this witness has admitted that he had not gone through the complaints dated 9-11-1998 and 12-11-1998 and he cannot say about the complaints of Shri Namdeo and "Form C" is maintained marking the attendance by a Register Keeper of the workers and on the basis of the same, "Form X" is filled up and then the same is loaded in the computer by the Billing

Clerk and "Form C" and "Form X" were never signed by the Personnel Manager and upto November 1, he had no knowledge about the incident.

11. The other witness examined on behalf of the Party No. 1 is Shri Hansraj, who was the management representative in the departmental enquiry held against the workman. This witness has also stated that the duty of the workman was to check and prepare the wage bills as per the information contained in Form 'C' and the workman was incharge of preparation of the wage bills of the employees till 1998 and two complaints dated 911-1998 and 13-11-1998 submitted by Shri Namdeo, the Senior Clerk, Pipla were received by the Party No. 1, about tampering of attendance records and on the basis of the complaints preliminary enquiry was done by a committee and he was a member of the said committee and the edmmittee found valid ground for initiating an enquiry against the workman and he personally verified the records and came to know that the records were tampered and in the attendance sheet maintained by the Party No. 1 in Form 'C' for the months of May and June, 1998, the attendance in respect of Shri Kaka Gulab, Loader was shown as 3 and 6 days respectively and the attendance of Shiti Hari Santosh, Loader for the month of May, 1998 was shown as 12 days but in Form No. 'X', which was maintained by the workman and on the basis of which, the wage sheet was prepared, the workman mentioned the same as 25 and 26 days respectively in respect of Shri Kaka Gulab and 22 days including hazri work on 9-5-1998 in respect of Shri Hari Santosh for May, 1998, which goes to show the conduct and intention of the workman. This withess has also stated about the departmental proceeding held against the workman in details, which is not required to be considered in view of the findings that the departmental enquiry is invalid. This witness has proved the recommendation of the Committee constituted for making the preliminary investigation as Ext. M-7, the copy of the two complaints submitted against the workman date@ 9-11-1998 and 13-11-1998 as Exts. M-8 and M-9 respectively, the charge sheet as Ext. M-10, the papers of the enquiry proceedings as Exts. 12(i) to 12 (xxii), the findings of the Inquiry Officer as Ext. M-13, the extracts of pay slip and Form 'X' as Exts. M-14 and M-15, order of dismissal and second show-cause notice as Exts. M-16 and Nt-17 respectively.

12. The workman in his evidence has stated that false charges were levelled against him in the charge sheet dated 16-11-1998 and the allegations that he increased the days of attendance of Shri Kaka Gulab, Loader in Form X' from 3 days and 6 days to 25 and 26 days in the month of May, 1998 and June, 1998 respectively and increased the days of attendance of Shri Hari Santosh, Loader from 12 days to 22 days in the month of May, 1998 are false and he had not increased the days of attendance of the said loaders and he had not signed the Form 'X'

and Form No. 4 is used to be filled in by "Munshi" and Form No. 'X' is used to fill in by concerned relay Clerk, on the strength of Form No. 4 and he had correctly filled in Form No. 10 in his relay and in the month of May and June, 1998, he was working in 'B' relay and as such, he was not concerned with the incident, as mentioned in the charge sheet and the two complaints filed by Shri Namdeo are false and he has been falsely implicated in this case and the punishment of dismissal from service imposed against him is disproportionate to the misconducts alleged to have been committed by him.

In his cross-examination, this witness has stated that he had filed an affidavit on 27-7-2001 and he cannot say if there is difference between the two affidavits filed by him on 27-7-2001 and 6-10-2008, because his advocate had prepared the affidavits and he cannot say the contents of both the affidavits. He has further stated that the work of preparing of muster roll and salary are to be performed with responsibilities and in case of commission of mistakes. the benefits go to others. It is also admitted by the workman that extra salary had been paid to Shri Kaka Gulab and Shri Hari Santosh had he has read the evidence at Exts. M-IV and M-V and the contents of Exts. M-IV and M-V are correct and though there is provision for filing appeal as per the standing orders of WCL, he did not file any appeal against the order of his dismissal. He has also admitted that he had been cross-examined on 16-1-2002.

It is necessary to mention here that during the crossexamination of the workman, the learned advocate for the management adopted the earlier cross-examination of the workman and this Tribunal passed orders to treat the earlier cross-examination as cross-examination on the affidavit filed on 6-10-2008.

In his cross-examination dated 16-1-2002, the workman has stated that in 1995, he was promoted as Clerk Grade-II and he was the Bill Clerk and he was preparing pay bills etc. and basis on the attendance sheet prepared by the Munshi, he was preparing the pay bills of all the workmen and he was making entry of attendance in Form 'C' and on the basis of Form 'C' prepared by him salary was used to be paid to the workmen in Computer Section. He has further admitted that in 1998, he was preparing the pay bills of Shri Kaka Gulab and Shri Hari Santosh and management document Nos.17 and 18 are true and all the excerpts attached to them are also true and management document No.18 was prepared by him in his own hand and the same is correct.

The workman in his evidence filed on affidavit on 6-10-2008 has stated that he did not increase the days of work of the loaders and he has not signed the Form 'X' and Form No. 4 was used to be filled in by Munshi and Form No. 'X' was used to be filled in by respective relay Clerk, on the strength of Form No. '4' and he had correctly prepared Form No. 'X' in his relay and in the months of

May and June, 1998, he was working in 'B' relay and he was not connected with the incident as mentioned in the charge sheet. No reliance can be placed on such evidence of the workman, as because, firstly he has not taken such a stand in his statement of claim and secondly in view of his admission about preparation of Form No. 'X', in his cross-examination and statement of claim. In his statement, the workman has pleaded that he was L.D.C. and was preparing the wage sheets of the employees every month and the attendance of the loaders was marked in the MT/ C section of WCL and the Munshi used to fill up the Form No. 4 and on the strength of Form No. 4, Form No. 10 was being filled in by him and he had correctly filled in Form No. 10, wherein, the attendance of above two employees/loaders was rightly marked by him and he has not committed any mistake in it and for the mistake if any, he was not at all responsible. In paragraph 14 of his statement of claim, the workman has mentioned that, now, he is out of job and suffered a lot with his family, which punishment is itself more than what he ought to be awarded and the mistake committed by him unknowingly were corrected by deducting the over payments made to the loaders by him. In his evidence on affidavit filed on 27-7-2001, the workman has also stated the abovementioned facts. The above facts mentioned by the workman in his statement of claim and affidavit dated 27-7-2001 can be taken as indirect admission of the workman of his guilt.

13. The learned advocate for the workman at the time of argument submitted that though according to the management, the workman increased the working days of Shri Kaka Gulab in the month of May, 1998 from 3 days to 25 days, thereby there was increase of 22 working days, but the annexure attached to the document Ext. M-7 shows that the excess working days of Shri Kaka Gulab were 19 days and Management Witness No. 2 has admitted the said fact in his evidence and according to the said annexure, excess payment alleged to have been made to Shri Kaka Gulab also in March, July, August and September, 1998, due to increase of the working days, but nobody was held responsible for such increased attendance and as such, no reliance can be placed on the evidence of Management Witness No. 2, Shri Hansraj. It was also submitted that there is also nothing in the evidence of Management Witness No. 1, Shri Arun to prove the charge against the workman, but, on the other hand, the evidence of the workman given on affidavit has not been shaken in the cross-examination and as in this case, the relevant documents, such as, the pay sheet and other connected documents have not been filed and material witnesses, Shri Kaka Gulab, Hari Santosh and Namdeo Shamji Sindhe have not been examined, it can be held that management has failed to prove the charges levelled against the workman. However, I do not find any force in the contentions raised by the learned advocate for the workman due to the discussions made earlier about the evidence of the witnesses of the parties and for the reasons mentioned below:

In this case, Management Witness No. 2, Hansraj has proved the Forms No. IV and X(10) for the months of May and June, 1998 in respect of Shri Kaka Gulab and Shri Hari Santosh as Ext. M-14 and Ext. M-15 respectively. The said documents have been admitted into evidence without any objection. Though, the workman in his affidavit dated 6-10-2008 has denied to have prepared Ext. M-15, it is clear from his pleading in the statement of claim and affidavit dated 27-7-2001 that Ext. M-15 was prepared by him. On perusal of Ext. M-15, it is found that the workman has shown the working days of Shri Kalka Gulab for May and June, 1998, as 25 and 26 days respectively and the working days of Hari Santosh for May, 1998 as 25 days. However, according to Ext. M-14, the Form No. IV (4) the working days of Shri Kaka Gulab for May and June. 1998 were 3 days and 6 days respectively and the working days of Hari Shantosh for May, 1998 were 12 days. So it is clear from Exts. M-14 and M-15 that the workman knowingly increased the working days of Shri Kaka Gulab and Shri Hari Santosh. The workman has also admitted that excess payment was made to Shri Kaka Gulab and Shri Hari Santosh and such excess payment was recovered. In view of such documentary and oral evidence on record, no adverse inference can be drawn for non-production of pay sheet and non-examination of Shri Kaka Gulab, Hari Santosh and Namdeo Shamji Sindhe. It is clear from the evidence adduced by the Party No. 1 that the workman committed fraud and dishonesty in connection with the employees business and property and tampered with the company's record with ulterior motive. Hence, it is held that Party No. 1 has been able to prove both the charges under clauses 26.1 and 26, 13 of the standing order against the workman.

14. So far the quantum of punishment is concerned, from the evidence of the management witnesses and document Ext. M-6, it is found that earlier there was demotion of the workman to Grade-III Clerk as per the order dated 14-11-1991 after consideration of the appeal filed by him, on sympathetical ground, though originally he was demoted to H/Kh Cat. III. In this case also the misconducts of the workman which are very serious in nature have been proved against the workman. So taking into consideration the proved serious misconducts of the workman and his previous service record, I find the imposition of the punishment of dismissal from service is not shockingly disproportionate and there is no reason to interfere with the punishment. Hence, it is ordered:

ORDER

The action of the management of Western Coalfield Ltd. in dismissing the service of Shri Kashinath C. Sawarkar, Clerk Grade-II w.e.f. 17-2-1999 is legal and justified and the working is not entitled for any relief.

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का, आ. 695.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के शनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं एन. सी. एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 60/2008) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9~2~2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-22012/69/2008-आई आर (सीएम-II)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 695.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 60/2008) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Northern Coalfield Limited, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-2-2011.

[No. L-22012/69/2008-IR (CM-II)]
D. S. S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer
ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI RAM PARKASH, HJS, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 60 of 2008

Sri Anil Kumar Srivastaya, Through Ram Gopal Srivastava, Chilkadand Basti, Shakti Nagar, Sonebhadra, Uttar Pradesh

AND

The Chief General Manager, M/s. Northern Coalfield Limited, Khadiya Pariyojna Shakti Nagar, Sonebhadra, Uttan Pradesh

AWARD

- i. Central Government, Mol, New Delhi, vide motification No. L-22012/69/2008-IR (CM-II) dated 30-6-2008, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal.
- 2. Whether the action of the management of M/s. NCL in dismissing Sri Anil Kumar Srivastava from service with effect from 18-04-2005 is legal and justified? To what relief is the claimant entitled?
- 3. Buief facts are that claimant Sri Anil Kumar Srivastava has filed his claim statement alleging that the order of termination passed by the opposite party is illegal and he is entitled to be reinstated. It is stated that opposite

party has terminated his services after conducting domestic inquiry, after issuing a charge sheet dated 31-12-2004. It is alleged that there is standing order of the opposite party. According to the standing order an employee of the opposite party can be issued charge sheet only when he has committed misconduct thrice in a year, whereas he has been issued charge sheet for one misconduct which is against the provisions of standing order and therefore the charge sheet is illegal and any punishment based on the said charge sheet is liable to be set aside, which cannot be termed misconduct. Therefore, the opposite party has committed the breach of the provisions of the standing order. Thus he is entitled for the aforesaid relief.

- 4. Opposite party in its written statement has vehemently denied the entire allegations of the claimant. It is stated that the claimant was also caught red handed for theft of diesel as he was a driver and he was charge sheeted, previously also dated 16-7-2002, after due inquiry he was dismissed but on appeal he was reinstated in service but he was posted at the post of Mazdoor Category but he could not mend his ways and again committed a serious misconduct of theft, forgery and dishonesty of the property of the employer. He was caught red handed of theft of machine parts on 28-12-2004, and FIR was lodged against the workman. After inquiry he was suspended and charge sheeted as per certified standing orders, a domestic inquiry as per law was conducted. He was given opportunity to defend his case. He fully participated in the domestic inquiry. Inquiry officer conducted an inquiry. The charges were found fully established by the inquiry officer and he submitted his inquiry report to the management. Again a show cause notice was issued to the workman but he did not submit any reply. Disciplinary authority examined the inquiry report seriously and after examination dismissed the workman from the service vide order dated 18-4-2005 which is fully legal and valid in the eye of law. It is also pleaded by the opposite party that while conducting domestic inquiry against the claimant they have not committed any breach of the provisions of the standing order. It is stated that there is no standing order which requires that a person concern cannot be dismissed from the service for committing serious misconduct of theft, forgery and dishonesty. Lastly it is pleaded that the inquiry was fairly conducted by the inquiry officer and all reasonable opportunity of defence was afforded to the claimant by the inquiry officer, therefore, the punishment awarded to the claimant does not call for interference at the hands of this Hon'ble Tribunal. It is therefore, prayed that the claim of the claimant is liable to rejected being devoid of merit.
- 5. Claimant has filed certain papers along with claim statement. First paper is copy of the order of dismissal; second is photocopy of suspension order and photocopy of standing orders.

- Opposite party has also filed 43 documents vide list 10-9-2002. All these documents are related to the inquiry.
 - 7. Heard and perused the record.
- 8. The claimant has not adduced any evidence in support of his pleadings. He has not appeared at the time of arguments. Claimant has alleged that the opposite party has committed mala-fide act but he has not adduced any evidence in support of his pleadings. Initially the burden lies on the claimant to prove a fact but he has failed to prove the fact. Opposite party has refuted the claim of the claimant alleging that there was a gross-misconduct committed by the claimant and a proper and legal inquiry was conducted against him.
 - 9. Opposite party has argued his case.
- 10. Opposite party has placed reliance upon a decision 2005 LLR 1229 Allahabad High Court, Vinod Kumar II versus P.O. Labour Court Agra and Others. In this case a driver was terminated for causing loss of Rs. 50,000. It was held that the burden of proof lies heavily on workman. He did not give any evidence.
- 11. Therefore, in the present case also a heavy burden lies on the claimant but he has failed to discharge the said burden.
- 12. Therefore, considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that the claimant is not entitled for any relief.
- Reference is accordingly decided against the claimant and in favour of management.

RAM PARKASH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 696.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं एस. ई. सी. एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 182/1994) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-22012/286/1994-आई आर (सी-II)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 696.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 182/1994) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the

management of SECL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-2-2011.

[No. L-22012/286/1994-IR (C-II)]
D. S. S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

No. CGIT/LC/R/182/94

Present:

Presiding Officer Shri Mohd. Shakir Hasan

The Secretary, Koyla Mazdoor Sabha (UTUC),

Camo Lusai.

PO Kotma.

Distt. Shahdol (M.P.)

Workman

Versus

The Sub Area Manager, Bhadra Colliery of SECL, Distt. Shahdol (MP)

... Management

AWARD

Passed on this 28th day of January, 2011

- 1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012(286)/94-IR-C-II dated 28-9-1994 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:
 - "Whether the action of the Sub Area Manager, Bhadra Sub Area of J&K Area of SECL in dismissing Shri Suddu, S/o Dayali, loader w.e.f. 26-9-1990 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"
- 2. The case of the Union/workman in short is that the workman Suddu was appointed by the non-applicant as a loader in the year 1976. He fell sick and was under treatment of the colliery doctor. When he was declared fit, he submitted medical certificate for resuming duty but he was not allowed and served with a charge sheet on 17-5-1990. The enquiry was held without given opportunity of hearing in violation of natural justice. The relevant record was not shown and the finding was perverse which was contrary to law. The punishment awarded for unauthorized absence is too harsh. On these grounds, it is submitted that dismissal order be declared illegal and the workman be reinstated with all consequential benefits.
- 3. The management appeared and filed written statement to contest the reference. The case of the management, inter alia, is that admittedly the workman was working as a loader in Bhadra Colliery but he was absenting unauthorisedly without any permission or sanction leave. Accordingly, chargesheet was issued on 12-5-1990. He replied but it was found not satisfactory. The Disciplinary Authority initiated a departmental proceeding and

appointed Enquiry Officer and management Representative. The Enquiry Officer conducted enquiry and submitted enquiry report holding him guilty of charges. The Disciplinary authority after considering the evidence found that the principle of natural justice was followed and the charges of habitual absenteeism was proved and awarded punishment of dismissal from the service. It is also stated that in case the departmental enquiry is vitiated, the management be given opportunity to prove misconduct in Court. It is submitted that the action of the management is justified and the reference be answered in favour of the management.

- 4. On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are framed for adjudication:
 - 1. Whether the enquiry is just, proper and legal?
 - 2. Whether the management is entitled to lead evidence before this Tribunal?
 - 3. Whether the charges of misconduct are proved on the facts of the case?
 - 4. Whether the punishment awarded is proper and legal?
 - 5. Relief and costs.
 - 5. Issue Nos. 1 & 2;

These issues are taken up as a preliminary issue. The then Tribunal after considering the materials on record passed order on 1-8-2001 and held that the departmental enquiry conducted against the workman was just and proper. The management is not required to lead any more evidence to prove the alleged misconduct of the workman. Thus these issues are already decided in favour of the management.

6. Issue No. 3:

On the basis of discussion made above, it is clear that the then Tribunal came to the conclusion that there is no more requirement to the management to prove miscorduct. This itself shows that the then Tribunal was in an opinion that the misconduct was proved by the management in the departmental proceeding.

7 Issue Nos. 4 & 5:

The only point for determination is as to whether the punishment was harsh and the workman is entitled to any relief. It appears from the record that after findings on the preliminary issues, no evidence is adduced and the Union/workman became absent subsequently. The then Tribunal, thereafter proceeded the proceeding ex parte on 9-4-2008 against the workman/Union. The evidence adduced during the departmental proceeding shows that he was habitual absented without any permission or leave. The evidence adduced during departmental enquiry shows that he was habitual absentee without sufficient cause. This shows

that there is no justification to interfere with the punishment awarded by the Disciplinary Authority. I do not find any merit and it is found that the action of the management is justified. Accordingly, these issues are decided against the workman/Union and in favour of the management. The reference is thus answered.

- 8. In the result, the award is passed without any order to costs.
- Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment as per rules.

MOHD. SHAKIR HASAN, Presiding Officer मई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 697. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एस. ई. सी. एत. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियाजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 19/1991) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 22012/287/1990-आई आर (सी-II)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 697.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 19/1991) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court. Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of SECL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-2-2011.

[No. L-22012/287/1990-IR (C-II)] D. S. S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

No. CGIT/LC/R/19/91

PRESENT:

Presiding Officer Shri Mohd. Shakir Hasan

The Secretary,
Rashtriya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sangh,
P.O. Nowrozabad Colliery,
Distt, Shahdol — Workman

Versus

The Manager, Nowrozabad Colliery of SECL, PO Nowrokabad Colliery, Distt. Shahdol

---Management

AWARD

Passed on this 24th day of January, 2011

- 1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012(287)/90-IR (C-II) dated 7-2-1994 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal:
 - "Whether the action of the management of Nowrozabad colliery of SECL in terminating services of their workman Shri Jagaiya, Trammer, T. No. 1632 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"
- The case of the workman/Union in short is the the workman Shri Jagaiya was initially appointed as Mazdoor on 15-7-1952 at Birsinghpur colliery. Disit. Shahdol, After nationalization of the coal mines, he became employee of the SECL. He was served with a charge-sheet on 7-7-1988 for committing alleged misconduct. Subsequently departmental enquiry was initiated and the Enquiry Officer was appointed. After enquiry the Disciplinary Authority passed the order of dismissal on 19/20-9-1988. It is stated that unparliamentary language was used by the workman but the words used were not disclosed. The defence was also not considered by the Disciplinary Authority. The punishment awarded to the workman was shockingly disproportionate taking in view the past record of his service. Under the circumstances the order of dismissal be set aside and the workman be reinstated with full back wages.
- 3. The management appeared in the reference and filed Written Statement to contest the case. The case of the management, inter alia, is that admittedly the workman was working as Trammer in Nowrozabad colliery of SECL. It is alleged that on 7-7-1988 at about 7.45 A.M. while Shri Sateshwar Rai, Under Manager was approaching near Token Office No. 8, Incline, the said workman chased him and hit him with shoes and used unparliamentary language against him. Shri Rai reports the occurrence to the management and therefore, a charge-sheet dated 7-7-1986 was issued to the workman. He submitted his reply but the management initiated departmental proceeding against him. Shri S. K. Ghosh, Sr. Under Manager was appointed as Enquiry Officer. The co-worker of the workman participated in the proceeding alongwith delinquent workman. The E.O. after concluding the enquiry submitted the enquiry report holding him guilty of the charges. The Disciplinary Authority on the basis of the findings agreed and passed the order of dismissal from service. The management alternatively has prayed that if the Tribunal comes to the conclusion that the enquiry is not proper then the management be given opportunity to prove misconduct in the Court. On the above grounds, the action of the management is justified and the workman is not entitled to any relief.

- 4. On the basis of the pleadings of both the parties, the issues are settled as follows:
 - 1. Whether the enquiry is just, proper and legal?
 - II. Whether the management is entitled to lead evidence before the Tribunal?
 - III. Whether the charges of misconduct are proved on the facts of the case?
 - IV. Whether the punishment awarded is proper and legal?
 - V. Relief and costs.
 - 5. Issue Nos 1 & II:

These issues are taken up as preliminary issues and the then Tribunal after hearing and perusing the evidence heid that the departmental enquiry conducted against the workman is not legal and proper and the management is entitled to prove the misconduct of the workman vide order dated 4-7-2006. Thus these issues are already answered earlier.

6. Issue No. III:

Now the very important for consideration is as to whether the management is able to prove the charges of misconduct in Court. To establish the charges the management has examined two witnesses. The management witness Shri Sateshwar Rai is the main complainant of the alleged occurence. He has supported the alleged occurrence in his evidence. He was Under Manager at the relevant time. He has stated that at about 7.15 A.M. on 7-7-1988 the workman Shri Jagaiya came at his place and told for light work. On his telling, he told him to give in writing to the Manager but he refused and came behind him. When he reached near lamp room, he again told him to go to tell the Manager. Then he threatened him and abused him and assaulted with shoes on the shoulder. He tried to save himself with hand as a result he sustained injury on the thumb and blood was obzing out. He has been crossexamined at length but there is nothing to disbelieve his evidence. He has filed report regarding the occurrence. He has proved the original complaint filed before the authority which is Paper No. 2. The original complaint also corroborates the evidence of Shri Siteshwar Rai. He appears to be not inimical to the workman nor he had any grudge for falsely implicating him being an officer of the Mines, it appears to be not probable that he would falsely say that he was assaulted by shoes. He appears to be trustworthy. Another management witness Shri Arun Nigam was Electrician at the relevant time. On that day, he was also on daty. His presence at the place of occurrence was obvious. He is also named in the complaint petition by the complainant (paper No. 2) as a witness. He has also corroborated the evidence of the complainant Shri Sateshwar Rai. He has supported the fact that the workman assaulted Shri Siteshwar with shoes on left shoulder. He has also supported that the workman was abusing him in

the names of mother and sister. There is minor contradiction which is bound to occur otherwise it is said to be a tutored witness. It also occurs by lapse of time but it is not detrimental to the case. He is also not inimical to the workman. He has also been cross-examined at length but there is nothing to disbelieve his evidence. The factum of assault and abuse is proved. Thus the evidence of both the witnesses establishes that the workman assaulted and abused Shri Siteshwar Rai as he had not given him light work. This shows that the workman was guilty of serious misconduct.

7. On the other hand, the workman has not adduced any evidence. Workman is examined at the time of preliminary issues regarding the legality of departmental enquiry. Thus there is only evidence of the management on the point of misconduct committed by the workman. Considering the evidence discussed above, it is clear that the management has succeeded in proving misconduct against the workman. Accordingly, this issue is decided in favour of the management and against the workman.

8. Issue Nos. IV & V:

Considering the evidence as has been discussed above, it is established that a worker assaulted with shoes to his officer for not giving him light work. This appears to be a serious misconduct. The learned counsel for the workman has argued that for similar charges criminal case was also lodged but there is nothing on the record to show that there was any criminal case and the same was disposed of on merit and he had been acquitted honourably. The learned counsel for the workman has cited decision reported ih (2006) 5 S.C.C. 446 G. M. Tank vrs. State of Gujarat and Others and AIR 1996 S.C. 486 B. C. Chaturvedi versus Union of India an others. These decisions are not applicable in the case. I find that the charges of misconduct was of serious nature against the workman and therefore, I do not find any reason to interfere in the order of punishment passed by the management. Accordingly, these issues are decided in favour of the management and against the workman. The reference is, thus, answered.

- 9. In the result, the award is passed without any dosts.
- 10. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment as per rules.

MOHD. SHAKIR HASAN, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का.आ. 698.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एस. ई. सी. एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या (20/1994) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था

> [सं. एंत.-22012/86/1994-आई आर(सी-II)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 698.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 120 1994) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of SECL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-2-2011.

[No. L-22012/86/1994-IR(C-II)] D. S. S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFÖRE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

No. CGIT/LC/R/120/94

Presiding Officer: SHRI MOHD. SHAKIR HASAN

The Vice President,
National Colliery Workers Federation,
PÖ Rajnagar Colliery,
Distt. Shahdol (MP) - W

- Workman/Union

Versus

Sub Area Manager, Ramnagar R.O. of SECL, PO Jhimar Colliery, Distt. Shahdol (MP)

- Management

AWARD

Passed on this 27th day of January, 2011

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012/86/94-IR (C-II) dated 2-8-04 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal:

"Whether the demand of the Vice President, National Colliery Workers Federation, Hasdeo Area that the Sub Area Manager, Ramnagar R.O., of Hasdeo Area of SECL should take 26 workers (list enclose in engaged in the work of water carriers should be taken on the coil of the management is legal and justified?" Hasca in white relief the workers are entitled to?"

2 The case of the Union/workmen in short is that 26 workers (list enclosed in the reference) are performing the perennial and permanent nature of job of supplying water to the staffs and officers living in Block No. 1 of the Ramnagar Colliery and surface building of the management since 1-7-1982. The Wage Board Award, 1967 and the National Coal Wage Agreements (in short NCWA) coming

into force w.e.f. 1975 covered to the workmen employed for carrying water as Category No. 1, unskilled employees. They are working under the direct control of the Ramnagar Colliery and the relationship of master and servant exists between the management and the workmen. These workmen are not brought into the roll of the management though earlier the water carrier workers were brought on the roll of the management and are getting salary of Cat-I mazdoor. The payment to all such workers was/is drawn in the name of the persons who were leaders of the gang and such persons were also performing the same work alongwith other water carrier workers. In the instant case, the management was drawing payment in the name of Shri Mangal Singh and Shri Siya Saran as per directions of the Area Headquarter of Hasdeo Area. They are also doing the same work alongwith other workers. The workmen are entitled to be given the salary of Category I (unskilled) Mazdoor from the date of their initial appointments. Shri Mangal Singh and Shri Siya Saran were never engaged as contractors. They had no registration as contractors by the competent authority as has been provided under the Contract Labour (Abolition and Regulation) Act, 1970 (in short) C.L. (R & A) Act, 1970. It was only a device to avoid the regularization of such workers. It is stated that the management has never issued any tender notice nor even has ever published in any Newspaper for engaging contractor labours for supply of water. The payment shown in the names of contractors is nothing but an artificial system created by the management to avoid the claim of regularization by the workers. These workers through Union filed a petition before the Hon'ble High Court as M.P. No. 1530/92 wherein the Hon'ble Court passed order dated 29-6-92 directing the Union to resort remedy as provided under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (in short I.D. Act, 1947). It is alleged that this is an unfair labour practice of the management to avoid to pay the regular wages though the workers are under the direct control and supervision of the management. They are getting @ Rs. 52 paise per "Kawar" wages which is less than the minimum wages. It is submitted that the reference be answered in favour of the workmen/Union by giving direction to the management to take the workmen on the roll of the management and to pay the wages of Category I Mazdoor under NCWA along with arrears.

3. The management appeared and contested the reference by filing Written Statement. The case of the management, interalia, is that the reference is not maintainable. The contractors were engaged for the supply of water to the residential colony of the staffs and Executives of Ramnagar Block No. I as the pipeline work for water supply was pending. The management has not engaged any water carrier worker. There is no relationship of employer and employees between the management and individual worker. These workmen are not covered under the provisions of the CL(R & A)Act, 1970. These contractor workers were employed by different contractors for the

purpose of supply of drinking water in the Ramnagar Block-I. This work is not at all of a permanent and perennial nature of job rather it is purely temporary and casual in nature. They do not do work every day and are not required to work eight hours. It is stated that the payment to the workers employed by the contractors are paid from colliery counter under the supervision of the authorized representative of the management to ensure proper payment. The contractors were getting instruction from the management to carry the drinking water in particular quarter/residence at Ramnagar Block-I. Shri Mangal Singh and Siya Saran are contractors. The work is awarded to the contractors and as soon as the work is completed, the contract of the contractors is automatically terminated. The contractors are drawing the payment and disbursing the same amongst the contractor workers. If the contractors also work then it is their own business and the management has nothing to do. The work was awarded to the contractors after proper tender and after observing other formalities. The contractors workers have no right for regularization on the company's roll as they are engaged on temporary and casual nature of job. It is stated that the issue has also already been decided by the Hon'ble High Court of M.P in M.P No. 1674/88. On these grounds, it is submitted that these contractors' workers claim be rejected.

- 4. On the basis of the pleadings of both the parties, the following issues are framed for adjudication:
 - 1. Whether there is relationship of employer and employees between the management and water carrier workers?
 - II. Whether the demand of the union for taking the water carrier workers on the roll of the management is justified and legal?
 - III. To what relief the workers are entitled?
- 5. On the basis of the pleadings of both the parties it appears that the following facts are admitted.
 - I. The water carrier workers as in the list of reference are supplying water to the staffs and officers living in Block-I of the Ramnagar Colliery of Hasdeo Area.
 - II. The workers are supplying water on piece rated as per Kanwar.
 - III. They receive payment from the colliery counter under the supervision of the authorized representative of the management.
 - IV. Shri Mangal Singh and Siya Saran, who are alleged to be contractors, are also doing the same job of water carrier as other water carrier workers are doing.
 - V There is no water supply system to the staffs and officers in Block No. I of Ramnagar Colliery.

6. Issue No. I

The very important point for determination is as to whether there is relationship of the employer and employees between the management and the water carrier workers. The only case of the water carrier workers is that they are in the direct control and supervision of the management and he is their employer whereas the management states that these workers are the workers of the contractors and the management has no concern. There is no other case that the management had engaged them as on casual and temporary basis rather the work of supply of water is of temporary nature of job. To establish the relationship the Union/workmen has adduced oral and documentary evidence. The Union has filed evidence of 28 witnesses by way of affidavits but only four witnesses namely Shri Parkash Singh, Senior clerk, Lagandhari, Siyaram, the alleged contractor and Mangal Singh, the alleged contractor have appeared and have been crossexamined. Thereafter the evidence of the Union was closed on his prayer.

- 7. Now the evidence of these four witnesses are to be examined to determine the point for consideration. Shri Parkash Singh is a Senior Clerk. He is residing in Block I, at Ramnagar residential colony of the company since 1976. He has supported the case of the Union/workmen that the water was being supplied by the company. The water carrier workers used to supply water in houses which was fixed by the company. They supply water at the rate of per Kawar. The residents signed that how many Kawar they had supplied in a month. There is no contractor of water carrier workers. They worked from 3 A.M. to 12 A.M. He has further stated that he is a member of the Union. He was supplied 15 Kawars every day and the management fixled the quantity of water according to the category and status of the Staffs and officer. His evidence shows that there is no contractor and the supervision and control on the water workers was of the management who supplied water on piece rated basis.
- 8. Another witness Lagandhari was a water carrier worker. He has come to support the fact that he was regularized by the company on 3-7-1982 alongwith 14 others as Mazdoor Category-1. There is no denial by the management that he was not water carrier worker and had not been regularized. He has stated that Shri Mangal Singh and Union had told him that he had prepared the affidavit but he cannot say what is written in it as he is illiterate. It does not mean that he had not dictated the contents of the affidavit, because he has stated then he had prepared the affidavit. His evidence shows that water carrier workers were earlier regularized in Category 1 and the work was being done by the department from water carrier workers and was not being done by any contractor.
- 9. Another Union witness Shri Siya Saran is water carrier worker. The management alleges that he is a contractor. He has stated that 40 persons are engaged by

the management for supply of water to the houses of the staffs in Ramnagar Block-I colliery. He is working this work since 1982 and earlier 16 persons were regularized and they had been engaged in their place. He has further stated that from 1987 they are getting payment from the counter of the company. He has stated that Civil Engineer and Overseer of the company supervise the work. They work from 3 A.M. to 12 A.M. of supply of water. He has denied that he had given quotation for supply of water. He has stated that Engineer and Overseer maintained accounts of water supply and he did not keep any account. He has denied that he engaged other water carrier workers and he received payments. He has stated that he got payment on the basis of per "Kawar". His evidence clearly shows that there was control of the management. There was no contractor and the liability of payment to individual workers and to organize work were of the management.

- 10. The another Union witness Shri Mangal Singh has also supported the case of the Union/workmen. He is also alleged to be a contractor of the management for supply of water. He has denied this fact in his evidence. He has stated that he is not a contractor, nor he has submitted any tender. He has stated that Supervisor and Engineer supervised the work and gave direction. He has denied that he had engaged other water carrier workers. The attention is drawn to his previous statement adduced before Deputy CLC, Mumbai in a case to contradict his evidence where he has stated that he and Siya Saran look after the supply of work and he and Siya Saran had quoted the less rate. I do not feel it a vital contradiction specially because he had denied that he is a contractor and had engaged 40 water carrier workers. The work is admittedly piece rated and therefore the rate agreed before engaging workers shows that there was agreement of payment of wages on piece rated.
- 11. The Union/workmen has also filed documents to prove the case that Shri Siya Saran and Shri Mangal Singh were not contractors rather there was relationship of employer and employee between the management and water carrier workers. Annexure R/4 is photocopy of the direction issued by Dy. CME/Sub area Manager, Ramgarh whereby it is clear that as per the directions of Area Headquarter, Hasdeo Area payment to water carrier workers of Ramnagar Block-I will be made as one of the water carrier as contractor Moreover further it is directed that the payment to the water carriers at Ramnagar Block No. I will be made from the colliery counter in presence of Dy. P.M, Ramnagar Sub Area on 1-1-1988. This direction appears to have been given after they had already worked. This letter of the management itself shows that there was no contractor rather it further shows that the alleged contractor was sham and bogus and it was only an internal arrangement made by the management.
- 12. The Union/workmen has filed work orders which is Annexure R-11 series from Paper Nos. 150 to 167. The

copies of the work orders are said to be obtained from the office of the management to show that these are sham and bogus. These work orders are admitted by the management witness Shri P.A.N. Murty in his evidence at para-17. These work orders are ante dated when the works ended then the work orders are issued and prepared. Annexure R-11 (paper No. 150) shows that the work order was issued on 14-5-92 for the work of supply of water to staffs from 1-4-92 to 30-4-92. This itself shows that the work order was issued after the work done and as such it is antedated. It is evident that the work orders are simply paper works and the contract was sham and bogus and practically there was no contract. Similarly all work orders till reference and thereafter appears to be issued in the same manner.

- 13. The Union has also filed the alleged final bills which are said to have been obtained from the management to show sham and bogus contract. Annexure R-10 series are the alleged final bills. These bills also show that it were bills of antedated work orders of the period of 1994 onwards. The learned counsel for the management Shri A. K. Shashi argued that these bills show the signature of Mangal Singh and therefore it is clear that it was submitted by the contractor Mangal Singh. I donot agree with this view of the learned counsel Shri Shashi because these bills are for antedated work orders. Moreover the management appears to be in a position to dominate the will of the water carriers in view of the employment. It looks more probable and obvious that to remain in employment, Shri Mangal Singh and Shri Siya Saran signed over the antedated documents which had been prepared by the management antedated after the work was completed. This fact and circumstances of the case establish that the alleged contract was sham and bogus and practically there was no contract and the water carrier workmen were in the direct employment of the management.
- The Union has also filed photocopies of payment sheets from the year 1989 onwards which are Annexure R-5 series (Paper Nos. 26 to 117). These payment sheets show that the payment of wages are made to the water carrier-workmen from the counter of the colliery individually by the management. The payment sheets show that Mangal Singh and Siya Saran received wages as water carrier workers only along with other workers in the same payment sheet. This shows that Mangal Singh or Siya Saran had not received payment of all the workers separately as contractors for payment to the workers. It further shows that one of the worker used to sign on the payment sheets as a witness as payment of wages to the water carrier workers. Mangal Singh, Birbahadur, Siya Saran, Jit Partap etc. signed on the payment sheets in different months as a witness of payment to the workers. The payment sheets also corroborate the case of the Union/workmen that the workmen are in direct employment of the management. The bill further shows that the water carrier workers worked continuously and the payment was made monthly on piece rated basis.

- 15. On the other hand, the management has also adduced oral and documentary evidence. The management has examined three witnesses in the case. The management witness Shri R. C. Yadav is presently Personnel Manager at Januna and Kotma Area of SECL. At the relevant time according to him, he was Welfare Officer (trainee) at Ramnagar Sub Area of SECL. He has come to support the case of the management that Mangal Singh and Siya Saran were contractors for supply of water to the staffs and officers quarters at Block No. I Colony of Ramnagar, He has referred the documents which are to be discussed later. Most of the same documents are also filed by the Union/ workmen and have already been discussed earlier. In crossexamination he has stated that in the year 1982, he was not posted at Ramnagar colliery. He cannot say that the workmen were working in the year 1986-87 and Mangal Singh and Siya Saran etc. did strike in year 92-93 to take them on the roll of the management. This shows that he is not competent to say that Mangal Singh and Siya Saran were contractor because at that time he was not posted at Ramnagar colliery.
- 16. Another management witness Shri P.A.N. Murthy is presently Finance Manager at Ramnagar Sub Area, SECL, Hasdeo Area. He has stated that drinking water facility is provided to the staffs and officers. The Individual supplied water to the staffs and officers and for this supply the amount were being paid according to the quantum of water supplied by the contractors. In cross-examination, he has admitted the fact that the tender was invited after the work done. This itself shows that the documents filed by the management is antedated and these are created for the reason best known to the management. Thus his evidence shows that no rate of supply of water was fixed at the time when the water was being supplied to the staffs and officers and there was no contractor at that time because the tender was invited after the work. This fact substantiate the case of the Union/workmen that they had been employed by the management directly and there was relationship of employer and employee. He has admitted the payment sheets filed by the Union/workmen which are Annexure R/5 series. He has also admitted the work orders filed by the Union/workmen which are Annexure R-11 series. He has also admitted the direction dated 30-12-87 whereby it is stated that the payment be made to the water carrier workers through one contractor. The relevancy of all these documents have been discussed earlier. These documents clearly show that the alleged contract through contractors was sham and bogus and the water carrier workers are in the direct employment of the management.
- 17. The last management witness Shri Bipin Kumar is presently Superintending Engineer (C). He was Executive Engineer (Civil) at Ramnagar at the relevant time. He has also come to support the case of the management by saying the facts that Mangal Singh and Siya Saran were awarded contract for supply of drinking water who used to supply

water by engaging persons but the documents filed by the Union/workmen and the management have disclosed that the documents are antedated whereby the contract was alleged to have been awarded for supply of drinking water. The management witness Shri P.A.N. Murty has also stated that tender was invited when the work of supply of drinking water was completed. This itself shows that alleged contract was awarded to the contractors when the work was completed. Moreover Mangal Singh and Siya Saran had denied themselves that they were contractors rather they draim themselves as water carrier workers alongwith 24 others. In cross-examination, the management witness has stated that the office gave instruction to the workers as to how much quantity of water was to be given to which of the officer and the staffs and to whom water was supplied, they certified the quantity of water supplied to them for payment. This fact further shows that the work was controlled by the management. Thus it is evident that the alleged contract was sham and bogus and the water carrier workers were in direct employment of the management.

18. The management has also filed photocopies of documents in support of his case but these documents clearly show that it is only a paper work and there was no contractor for supply of drinking water. The management has filed photocopies of three tender committee recommendations which are marked as Annexures M/25. M/40 and M/47. Let us examine these tender committee recommendations. Annexure M/25 shows that the Committee recommended on 6-1-1994 i.e. in January 1994 for supply of water for the month of December 1993. This itself shows that without deciding the contractors, Shri Siya Saran and Mangal Singh supplied the water in the month of December 1993 without any basis for which payment was made. Moreover tender was invited on 3-1-94 and committee recommended on 6-1-94 but water was supplied earlier. These facts clearly show that it was a paper work and there was no contractor and the water carrier workers were in the direct employment of the management. Another two Tender Committee recommendations are Annexure M/40 and M/47. These recommendations also show that it is a paper work of the management and are created for the purpose of the reference case. The notice of tender for supply of drinking water to the staffs and officers quarter for the month of December 199\$ was invited vide No. 208 dated 10-1-99 and No. 265 dated 10-1-99 and the tender was opened on 13-1-99 by the committee and thereafter the name of Mangal Singh and Siya Saran were recommended whereas the water was aiready supplied in the month of December 1998 itself by the water carrier workers. Thus these recommendations are nothing but paper works.

19. The management has also filed photocopies of work orders, bills, pay order and payment sheets which are Annexure M/1 to M/37 except M/25 which is Tender

Committee Recommendation. Some of these work orders, bills and payment sheets were also filed by the Union. The relevancy of those documents have already been discussed earlier. It is true that the above documents also bear the signature of Shri Mangal Singh and Siya Saran. It can be safely concluded that these signatures were obtained by the management on antedated documents because the management was dominating on the will of Shri Mangal Singh and Siya Saran who had signed on the threat of their employment. However, the documents clearly show that there is no contract and the workmen are in direct employment of the management.

- 20. Shri A. K. Shashi, learned counsel for the management urged that a case under Rule 25(2)(V)(a) of Ci. (R and A) Rule 1971 was filed by the Vice President of the Union before the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi which was transferred and was taken up by the Dy. Chief Labour Commissioner and was decided by him vide order dated 11-2-2000 wherein it is held that Shri Mangal Singh is a contractor and in the present reference case, the issue is same which appears to have been decided by the Authority under CL(R and A) Act.
- 21. It is true that a case was filed by the Union for similar wages for similar work and the Dy. Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), Mumbai passed an order on 11-2-2000 wherein he had held that:

"Then I can safely conclude that the whole contract is sham arrangement and workers are to be treated as directly employed by the Respondent Management. But I am not the Authority to decide accordingly. Hence I proceed further assuming that the workers involved in this issue are contract workmen."

This itself shows that the learned Dy. CLC(C), Mumbai had also concluded that the water carrier workers are in direct employment of the management and on assumption he had decided the wages. However he had rightly concluded that he had no authority to decide that the contract is sham arrangement. Thus I find that the reference is maintainable and the Tribunal has right to decide the reference.

- 22. Shri Shashi, learned counsel for the management further argued that two similar references were filed by the Unions which were numbered No. CGIT/LC/R/30/1986 and CGIT/IC/R/178/89. In these references, the awards were passed on 4-12-91 and 22-11-99 respectively. In these awards, the water carrier workman had claimed for regularization and for payment of wages in Category I. In these references, the then Tribunal held that the workman cannot be said to be the employee of the management as relevant documents were not filed to prove the case and the references were decided in favour of the management.
- 23. The learned counsel for the Union submits that both the references were of different collieries. CGIT/LC: R/30/86 was of Mohan colliery of WCL, Junnardeo, Dist.

Chhindwara and CGIT/LC/R/178/89 was of Eklehra Colliery of WCL, Pench Area, Chhindwara whereas the instant reference is of Rannagar Colliery, Hasdeo Area. Moreover in those references on the basis of facts and evidence of the case, it was held that there was no relationship of employer and employees between the management and the water carrier workmen. Whereas in this reference, the facts and evidence are different and it clearly show that there is direct relationship of employer and employees on the basis of evidence adduced in the case.

- 24. Considering the discussion made above, I also find that there is sufficient evidence to establish that there is relationship of employer and employees between the management and water carrier workmen and there was no contract worth the name with Shri Mangal Singh and Siya Saran and with the management rather the management had created antedated documents to frustrate the claim of the workman.
- 25. Shrì Shashi, Learned Counsel for the management argued that the claimants were not sponsored by the employment exchange nor employed by the management of SECL and as such they cannot seek to cut down the constitutional right of other persons employment. Right of persons temporarily engaged by way of stop gap arrangements cannot be read so as to defeat the constitutional right of equal opportunity. He referred decisious reported in:
 - 1998 (2) LLJ 627 Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur versus Veer Singh Rajput.
 - 1998 (2) LLJ 15, Himanshu Kumar Vidharthi and 2 Ors, versus State of Bihar and Ors.
 - 2007 (1) LLJ 236 State of Rajasthan versus Sarjeet Singh and Another.
 - 2007 (1) LLJ 1042 Accounts Officer (A and I) APSRTC and Ors. versus K, V, Ramana and 2 Ors.
 - 2007 (1) LLJ 262 Ajay Kumar Sharma versus Presiding Officer, Labour Court Nos. 1, 2 and Other
 - 2005 S.C.C. 209, Binod Kr. Gupta and Ors. versus an Ashru Mahto and Ors.

26. The Learned Counsel for the Union/workmen submitted that above decisions are not applicable in the bistant case. It is submitted that the management case is that the workmen are not in his employment and they are employees of the contractors. There is no case of the management that these workmen are employed for a fixed period or as daily wagers or temporary or adhoc employees of the management de hors—the rules and constitutional scheme. The Learned Counsel has relied a decision reported in 2010 (125) FLR 629 Anoop Sharma versus Executive Engineer, Public Health Division No. 1, Panipat wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that:

"The judgment of the Constitution Bench in Secretary, State of Karnataka versus Uma Devi

(supra) and other decisions in which this Court considered the right of casual, daily wage, temporary and adhoc employees to be regularized/continued in service or paid salary in the regular time scale, appears to have unduly influenced the High Court's approach in dealing with the appellant's challenge to the award of the Labour Court. In our view, none of those judgments has any bearing on the interpretation of Section 25-F of the Act, and employer's obligation to comply with the conditions enumerated in that Section.

At the cost of repetition, we consider it necessary to mention that it was not the pleaded case of the respondent before the Labour Court and even before the High Court that the appellant was engaged/employed without following the statutory rules or Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution and that was the basis for discontinuing his engagement. Therefore, the High Court was not justified in relying upon the alleged illegality of the engagement/employment of the appellant for upsetting the award of reinstatement."

27. The pleading of the management is only that the supply of drinking water to the staff and officers of the Ramnagar Colliery colony is through contractors. There is no other case that the water carrier workers are employed either temporarily or for a fixed period or on casual basis then the management cannot travel beyond his pleading. As has been discussed that the management has failed to prove that Shri Mangal Singh and Siya Saran are contractors for supply of drinking water to the staff and officers rather it has been established that they are also water carrier workers along with others and then the story of the union/ workmen is to be accepted that they are in direct employment of the management specially because admittedly they are supplying water to the staff and officers since 1982 as has been claimed and are continuing even after reference.

28. Shri A. K. Shashi, Learned Counsel for the management cited a decision reported in 2010 (2) L.L.N. 184 Employees in Relation to management of "D" Ropeways of Bharat Cooking Coal Ltd., Bhulan Bararee Camp, Dhanbad, versus workmen, Bihar Colliery Kamgar Union, Dhanbad wherein the Hon'ble Jharkahand High Court held that:

"Thus I hold that neither there was relationship of employer and employee between the management and the concerned workmen nor the dispute raised was an industrial dispute. Even the nature of job performed by the concerned workmen was not of permanent nature, and therefore, the Tribunal committed error in directing regularization of the services of the concerned workmen."

It is submitted that these workmen are also water carrier workmen and the water system is pending and therefore the work of water supply is not of permanent nature and they are not entitled to be brought on the roll of the management.

- 29. The Learned Counsel for the Union submitted that the said decision is not applicable because firstly in the instant case there is relationship of employer and employees and in the case subsequently the colony was closed and there was no work of supply of water. Moreover similarly situated water carrier workers were regularized and were brought on the roll of the management.
- 30. I also agree with the view of the Learned Counsel for the Union because in this case, it is established that the workmen are in direct employment of the management and there is relationship of employer and employee and the said colony is still in existence. Thus considering the entire evidence on record, I find that there is relationship of employer and employee between the management and water carrier workmen. Accordingly this issue is decided in favour of the Union/workmen and against the management.

31. Issue Nos, II and III

According to the Union/workmen, they are working as water carrier workmen since 1982 in the direct control of the management continuously and earlier similarly situated workmen are brought on the roll of the management and are getting salary of Category No. I Mazdoor. NCWA also covered workman employed for carrying water in category No. 1 unskilled Mazdoor whereas the management has dehied that such workers are not regularized in Cat-I Mazdoor.

- 32. The Union has examined Shri Lagandhari. He worked from 1977 to 1982 as water carrier worker at Ramnagar Block No. 1 and supplied water with Kawar. He has stated that on 3-7-82, he alongwith 14 others were regularized as Mazdoor Category No. 1. Now he is working as Category IV. There is no denial by the management that he was not water carrier worker and was not regularized. This fact establishes that earlier the water carriers were regularized and brought on the roll of the management.
- 33. The Union has filed documents to show that those water carrier workers are getting pay as Cat-I Mazdoor who had been regularized earlier. The Union has filed last pay certificates of those workers which are Annexure R/3 (Paper No. 18 to Paper No. 24). The last pay slips show that Shri Hiralal and others are water carrier workers and they have been transferred to Jhimar Colliery from Ramnagar. They are getting pay of Category No. I. These pay slips further show that they are on the roll of the management. The Union has filed payment sheets which are annexure R/5 series. These payment sheets show that the present workmen are working continuously under the direct employment of the management and even they are working on holidays and are continuing even after reference on

piece rated basis. These payment sheets appear to have been admitted by the management because some of the payment sheets are also filed by the management. These sheets show that they are working as water carrier from a long period and deserves to be brought on the roll of the management. Moreover the earned Dy. Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) Mumbai in his order dated 11-2-2000 justified the fixation of wages of Category-I under NCWA.

34. The Learned Counsel for the Union has cited a decision reported in 2010 (II) LLJ 277 (S.C) Harjinder Singh versus Punjab State Ware Housing Corporation wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that:

"Of late, there has been a visible shift in the Courts approach in dealing with the cases involving the interpretation of social welfare legislations. The attractive mantras of globalization and liberalization are fast becoming the raison d'etre of the judicial process and an impression has been created that the constitutional courts are no longer sympathetic towards the plight of industrial and unorganized workers, in large number of cases like the present one, relief has been denied to the employees falling in the category of workmen, who are illegally retrenched from service by creating by lanes and side lanes in the jurisprudence developed by this court in three decades. The stock plea raised by the public employer in such cases is that the initial employment/engagement of the workman-employee was contrary to some or the other statute or that reinstatement of the workman will put unbearable burden on the financial health of the establishment. The Courts have readily accepted such plea unmindful of the accountability of the wrong door and indirectly punished the tiny beneficiary of the wrong ignoring the fact that he may have continued in the employment for years together and that micro wages earned by him may be the only source of his livelihood. It need no emphasis that if a man is deprived of his livelihood, he is deprived of all his fundamental and constitutional rights and for him the goal of social and economic justice, equality of status and of opportunity, the freedoms enshrined in the Constitution remain illusory. Therefore, the approach of the Courts must be compatible with the constitutional philosophy of which the Directive Principles of State Policy constitute an integral part and justice due to the workman should not be denied by entertaining the specious and untenable grounds put forward by the employer-public or pricate."

It is submitted that similarly situated water carrier workers were brought on the roll of management as Mazdoor Category I and these workmen who have worked for a long time should be taken on the roll of the management specially in view of their continuous service.

- 35. Considering the evidence on record, it is clear that these water carrier workers are in the direct employment of the management of SECL for a pretty long time. Moreover, there was no contractor and thus the workmen are entitled to be taken on the roll of the management as previously the water carrier workers were brought on the roll of the management as Cat-I Mazdoor. The management is, therefore, directed to take them on the roll as Category-I Mazdoor with all monetary benefits. Accordingly, both the issues are decided in favour of the Union/Workmen and against the management. The reference is answered accordingly.
- 36. In the result, the award is passed without any costs.
- 37. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment as per rules.

MOHD. SHAKIR HASAN, Presiding Officer नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 699.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्तर पूर्व रेलवे के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, लखनऊ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 31/2008) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-41012/07/2008-आई आर(बी-I)] रमेश सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 699.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 31/2008) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Lucknow as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of North East Railway and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 8-2-2011.

[No. L-41012/07/2008-IR(B-I)] RAMESH SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, LUCKNOW

PRESENT:

Dr. Manju Nigam, Presiding Officer.

I.D. No. 31/2008

Ref. No. L-41012/07/2008-IR (B-I) dated 13-5-2008 BETWEEN Mandal Mantri Purvottar Railway Shramik Sangh, C/o Shri P. K. Tiwari, 96/196, Purana Ganeshganj, Lucknow (Espousing case of Shri Rangi Lal)

AND

- Senior Section Engineer (Rail-Path)
 Purvottar Railway,
 Sitapur (U.P.)
- Section Engineer (Rail-Path)
 Purvottar Railway,
 Lakhimpur
- Avar Mandal Abhiyanta, Purvottar Railway, DRM Office, Ashok Marg, Lucknow

AWARD

- 1. By Order No. L-41012/07/2008-IR (B-1) dated 13-5-2008 and its subsequent corrigendum dated 4-6-2008, the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour, New Delhi in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) referred this industrial dispute between Mandal Mantri, Purvottar Railway, Shramik Sangh C/o Shri P. K. Tiwari, 96/196, Purana Ganeshganj, Lucknow (Espousing case of Shri Rangi Lal) and Senior Section Engineer (Rail-Path), Purvottar Railway, Sitapur (U.P.) and Section Engineer (Rail-Path) Purvottar Railway Lakhimpur and Avar Mandal Abhiyanta, Purvottar Railway, DRM Office, Ashok Marg, Lucknow for adjudication.
 - 2. The reference under adjudication is:
 - "Whether the action of the management of Purvottar Railway in deducting wages from the pay of Sri Rangi Lal Sio Late Sri Satya Narayana, Senior Trakman on account of not present on duty from 13-10-2004 to 21-11-2004 is legal and justified? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"
- 3. The order of reference was endorsed to the opposite parties and to this Tribunal and accordingly on receipt of the same the case was registered but notice to the workman could not be issued due to reason that the address of the workman was not mentioned in the reference order dated 13-5-2008, as such, the Ministry was requested to make necessary corrections in the said reference order. The Ministry vide their corrigendum dated 4-6-2008 incorporated the address of the representative union and endorsed the copy of the same to the workman's union.
- 4. As per provision contained in the Rule 10 (B) of the Industrial Disputes (Central), Rules, 1957, the party

raising the dispute was required to file the statement of claim along with relevant documents, list of reliance and withesses with the Tribunal within fifteen days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such a statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in this dispute but the workman's union failed to comply with the said requirement; and accordingly on receipt of the corrigendum order dated 4-6-2008, the notice was issued to the workman's union for filing their statement of claim along with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses with the Tribunal on 25-7-2008, but none turned up from workman's side. None appeared from workman on the successive dates i.e. on 12-9-2008 and 6-10-2008. On the next date fixed i.e. 14-11-2008 on Shri P. K. Tiwari in capacity of authorized representative of the workman's union, filed adjournment and sought time to file the statement of claim, and accordingly, 19-12-2008 was fixed for filing statement of claim. Again none appeared on behalf of the workman on 19-12-2008, 16-1-2009 and 6-3-2009. On 17-4-2009, Shri P. K. Tiwari again appeared and moved adjournment, seeking time to file statement of claim and he was granted last opportunity for filing the statement of claim on 22-5-2009. On 22-5-2009, the A/R of the workman's union again moved an application seeking adjournment which was allowed at the dost of Rs. 100/- and next date 24-7-2009 was fixed for statement of claim. On 24-7-2009, Shri Tiwari again requested for time to file the statement of claim and he was granted time for filing statement of claim at the cost of Rs. 100 and next date 18-9-2009 was fixed.

- 5. The opposite party was also issued registered notices vide order dated 24-7-2009 to appear on 18-9-2009; but none turned up on 18-9-2009 and on successive date i.e. on 23-10-2009. However, on 11-12-2009 Shri Rahul Nigam filed its authority for the opposite party. None appeared on 5-2-2010, 18-3-2010; whereas both the parties appeared on 26-5-2010 and next date was fixed for 25-6-2010 for filing of statement of claim. No statement of claim was filed even on 25-6-2010, 1-8-2010, 23-9-2010, 16-11-2010, 24-12-2010 and 28-1-2011; accordingly, the case was reserved for award.
- 6. From perusal of the records it is very much evident that after registration of the case on 23-5-2008, the authorized representative of the workman put up his appearance on 14-11-2008; and since then he has been seeking adjournments for filing statement of claim at one pretext or the other; but filed to file any statement of claim, even after lapse of considerable time of more than two years. Thus, failure of the workman's union in filing of the statement of claim indicates that the workman's union is not willing to contest its case any more.
- 7. In the above circumstances, it appears that the workman's union does not want to pursue its claim on the basis of which it has raised present industrial dispute; therefore, the present reference order is decided as if there are grievance left with the workman. Resultantly no relief

is required to be given to the workman concerned. The reference under adjudication is answered accordingly.

8. Award as above

Lucknow, 28-1-2011

Dr. MANJU NIGAM, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 फरवरी*,* 2011

का. 31. 700.— कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा । की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा । मार्च, 2011 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय 5 और 6 [धारा 76 की उप-धारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है] के उपबंध गुजरात राज्य के चांगोदर गांव, जिला अहमदाबाद की संपूर्ण राजस्व, नगरपालिका एवं ग्राम पंचायत सीमाओं में प्रवृत्त होंगे ।

[सं. एस-38013/23/2011-एस.एस. 1] एस. डी. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th February, 2011

S.O. 700.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st March, 2011 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter V and VI (except sub-section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the entire Revenue, Municipal and Gram Panchayat limits of the village Changodar. District Ahmedabad in the State of Gujarat.

[No. S-38013/23/2011-S.S. I] S. D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 10 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 701.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दिल्ली डेवलपमेन्ट ऑथोरिटी के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं. 1, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्ध संख्या 29/2010) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 42011/77/2009-आई आर(डी.यू.)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th February, 2011

S.O. 701.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 29/2010) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Delhi Development Authority and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 10-2-2011.

[No. L-42011/77/2009-IR(DU)] D.S.S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE DR. R.K. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I, KARKARDOOMA COURTS COMPLEX, DELHI

LD, No. 29/2010

Smt. Sapna w/o Late Shri Subhash Through Municipal Employees Union, Agarwal Bhawan, G. T. Road, Tis Hazari, Delhi-110054

... Workman

Versus

The Director,
Delhi Development Authority,
Vikas Sadan, INA Market,
New Delhi

... Management

AWARD

On 6-1-1983 one Shri Subhash was appointed on work charge basis by the Executive Engineer, Development Division IX, Delhi Development Authority (hereinafter referred to as the Authority). On his involvement in a murder case, he was arrested and as such could not attend his ducies wielf. 3-4-1983. His unauthorized absence led the Authority to dispense with his services. He raised an industrial dispute, which was referred to a Labour Court, constituted by Government of N.C.T., Delhi. The Labour Court passed an award on 4-10-89, directing the Authority to reinstatement his services. The Authority assailed award before High Court of Delhi, by way of a writ petition, but it could not succeed. Shri Subhash was reinstated in service by the Authority, vide order dated 3rd of June, 2003. On 13th of February, 2007, Shri Subhash died in harness. He was survived by his wife and five sons, Smt. Sapna, his wife, raised a demand for compassionate appointment. Since her demand was not conceded to, she approached Municipal Employees Union (hereinafter referred to as the Union) for redressal of her grievances. The Union raised an industrial dispute before the Conciliation Officer. Since the Authority resisted the claim, conciliation proceedings resulted into a failure. Conciliation Officer submitted his failure report to the appropriate Government. On consideration of the failure report, so submitted, the appropriate Government declined to refer the dispute for adjudication, vide order dated 25-3-2010. The Union made a representation and on reconsideration of its decision dated 25-3-2010, the appropriate Government decided to refer the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication. Consequently, vide order No. L-42011/77/2009-IR (DU), New Delhi dated 17th of May, 2010, the appropriate Government referred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication with following terms of reference:

"Whether the demand of the Municipal Employees Union for grant of compassionate appointment to Smt. Sapna, legal representative of late Shri Subhash by the management of Delhi Development Authority is legal and justified? If yes, what relief the claimant is entitled to?"

- 2. Claim statement was filed by the Union pleading therein that Shri Subhash joined service with the Authority in 1979 as a daily wager. His services were regularized w.e.f. 6-1-83. The Authority terminated his services, resultantly an industrial dispute was raised before Labour Court constituted by Government of N.C.T., Delhi. Labour Court passed an award dated 4-8-89 declaring that Shri Subhash was entitled for reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages. Shri Subhash was reinstated. He expired on 13-2-2007, leaving behind Smt. Sapna, his widow and Amit, Sonu, Sachin, Gaurav and Shiva, all sons. After death of Subhash, Smt. Sapna approached the Authority for compassionate appointment. It has been claimed that after death of Shri Subhash, survivors have reached on verge of starvation. Smt. Sapna is eligible for appointment on compassionate grounds on group "D" post. A claim has been made by the Union that this Tribunal may declare that Smt. Sapna is entitled for appointment as group "D" employee, on compassionate grounds.
- 3. The Authority demurred the claim pleading that Smt. Sapna was never an employee, hence she is not a workman within the meaning of clause (s) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (in short the Act). It has been agitated that no industrial dispute came into existence, either under clause (k) of Section 2 or under Section 2-A of the Act. It has been projected that she is not the wife muchless a legally wedded wife of late Shri Subhash. However, facts relating to employment of Shri Subhash on work charge basis w.e.f. 6-1-83 are not disputed. It has been projected that Shri Subhash came in conflict with law and was arrested in a murder case. His services were dispensed with, when he did not report for his duty w.e.f. 3-4-83. Fact relating to raising of an industrial dispute before a Labour Court, constituted by Government of N.C.T. Delhi. and adjudication of that dispute vide award dated 4-8-89 are not disputed. It has been projected that when some petition filed by the Authority came to be disnuss it

Shri Subhash was reinstated in service on 3rd of June, 2003. No issue was raised by the Authority on the proposition that on 13-2-2007 Shri Subhash died in harness. The Authority projects that the claimant, namely, Smt. Sapna is not a legal heir of Shri Subhash, hence not entitled for compassionate appointment. A prayer has been made that claim statement may be discarded, being devoid of merits.

- 4. On pleadings of the parties, following issues were settled:
 - (1) Whether the claimant was a legally wedded wife of Shri Subhash, who expired on 13-2-2007?
 - (2) Whether conditions of policy of compassionate appointment are satisfied by Smt. Sapna widow of Subhash?
 - (3) As in terms of reference.
 - (4) Relief.
- 5. Smt. Sapna (WW1) and Shri Surender Bhardwaj (WW2) were examined to substantiate the claim. Shri J. N. Gupta (MW1) and Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma (MW2) were examined by the Authority, to project its defence.
- 6. Arguments were heard at the bar. Shri Modh. Farrukh, authorised representative, advanced arguments on behalf of the Union. Ms. Raavi Birbal, authorised representative, assisted by Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma, Executive Engineer, raised submissions on behalf of the Authority. I have given my careful considerations to the arguments advanced at the bar and cautiously perused the record. My findings on issues involved the controversy are as follows:

Issue No. 1

Smt. Sapna swears in her affidavit Ex. WW1/A that her husband, namely, Shri Subhash, expired on 13-2-2007, who was survived by her and Amit, Sonu, Sachin, Gaurav and Shiva, all sons. During the course of her cross-examination she projects that she moved an application for compassionate appointment with the Authority, since her husband died in harness. She asserts that she was married to Shri Subhash about 12-14 years ago. She was 16-17 year old at that time. It was her customary marriage. Four children were born out of first marriage of her husband and one child was born out of her wedlock with Shri Subhash. When she married Shri Subhash, at that time his first wife had expired.

8. Shri J. N. Gupta deposed that while in service Shri Subhash never informed the Authority about his marriage with Sapna. He went on to unfold that he had not filed any nomination form in respect of his provident fund etc., declaring Smt. Sapna as his wife. He concedes that after his death, Smt. Sapna approached the Authority clinning herself to be widow of Shri Subhash. In 2009, she

moved an application for compassionate appointment, which was supported by photocopy of ration card wherein she has been projected as widow of Shri Subhash.

As claimed, Smt. Sapna married Shri Subhash about 14 years ago. At that time first wife of Shri Subhash had expired. Her marriage took place in accordance with customs prevalent in their community. No evidence worth name has emerged as to which faith Smt. Sapna profess. By her name and name of her husband, every presumption lies in favour of the fact that they are Hindus. Provisions of Hindu Law are to be considered to find out as to whether marriage between Sapna and Subhash was legal and valid. According to tenets of Hindu Law marriage between man and woman is of religious or holy character and not a contractual union. Marriage is a sacrament, hence sacrosanct. Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short the Marriage Act) has reformed Hindu Law on marriage, Sections 5, 7, 11 and 12 of the Marriage Act, are pertinent provisions. Section 5 deals with conditions for marriage. Bigamy is prohibited by clause (i), which includes both polygamy and polyandry Section 11 makes a bigamous marriage void and Section 17 makes it penal for both Hindu male and female under Section 494 and 495 of the Penal Code. Clause (ii) of the said section deals with mental capacity, clause (iii) lays down that at the time of marriage groom should have completed the age of 21 years and bride the age of 18 years. The age of marriage and soundness of mind relate to consensual element for marriage. If marriage is looked upon as a contract consent of both parties is a condition precedent to marriage. A contract entered into by a minor or a person of unsound mind is void, as declared by Section 11 of the Contract Act. 1872. But marriage is not regarded as a contract. Consequently marriage of a person who is of a unsound mind is a valid marriage under the Marriage Act. Not merely this, violation of requirements of clauses (ii) and (iii) does not render the marriage void. Under Section 12, violation of the former requirement renders marriage merely voidable while violation of the latter condition does not render the marriage void or voidable, the marriage, if performed, is a perfectly valid marriage. Even after enactment of the Marriage Laws (Amendment) Act, 1976, which has reframed clause (ii) of the said section, the Marriage Act does not say that a valid consent in necessary for marriage. Thus it is amply clear that the Marriage Act does not consider the question of consent as of much importance. A marriage without consent is valid.

10. Section 7(1) of the Marriage Act enacts that a marriage may be solemnized in accordance with the customary rights and ceremony of either party thereto. Sub-section (2) of the said section lays down that when such rights and ceremonies include the saptpadi, the marriage becomes complete and binding when the seventh step is taken. Therefore, the ceremony and rites, which are to be performed, fall under two heads (a) the shastric ceremonies and rites as prescribed by Hindu Law, or (b)

the customary ceremony and rites which prevail in the cast or community to which one of the parties (or both) belongs. Thus the shastric ceremonies and rites are still necessary. These can be dispensed with only if one of the parties to the marriage establishes that customary ceremony is prevalent in his/her community in substitution of the shstric ceremony. If customary ceremony is prevalent on the side of the either party, its performance will be enough for the validity of the marriage. Customary ceremony may not include any one of the shastric ceremonies, such as kanyadana, panigrahan, vivahahoma and saptpadi.

- 11. A customary ceremony may be totally non-religious or very simple cermony. For instance, among Santhals smearing of vermilon by bridegroom on the forehead of the bride is the only essential ceremony. Among the Nayahans of the South India, the only ceremony necessary is the tying of a vadu veeta thali into the neck of the bride, which completes customary ceremony for the marriage. Similarly, pat marriage (the marriage of a widow) is valid marriage in approved form. In chadar andazi marriage among the Jats no other ceremony is necessary. In karewa marriage, which is prevalent among lower castes of Hindus, no ceremony is necessary, if parties live together as husband and wife with an intention to live as such that is enough for the validity of the marriage.
- 12. As testified by Smt. Sapna, when she married Shri Subhash he was having no spouse living at that time. Smt. Sapna was aged about 16-17 years the time of her marriage. Her marriage was performed in accordance with customary ceremonies. No eye brows were raised by the Authority that marriage of Sapna was not performed with Shri Subhash in accordance with customary ceremonies. Admittedly, Shri Subhash and Sapna belong to a lower caste. In their caste Karewa marriage is also a valid marriage. Galanding each either is also a form of marriage prevalent in lower castes of Hindus. When testimony of Sapna that she married Shri Subhash according to customary ceremonies has not been dispelled, it establishes that she validly married Shri Subhash about 14-15 years ago. She could discharge the onus and prove herself to be legally wedded wife of deceased Shri Subhash. On the other hand, Shri Manoi Kumar Sharma concedes that all retiral benefits of Shri Subhash were released in favour of Smt. Sapna. By releasing retiral benefits in favour of the lady, the Authority had dispensed with its objection relating to the validity of marriage of Sapna with deceased Shri Subhash. In view of these facts it is concluded that Smt. Sapna is legally wedded wife of deceased Shri Subhash. The issue is, therefore, answered in favour of the claimant and against the Authority.

Issue No. 2

It is not a matter of dispute that the Authority has a policy of compassionate appointments. The circumstances,

in which appointment on compassionate grounds may be made, are as follows:

- (i) When servants of the Authority lose their lives in the course of duty or get so crippled that they cannot do any work.
- (ii) When employee of the Authority die in harness while in service before retirement.
- (iii) When employees of the Authority commit suicide.
- (iv) When an employee's whereabouts are not known, for a period of seven years and the settlement of dues of the employees are or are not paid to the family on this account. This limit of seven years may be relaxed to three years by the Vice-Chairman, of the Authority subject to the condition that the service of the person appointed on compassionate grounds would be terminated in case the missing employee is traced subsequently.
- (v) In exceptional cases when a Department is satisfied that the condition of the family is indigent and in great distress the benefit of compassionate appointment may be extended to widow/son/daughter/near relative of a servant of the Authority retired on medical grounds before attaining the age of 55 years. In case of Group 'D' employees whose normal age of superannuation is 60 years, compassionate appointment may be considered where they are retired on medical grounds before attaining the age of 57 years.
- 14. Persons, eligible to be appointed on compassionate grounds, are son, daughter, widow or widower. Compassionate appointment can be given on group 'C' or a group 'D' posts, against direct recruitment quota. Applicants for compassionate appointments should be appointed only if they are eligible and suitable for the post in all respect under the provisions of the Recruitment Rules. However, upper age limit can be freely relaxed on merit of the case. On consideration of merits of an individual case relaxation of minimum educational qualification can also be granted. Procedure which shall be followed by the Authority for compassionate appointment, has been detailed as follows:
 - "(a) In case widow/son/daughter/near relative applies for appointment, they will be asked to apply for the same in the prescribed format in English/Hindi version both. They will also be supposed to fulfil the code/formalities/documentary formalities as required and contained in the letter which also be supplied to them which is framed in Hindi version. After

completion of the prescribed format for compassionate appointment, the case of the applicant will be enrolled in the Registers maintained for the purpose of seniority/consideration of the Screening Committee indicating the details (seniority for the purpose of consideration of case for compassionate appointment will be taken from the date of filling of application). The Welfare Department will also perform the role and conduct the physical verification to assess the financial status of the applicant and verify the contents tendered by the applicant.

- (b) The candidates applying for appointments on compassionate grounds should be subject to a suitability test by a Screening Committee. The suitability of those proposed to be appointed on compassionate grounds should be properly assessed by a strict test. In case the candidate has the necessary minimum qualification for employment in a Group 'C' post, his suitability for all types of Group 'C' posts in the order of suitability, should be judged and recorded by the Screening Committee, which should also clearly indicate the categories for which the candidate has not been considered suitable. Final offer of appointment will, however, be subject to the availability of vacancies, passing of medical examination, production of necessary certificates etc.
- (c) It is not necessary the ward of a Group 'D' employee should be appointed on Group 'D' post only. If such a ward possesses the required qualification prescribed for Group 'C' posts and is also adjudged suitable for the post, he should be considered for such Group 'C' posts.
- (d) All cases of compassionate appointments needs to be dealt with on top priority basis.

A final decision on the application should invariable be taken within 60 days of the receipt of the application duly completed.

- (e) While giving intimation for non-acceptance of the request for compassionate appointments, reasons for rejection should be indicated in the communication to the applicant, but the level of rejection need not and should not be communicated.
- (f) The cases referred to Screening Committee for approval should contain full details as required in the application form".
- 15. The object of the policy to grant appointment to a dependent family member of an employee dying in harness

or who retired on medical grounds, thereby leaving his family in penuiary and without means of livelihood. The policy is to relieve the family of the employee concerned from financial destitution and to help it get over the emergency. Appointment on compassionate grounds are to be made in such a way that persons appointed to the post do have essential educational and technical qualifications and experience required for the post. An application for compassionate appointment is not to be rejected merely on the ground that the family of the employee has received the benefits under various welfare schemes. While considering a request for appointment on compassionate grounds, a balanced and objective assessment of financial condition of the family has to be made, taking into account its assets and liabilities (including the benefits received in various welfare schemes) and all other relevant factors such as number of earning member, size of the family, age of the children and the essential needs of the family. Compassionate appointment should be made available to the person concerned, if there is a vacancy and he or she is found eligible and suitable under the policy. An application for compassionate appointment. consequent on death of a group "D" staff, is to be considered with sympathy, by applying relaxed standards. depending on facts and circumstances of the case. Such appointments are to have precedence over absorption of surplus employees and regularization of daily wage casual

16. The Apex Court in G. Anantha Rajeshwest ratio [1994 (1) SCC 192] had considered the scheme of compassionate appointments formulated by the Government of India and ruled that appointment on grounds of descent clearly violates Article 16(2) of the Constitution, but if the appointment is confined to the son or daughter or widow of the Government servant who died in harness, who need immediate appointment on the ground of immediate need of assistance in the event of there being no other earning member in the family to supplement the loss of income from the bread winner to relieve the economic distress of the members of the family. it is unexceptionable. Again in Umesh Kumar Nagpal [JT 1993 (3) SC 525], the Apex Court considered the scheme and faid down following principles in that regard:

- (1) Only dependents of an employee dying in harness, leaving his family in penuiary and without any source of livelihood, can be appointed on compassionate grounds.
- (2) The posts in group "C" and "D" are the lowest posts in non-manual and manual categories and hence, those posts alone can be oftered on compassionate grounds.
- (3) The whole object of granting compassionate appointments is to enable the family to tide over the sudden crisis and to relieve the family of the

- deceased from financial destitution and to help it get over the emergency.
- (4) Offering compassionate appointments as a matter of course, irrespective of financial condition of the family of the deceased or medically retired Government servant, is legally impermissible.
- (5) Neither the qualification of the applicant (dependent family member) nor the post held by the deceased or medically retired Government servant is relevant. If the applicant finds it below his dignity to accept the post offered, he is free not to accept it. The post is not to be offered to cater his status but to see family through the economic calamity.
- (6) Compassionate appointment cannot be granted after lapse of a reasonable peroid and it is not a vested right which can be exercised at any time in future, and
- (7) Compassionate appointment cannot be offered by an individual functionary on an ad hoc basis.

17. In Asha Ram Chander Ambedkar and others [JT 1994 (2) SC 183] the Apex Court ruled that the High Courts and Administrative Tribunals cannot give directions for appointment of a person on compassionate ground but can merely direct consideration of the claim for such appointment. In Dinesh Kumar [JT 1996 (5) SC 319] and Smt. A. Radhika Therumalai [JT 1996 (9) SC 197] it was announced that appointment on compassionate ground can be made only, if a vacancy is available for that purpose. In Rani Devi and others [JT 1996 (6) SC 646] it was ruled that if the scheme relating to appointment on compassionate ground is extended to all sort of casual, ad hoc employees, including those who are working as apprentice, then the scheme cannot be justified on constitutional grounds.

18. Cantena of decision were considered by the Apex Court in Mohd. Rajodian [2003 (7) SCC 511] and it was laid therein that the purpose of providing compassionate appointments is to mitigate the harship, caused due to sudden death of the bread winner in the family. It is to relieve the distress of the family that such appointments are made. But these considerations cannot operate when the application is made after a long delay. In Ankur Gupta [2003 (7) SCC 704] the Apex Court reiterated that appointment on compassionate grounds cannot be claimed as a matter of right. In Somveer Singh [2007 (4) SCC 778] it was laid that appointment on compassionate ground is an exception carved out of the general rule that recruitment to public service is to be made in a transparent and acceptable

manner, providing opportunity to all eligible persons to participate in the selection process. Such appointments are required to be made on the basis of open invitation of applications and merit. Dependents of employees who died in harness do not have any special or additional claim to public service other then the one conferred if any, by the employer. The claim for compassionate appointment and the right, if any, is traceable only to the claimant, out of the executive instructions, rules etc. framed by the employer in the matter of providing employment on compassionate grounds. There is no right of whatsoever nature to claim compassionate appointments on any ground other than the one, if any, conferred by the employer by way of schemes or instructions, as the case may be. The Court made it clear that the whole object of granting compassionate employment is thus to enable the family to tide over the sudden crisis. The object is not to give a member of such family a post much less than the post held by the deceased. In M. T. Latheesh [2006 (7) SCC 350], the Apex Court cautioned that indiscriminate grant of employment on compassionate grounds would shut the door for employment to the ever growing population of an unemployed youth.

19. Now factual matrix of the controversy are to be addressed. As projected by Smt. Sapna, her husband expired in harness. She is legally wedded wife of Shri Subhash. Other dependents of Shri Subhash had given no objection in her favour for her appointment to a group 'D' post. There is no dispute to the proposition that after death of Shri Subhash, Smt. Sapna and other family members reached stage of starvation. Therefore, it is evident that Smt. Sapna could establish all requirements for her appointment to a group 'D' post on compassionate grounds. Shri J. N. Gupta and Manoj Kumar Sharma project that case of Smt. Sapna had been recommended for compassionate appointment. They concede that other legal heirs of Shri Subhash have not moved any application for compassionate appointment. They filed affidavits giving no objection in favour of Smt. Sapna for compassionate appointment. Therefore, admission of fact so made by these two witnesses make it clear that Smt. Sapna could satisfy all conditions laid down in policy of compassionate appointment, for her appointment to a group 'D' post. On 3-12-2010 her application for compassionate appointment has been recommended. Consequently, the issue is answered in favour of the claimant and against the Authority.

Issue No. 3

20. Though the Authority raised issue that no industrial dispute was referred by the appropriate Government as defined by clause (k) of Section 2 of the Act for adjudication, yet during the course of adjudication it was not passed. However, I consider it expedient to assess

whether an industrial dispute was referred. Therefore, definition of industrial dispute given in clause (k) of Section 2 of the Act is to be scanned. Said definition is reproduced thus:

"Industrial dispute" means any dispute or difference between employers and employers, or between employers and workman, or between workmen and workmen, which is connected with the employment or non-employment or the terms of employment or with the conditions of labour, of any person."

- 21. The definition of the word "industrial dispute" referred above can be divided into four parts viz. (i) factum of dispute, (ii) parties to the disputes, viz. (a) employers and employers (b) employers and employees or (c) workmen and workmen, (iii) subject matter of the dispute, which should be connected with (i) employment or non-employment, or (ii) terms of employment, or (iii) conditions of labour of any person, and (iv) it should relate to an "industry".
- 22. The definition of the word "industrial dispute" is worded in wide terms and unless it is narrowed by the meaning given to the word "workman" it would seem to include all "employers", "all employments" and "all workmen" whatever nature of the scope of the employment may be. Therefore except in the case where there can be dispute between the employer and employers and workmen and workmen, one of the parties to an industrial dispute must be an employee or a class of employees. The first point, therefore, to be noted, perhaps self evident, is that the phrase "employer and workmen, the plural may include singulation either side, or any permutation of singular, the mesculine including the feminine. In order, therefore, to determine as to whether a controversy or difference or a dispute is an 'industrial dispute' or not it must be determined whether the workman concerned or workmen sponsoring his case satisfy the conditions of clause (s) of Section 2 of the Act".
- 23. In Kyas Construction Company (Pvt.) Ltd. [1958 (2) LLJ 660] Apex Court ruled that an industrial dispute need not be a dispute between the employer and his workman and that the definition of the expression 'industrial dispute' is wide enough to cater a dispute raised by the employer's workman with regard to non-employment of others, who may not be employed as workman at the relevant time. Hence in order to acquire character of an industrial dispute, a dispute or difference must relate to either the employment or non-employment or the terms of employment or the conditions of labour of any person. Reference can be made to a precedent in State Bank Staff Union (Madras Circle) [1991 (1) LLJ 163].

24. As is evident, a dispute has been raised by the Union relating to terms of employment or with the conditions of labour of deceased Shri Subhash. The Union adapted the dispute on 2-10-2008, when resolution Ex. WW1/5 was passed in that regard. Thereafter, the Union filed a case before the Conciliation Officer. All these facts make it clear that an industrial dispute was raised by the Union relating to terms of employment of deceased Shri Subhash as well as non-employment of his wife, when he died in harness. Objection raised by the Authority that no industrial dispute was raised, is unfounded.

25. The claimant could project that after death of her husband she reached the stage of starvation. Her family needs support. She could project her eligibility for appointment to a group "D" post. On the other hand her application for appointment to group "D" post has been recommended, as testified by Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma. Executive Engineer. Considering all these facts it is evident that the Authority is taking every step to grant application of Smt. Sapna for her compassionate appointment to a group "D" post. Considering all these facts, the demand raised by the Union for compassionate appointment of Smt. Sapna on a group "D" post is found to be legal and justified. Issue is, therefore, answered in favour of the claimant and against the Authority.

Relief

26. Ms. Raavi projects that this Tribunal should ask the Authority only for consideration of application of Smt. Sapna for compassionate appointment. She projects that no command should be given in that regard. She places a reliance on a precedent in Ram Kesh Yadav [2007 (9) S.C.C. 531] wherein the Apex Court concluded that an employer cannot be permitted to act contrary to the terms of its policy governing compassionate appointment nor compassionate appointment be permitted de hors the policy. The precedent relied is not applicable to the facts of the present controversy since Smt. Sapna does not claim her compassionate appointment de hors the rules or policy. Her application was considered and then recommended. It is not the case that there is no vacancy for her appointment. When there is a recommendation in her favour, in that situation discretion cannot be left to the Authority to brush it aside on whims. Taking into account all these facts the Authority is commanded to appoint Smt. Sapna on a group "D" post on compassionate grounds, on availability of a post for her in that regard. An award is, accordingly, passed. It be sent to the appropriate Government for publication.

Dated: 30-12-2010

Dr. R. K. YADAV, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 10 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 702.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार डिपार्टमेन्ट ऑफ टेलीकॉम के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नं. 1, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 57/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 40012/183/2002-आई आर(डीयू)] डी एस.एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी New Delhi, the 10th February, 2011

S.O. 702.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 57/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, No. 1 Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Department of Telecom and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 10-2-2011.

[No. L-40012/183/2002-IR(DU)] D.S.S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI GYANENDRA KUMAR SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIALTRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR, COURT-I, CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D. 57 of 2003

Prem Singh son of Shri Amar Singh, resident of Jamni, Tehsil Safidon, District Jind, Haryana ... Applicant

Versus

1. The Executive Engineer, BSNL (Electrical Wing), Ambala Cantt. (Haryana) ... Respondent

APPEARANCES:

For the workman : Shri Arun Batra For the management : Shri G.C. Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 27-1-2011

Central Govt. Ministry of Labour vide letter No. L-40012/183/2002-IR(DU) dated 11th of March, 2003 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of Department of Telecom, Saffidon, in terminating the services of Prem Singh Son of Shri Amar Singh, Generator Operator w.e.f. 15-7-2000 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

The case of the workman as per his claim petition in nutshell is that he was appointed as peon cum generator operator in Tele Communication Department by Sub-Divisional Officer, Telephones Safidon on 5-4-1992 on daily wages basis @ Rs. 50/- per day. He was paid consolidated salary of Rs. 1500/- per month. The management was obtaining his signatures on Rs. 2500/- but in fact was paid Rs. 1500/- per month. He was working with the department sincerely. Apart from the working on generator operator, he was entrusted with other work of the peon. His services were terminated without disclosing reason, without any notice or one month wages in lieu of notice and without payment of retrenchment compensation on 15-7-2000. He has completed 240 days of work in the preceding year from the date of termination. Juniors to him were retained in service. Fresh hands were also engaged after his termination which make his termination illegal. On the basis of the above facts the workman has prayed for setting aside of the termination order with consequential order for reinstatement in service with all other benefits.

Management appeared and opposed the claim by filing written statement. Preliminary objection has been raised in para No. 1 of the written statement that relief is not against SDO Safidon but claimed against SDO Ambala, hence the reference is not maintainable. Another objection has also been raised that workman was not engaged by the management of telecommunication department at any point of time. He has not served with the department. Contract for maintenance was given to M/s. Patiala Agency Jind vide contract No. 21/AEE/E/JD/99-2000 for the period 7-9-99 to 6-3-2000 and again for the period 27-4-2000 to 11-9-2000 vide contract No. 3/AEE/E/JD/2000-2001. On the basis of the above facts the management has also stated that there is no master and servant relationship between the management and the workman.

Parties were afforded the opportunity for adducing evidence. The evidence of the workman Prem Singh was recorded in open court on 20-7-2010. On behalf of the management, evidence of Shri Ram Bhaj, SDO Safidon and Shri Baljit Singh, SDE(E) Electrical Divisional BSNL, Jind was recorded. Documents relevant to the contract for the above mentioned period have been filed by the management. On behalf of Prem Singh, Workman original log book for the period he worked with the management has been filed.

I have heard the parties at length.

On perusal of the material on record, it will be proper to adjudicate the preliminary objection raised by the management of telecommunication. The preliminary objection is that the claim is against the management of department of telecommunication, Ambala, Safidon and not against the Executive Engineer, BSNL Electricity Division Ambala which at present is at Rohtak. After hearing the parties, this Tribunal adjudicated this preliminary

bjection on 10-8-2010 impleading the parties from Ambala and Safidon which falls in the jurisdiction of Rohtak at resent and allowing them the right to hearing. After the ermination of the services of the workman, as informed rally by the learned counsel for the parties, there has seen some administrative changes in the jurisdiction of ome offices. This administrative change cannot deny the awful claim of the workman. Hence adopting the liberal and positive attitude for justice delivery, away from the echnicalities, this Tribunal has directed the parties to resent their view point before this Tribunal. Accordingly, all the parties were heard. At present there is no force in the reliminary objection because the same has already been answered by this Tribunal vide order dated 0-8-2010.

Now the question arises, whether there exists master and servant relationship between the management of elecommunication department and the workman? The ssue of master/servant relationship has elaborately been lealt with by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Steel Authority of andia Ltd. and others Vs. National Union Water Workers and others AIR 2001 Supreme Court 3527 (1). Thereafter, Ion'ble High Court of Punjab & Haryana relying upon the atio of judgment of Apex Court in Steel Authority of India Ltd.'s case, elaborately discussed this issue in Food Corporation of India Vs. Presiding Officer, CGIT, Chandigarh and others 2008 LLR 391.

Hon'ble Apex Court in 2008 has also discussed this ssue in GM ONGC Silcher Vs. ONGC Contractual Workers Jnion 2008 LLR 801. If the ratio of the above mentioned udgments is applied in the present case, to prove direct elationship with the management, a workman has to prove he following facts:

- That the workman was directly engaged by the management of telecommunication department.
- 2. That the services of the workman were under the administrative control and of the department and not by any 3rd Party.
- 3. There was no 3rd person (contractor) in between the workman and the management.
- That the payment of wages were made good by the management directly and not through any contractor.

I have gone through the entire materials on record. It is specifically pleaded by the workman that he was engaged by the management as generator operator-cum-peon on i-4-92 and his services were terminated on 5-7-2000. The management has not specifically denied his period but has denied this fact for want of knowledge. Moreover, the contention of the management that services of the workman were provided with to the management hrough contractor does not find favour by the documents provided by the management. The documents relating to the contract for supply certain labour is for the period

7-9-99 to 6-3-2000 and 27-4-2000 to 11-9-2000. Meaning thereby, there was no contractor in between the workman and the management from 5-4-92 to 6-9-99. The complete documents relating to the supply of labour to the management by the contractor like payment of wages by the management to the contractor and thereafter payment of wages by the contractor to the workman in presence of any official of the telecommunication department have not been filed. Thus, it seems to be a casual defence by the management because the related documents for the entire period in question have not been filed. On the other hand, the workman has filed the log book of the period he worked with the management barring few months. It is an old document and the way it is maintained prima facie there seems to be no doubt about its genuineness. Even entries contained the signatures of the workman, the purpose of work is written in English by some other person. As per the contention of the workman, this endorsement has been made by SDO Electricity Safidon. Entire log book contained seal of department on every page. In fact the entire register was sealed in a similar way after its proper pagination. It is the strong circumstance to prove the contention of the workman that this logbook was prepared by him when he was in the employment of the management in course of his business with the management. Not a single question by the management has been asked to the workman regarding genuiness of this document. The witness of the management Shri Ram Bhaj has denied the working of the workman with the management at any point of time. Surprisingly, he knows the workman personally. The reason mentioned by the witness of knowing the workman is that the village of the workman is about 20 Kms, away from the village of the witness and this is the reason of knowing the workman. This statement of the witness cannot be relied upon because in the ordinary course of nature it is not possible for a man of prudence to recognize every person resides within 20 Kms. from his residence. Moreover, the Tribunal has also recorded the demeanour of this witness and on one query the witness has angrily asked the workman when he has asked the workman to maintain the document? This demeanour shows that the witness has accepted the presence of the workman in department while this witness was working in the same department. Another witness of the management MW2 Baljit Singh has not specifically denied this fact. On every fact he has stated, he does not know, he does not know, he does not know. Thus, the statement of the witness MW2 cannot be relied upon because he has no knowledge regarding the working of the workman in the department of telecommunication.

From the above discussion it is clear that very strong evidence has been filed by the workman to prove that he was working with the management. Naturally, all the documents relating to attendance, payment of wages are/were lying with the management. The management was directed vide order dated 6-5-2010 to file the entire documents, but no document was filed. Accordingly, adverse

inference is taken by this Tribunal. It is hereby made clear that prima facie, workman has proved by fling the original log book that he had worked with the management. Now the burden is on the management to prove by filing the documents relating to the attendance and payment to the workman and the management has failed to file the documents even after directions by this Tribunal vide order dated 6-5-2010. Accordingly adverse inference is drawn against the management.

I have discussed above that Hon'ble Apex Court in two judgments in Steel Authority of India's case (Supra) and ONGC Silchar's case (Supra) and the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab & Haryana in Food Corporation of India's case (supra) have specifically mentioned in the conditions under which a workman can be held direct employee of principle employer.

In this case the workman has pleaded that he was working with the management under its administrative control. No doubt, he fails to file any document relating to payment of wages, the record of which are lying with the management and the management also failed to produce the same. Thus, it will be considered and presumed that the wages were made good by the management directly to the workman. Moreover, the documents filed by the management are not of relevant period. Admittedly no notice, one month pay in lieu of notice and retrenchment compensation was paid to the workman at the time of his termination which makeshis termination illegal. I am not considering other pleas taken by the workman because that has not been proved. The workman has also contended that the persons juniors to him were retained/engaged in service after his termination but he failed to provide the names, address of the persons said to be retained/engaged after his termination.

This issue is proved beyond doubt that workman was engaged by the management directly and he was under the administrative control of the management and he was paid wages directly by the management. He has completed 240 days of work proceeding to the date of termination and his termination was illegal being against the provisions of the I.D. Act 1947.

When the termination is declared illegal, there are two possible remedies. First is reinstatement in service and another is reasonable compensation. Considering the recent trend of service jurisprudence, priority should be given to protect the right to work. In exceptional circumstances, where it is not possible to reinstate the workman, reasonable compensation should be awarded in lieu of reinstatement. The workman is overaged now. It is not possible to reinstate him in the services. To the notice of this Tribunal, when the workman was engaged in the year 1992 he was 40 years of age. This Tribunal has also interacted with the workman personally and is of the view that because of his age it is not possible to reinstate him in the services. Thus, on account of his illegal termination, compensation is the only remedy.

This Tribunal should not award the compensation without considering the facts which leads to determine the reasonable compensation. The factors are, age of the workman, wages he was getting at the time of his termination, the length of service with the management, amount of retrenchment compensation and interest thereon, one month wages in lieu of notice and interest thereon and considering the present economic trend of inflation. This Tribunal should be sensitized for socioeconomic scenario of society, while determining the compensation. A particular article at present has increased to about 20 times than it was available at the time the workman was working with the department. More over the cost of litigation should also be considered because the workman was dragged into litigation by the illegal act of the management. Considering all the above facts, a compensation of Rs. 300000 (Three lakhs only) is determined in lieu of reinstatmeent. In my view this amount will be reasonable to meet the ends of justice. The management is directed to pay the above amount within one month from the date of publication of the award. If the management fails to pay the amount within one month from the date of publication of this award, workman shall also be entitled for the interest calculated at the rate of 7% per annum from the date of filing the claim petition till the final payment, Central Govt. be informed. File be consigned thereafter.

Chandigarh

G. K. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 10 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 703.- औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार डिपार्टमेन्ट ऑफ टेलीकॉम के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नं. ।, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 61/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-02-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल.-40012/200/2002-आई आर(डी.यू.)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th February, 2011

S.O. 703.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 61/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, No. 1 Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Department of Telecom and their workmar. which was received by the Central Government on 10-2-2011.

> [No. L-40012/200/2002-IR(DU) j D.S.S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI GYANENDRA KUMAR SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIALTRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, CHANDIGARH

Case No. ID 61 of 2003

Satish Kumar son of Jai Kishan, resident of Village Lal Kotlu, PO Sudhiar, Tehsil Nadon, Distirct Hamirpur (HP) ... Applicant

Versus

1. The Managing Director, Telecom Project, BSNL, Madhav Kunj Good Wood Estate, Bharti Road, Shimla (H.P.) ... Respondent

APPEARANCES:

#or the workman

: Shri O.P. Batra

For the management

: Shri I.S. Sidhu

AWARD

Passed on 27-1-2011

Central Govt. Ministry of Labour vide letter No. L-40012/200/2002 IR(DU) dated 11th of March 2003 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of Managing Director, Telecom Project, (BSNL), Shimla in terminating the services of Shri Satish Kumar, Security Chowkidar w.e.f. 1-1-1998 without complying with the provisions of the ID Act, 1947 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to and from which date?"

After receiving the reference, parties appeared and filed their respective pleadings. Both parties were afforded the opportunity of leading evidence. Oral evidence was recorded. Apart from Ex. M2 and M3, no documents have been filed by any of the parties.

Case of the workman in nutshell is that he was appointed as chowkidar on 15-12-1993 in the Micro Wave Station at Solan under the administrative control of Director Telecom Project, Madhav Kunj Good Wood Estate, Bharati Road, Shimla-1 and the Divisional Engineer (Telecom) Project, Sanjay Sadan, Shimla. The services of the workman were transferred to Shimla Telecom project in December 1994 and he stayed there till August 1995. Thereafter, the workman was posted in Store at Sheghi where he worked without any break up to 31-12-1997. He was paid daily wages initially Rs. 45 and thereafter @ Rs. 56 per day. He has completed 240 days of work in every calendar year. His juniors were retained in services and their services have been regularised, whereas, the services of the workman were terminated without any compensation w.e.f. 1-1-1998. Workman preferred an industrial dispute and on failure of conciliation report, this reference.

The management appeared and oppose the claim of the workman by filing written statement. It is admitted that workman was engaged as chowkidar in Microwave Station Solan purely on temporary basis on daily wages against project work. The appointment of the workman was conditional to the completion of the project. As soon as the project work was over, the services of the workman were no more required and accordingly his services were terminated by giving one month notice dated 1-1-1998 (M3). No junior were retained. It is no where specifically denied in the written statement that workman has not completed 240 days of work in the preceding year from the date of the termination.

Parties were heard at length. The relevant record of working is lying in the custorly of the management. Except the copy of notice, the management fails to file any document relating to the services of the workman. It is admitted that initial appointment of the workman was lawful. It is the only contention of the management that workman was engaged against a project, and on cessation of work on project, his services were terminated. But the management failed to prove when the project was commissioned after its completion? The management has not specifically denied that workman after the said project had worked as chowkidar in Sheghi. It is also proved that payment of wages were made good to the workman directly by the management. It is admitted by the witness of the management Shri Balbir Singh MW 1 that services of Shri Manjoor Alam, Parveen Kumar and Kali Ram were regularised respectively on 1-8-95, 1-12-95 and 1-1-1997. This witness denied having any knowledge about the initial appointment of all the three persons on daily wages in the department working on temporary basis. It is the specific claim of the workman that all these three persons were junior to him. It is nowhere denied by the management that these three persons were not the junior to the workman. Moreover, non filing the relevant documents relating to the initial appointment of workman and all these three persons provide the opportunity to this Tribunal to take the inference against the management to the effect that all these three persons were junior to the workman.

As stated earlier the workman has contended that he has completed 240 days of work in preceding year to the date of his termination and his services were terminated without payment of any compensation. This fact has also not been denied by the management. Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 does not bar the termination of services of any daily wage workman but the same regulates it. If the services of any daily wager workman are no more required, the same can be terminated by giving a month's notice and reasonable compensation to be calculated as per the provisions of the LD. Act, 1947. The Phrase "the services of daily wage worker are no more required" means that the decision of the management should not be whimsical or arbitrary. It should be factually based on some reasonable

and lawful criteria. In this case, the management has failed to provide any reasonable and lawful criteria that services of the workman were no more required, though the management has continued the services of three persons namely Manjoor Alam, Parveen Kumar and Kali Ram. It shows that services of the workman were required. In violation of the principle of natural justice and in violation of the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, by giving one month's notice, the workman was directed not to attend the office without payment of retrenchment compensation. It was arbitrary decision of the management which has no legs to stand being against the provisions of the I.D. Act, 1947. The act of the management is against the law and arbitrary on two folds. Firstly the workman has completed 240 days in preceding year from the date of termination and no compensation as per the provisions of the I.D. Act was given to him. Secondly, his juniors were retained in services. Their services were regularized but the service of the workman were arbitrarily terminated.

Accordingly, the act of the management terminating the services of the workman was bad in law and is accordingly quashed. The only remedy in this case is, reinstatement of the workman in the service alongwith back wages because the juniors to the workman were allowed to continue in the services and their services were regularized. Accordingly, the management is directed to reinstate the workman and pay him all the back wages within one month from the date of publication of the award. The management is also directed to consider the workman for regularization of his services in the same way and manner as the services of three juniors to the workman namely Shri Manjoor Alam, Parveen Kumar and Kali Ram were regularised. While so considering the workman, his seniority shall also be protected. This Tribunal is hopeful that management shall have a reasonable and generous approach towards workman. Central Govt. be informed. File be consigned.

Chandigarh

G. K. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 10 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 704.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, .947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार इंडियन इन्सटीट्यूट ऑफ पेट्रोलियम के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नं. 1, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 88/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-02-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 42012/33/1997-आई आर(डी.यू.)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th February, 2011

S.O. 704.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central

Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 88/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, No. 1, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Institute of Petroleum and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 10-2-2011.

[No. L-42012/33/1997-JR(DU)] D.S.S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE DR. R.K. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, KARKARDOOMA COURTS COMPLEX, DELHI

ID No. 88/2002

Shri Balwant Rai Patel S/o Shri B.D. Tyagi 16-A, Raipur Road, Dehradun. ... Workman

Versus

The Director, ... Management Indian Institute of Petroleum, Mohkampur, Dehradun.

APPEARANCES

For the workmen

: Shri O.P. Batra

For the management

: Shri I.S. Sidhu

AWARD

Post of Senior Scientific Assistant was advertised by Indian Institute of Petroleum, Dehradun, (hereinafter referred to as the Institute), which advertisement was responded to by Shri Balwant Rai Patel (hereinafter referred to as the claimant). Selection Committee met on 2-5-64 and recommended appointment of the claimant to that post. Deputy Director, incharge of the Institute, issued appointment letter to the claimant on 19th of May, 66, whereby making it clear that his appointment to the post was temporary and his services may be terminated by one month notice on either side, viz. the appointee or the appointing authority, without assigning any reason. A right was reserved by the appointing authority to terminate services of the claimant forthwith or before expiry of period of notice by making payment of a sum equivalent to pay and allowances for the period of notice or unexpired portion thereof. The claimant joined the services with the Institute on 18-6-64. Claimant earned adverse remarks for service rendered by him for the year 1965 and 1966. Post of Scientist Grade B was advertised by the Institute in 1965 as well as in 1966. Claimant submitted his application for appointment to that post in those years. However, he was not called for an interview. In 1967 post Scientist Grade B was again advertised. Claimant applied for the post. Though he was called for an interview but not selected. He sought an

appointment with the Director and misbehaved with him. He was suspended on 7-6-68 and charge sheeted. Claimant assailed his suspension order before the Civil Judge, Dehradun. On 22-8-74, his suspension was revoked. Shri D.S. Sharma, Assistant (Vigilance) served order dated 22-8-74 on the claimant in person, but he refused to accept it. On 23rd of August, 2004, Shri Sharma tendered his affidavit in that regard before the Civil Judge, Dehradun, when Civil suit, referred above, came for hearing that day. Despite revocation of suspension order, the claimant opted not to join his duties.

- On 1-9-74 he went to the office of the Institute and received his subsistence allowance for August, 1974. He did not submit his joining report. He again went to the offide of the Institute on 1-10-74, on which date neither his wages nor subsistence allowance was paid to him. On 29-10-74, he filed a civil suit seeking declaration and injunction against the Institute. Despite an order in his favour passed by the Civil Court, he opted not to join his duties. The Civil Judge dismissed his suit. He assailed the decree before the District Judge, by way of an appeal. During hearing of that appeal, Shri M.S. Chawla, Section Officer of the Institute, filed his affidavit, swearing therein that service of the claimant were terminated on 23-12-80. He also affirmed that copy of the order was sent to the claimant, who refused to accept it. On consideration of the affidavit so tendered by Shri Chawla, his appeal came to be dismissed.
- 3. When the claimant lost his battle before Civil Court and the First Appellae Court at Dehradun, he approached the Conciliation Officer, by way of filing a claim statement. The Institute put in its appearance before the Conciliation Officer and resisted the claim. In view of the facts, detailed above, conciliation proceedings failed. Conciliation Officer submitted his failure report before the appropriate Government. On consideration of failure report, so submitted by the Conciliation Officer, the appropriate Government referred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication, vide order No. L-42012/33/1997-IR (DU), New Delhi dated 31st of December, 2002, with following terms of reference:
 - "Whether the action of the management of M/s. Indian Institute of Petroleum, Dehradun, in terminating the services of Shri B.R. Patel, Ex-Senior Scientific Assistant w.e.f. 23-12-80 is just, fair and legal? If not, what relief he is entitled to and from what date?"
- 4 Despite directions to the claimant in reference order to file his claim statement within a period of 15 days from the receipt of the said order, he opted not to file it. Notices were sent by the Tribunal to the claimant in that behalf, which also proved futile. Claim statement was not filed by the claimant. This situation led the Tribunal to believe that the claimant was not interested in agitating his

grievances for adjudication. Hence a "no dispute" award dated 4-12-2003 was passed.

- 5. On 19th of April, 2007, an application was moved by the claimant for recalling the award, so passed. His application was declined by the Tribunal vide its order dated 21st of July, 2009, which order was assailed by him before High Court of Uttrakhand. Vide order dated 16-8-2010, High Court commanded this Tribunal to pass a consequential order on the application of the claimant for setting aside the ex parte award. Application of the claimant was allowed by the Tribunal, when it formed an opinion that it was expedient to call the claimant to have disposal of the matter on merits.
- Claim statement was filed by the claimant pleading therein that he was appointed as Senior Scientific Assistant by the Institute on 12-6-64, with three advance increments in pay scale of Rs. 250-575. He is B.Sc., B.Sc. (Chemical Engineer), M.Sc. (Technology), M.Sc. (Maths), Associate Member of Oil Technologist Association, Aota India British Institute of Engineering and Technology (BIET), (Petroleum), London. In the end of 1964 an anonymous letter was written to the Director General, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, casting as persions on attitude and conduct of then Director of the Institute, namely, Dr. M.G. Krishna, for discouraging scientific staff from improving their qualifications. The letter was transmitted by the Director General, CSIR, New Delhi, to the Director of the Institute, who suspected the claimant to be its author. He started harassing the claimant by devising means and ways. In 1965 and 1966 post of Scientist Grade B was advertised, for which post he was not called for an interview. Persons, having less qualification and experience, who were juniors to him were given preference. In 1967 Director General, C.S.I.R. visited the Institute, before whom he placed his grievances. It resulted in calling him for interview for the post of Scientist Grade B in the year 1967. Though he was not selected for that post, yet his name was sponsored for deputation to U.S.S.R. Persons, less qualified and experienced and juniors to him, were selected to the post of Scientists Grade B. It frustrated him a lot. Dr. Krishna concocted a cock and bull story and illegally placed him under suspension on 7-8-68. His suspension was followed by a charge sheet. A protracted enquiry stated flouting provisions of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 (in short the rules). Left with no alternative he filed a civil suit as an indegent person. On 23-8-74 the Institute made a false claim before the Civil Judge, Dehradun, projecting that his suspension orders were revoked. However, order revoking his suspension was never served upon him, as required by rule 30 of the Rules. Even after deceptive claim of revocation of suspension order, he was given subsistence allowance for the period 23-8-74 to 31-8-74. His entry was restrained inside the premises of the Institute and subsistence allowance was also stopped. Left with no option he filed another Civil

suit for declaration and injunction before Munsif, Dehradun, who granted an injunction order in his favour. On 2nd of May, 1995, a termination letter was received by post. After availing departmental remedy, he approached the Conciliation Officer. He presents that alleged termination order was never served upon him, in accordance with the provisions of rule 30 of the Rules. No charge sheet preceded the termination order. Neither an enquiry was conducted nor a show cause notice was served upon him. He claims that termination order may be declared illegal, invalid, inoperative, void ab initio and he may be reinstated in services of the Institute. He further claims that he may be elevated to the post of Director of the Institute. In the alternative he claims adequate compensation, besides an interest of 9% per annum. Cost of litigation has also been claimed.

7. Claim was demurred by the Institute pleading that it being purely a Research Institute is not engaged in any activity which can be called business trade or manufacture. It cannot be said to be an undertaking analogous to business or trade, hence not an industry within the meaning of clause (j) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (in short the Act). It has further been pleaded that the claimant is not a workman within the meaning of clause (s) of Section 2 of the Act. However, it is not disputed that the claimant was appointed as a temorary Senior Scientific Assistant, who joined his duties on 18th of June, 64. He was placed under suspension on 7-8-68, when he misbehaved with the Director of the Institute. However his suspension order was revoked on 22-8-74, as a sequitur of penalty imposed upon him by the Director General, C.S.I.R., after a domestic enquiry. Order dated 22-8-74 was delivered to him in the Court of Civil Judge, Dehradun, but he refused to accept the order. Therefore, Shri D.D. Sharma filed his affidavit before the Civil Judge on that very day along with copy of order. These facts make it clear that the claimant was well aware of the order dated 22-8-74, on the strength of which his suspension was revoked. Claimant was supposed to join his duties on 24-8-74 but he opted not to do so. On 22-9-74 a letter was written to him informing him about his unauthorized absence. On 31-10-74 he was again informed that his suspension order has been revoked. In spite of these communications he failed to resume his duties. Later on it was learnt that he was gainfully employed with some establishment. On 22-4-76 he was informed that there was no question of granting him permission to join law classes, since after revocation of his suspension order he had not resumed his duties. On 1-7-78 his request for grant of permission to register in High Court of Allahabad and for issuance of library card was declined. On 23-12-80 a notice was given to him that his services shall stand terminated in terms of appointment letter on expiry of period of one month from the date of the notice. Notice was dispatched by registered post.

- 8. The Institute pleads that it was learnt that after termination of his services, the claimant joined Welham Boys School as a Teacher in December, 1983. His service was terminated by the said school on 24-04-1984. He filed a suit against the school challenging his order of termination. His suit was dismissed on 15-7-07, against which he filed an appeal, which also came to be dismissed on 22-5-09. During pendency of suit against the school, he filed a case under Payment of Wages Act, 1936 seeking a sum of Rs. 1,56,400/- towards his wages and allowances from May, 84 to September, 1985. The said case was dismissed on 27-2-98. He preferred an appeal against the said order, which was also dismissed.
- 9. The claimant had intentionally and deliberately abandoned his employment out of his own accord and volition, pleads the Institute. His claim suffers from delay and latches. On 7-3-1994 he made a request for refund of his provident fund amount and service benefits. That fact makes it clear that he was well aware about his termination order. It has been claimed that his services were liable to be terminated in terms of clause 3 of his appointment letter. The Institute presents that the claimant is not entitled for any relief much less relief of reinstatement in service or his elevation to the post of Director of the Institute. A prayer has been made that his claim may be discarded.
- 10. On pleadings of the parties, following issues were settled:
 - Whether the management is an Industry within the meaning of clause (j) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947?
 - 2. Whether the claimant abandoned his services w.e.f. 23-8-74?
 - 3. As in terms of reference.
 - 4. Relief.
- 11. Claimant entered the witness box to substantiate his claim. Shri Pankaj Kumar, Section Officer, stepped in the witness box to substantiate defence of the Institute. No other witness was examined by either of the parties.
- 12. Arguments were heard at the bar. Claimant raised his submissions in person. Shri Pankaj Kumar, Section Officer, presented his point of view on behalf of the Institute. Written submissions were also filed on behalf of the claimant. I have given my careful considerations to the arguments advanced at the bar and cautiously perused the record. My findings on issues involved in the controversy are as follows:

Issue No. 1

13. Though during the course of arguments neither the Institute raised the issue that it is not an industry nor the claimant opted to answer objection taken in the written statement, yet I think it expedient to deal with that

proposition. The Institute pleads in its written statement that it being purely a Research Institute, is not engaged in any activity which can be called business, trade or manufacture. No activity is being carried on by it which may be analogous to trade or business. It is not engaged in any commercial or industrial activity, hence it cannot be described as an economic venture or commercial enterprise. The Institute nowhere produced any object or render service to satisfy wants and need of the community at large. It has been asserted that the Institute discharges functions as a domestic enterprises. In the light of these pleadings it was claimed that the Institute is not an Industry within the meaning of clause (j) of Section 2 of the Act. The claimant disputes that the proposition raised by the Institute, as aforesaid. To appreciate submissions of rival parties, it is expedient to have a glance on definition of word "industry", defined in clause (j) of Section 2 of the Adt, which definition is extracted thus:

> "Industry" means any business, trade, undertaking, manufacture or calling of employers and includes any calling, service, employment, handicraft, or industrial occupation or avocation of workmen".

- 14. The definition of "industry" is both exhaustive and inclusive. It is in two parts. The first part says that it "means any business, trade, undertaking, manufacture or calling of employers" and then goes to say that it "includes any calling, service, employment, handicraft or industrial occupation or avocation of workman". Thus one part defined it from the stand point of the employer, and the other part from the stand point of the employees. The first part of the definition gives statutory meaning of the industry, whereas the second part deliberately refers to several other items of industry and bring them in the definition in an inclusive way. The first part of the definition determines any industry by reference to occupation of employers in respect of certain activities viz., business, trade, undertaking, manufacture or calling. The second part views the matter from the angle of employees and is designed to include something more in what the term ptimarily denotes. By this part of the definition any calling, employment, handicraft, industrial occupation or avocation of workmen is included in the concept of industry. This part gives extended connotation.
- 15. Gloss was put on the definition of word industry" by the High Courts and the Apex Court time and again. The question as to what is "industry" has continuously baffled and perplexed the courts. A graph of the cases decided by the Apex Court, if plotted on the background of the expression used in two parts of the definition of "Industry", would represent rather a zig zag curve. There have been various judicial ventures in this rather volatile area of law, The decided cases show that the efforts were made to evolve test by reference to characteristics regarded as essential for constituting an activity as an "Industry". Various cases would show that

the Apex Court has been guided more by empirical rather than a strictly analytical approach. Most of the decisions have centered around the expression "undertaking" used in the definition. In Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board (1978 Lab. I.C. 778) the Apex Court reviewed the earlier decisions on interpretation of the wide words encompassed in the definition and formulated positive and negative principles for identifying "industry" as enacted by clause (j) of Section 2 of the Act, It would be expedient to reproduce the authoritative pronouncement of the Court, in the very words set out in the majority decision, handed down by Justice Krishna Iyer, which are extracted thus:

- I. "Industry" as defined in S.2(j) and explained in Banerji (AIR 1953 S.C. 58) has a wide import.
 - (a) Where (i) systematic activity, (ii) organized by Co-opertion between employer and employee (the direct and substantial element is chimerical) (iii) for the production and/or distribution of goods and services calculated to satisfy human wants and wishes (not spiritual or religious but inclusive of material things or services geared to celestial bliss i.e. making, on a large scale prasad or foods) prima facie, there is an "industry" in that enterprise.
 - (b) Absence of profit motive or gainful objective is irrelevant, be the venture in the public, joint, private or other sector.
 - (c) The true focus is functional and the decisive test is the nature of the activity with special emphasis on the employer-employee relations.
 - (d) If the organization is a trade or business it does not cease to be one because of philanthropy animating the undertaking.
- II. Although Section 2(j) uses words of the widest amplitude in its two limbs, the re-meaning cannot be magnified to overreach itself.
 - (a) "Undertaking" must suffer a contextual and associational shrinkage as explained in Banerjee and in this judgement, so also. service, calling and the like. This yields the inference that all organized activity possessing the triple elements in 1 (supra), although not trade or business, may still be 'industry' provided the nature of activity, viz. the employer-employee basis, bears resemblance to what we find in trade or business. This takes into the fold 'industry' undertaking, calling and services, adventures, "analogous to the carrying on the trade or business". All features, other than the methodology of carrying on the activity viz. in organizing the co-operation between employer and employee, may be

dissimilar. 't does not matter, if on the employment terms there is analogy.

- III. Application of these guidelines should not short of their logical reach by invocation of creeds, cults or inner sense of incongruity or outer sense of motivation for or resultant of the economic operations. The ideology of the act being industrial peace, regulation and resolution of industrial disputes between employer and workmen the range of their statutory ideology must inform the reach of the statutory definition. Nothing less, nothing more.
 - (a) The consequences are—(i) profession, (ii) clubs (iii) education institutions, (iv) co-operatives (v) research institutes, (vi) charitable projects and (vii) other kindered adventures, if they fulfil the triple tests listed in 1(supra), cannot be exempted from the scope of Section 2(j).
 - (b) A restricted category of professions, clubs, co-operatives and even Gurukuls and little research labs may qualify for exemption if in simple ventures, substantially, and going by the dominant nature criterion, substantively no employees are entertained but in menial matters, marginal employees are hired without destroying the non-employee character of the unit.
 - (c) If, in a pious or altruistic mission many employ themselves, free or for small honoraria or like return, mainly drawn by sharing in the purpose or cause, such as lawyers volunteering to run a free legal services clinic or doctors serving in their spare hours in a free medical centre or ashramites working at the bidding of the holiness, divinity or like central personality, and the services are supplied free or at nominal cost and those who serve are not engaged for remuneration or on the basis of master and servant relationship, then, the institution is not an industry even if stray servants, manual or technical, are hired. Such eleemosynary or like undertakings alone are exempt not other generosity, compassion, developmental passion or project.

IV. The dominant nature test:

(a) Where a complex of activities, some of which qualify for exemption, other not, involves employees on the total undertaking, some of whom are not "workmen" as in the University of Delhi case (AIR 1963 S.C. 1873) or some departments are not productive of goods and services if isolated, even then, the predominant nature of the services and the integrated nature of the departments as explained in the Corporation of Nagpur (AIR 1960 S.C. 657) will

- be the true test. The whole undertaking will be industry although those who are not "workmen" by definition may not benefit by the status
- (b) Notwithstanding the previous clauses, sovereign functions, strictly understood (alone) qualify for exemption, not the welfare activities or economic adventures undertaking by govt. or statutory bodies.
- (c) Even in department discharging sovereign functions, if there are units which are industries and they are substantially severable, then they can be considered to come within S.2(j).
- (d) Constitutional and competently enacted legislative provisions may remove from the scope of the at categories which otherwise may be covered thereby.
- V. We overrule Safdarjung (AIR 1970 S.C. 1407), Solicitors case (AIR 1962 S.C. 1080), Gymkhana (AIR 1968 S.C. 554), Delhi University (AIR 1963 S.C. 1873), Dhanraj Giriji Hospital (AIR 1975 S.C. 2032) and other rulings whose ratio runs counter to the principles enunciated above, and the Hospital Mazdoor Sabha (AIR 1960 S.C. 610) is, hereby, rehabilitated."
- 16. Principles laid down in Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board (supra) hold ground. Therefore, the controversy raised will be adjudicated in view of the law laid by the Apex Court in the precedent referred above. The Institute agitates that it is not an industry. The view point held by the Institute is that no profit motive activities are being carried on by it, being purely a research institute. No business is being run, hence the Institute cannot be termed as an "industry". Except the facts referred above, the Institute nowhere projects any other factors to lay emphasis on the proposition that it is not an 'industry'. Contra to it the claimant agitates that the Corporation is an 'industry'.
- 17. In Baroda Borough Municipality [1957(1) LLJ8] the Apex Court held that through municipal activity could not be truly regarded as business or trade, yet it would fall within the scope of expression 'undertaking'. Non-profit undertaking of the municipality were included in the concept of 'industry' even if there is no private enterprise. The court reiterated that branches of work that can be regarded as analogous to carrying out of a trade or business would fall within the meaning of 'industry' in clause (j) of Section 2 of the Act. In reaching the decision, the Apex Court relied precedent in D. N. Banerji (supra) and ruled that it would be sufficient that the activity is an 'undertaking' analogous to the carrying on of a trade or business and involves cooperation between the employers and employees. This result was reached by extending the meaning of the expression 'undertaking' to cover adventures not strictly trade or business but 'objects vary

similar'. Reference can also be made to Madras Gymkhana Club Employees Union (supra).

- 18. In Indian Standard Institute [1966 (1) LLJ 33] the Apex Court suggested that in order to be recognized as an undertaking analogous to trade or business, the activity must be an economical activity in the sense that it is productive of material goods or material services. In Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board (supra), the Court laid down that an activity systematically or habitually undertaken for the production or distribution of goods for rendering material services to the community at large or a part of such community with the help of employees is an undertaking. An 'industry' thus was said to involve cooperation between the employer and employee for the object of satisfying material human need but not for oneself nor for pleasure nor necessity for profit. Lack of business and profit motive or capital investment would not take out an activity from the sweep of 'industry', if other conditions are satisfied. It is the activity in question which attracts the definition and the absence of investment of any capital or the fact that the activity is conducted for profit motive or nbt, would not make material difference. Conversely mere existence of profit motive will not necessarily convert the activity into "industry" if other tests are not satisfied.
- 19. As projected by the Institute it is a research institute. The Institute agitates that it does not carry out any profit motive activity. Lack of business, profit motive or capital investment would not take out the Institute from the sweep of definition of word 'industry', when other standards laid by the Apex Court in Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Disposal Board (supra) stand satisfied. For an answer, it is expedient to bring it over the record that there were systematic activities, organized by cooperation between the employer and employee, for production and/ dr distribution of goods and services calculated to satisfy human wants and wishes. Absence of profit motive or gainful objective would not take the venture out of the ambit of the definition. As detailed above an educational or research institute would fall within the ambit of the definition. Claim projected by the Institute that it carries research activities, which cannot be termed as an undertaking analogous to business or trade, is irrelevant. Ratio of law laid by the Apex Court in Safdarjung Hospital (supra), Delhi University (supra) were over ruled and a research institute has been declared to be an 'industry' which answers all standards of the definition given in clause (j) of Section 2 of the Act. In view of the proposition of law aid by the Apex Court contention advanced by the Institute that it is not an industry is unfour ded. Issue is, therefore answered in favour of the claimant and against the Institute. ssue No. 2
- 20. Claimant projects that he joined as Senior Scientific Assistant with the Institute in June, 64. He unfolds that he is B. Sc., B.Sc. (Chemical Engineering), M.Sc. (Technical) and M. Sc. (Maths). He did his diploma in

Petrolieum from British Institute of Engineering and Technology (London). Someone wrote to Director General, C.S.I.R., alleging that Director of the Institute was not allowing employees to improve their educational qualifications. Director suspicted him as the person who wrote that letter. In 1965 as well as 1966, the Institute conducted interview for recruitment to the post of Scientist Grade B. Though he had sent his application, yet he was not called for an interview. Persons junior and having lesser qualification were called for interview and selected. In 1967 he sought an interview from the Director General C.S.I.R., when he visited the Institute. He presented his grievances before the Director General, in presence of the Director of the Institute. Director Genera' beard him patiently and nodded in his favour. In 1968, he was called for an interview for the post of Scientist Grade B, however, he was not selected. He performed excellently in the interview. When he was not selected, he felt frustrated. He sought an interview with the Director. He enquired from the Director as to why he was not selected. Director heard him in presence of four officers. He had not given any reply but served him with a charge sheet. He was suspended and remained in that state for a period of six years. He filed a suit as an indigent person, which was resisted by the Institute, Shri D. D. Sharma filed his affidavit in the said suit on 23-8-74, copy of which is Ex. WW1/6. He went to the Institute on 1-9-74 to receive his wages. Subsistence allowance for whole of August, 74 was paid to him. He went again to the Institute on 1-10-74, on which date concerned clerk told him that no subsistence allowance would be paid to him. He met the Administrative Officer. who told him that a letter would be written in that regard. He filed a civil suit before Munsif, Dehragun, on 29-10-74 seeking declaration and injunction. Munsif granted an injunction in his favour. He had not gone to join his duties. since the Institute had paid him subsistence allowance for whole of August, 74. No subsistence allowance was paid to him for September, 74 and thereafter. On 2-5-95 a termination order was served on him. He projects that when letter Ex. WW1/M2 was served upon him, he wrote to the Director General, C.S.I.R. but no response was received. He admits that on 2-8-83 he joined his services with Welham Boys School. He concedes that copy of affidavit Ex. WW1/6 was supplied to his counse! on 23-8-74.

21. Shri Pankaj Kumar claimed in his testimony that written statement of the Institute may be treated as its evidence. He explains that subsistence allowance for whole of August, 74 was given to the claimant inadvertently. Vide order Ex. WW1/M3, the claimant was called upon to deposit amount of subsistence allowance, which was paid to him due to inadvertence. Mistake occurred since suspension of the claimant was revoked on 22-8-74 and salary bills were submitted by that date. Bill for entire amount of subsistence allowance for August, 74 was submitted, projects Shri Kumar. He unfolds that letter Ex. MW1/W2

was written to the claimant. He does not dispute copy of affidavit of Shri M. S. Chawla, which is Ex. MW1/W6.

- 22. When facts testified by the claimant and those projected by Shri Pankaj Kumar are appreciated, it came to light that the claimant was placed under suspension on 7-8-68. The claimant attempts to raise an issue that since Director of the Institute become vindictive, he was harassed and victimized. To project his point of view, he highlights that in 1965-66 he was not called for an interview to the post of Scientist Grade-B despite being qualified for it. Whether vindictive attitude of the Director of the Institute was the reason for not calling the claimant for an interview? Answer has been given by the claimant himself in documents Ex. W12 and W13. These two documents were written by the claimant on 15-3-77 to the President, C.S.I.R., Rafi Marg, New Delhi and Hemwati Nar.dan Bahuguna, the then Minister of Horticulture and Chemicals, Government of India, New Delhi. In these documents, he orojects that his confidential report for the year 1965-66 contained adverse entries. He tried to explain that those adverse entries were made on flimsy grounds. Thus it came to light that confidential reports of the claimant for 1965-65 were not upto the mark. No evidence worth name has been brought over the record by the claimant to the effect that he made representations against adverse entries to the Reviewing Authority. The events detailed above make it clear that adverse entries recorded in confidential reports for 1965-66 became final. In the light of those adverse entries, the claimant lost his right to be considered for the post of Scientist Grade B. In such a situation it cannot be said that claimant was not called for an interview for the post of Scientist Gr. 'B' in 1965-66 on account of vindictive attitude of the Director of the Institute.
- 23. As projected by the claimant, he was called for interview to the post of Scientist Grade 'B' in the year 1967. He presents that though he performed well but was not selected. As per the case, the claimant joined services with the Institute in June, 1964. His confidential reports for 1965-66 contained adverse entries. A candidate who does not perform well for consecutive two years cannot be found suitable for a higher post in the subsequent year. Therefore, it is evident that on account of bad performance, the claimant was not selected to the post of Scientist Grade B in 1967. His allegations that the Director made the members of Selection Committee not to recommend him for appointment to the post, are unfounded. An ordinary prudent man cannot derive an inference that on account of vindictive behaviour of the Director, the claimant lost his right for selection to the post of Scientist Grade B in the year 1967.
- 24. As unfolded by the claimant, he sought an interview from the Director and questioned him as to why the former was not selected for the post of Sr. Scientist

- Grade B. This misdemeanour on his part led authorities to contemplate a domestic action. Claimant was suspended on 7-8-68. Domestic enquiry was constituted and ultimately penalty was awarded to him vide order dated 22-8-74. Initiation of domestic enquiry and award of penalty to the claimant were not questioned. Therefore, it is an admitted proposition that the claimant was punished by the Disciplinary Authority for his misconduct, vide order dated 22-8-74. It does not lie in his mouth to allege that on account of grudge being nurtured by the Director of the Institute, he was placed under suspension.
- 25. The claimant dragged the Institute in litigation. He filed a civil suit before the Civil Court, Dehradun. He presents that Shri D. D. Sharma has filed his affidavit before the court on 23-8-75, copy of which affidavit is Ex, WW1/6. When Ex. WW1/6 is scanned, it came to light that Shri D. D. Sharma informed Civil Judge, Dehradun that order dated 22-8-74 was passed, revoking suspension of the claimant. He tendered that order to the claimant, who refused to accept it. He presents that refusal of the claimant amounts. service of that order on him. Copy of the order alongwith envelope were filed by Shri Sharma, supported by his affidavit before the Ld. Civil Judge. The claimant admits that copy of that affidavit was supplied to his counsel on 23-8-74. Therefore, it is emerging over the record that on 23-8-74 order revoking suspension of the claimant was tendered to him by Shri Sharma. The claimant opted not to accept the order. Shri Sharma was constrained to file his affidavit along with a copy of the order and envelope in the court of Civil Judge, Dehradun, copy of the affidavit was supplied to the counsel of the claimant. Hence it is evident that on 23-8-74 order revoking suspension of the claimant was made known to him before the Ld. Civil Judge, Dehradun.
- 26. Whether claim, made by the claimant, that order revoking his suspension on 22-8-74 was not served on him, is based on facts? For an answer documents relied by the claimant are scanned. In Ex. W12 and W13, the claimant projects to the authorities that on 22-8-74 his suspension was revoked by the Under Secretary, C.S.I.R., New Delhi. He nowhere explained as to from what source he came to know that his suspension was revoked on 22-8-74. Contents of these two documents make it clear that the claimant was well aware about the order revoking his suspension, which was passed on 22-8-74. In these two documents he had admitted that fact. Hence claimant cannot be allowed to approbate and reprobate facts. Out of his own admission it is emerging over the record that claimant was served with the order revoking his suspension on 22-8-74. Hence his claim that order dated 22-8-74 was not served, is unfounded. since it is not supported by facts either direct or circumstantia1
- 27. A case has been projected by the claimant that his suspension order was never revoked, since he was

paid subsistence allowance for the period from 23-8-74 to 31-8-74. He agitates that no subsistence allowance for the month of September, 74 and thereafter was paid to him. He concedes the he never submitted his joining report to the Institute. An explanation was offered by Shri Pankaj Kumar as to under what circumstances subsistence allowance for whole of August, 74 was paid to the claimant. He presents that since his suspension was revoked on 22-8-74 by then bills for subsistence allowance to be paid to the claimant for August, 74 were submitted. This inadvertent act was corrected vide order Ex. WW1/M3, on the strength of which claimant was called upon to refund excess amount of subsistence allowance paid to him. Ex. WW1/M3 was written to the claimant on 21-10-74, wherein it was emphasized that he was absent from his duties in an unauthorized manner w.e.f. 24-8-74. Service of Ex. WW1/ M3 has not been a matter of dispute. Consequently it stands established that due to inadvertence subsistence allowance for whose of August, 74 was paid to the claimant and at the earliest possible opportunity he was called upon to refund subsistence allowance paid for the period from 24-8-74 to 31-8-74. The Institute projected a claim of his unauthorized absence since 24-8-74, which fact was brought to his notice through communication Ex. WW1/M3. Consequently the claim projected by the claimant that the Institute had not recalled his suspension order, goes to doldrums on the basis of this communication too.

28. Admittedly the claimant had not joined his duties, knowing well that his suspension order stood revoked w.e.f. 22-8-74. He was made known to this effect before the Civil Judge, Dehradun, before whom Shri D. D. Sharma filed his affidavit Ex. WW1/6. Instead of joining his duties, claimant approached Civil Judge, Dehradun again. His plaint came to be dismissed. He filed an appeal before District Judge, Dehradun, Ex. W9 is a document proved by the claimant himself. On the strength of this document a notice was served upon the claimant informing him that he was absent in unauthorized manner and his services shall stand terminated w.e.f. the date of expiry of a period of one month from the date of service of the notice. Ex. W9 is signed by Dr. I. B. Gulati, Director of the Institute. Despite service of his notice the claimant opted not to join his duties. When appeal before the District Judge was pending adjudication, Shri M. S. Chawla, Section Officer, filed his affidavit, which is Ex. WW1/W6. This affidavit was proved by the claimant himself. Contents of this affidavit highlights that services of the claimant were done away in terms of conditions of his appointment. When affidavit of Shri Chawla was served on the claimant in the year 1982, he came to know about termination order passed by the Institute, Since the claimant admits that he had not joined his duties w.e.i. 23-8-74 till supply of copy of affidavit Ex. WW1/M6, it is crystal clear that the claimant opted to abstain himself from his duties.

29. As detailed above, a case is projected by the Institute that the claimant has abandoned his services, for

he opted not to join his duties despite service of notice dated 23-12-1980. Therefore, it would be expedient to know what words "abandon" and "abandonment" mean. Ordinarily, word "abandon" does not mean merely "leaving" but "leaving completely and finally". Word "abandonment" would indicate that it has a connotation of finality, which would mean relinquishment or extinguishment of a right, giving up of something absolutely, giving up with an intent of never claiming a right or interest, to renounce or forsake utterly. In order to constitute on "abandonment" there must be a total or complete giving up of duties, so as to indicate an intention not to resume the same. Abandonment must be total and under circumstances which clearly indicate an absolute relinquishment. A failure to perform duties persaining to an office must be with an actual or imputed intention on the part of the officer to abandon and relinquish the office.

30. Abandonment is a voluntary positive Act. A man must expressly say that he gives up his right. If he remains quite, it cannot be said that he is forsaking his title to property or his interest therein. An office is abandoned by ceasing to perform its duties. A temporary absence is not, ordinarily sufficient to constitute an abandonment of an office. A mere absence of a workman from duty connot be treated as an abandonment of service. Abandonment or relinquishment of service is always a question of intention and normally, such an intention cannot be attributed to an employee without adequate evidence in that behalf. However, the "intention" may be inferred from the auts and conduct of the party. The question as to whether the job. in fact has been abandoned or not, is a question of fact which is to be determined in the light of the surrounding circumstances of each case.

31. As per own admission of the claimant he had not joined his duties with the Institute despite revocation of his suspension order. He went to the Institute to receive his subsistence allowance but opted not to join his duties. He again went to the Institute on 1-10-74 but not submitted his joining report. Various communications were sent but the claimant did not join his duties. Notice Ex. W9 was served, which could evoke no response. No efforts were ever made by the claimant to join his services. All these facts make it crystal clear that the claimant had abandoned his services. Issue is, therefore, answered in favour of the Institute and against the claimant.

Issue No. 3.

32. The Institute projects that services of the claimant were done away in pursuance of service conditions contained in his letter of appointment Ex. WW1/M1. On perusal of Ex. WW1/M1 it came to light that the Institute had reserved a right in its favour to terminate services of the claimant on service of one month's notice. For sake of convenience that clause is reproduced thus:

"The services of a temporary employee may be terminated by a month's notice on either side, viz. the appointee or the appointing authority, without assigning any reasons. The appointing authority also reserves the right of terminating the services of the appointee forthwith or before the expiry of the stipulated period of notice by making payment to him or a sum equivalent to the pay and allowances for the period of notice or the unexpired portion thereof."

33. Plight reserved by the Institute in appointment letter Ex. WW1/M1 projects powers of administrative adjudication. Administrative decision making is a byproduct of ar intensive form of government and consequently socialisation of law. Vast expansion of state activity has taken place and government has to embark on ambitious, massive plans of public health, education, planning, social security, transport, agriculture, industrialisation and national assistance. It is impossible to carry out such programmes and determine legal questions involved therein. Therefore, if social control over this area of action is desirable, administrative decision making process has to develop, viz. instrumentalities of the State developing itself under justice system, which supplements the existing one. Power of administrative adjudication has been reorganized by the Apex Court in catena of decisions. Precedents in Barreli Electricity Supply Company [1971 (2) SCC 617], Rattan Singh [1977 (2) SCC 491], Tara Chand [1977 (1) SCC 472], Nand Kishore Prasad [1978 (3) SCC 366] and S. N. Mukherjee, [1990 (4) S. C. 594] are a few which can be quoted in that regard.

34. Whether the Institute, which is an instrumentality of the State, is required to initiate enquiry against the claimant before exercising powers of administrative adjudication available to it, under terms of service contained in appointment letter Ex. WW1/M1. In Suresh Chand (2007) LLR 344) contention of the workman that no domestic enquiry was conducted and termination of his services was illegal, was brushed aside and it was ruled that when a workman absents from duty without any intimation or prior permission, termination of his services without holding an enquiry will be justified. In Vijay Pal (2007 L.L.R. 7) and G.T. Lad (1979 Lab. I.C. 2910) same proposition of law was laid. However in G.T. Lad (supra) it was ruled by the Apex Court that absence of an employee because of strike for enforcement of their demands does not amount to abandonment of their services. In Syndicate Bank (AIR 2000 S.C. 2198) the Apex Court was confronted with such a proposition, as exists in the present controversy. Workman absented himself from his work place for a period of 90 or more consecutive days. A notice was served calling upon him to report for duty within 30 days of notice, alongwith the grounds on which bank came to the conclusion that the workman had no intention to join his duties. The workman did not respond to that notice at all. Bank passed orders to the effect that the workman had voluntarily retired from service of the bank. Apex court laid that as far as principles of natural justice are concerned the court was to consider (1) whether show cause notice detailing the contents of the complaint or accusation was served (2) whether an opportunity was there for the workman to state his case, and (3) whether the management acted in good faith and has been fair reasonable and just. It was ruled therein that on the facts and circumstances of the case the principles of natural justice were inbuilt in the clause relating to voluntary cessation of employment and when workman had not opted to join his duties on service of notice, principles of natural justice were complied with.

35. As detailed above Institute was clothed with a right to dispense with services of the claimant, after serving one month's notice. Notice Ex. W9 was served on the claimant. Despite service of the said notice, the claimant opted not to join his duties. Thus it emerged over the record that services of the claimant were dispensed with in terms of conditions contained in appointment letter Ex. WW1/ M1. Claimant agitates that termination order was served upon him 1995. Facts in that regard stood dispelled out of contents of affidavit Ex. WW1/W6. Affidavit Ex. WW1/ W6 was proved by the claimant, contents of which make it clear that it was tendered to the claimant by the authorities of the Institute before District Judge, Dehradun, in 1982. Thus it is evident that he was well aware in 1982 itself that his services were terminated by the Institute in accordance with terms contained in his appointment letter. His agitation that he was served with a termination order in 1995 is contrary to the record. A stale claim was filed by him before Conciliation Officer in 1997. In view of these facts it is evident that action of the Institute ir, terminating his services is just, fair and legal. Claimant could not show any illegality, unjustifiability or arbitrariness in the termination order. Issue is, therefore, answered in favour of the institute and against the claimant.

Relief.

36. Since services of the claimant were dispensed with in accordance with terms of his appointment letter, he is not entitled to any relief muchless relief of reinstatement in service. His claim is liable to be discarded. Accordingly, discarding his claim an award is, passed. It be sent to the appropriate government for publication.

Dated: 31-12-2010 Dr. R. K. YADAV, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 10 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 705.— औगोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इण्डियन आममेंट टेक्नोलॉजी के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, पुणे के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 14011/13/97-आई आर(डी.यू.)] डी. एस.एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th February, 2011

S.O. 705.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Pune as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Armament Technology and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 10-2-2011.

[No. L-14011/13/1997-IR(DU)] D.S.S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI M.G. CHOUDHARY, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, MAHARASHTRA AT PUNE

Reference (IT) No. 12 of 2004

The Director & Dean, Indian Armament Technology, Girinagar, Pune-411025

... First Party

AND

The Secretary, Pune Zilla Muzdoor Sangh, 185, Shaniwai Peth, Pune-30

...Second Party

In the matter of reinstatement

CORAM

: Shri M.G. Choudhary, Presiding Officer

Appearances:

Smt. B.M. Kadam, Advocate for

firs! party.

Shr. A.Y. Shikarkhane, Advocate for second party.

AWARD

(11-10-2010)

The Central Government through Ministry of Labour by order dtd. 23-4-04, in exercise of powers conferred under Section-10(1), Clause(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the industrial dispute between above named parties for adjudication of the demand of the second party

union in respect of challenging the termination of 11 workmen whose names are mentioned in the Schedule along with list and for determination of the relief to which the said workmen are entitled.

2. The workmen concerned represented by Pune Zilla Mazdoor Sangh appeared in the matter and filed their statement of claim at Exh. U-4 in which it is contended that first party designs, manufactures and stores various types of engineering products in the workshops and other facilities located in its premises. It is producing various goods with the help of power and employs more than 50 employees and is a factory under the Factories Act, 1948. According to the second party apart from the manufactures as above items the first party is also a Research Institute. It trains civilian and defence officers in various techniques and runs regular courses. The first party is controlled by the Defence Research & Development Organization of Central Government. The first party runs an Officers Mess in its premises which also has loading facility. This Mess is run as a statutory facility as per Sec. 46 of the Factories Act. According to the second party, the first party employs the following permanent workmen in the said Officers Mess whose names are mentioned in the statement of claim. According to the second party in addition to the above permanent workmen as there is sufficient work year round the first party has been employing about 40 employees since more than 15 years in the capacity of Room Attendants, waiters, washer, cook & helpers. These workmen cannot enter the premises of the first party unless they are issued entry pass and the first party has been issuing them with entry pass for every month for all these years. According to the second party the first party also provides with rent free quarters on the premises of the first party to some of these workmen. According to the second party workmen they are doing the same work as done by the permanent workmen, but they are exploited like bonded labour by the first party, they are forced to work for long hours, abused and top of it are paid wages of Rs. 500 to Rs. 600 p.m. The first party does not pay them minimum wages fixed by the Central Government nor paid them wages @ 1/3rd of the wages paid to the permanent workmen as per the directives of the Central Government, According to the second party, the first party has been flouting every labour law in respect of these workmen even after the breach were pointed out by the Asstt. Labour Commissioner (Central). According to the second party, the first party has terminated the services of 11 workmen out of the above 40 odd workmen from 24-4-97 by way of retrenchment and did not pay them one month's wages and retrenchment compensation as per Sec. 25F of the I.D. Act, even though they were in continuous employment for more than one year. According to the second party the said termination is illegal and unjustified by way of victimization and improper. Lastly requested to reinstate them in their original post with continuity of service and full back wages from 24-4-97.

3. The first party in his written statement at Exh. C-7 contended that statement of claim contents therein and demands in prayer clauses are illegal, false and wrong. According to the first the second party has no locus standi to file this complaint and there is no relationship of employer-employee between the first party and second party. According to the first party, the second party has no. given prior notice U/s. 80 of CPC while making the party to the government officers. According to the first party it is not a factory under the Factories Act and not an industry under the I.D. Act. According to the first party, it is a institute of Armament Technology of Defence Research & Development Organization under the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, is imparting training/ education on defence related subject to the Armed Forces officers and civilian officers of Ministry of Defence and foreign students of friendly nation. It is denied that the first party is manufacturing and storing various types of engineering products in workshop. It is also contended that though the designing of engineering tools/equipment for purely academic and research purpose is carried out on need basis under Mechanical Engineering section under the supervision of specialists/research officers. The institute is not engaged in producing any goods. According to the first party it is not an industry, as such the dispute is not maintainable. According to the first party he is engaged in discharging sovereign functions. According to the first party the Officers Mess is purely a hostel facility run on the contribution of its members and managed by a managing committee, appointed by the General Body of the Officers Mess members. There is no provision to run the Mess as a statutory/non statutory/ department canteen. The persons employed purely on ad hoc basis by the Officers Mess as private arrangement. These ad hoc workers were not paid out of any contingency grant for which there is no provision under the existing government rules/orders. According to the first party it has never employed the mentioned workmen in the Officers Mess and they are not permanent but ad hoc in nature. It is contention of the first party that the special recruitment drives were launched by government for clearing the back logs in reservations for SC/ST in direct recruitment from the year 1993 till 1996. During these special recruitment drives the appointment in Group-C and Group-D categories were made in Institute of Armament Technology, through local Employment Exchange, Pune strictly in accordance with the recruitment rules. Whenever such requisitions were sent to employment exchange wide publicity was invariably given to the Officers Mess ad hoc workers for getting the eligible candidate, name sponsored from employment exchange for the concerned posts. According to the first party the workmen were rather employed by Officers Mess, which is purely a hostel facility run on the subscription from the members of the said Mess and managed by the management committee appointed by the members of Mess. The first party has

nothing to do with the affairs of the said Mess and does not concerned to the Mess and the employees of Mess are not in fact the employees of Institute of Armament Technology i.e. first party. According to the first party the entry passes were/are issued to these workers so as to avoid any security hazard since it is a defence installation and visitors are given entry pass after thorough security checkup. According to the first party the workers were provided accommodation in Mess servant quarters by the management committee of the Officers Mess and not by the Institute of Armament Technology. According to the first party the second party Pune Zilla Mazdoor Sangh is not a recognized union by the Central Government and has no locus standi to file this reference and lastly requested to reject the reference.

- 4. The following issues are framed in the matter at Exh. 06 by my Ld. Predecesor which arise for my determination:
 - (1) Whether the reference as framed is tenable under law?
 - (2) Does the second par'y union proves that the action of the first par y company in respect of terminating the services of workmen (involved in the reference) is illegal and unjustified?
 - (3) Whether second party union is entitled for relief as prayed?
 - (4) In what manner the reference is answered?

My findings to above issues for the reasons recorded below are as under:

- (l) Yes;
- (2) Yes;
- (3) Partly yes;
- (4) Reference is answered partly in affirmative as per order below.

REASONS

- 5. Both the parties have produced the documents on record. The second party union gave notice of documents, the first party gave inspection of documents to the second party and the inspection report is submitted by the second party at Exh. U-9 and the documents are also produced by the first party in the matter with list Exh. C-12 & C-13.
- 6. The second party in order to prove his case examined Mr. Nandu Londhe at Exh. U-10. In his examination in chief by way of affidavit he has stated that he is in the employment of the first party Officers Mess from 1-9-96. He is not given appointment letter. Initially he was given work as Room Attendant and at the time of termination he was working as Room Attendant. He was

given servant quarter and for that purpose Rs. 90/- was deducted from his salary. He was given identity card. He has stated that he has continuously worked from the date of his appointment even on 26th January, 1st May and 15th August. He was not enjoying the holidays, he worked for more than 12 hours in 365 days in a year. At the time of his termination w.e.f. 16-4-97 no notice pay and retrenchment compensation was given to him. In crossexamination questions were put to him about his educational qualification and he has stated that he studied upto 4th Std. He does not remember his date of birth. He filed this case through his union. He does not know the name of the union. Initially he was given Rs. 350 p.m. towards wages and was not given any appointment letter. He has admitted that he was appointed by the persons from Mess. He has admitted that he was in the employment from 1-9-96 to 16-4-97 and his name was not recommended by Employment Exchange. He does not know members of the Mess. He has admitted that he was given work orally. He has not filed any documentary evidence regarding allolment of the quarter. He has denied other suggestions given to him in cross-examination.

The second witness deposed in the matter at Exh. U-12 Mr. Lewis Joseph Thomas. In his examination in chief by way of affidavit he has ated that he was working with the first party from 4-8-8-9 and he is studied upto 7th Std He was working as a Waiter. He was given quarter No. 2/181 and he has stated the same things as per deposition of earlier witness. In cross-examination he has admitted that he has not filed any documentary evidence to show that the union is registered and recognized by the Government. He has not filed any documentary evidence to show that he is member of the union. He has admitted that he was given work of Waiter in the Officers Mess which was in the premises of the first party. He was not given any appointment letter.

There was no advertisement in the local newspaper. His name was not recommended by Employment Exchange. He was getting monthly wages. He has no documentary evidence to show that he worked more than 12 hours in 365 days in a year. He has not made any written complaint to the authority for non-payment of wages as per Minimum Wages Act and worked more than 12 hours in a day. He does not know whether the Mess runs from the subscription received from the officers. He has admitted that he was being paid by the officers of the Mess and Mess officer was keeping record about his work. He has denied other suggestions given to him in cross-examination.

The third witness of the second party examined at Exh. U-13 is Mr. Muniswamy Selvraj. In his examination in chief by way of affidavit stated that he was working with the first party from 1-1-97 in Officers Mess as Waiter and he was staying in the quarter which was allotted to him by

the first party and his quarter No. is F/1/9 and stated the same thing as per the statement of earlier witness. In cross-examination he has stated that he is studied upto 8th Std. He does not know the signatory of the statement of claim and presently he is working in the employment of the first party on contract basis. He has admitted that he was in the employment of first party from 1-1-97. Questions were put to him about Employment Exchange, Advertisement, first party belongs to Central Government, contribution paid by the officers to the Mess. He has admitted that in the year 1997 he was being paid Rs. 20 per day. He has denied other suggestions given to him in the cross-examination.

The fourth witness is examined by the second party at Exh. U-14 Smt. Janabai Laxman Gundgal. In her examination-in-chief by way of Affidavit she has stated that she was in the employment of the first party Officers Mess from 16-5-91 as washer-up and stated same thing as per statement made by earlier witness of the second party. In cross-examination she has stated that she is educated upto 8th Std. She does not remember her date of birth. She was working in first party Officers Mess since 11 years but she cannot tell the exact date or year of the appointment. She was doing the work of washing utensils. Initially she was getting Rs. 300/- p.m. And lastly she was getting Rs. 700/- p.m. She has admitted that wages were deducted for absence on holiday. She stated that there are 3 to 4 Mess in the first party and the officers of the Officers Mess was giving her wages. She has denied other suggestions given to her in the cross-examination.

The second party has examined the fifth witness Smt. Kusum Dadu Gnadsingh at Exh. U-15. In her examination in chief by way of affidavit stated that she was working with first party from 25-10-87 as Washer-up and stated the same things as per earlier witness. In cross-examination she has stated that statement of claim is not signed by her. She has not filed any documentary evidence in respect of quarter. She has stated that she was working since 25-10-87 as mentioned in the affidavit, in the Officers Mess. She has denied other suggestions given to her in cross.

The sixth witness of the second party is examined at Exh. U-16 Smt. Rukmani Pandurang Navgire. In her examination in chief by way of affidavit stated that she was working with first party Officers Mess from 17-5-91 as Washer-up and stated the same thing as per the earlier witness. In cross-examination she has stated that she is not having any documentary evidence to show that she was working since 17-5-91 as Washer-up in Officers Mess. The questions were put to her about identity card given by first party, she has not filed any documentary evidence regarding her uninterrupted service. She has not entered her name with Employment Exchange. She has denied other suggestions given to her in cross-examination.

The seventh witness of the second party Smt. Shanta Ankush Jagtap is examined at Exh. U-17. In her examination in chief by way of affidavit stated that she was working with first party Officers Mess from 22-5-96 as Kitchen helper. She was staying in the quarters No. F/11/06 and made statement as like earlier witnesses. In cross-examination she has stated that affidavit was drafted by her counsel as per her instruction. She has no documentary evidence with her in respect of her employment with the first party. She has denied other suggestions given to her in cross-examination.

The eighth witness of the second party Mr. Krishnan Mayavelu is examined at Exh. U-18. In his examination in chief by way of affidavit stated that he was in the employment of the first party from 1-9-93 as Msalchi and made statement as like other witnesses of the second party. In cross-examintion he has stated that he was doing the work of cutting vegetables. The work was allotted to him by the officers of the Officers Mess. He has admitted that there was fluctuations in the members of the Officers Mess. He has denied other suggestions given to him in cross-examination.

The first party has examined Mr. Damodar Wasudeo Nandanwar at Exh. C-22. In his examination in chief by way of affidavit stated the same thing as per the stand of first party in written statement. In cross-examination questions were put to him about xerox copy of notification dtd. 17-4-79 which is at Exh.C-12/A. Questions were put to him about the letter dtd. 19-12-05 which is issued by Defence Research & Development which is at Exh. C-12/B by which the first party was approved as Deemed University. Another letter dtd. 15-10-99 issued by UGC by which the establishment of the first party was declared as Deemed University which is at Exh. C-12/C. The questions were put to this witness about regular employees of the first party working in Officers Mess since 1997. Questions were put to the witness about various persons working with the first party company as gardener, cook, waiter whether belongs to open category or reserved category. He has admitted that the first party decides regarding allotment of the quarters to the employees and further admitted that some of the employees involved in the reference are residing in residential quarters. Questions were put to him about various letters produced on record. Questions were put to him about various courses conducted in the first party institute. He has admitted that candidates from Defence as well as from Civil Service participate in the course. He has stated that the first party gave training to the candidates who are not in Government services. He does not have any personal knowledge about service conditions of the employees who are working in the Officers Mess. He has further admitted that Officers Mess is not registered under any law and officers of the IAT used to look after the day-to-day management of the Officers Mess. He has

denied other suggestions given to him in the cross-examination.

The second witness of the first party is examined at Exh. C-25. Mr. Rabindrababu Banwari Varma in his examination in chief by way of affidavit stated the same thing as per the stand of the first party in written statement as well as the documents produced on record by the first party. In cross-examination he has stated that he is working in the establishment of the first party since 1987. He was appointed on the application submitted by him and not through the employment exchange. He has no concern with the recruitment or appointment of the staff. He used to visit the Officers Mess once in a week as he is the member of the Officers Mess. He has stated that about 250 Class-I officers are the members of the Officers Mess. It includes civilian, army, navy and foreign officers. Questions were put to him about all the departments available in the Mess. He has admitted that building premises of Officers Mess belongs to first party. The building and furniture of Officers Mess belongs to first party. The questions were put to him about the documents produced on record, He has stated that -Officers Mess is maintaining the muster-roll and payment register of the employees working in the Officers Mess. He has stated that first party is giving M. Tech. degree and also conducting defence in short courses and these courses are allowed for civilians also. He is not aware about W.P. No. 131/99 in respect of these employees. He has denied other suggestions given to him in the cross-examination.

7. With the help of material on record I have heard the argument of advocates for both the parties at length and both of them have submitted their case as per material on record.

I have already pointed out that the Central Government through Ministry of Labour referred the present industrial dispute under exercise of powers conferred under Sec-10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act in respect of 11 workmen involved in the present Reference whose names are mentioned in the list attached to the schedule to the order of the Reference. It appears from record the name of Smt. D. Kusumbai Gadsingh, Room Attendant was deleted as per order of My Ld. Predecessor on 20-2-09 as per purshies Exh./U-21. Thus now the dispute is in respect of 10 workmen involved in the present reference.

According to the second party it is registered trade union and present Reference is filed on behalf of the workmen involved in present Reference. However according to the first party the second party union has no locus standi to file the present Reference, but the workmen deposed in the matter whose evidence is referred above have categorically stated that they are workmen involved in the present reference and they are prosecuting their demand through present Reference through union. I can understand that if general demands are there, there

can be a question whether union has locus standi to prosecute the general demands of the workmen working in the establishment of the employer, but in the present Reference the termination is under challenge and workmen in their individual capacity has every right to prosecute their demand in respect of their reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages by challenging their termination. Under these circumstances in my opinion the present Reference is perfectly maintainable in this court and the second party union has every right to represent the workmen involved in the present Reference.

8. It is contention of the second party that first party designs, manufactures and stores various types of engineering products in the workshop and producing arious goods with the help of power and employs more than 50 employees and is a factory under the Factories Act and also an industry. The second party also contended that the first party is also a Research institute. it trains civilians and defence officers in various echniques and runs regular courses. The first party in written statement contended that it is engaged in discharging sovereign functions as such it is not an industry. There is no employer-employee relationship between the workmen involved in the present Reference and the first party. The first party is not an industry, the first party is not a factory. Thus according to the first party the Reference itself is not maintainable.

The first witness of the first party has categorically admitted in cross-examination that UGC as well as Defence Ministry have declared first party institute as Deemed University. The first party is conducting short term courses and also conducting degree courses. It is pertinent to note that first party himself has produced on record with list Exh. C-12 notification dtd. 17-4-1979 issued by the appropriate Government in relation to Factories Act i.e. Government of Maharashtra in relation to first party institute giving exemption in respect of certain provision (not all) in respect of Factories Act and the said notification is at Exh. C-12/A, which is proved document. Thus it is clear that first party is also a factory therefore first party requested for exemption under the Factories Act from certain provisions and accordingly exemptions were granted but not in relation to Sec-46 of Factories Act.

9. Now in view of the ratio of Bangalore Water Supply case Industries like educational institutes are an industry. As such admittedly the first party institute is an industry within the meaning of Sec-2(j) of the I.D. Act. No doubt as per Sec-2(s) of the I.D. Act the category of workmen who is subject to Air-Force Act, Army Act and Navy Act are not included in definition of workman, but it is not the case of the workmen involved in the present Reference or the second party in this matter the workmen involved in the present Reference are the workmen of first party. The second party as well as workmen involved in

the present Reference have categorically stated in their statement of claim as well as in their evidence that they are the workmen working with the Officers Mess of the first party and this position is not denied by the first party in this matter. It is pertinent to note that the witness of the first party has admitted in the cross-examination that Officers Mess is not a statutory body nor it is registered under the Trust or Society Act, but Officers Mess is formed by the officers of the first party and workmen involved in the present Reference were working in the Officers Mess and this position is also admitted by the second party as well as first party in the present Reference. It is pertinent to note that the Central Government while making the Reference to this Tribunal made following reference in the Reference order mentioned in the schedule reads as under:

"Whether the action of the management of Indian Armament Technology, Girinagar, Pune in terminating the services of 11 workmen (list enclosed) of Officers Mess appended hereto is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled to?"

If the wording in the schedule to the Reference is properly seen it can be said that Reference is made to this tribunal in respect of workmen of the Officers Mess of the first party, and present reference is not in relation to the workmen of the first party. Once it is admitted position on the record that these workmen were working in Officers Mess of the first party, it is clear that first party has ultimate control over these workmen as these workmen working in the premises of the first party. The first party has provided premises to the Officers Mess, under the Factories Act canteen is statutory facility. There were and there are some direct employees of the first party viz. cook. waiter of the first party are working in the Mess. It is pertinent to note that first party has allotted quarters to some of the workmen involved in the present reference this is an admitted position. These workmen in their evidence have categorically stated that they were continuously working with the first party Officers mess from the date of their appointment and they were given entry pass, identity card by the first party for security purposes. Under these circumstances in absence of any contract in between these workmen and the first party it cannot be said that first party has no relation with these workmen involved in the present reference. Thus on this background I am of the considered view that the present dispute between the workmen involved in the present reference who were workmen of Officers Mess is perfectly maintainable in this Tribunal, hence I answer Issue No. 1 in affirmative.

10. I have already pointed out that 10 workmen involved in the present Reference were working with the first party Officers Mess from the date of their appointment as mentioned in the statement of claim and documents produced on record, they were continuously working with the Officers Mess of the first party and completed 240 days service in each year and it was obligatory on the part of the Officers Mess of the first party while terminating their services to follow the mandatory provisions of Sec-25F of the I.D. Act and admittedly none of the provisions of labour laws is followed by the first party Officers Mess in this matter. After reading the Reference Order it appears that as per direction of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 3214/99 the present Reference is made to this Tribunal. Thus the workmen involved in the present reference might be appointed on temporary basis, on ad hoc or on daily wage basis, but it is proved on record that they were continuously working with the first party Officers Mess and completed 240 days of their services in each year, As such it was obligatory on the part of the first party Officers Mess to follow mandatory provisions of labour laws while terminatingtheir services and admittedly the Mandatory provisions of Sect-25F of the I.D. Act have not been followed as such the second party has proved in this matter the termination of the workmen involved in the present reference is illegal and totally unjustified, hence I answer Issue No. 2 in affirmative.

11. In view of my findings on above Issues it is clear that the second party workmen are entitled for relief of reinstatement and continuity of service as referred. The evidence in respect of gainful employment of 10 workmen is totally silent in the present Reference, nor the first party has disputed that these workmen were gainfully employed after their termination. Thus considering that these workmen worked on meagre wages even inspite of direction of the Commissioner of Labour Central these workmen were not paid minimum wages by the Officers Mess of the first party. In my opinion this is a fit case to direct the first party Officers Mess to pay full back wages to the 10 workmen involved in the present reference. However if statement of claim of the second party Exh. U-4 is perused what is their prayer in their statement of claim that workmen to be reinstated in their original post with continuity of service and full back wages from 24-4-97. In my opinion this a fit case of relief to the 10 workmen involved in the present Reference is granted as it is in respect of reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages from 24-4-97 is tollay justified having regards to the facts and circumstances of the case. However, as pointed out above the name of one workman Smt. D. Kusumbai Gadsingh, Room Attendant is deleted from the present Reference, hence to that effect she is not entitled for any relief in the present reference. In view of this it is clear that the present reference is liable to partly allowed, hence, I answer Issue Nos. 3 & 4 accordingly and proceed to pass the following award:

AWARD

- The Reference is partly allowed in respect of 10 workmen involved in the present Reference.
 The reference in relation to workman Smt. D. Kusumbai Gadsingh, Room Attendant stands rejected.
- 2. The 10 workmen involved in the present reference are entitled for reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages w.e.f. 24-4-97.
- The Officers Mess of first party to implement the said award.
- 4. No order as to costs.
- Copies be sent to the appropriate authorities.

Pune:

M.G. CHOUDHARY, Presiding Officer

Date 11-10-2010

Sd/(D.G Dake)
Secretary,
Industrial Tribunal, Pune.

नई दिल्ली, 10 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 706.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का. 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 120/2005) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-17012/13/2005-आई आर (बी-!)] रमेश सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th February, 2011

S.O. 706.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 120/2005) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jaipur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Life Insurance Corporation of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 8-2-2011.

[No. L-17012/13/2005-IR (B-I)] RAMESH SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JAIPUR

PRESENT:

N. K. Purohit, Presiding Officer.

I.D. No. 120/05

Reference No. L-17012/13/2005-IR (B-I) dated 21-10-2005

Shri Surendra Kumar, S/o Shri Raja Ram Giri, R/o Dhan Mandi, Q. No.15, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan

V/s.

The Divisional Manager, Life Insurance Corporation of India, Sagar Raod, JN Vyas Colony, Bikaner.

AWARD

Dated: 28-01-2011

- 1. The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (d) of Sub-sections (1) & 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 947 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication which runs as under:
 - "Whether the Claimant Shri Surendra Kumar S/o Shri Raja Ram was in continuous service as defined under Section 25B of the I.D. Act, 1947 in LIC of India, branch Jawahar Nagar, Sri Ganganagar as class IV employee from 1-4-2001 to 30-12-2003. If yes, his discontinuation from service by the management from 30-12-2003 is legal and justified? If not, what relief claimant is entitled to and from which date?"
- 2. The applicant workman in his claim statement has averred that he was initially appointed as class IV employee by the Branch Manager of the non-applicant bank w.e.f. 1-4-2001. He was appointed against a vacant and permanent post and his salary was fixed as Rs. 1800 per month which was increased after 3-4 months and fixed as Rs. 2000 per month. He has further averred that initially he applicant was paid salary in his own name but thereafter for some intervals fictitious names of class IV employees were mentioned while throughout the tenure from 1-4-2001 to 25-9-2001, the applicant had continuously performed the duty of class IV employee. The salary for he said period was also drawn by him. It has also been averred that despite the applicant had regularly and continuously performed duties w.e.f. 1-4-2001 to 30-12-2003 and has completed more than 240 day in a calender year, his service was terminated on 30-12-2003 in violation of Section of 25(F) of I.D. Act, It has been alleged that while terminating his services juniors to the applicant were working with the non-applicant and no seniority list was prepared by the employer. Therefore, his termination is in violation of Section 25(G) and Rule 77 of the Central Rules. t has also been alleged that after his termination new recruitments have been made without affording him an

- opportunity of employment in violation of the Section 25(H) and Rule 78 of the Central Rules. The applicant has prayed for his reinstatement with full back wages and consequential benefits.
- 3. The non-applicant in its written counter has submitted that the applicant was appointed as temporary Waterman for the period 17-4-2002 to 8-7-2002 for 83 days only. His services automatically come to an end on 8-7-2002. Thereafter, he was again appointed as Waterman for the period 8-7-2003 to 30-9-2003 for 85 days on temporary basis under Regulation 8 of Life Insurance Corporation of India Staff Regulation, 1960 and after expiry of the said period of 85 days the services of the applicant automatically come to an end. Thus, the claim of the applicant is not maintainable as per Section 2(oo)(bb) of the LD. Act
- 4. The non-applicant has denied that the applicant had continously worked from 1-4-2001 to 30-12-2003 and has completed 240 days in any calendar year. It has also been denied that for some intervals fictitious names of class IV employees were mentioned. It has also been denied that junior to the applicant were retained in violation of Section 25(G) and new recruitments were made in violation of Section 25(H) of the I.D. Act.
- 5. The claim statement was filed on 27-1-2006 and document in support of the claim were filed on 15-5-2006. Subsequently, at the stage of filing document on behalf of the non-applicant ex-party proceedings were drawn against the applicant on 19-8-2010. In above factual backdrop there is no oral evidence in support of the claim statement.
- 6. The non-applicant has submitted the affidavit of management witness Shri M.P. Singhal on 9-12-2010 $\,\rm m$ support of its case.
- 7. Heard the arguments of the learned representative on behalf of the non-applicant and perused the record.
 - 8. The following points crop-up for consideration.
 - (i) Whether the applicant was in continuous service as defined under Section 25(F) of the I.D. Act with non-applicant from 1-4-2001 to 30-12-2003 whose service was terminated on 30-12-2003 in violation of Section 25(F) of the I.D. Act?
 - (ii) Whether junior to the workman were retained while terminating the service of the applicant in violation of Section 25(G) of the I.D. Act?
 - (iii) Whether after termination of services of the applicant, without offer of employment to the applicant new persons have been recruited in his place in violation of Section 25(H) of the I.D. Act?

Point No. 1:

- 9. It is well settled that to attract he provisions of Section 25(F) initial burden is on the workman to establish that he had continuously worked for more than 240 days during preceding 12 months from the date of his alleged termination. In the present case the non-applicant has denied the claim of the applicant. Therefore, it was for the applicant to prove that he had worked for at least 240 days during preceding 12 months from the date of his alleged termination i.e. 30-12-2003 or he had worked continuously for one year during any calendar year.
- 10. The applicant has only produced photo copies of following documents:
 - (i) Letter of the Branch Manager, LIC dated 21-4-2003 addressed to Branch Manager, SBI, Sriganganagar pertaining to challans of income-tax.
 - (ii) Letter of the Branch Manager, LIC to the Post Master for issuing revenue tickets to the applicant dated 24-4-2003.
 - (iii) Vouchers dated 1-5-2003 and 2-6-2003 pertaining to Harjendra.
 - (iv) Letter of the Branch Manager, LIC to Income Tax Officer for income-tax challan form dated 5-6-2003.
 - (v) Letter received from Assistant Director, Social Welfare dated 21-6-2003 to the Branch Manager, LIC for issuing LIC Schedules.
 - (vi) Letter of the branch Manager, LIC addressed to Branch Manager, SBBJ, Sriganganagar for giving T-6 challan form service tax dated 5-7-2003.
 - (viii) Payment vouchers dated 1-8-2003, 1-9-2003 and one payment voucher undated whereby some payments were made to the applicant.
 - (viii) Appreciation letter issued by District President of Rajasthan Sahayak Karamchari Singh, Sriganganagar.
- 11. On perusal of the documents produced by the applicant, it is evident that they are pertaining to the period from April, 2003 to September, 2003 only. Therefore, on the basis of said documents, it is not established that prior to his alleged date of termination i.e. 30-12-2003, he had worked for more than 240 days preceding 12 months from the date of his alleged termination.
- 12. The management has submitted the affidavit of Shri M. P. Singhal in support of his case who has deposed that the applicant had worked as Waterman only during the period from 17-4-2002 to 8-7-2002 for 83 days and for the period 17-4-2002 to 8-7-2002 on Rs. 60 per day subject

to maximum amount of Rs. 1560 per month and his services automatically come to an end on the expiry of the said periods.

- 13. The non-applicant has produced documents Ex. M-2 to Ex. M-6. Ex. M-2 is appointment letter dated 8-4-2002 for temporary workman for the period 17-4-2002 to 8-7-2002 (85 days). Ex. M-3 is applicant's application for appointing him as Waterman. Ex. M-4 is another appointment letter dated 8-7-2003 whereby he was appointed as Waterman for the period 8-7-03 to 30-9-2003. Ex. M-5 is appointment letter dated 16-7-2003 appointing him for 85 days w.e.f. 8-7-2003. Ex. M-6 is an application of the applicant wherein request has been made to appoint him as class IV employee. The deposition of the management witness also finds support from the said documents Ex. M-2 to Ex. M-5 adduced by the non-applicant.
- 14. There is no cross-examination on the affidavit of the management witness. There is no oral evidence in rebuttal. Thus, there is no reason to disbelieve the version of the non-applicant. The applicant has failed to prove that he had continuously worked with the non-applicant during period 1-4-2001 to 30-12-2003. He has also failed to prove that he had at least worked for 240 days during preceding 12 months from the date of his termination i.e. 30-12-2003.

Point Nos. 2 & 3:

- 15. It has been alleged in the claim statement that juniors to the applicant were retained in the service and no seniority list was prepared. It has also been alleged that after termination, without offer of employment to the applicant new persons have been recruited in his place. But there is neither oral evidence nor any documentary evidence of the applicant in support of above pleadings. Mere pleadings are no substitute for proof. Therefore, the applicant has also failed to establish alleged violation of the provisions under Section 25(G) and 25(H).
- 16. In view of the above discussions the reference under adjudication is answered in negative. Resultantly, the applicant workman is not entitled to any relief as claimed by him. The reference under adjudication is answered accordingly.
 - 17. Award as above,

N. K. PUROHIT, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 10 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 707.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार बी एस एन एल के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, लखनऊ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 20/2006) को प्रकाशित कारती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-40012/86/2004-आई आर (डी. यू.)] डी. एस. एस. श्रीनिवास राव, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th February, 2011

S.O. 707.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 20/2006) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cumbabour Court, Lucknow as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BSNL and their workman, received by the Central Government on 10-2-2011.

[No. L-40012/86/2004-IR (DU)] D. S. S. SRINIVASA RAO, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, LUCKNOW

PRESENT:

Dr. Manju Nigam, Presiding Officer

I.D. No. 20/2006

Reference No. L-40012/86/2004-IR (DU) dated : 4-8-2006

BETWEEN

Shri Phool Kunwar, S/o Late Shri Ram Samujh Choudhary, Village Girdharpur, PO-Chokhra, Distt. Sidharthnagar (UP).

AND

- The Chief General Manager,
 BSNL, Telecom, UP (East) Circle,
 Lucknow
- The Divisional Engineer,
 BSNL, Telecom (Maintenance),
 Telephone Exchange, Kesar Baugh,
 Lucknow

AWARD

1. By Order No. L-40012/86/2004-IR (DU) dated 4-8-2006 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour, New Delhi in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) referred this industrial dispute between Shri Phool Kunwar, S/o Late Shri Ram Samujh Choudhary, Village-Girdharpur, PO- Chokhra, Distt. Sidharthnagar (UP) and the Chief General Manager, BSNL, Telecom, UP (East) Circle, Lucknow and the Divisional Engineer, BSNL, Telecom

(Maintenance), Telephone Exchange, Kesar Baugh, Lucknow for adjudication.

- 2. The reference under adjudication is:
- "Whether the action of the management of Chief General Manager, BSNL, Telecom, U.P. Circle, Lucknow/Divisional Engineer, BSNL, Telecom (Maintenance), Telephone Exchange, Kesar Baugh, Lucknow in terminating the services of their workman Shri Phool Kunwar, w.e.f. 27-7-2001 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to and from which date?"
- 3. The case of the workman in brief is that the workman was appointed by the management of BSNL, on 26-3-1991 on the sanctioned post of Lorry/Jeep Driver on being his name sponsored by the Employment Exchange and he worked accordingly, up to 2001 for more than 240 days in each year, in a stipulated pay scale/salary like regular Driver's salary. It has been alleged by the workman that his services were terminated in an arbitrary manner vide impugned order dated 27-7-2001, without affording any opportunity to the workman, such as notice or notice pay in lieu thereof, in violation of Section 25-F. It has further been alleged by the workman the opposite party has not only retained workmen junior to him but also has granted them temporary status; and accordingly, the management has violated the provisions of Section 25-11. He has referred name of one Shri Udai Bhan Mishra in this respect. The workman has also alleged that the management after terminating his services appointed several casual labourers and granted them temporary status, in this regard he has referred names, such as Shri Rajesh Kumar Shukla, Shri Om Prakash and Shri Rudra Mani Pandey. Accordingly, the workman has prayed that the impugned order dated 27-7-2001, terminating the services of workman, in contravention to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, be set aside and he be reinstated with all consequential benefits, including continuity in service. back wages and regularization in service etc.
- 4. The opposite party has disputed the claim of the workman by filing its written statement wherein it has submitted that the workman was engaged as casual Lorry Driver, as stop gap arrangement, in March, 1991 and worked as such up to 24-5-2001; and when regular Lorry Driver joined his duties, his casual engagement came to an end for which he was paid salary of one month in lieu of notice. as such, it has not contravened any provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Further, it has been submitted by the management that the grant of temporary status is irrelevant in the present case as the workman was engaged on casual basis against Group 'C' post of Lorry Driver whereas the claim for grant of temporary status is available to casual labourer equivalent to Group 'D' staff. The opposite party has also raised objection regarding maintainability of the present reference order

on the ground that the workman is not a workman as he had worked as casual employee performing the work of Lorry Driver. Accordingly, the opposite party has prayed that the claim of the workman be rejected without any relief to him.

- 5. The workman has filed rejoinder whereby he has only reiterated his averments in the statement of claim and has introduced nothing new.
- 6. The workman has filed documents in support of his claim whereas the opposite party did not file any. The workman has examined himself; whereas the opposite party examined Shri Chandra Shekhar Mishra, SDE (Admin.), in support of their respective cases. The parties filed their written arguments apart from submitting oral submissions in support of their case.
- 7. Heard, representatives of both the parties and scanned the entire evidence on record.
- 8. The workman Shri Phool Kunwar has stated in his statement on oath that he had worked as casual Driver from March, 1991 to 27-7-2001; and at last he was getting @ about Rs. 134 per day and bonus as payable to Group 'D' employee. He has further stated that his services were terminated on joining of regular driver. He has alleged that Uday Bhan Mishra and Pankaj Tiwari, who were junior to him were regularized by the opposite party.
- 9. In rebuttal, the management witness Shri Chandra Shekhar Mishra, SDE has stated that the workman was engaged as casual Lorry Driver in March 1991, as the regularly recruited Lorry Driver were not available accordingly, the engagement of the workman was purely as a stop gap arrangement on casual basis. The engagement of the workman came to end after the availability of regular driver. He was also paid one month salary in lieu of the notice. The management witness has also stated that the claim of grant of temporary status is not applicable to the employees who are working against the Group 'C' post of Lorry Driver. In cross examination, the witness. Shri Chandra Shekhar Mishra has reiterated that the workman worked from March, 1991 to 20-5-2001 as Group 'C' worker accordingly, he was given for disengagement from Group 'C' category.
- 10. The learned representative on behalf of the workman has contended that the workman was engaged as daily wager though work of Driver was taken. The opposite party has treated the workman in Group 'C' category of the employee without paying wages payable to the casual driver i.e. Rs.176.15 per day. Since the workman had worked for 10 years continuously, therefore he was entitled for the grant of temporary status. The opposite party has granted temporary status to the junior casual labours ignoring the claim of the workman. He has also contended that issue raised in representation dated 22-9-2000 submitted to the Chief General Manager, Telecom

BSNL to treat the workman as casual labour was not involved in the O.A decided by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Lucknow. Further the workman was getting wages and bonus available to the casual labour of group 'D'. He has further contended that post of group 'C' and 'D' employees are available but the opposite party has adopted a pick and choose policy & retained the juniors to the workman in the services and terminated the services of the workman illegally. Thus, the termination order is unjustified and illegal.

- 11. Per contra, the learned representative on behalf of the management has urged that the workman was engaged as casual Lorry Driver in purely stop gap arrangement till the availability of the regular Lorry Driver. His services were disengaged when the regular Lorry Driver joined his duty on 24-5-2001 after paying one month salary in lieu of notice. He has further urged that earlier the workman had approached to the Central Administrative Tribunal, Lucknow for regularization on the post of Lorry Driver on the ground that he had been working as Lorry Driver and also claimed the benefit of the scheme of granting of temporary status but his claim was rejected on the ground that the scheme of grant of temporary status was not applicable to Lorry Drivers which is a group 'C' post. The workman filed writ petition against the said order but the same was withdrawn. The workman has failed to prove that he was basically performing the work of casual labour and whenever there was any need he used to drive the vehicle also. He has further urged that the workman himself has admitted in his representation dated 22-8-2000 that he was working as casual Lorry Driver, thus, he is not entitled for any relief.
- 12. Having gone through the rival submissions made by both the parties, it reveals that it is not disputed that the workman had worked under the opposite party from March, 1991 to 24-5-2001. The contention of the workman is that he was appointed as temporary lorry driver and has completed more than 240 days since 1991 to 2001 against duly sanctioned post in the pay scale of regularized drivers salary. Even after completion of 11 years of service, his services were not regularized like other persons who were juniors to him, in accordance with provision of law. Further, it was also contended in the claim statement that workman and two other casual lorry drivers requested to appoint them against 50% quota on the post of regular Lorry Drivers but no heed were given on his request and was thus, illegally deprived of the appointment while the casual labours have been granted temporary status. His claim for the post of regular lorry driver was ignored and his services were terminated in arbitrary and punitive manner, in violation of principles of natural justice and provisions of Section 25F and 25G and H of the I.D. Act. It was submitted that several daily wager/casual labour has been appointed after the termination of the services of the applicant and had been granted temporary status e.g. Om Prakash who

joined duty on 4-6-1991 and was given temporary status on 12-2-1999 and Chandra Bhushan Singh who joined services on 12-2-1999 got temporary status mazdoor on 12-2-1999. One Udai Bhan Mishra who was too junior to the applicant was appointed on the post of temporary Lorry Driver in the pay scale of Rs. 4720-6970 vide order dated 2-4-2004 by GMTD, Gorakhpur. Besides it was also submitted that applicant was entitled to get a temporary status after three years on the basis of Scheme of 89.

- 13. It is well settled that if a party challenges the illegality of the order, the burden lies upon him to prove illegality of the order. In the instant case, the burden was on the workman to set out the grounds to challenge the validity of the termination order and to prove the illegality in termination.
- engaged as casual lorry driver and he had worked as such for 10 years, therefore, the action of the management in not granting temporary status and not regularizing and disengaging him from the services is in violation of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The claim of the workman has been denied by the management and it was contended that scheme of grant of temporary status is not applicable to lorry drivers which are group 'C' post. The burden is on the workman to prove that casual lorry drivers were regularized or given temporary status.
- 15. Admittedly, the Original Application No. 544/2000 was filed by the workman before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Lucknow for regularizing him as Lorry Driver and the said O.A. was disposed of by order dated 12-1-2001, with direction to make individual representation to the department in this regard.
- 16, In pursuance of the above order of the CAT, Lucknow dated 12-1-2001, the opposite party after considering the representation of the workman dated 22-1-2001, SDE, Telephone, Kesar Baugh, Lucknow intimated him vide its letter-dated 21-3-2001 that the post of Larry Driver comes under Group 'C' cadre as such regularization cannot be done in the cadre of Lorry Driver.
- 17. Subsequently, aggrieved with the said order dated 21-3-2001, the workman filed O.A. No. 217/2001 and 333/2001 for regularization of his services on the post of Lorry driver and challenged the order of management dated 21-3-2001 by which his representation for regularization was rejected and for quashing the order dated 24-5-2001 by which he was disengaged. On the above said O.A. of the applicant and O.A. of the other two applicants No. 215/2001 and 334/2001, in respect of Om Prakash and O.A. No. 218/2001 and 325/2001 in respect of Ravindra Kumar Verma, which was disposed of by single order, it was observed by the Administrative Tribunal vide order dated 21-12 2001 that "earlier three applicants filed different O.As for grant of temporary status and regularization. However,

as the representation had not been decided all the three O.As were decided with the directions to the respondents to take decision on the representation and to communicate the same to the applicant." The other submissions of the learned authorized representative of the applicant is that the post on which applicant was working has been filled up by transfer and not by making fresh recruitment. Same point was takes before the Administrative Tribunal in OA No. 217/2001 and 333/2001 wherein it was observed by the Administrative Tribunal "that vacant post can be filled by promotion by direct recruitment or even by transfer. It was further held that since the three posts on which the applicants were working have been filled by transfer of regular employees, hence the applicants have no claim to continue on such post. A casual lorry driver has no right on a post to which a regular lorry driver has been transferred." It was submitted before the Administrative Tribunal that applicant along with two others had already sent a representation on 22-9-2000 to Chief General Manager, Telecom for treating applicants as casual labour, in this regard Administrative Tribunal has observed that 'such issue was not involved in the O.A. so, no such direction can be given.' All the OAs, including OA No. 217/2001 and 333/2001 in respect of the workman, were dismissed. Aggrieved by said order of CAT dated 21-12-2001, the workmen preferred a writ before the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad, Lucknow beach, but the same was withdrawn by him.

- 18. Thus, it is evident from the claim statement as well as from the representation, paper No. 6/20 that workman was initially engaged as a casual Lorry Driver and has worked for nine years as such. Since the post of Lorry Driver comes under Group 'C' hence, he is not entitled to get the benefit of scheme for grant of temporary status. It was submitted by the learned authorized representative that many casual Lorry Drivers have been granted temporary status but there is not a single iota of evidence to prove the workman's contention. It was submitted by the opposite party that the labours who were granted temporary status were casual labours not casual Lorry Drivers. The workman could not adduce any evidence which shows that any causal Lorry Driver has been granted temporary status.
- 19. It is the case the workman that he was appointed as casual Lorry Driver which is Group 'C' cadre. Therefore, he is not entitled to get the benefit of scheme of regularization or for grant of temporary status and also not entitled for regularization. As has been observed by the Administrative Tribunal in OA No. 217/2001 and 333 2001, in respect of the workman, vide their order dated 21-12-2001 as under:

"The question of regularization on the post of Lorry Driver, which is a group 'C' post does not arise. The applicant's claim for regularization is therefore, without merit. Consequently, all the three O.As No. 215, 217 and 218 of 2001 are found devoid of merit and are liable to the rejected."

Aggrieved from said order dated 21-12-2001 of the Administrative Tribunal, the workman filed a Writ petition before Hon'ble High Court but same was withdrawn by him and accordingly, the order dated 21-12-2001 becomes final.

- 20. Thus, in view of the above discussions, the workman has failed to prove that casual Lorry Driver has been absorbed or given temporary status. He has also failed to prove that he was engaged as casual labour and he had worked as such under group 'D' cadre for 10 years and his services have been teminated in violation of provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.
- 21. Therefore, the action of the opposite party in not granting temporary status and terminating the services of the workman w.e.f. 27-7-2001 is neither illegal nor unjustified. Accordingly, the workman is not entitled to any relief.
- 22. The reference under adjudication is answered accordingly.

23. Award as above.

LUCKNOW

25-9-2011

Dr. MANJU NIGAM, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 11 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 708.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, भुवनेशवर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 42/2009) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 7-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-12012/117/2008-आई आर(बी-I)] रमेश सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th February, 2011

S.O. 708.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 42/2009) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Bhubaneshwar as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 7-2-2011.

[No. L-12012/117/2008-IR (B-I)] RAMESH SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

Present:

Shri J. Srivastava, Presiding Officer, C.G.I.T.-cum-Labour Court, Bhubaneshwar

Industrial Dispute Case No. 42/2009

Date of Passing Award—31st January, 2011

Between:

The Management of the Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, LHO, Pt. Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Bhubaneshwar, Orissa

The Branch Manager, State Bank of India, At./P.O./Distt. Phulbani

... 1st Party-Management

AND

Their workman Shri Bijay Nayak, At. Darada, P.O. Arapaju, Via. Khajuriguda, Distt. Kandhamal, Orissa

... 2nd Party-Workman

Appearances:

Shri P. K. Mohanty, Chief Manager (Law)

> ... For the 1st Party-Management

None

. . . For the 2nd Party-Workman

AWARD

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour has referred the present industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the Management of State Bank of India, LHO and their workman in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-Sections 2(A) of Section 10 of the Indus rial Disputes Act, 1947 vide letter No. L-12012/117/2008-IR (B-I), dated 9-11-2009 in respect of the matter specified in the schedule as quoted below:

"Whether the action of the Management of Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Orissa Circle, in not re-employing Shri Bijay Nayak, Ex-Temporary Messenger in services, is justified? If not, what relief is the applicant entitled?"

- 2. In pursuance of the order of reference the 2nd Party-workman has filed the statement of claim. The case of the 2nd Party-workman is that he had worked as a temporary messenger in Gochhapara Branch of State Bank of India from 1-10-1985 to 31-3-1986 and as daily wage messenger at Phulbani Branch of State Bank of India from the year 1986 to 31-7-1989. He was called for interview in the year 1989 for the post of messenger and was placed at Sl. No. 17 in the panel list. He waited till 1995, but he was not engaged. In the year 1995 he approached the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa by filing O.J.C. No. 6784/1995. The Hon'ble High Court directed the 1st Party-Management to consider the representation of the petitioners including the 2nd Party-workman, if the petitioners make any representation to the Management within a period of four weeks. The 2nd Party-workman and other petitioners attended the interview held for personal hearing at Regional Office Branch, Phulbani on 22-3-2007 in pursuance of the order of the Hon'ble High Court. But the ibint representation of the petitioners including the 2nd Party-workman was rejected by the Chief Regional Manager on 26-3-2007. It was also learnt that the panel list of 1997 was cancelled. Although he had completed 280 days service in one calendar year, yet he was not given any appointment while those who had completed 240 days have been given appointment. Therefore he has prayed for re-employment as messenger in the State Bank of India with payment of accrued benefits.
 - The 1st Party-Management has stated in its written statement that the appointment of messengers pursuant to different settlements between the Management of State Bank of India and All India State Bank Staff Federation has attained finality after the judgement of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in the matter of "Abhimanyu Mandal and others-versus-State Bank of India" decided on 5-5-1998. Any re-employment or direction for reappointment would be totally against the spirit of the judgement of Hon'ble High Court of Orissa as well as Apex Court of India. Moreover all the panels have expired with effect from 1-4-1997. Now the disputant-workman is estopped from challenging the same after lapse of the panel. The disputant-workman alongwith 10 others were given a personal hearing as per directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in O.J.C. No. 6784/95. Their grievances were heard on their representations and their representation was rejected on 26-3-2007 by a reasoned order. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "Uma Devi-versus-State Bank of Karnataka" has held that there is no fundamental right in those who have been employed as daily wagers or on temporary/contractual basis to claim right of absorption in service. Being aggrieved by the rejection of their representation by the Management of State Bank of India the petitioners raised a dispute before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner (Central) who entered into the conciliation proceeding. But the conciliation proceedings

failed and the matter was referred to the Government of India. The Government of India declined to send the references of dispute in respect of Shri Maheswar Behera. Shri Bharat Behera, Shri Kamraj Behera etc. observing that the Ministry did not consider the dispute fit for adjudication. But the same government has referred the present dispute in respect of the 2nd Party-workman which is the result of non-application of mind and is bad in law. The 2nd Party-workman was employed on temporary basis for a specified period and by afflux of time such temporary employment came to an end and so it cannot be termed as retrenchment. He was afforded an opportunity for permanent appointment and was empanelled after interview but the panel had expired on 31-3-1997. Thereafter he has no right to claim re-employment.

4. On the pleadings of the parties the following issues were settled for adjudication:

ISSUES

- (1) Whether this reference cannot be entertained in view of the allegations made in Para 10 of the written statement of the 1st Party-Management?
- (2) Whether the action of the Management of Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Orissa Circle, in not re-employing Shri Bijay Nayak, Ex-Temporary Messenger in services is justified?
- (3) If not, what relief is the applicant entitled?
- 5. The 2nd Party-workman since remained absent on the last date preceding the settlement of issues, a notice under registered cover was issued to him for the date fixed for his evidence. But he did not turn up on any of the dates fixed thereafter for hearing of the reference. Therefore the case was set ex parte against the 2nd Party-workman and on evidence from the side of the 2nd Party-workman could be recorded in the case.
- The 1st Party-Management has examined Shri Dhananjay Dalai as M.W.-1 and proved and exhibited documents marked as Ext.-A to F.

FINDINGS

Issué No. 1

7. The 1st Farty-Management has taken the plea in its written statement that the Government of India in similar cases of Sim Mahos war Bohera, Shri Bharat Bohera, Shri Kamraj Bohera etc. has rejected the disputes for reference as it did not find the disputes fit for adjudication. But the same Government has referred the present dispute of the 2nd Party-workman which is the result of non-application of mind and is bad in law and therefore it cannot be entertained.

8. It is well settled that the act of referring the dispute under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is an administrative act and it rests upon the sole discretion, of course, on some sound principle of the appropriate Government to refer or reject to refer a dispute for adjudication to an Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court. This Tribunal cannot sit over the order of reference of the Government and decide whether the reference is to be entertained for adjudication or not. The allegations made by the 1st Party-Management in Para 10 of its written statement are not valid and sufficient to deny hearing of reference. Therefore this issue is decided against the 1st Party-Management and it is held that the order of reference is well within the competence of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour making reference to this Tribunal for adjudication.

Issue No. 2

9. The stand of the 1st Party-Management with regard to disengagement of the 2nd Party-workman is that he had worked intermittently at the time of exigencies for a specified period in temporary or casual capacity. He had not completed 240 days of temporary service in any of the calender year in the years he worked under the 1st Party-Management. He was interviewed for permanent appointment in the Bank and kept at SI. No. 17 in the panel list, but due to expiry of the panel on 31-3-1997 he could not be accommodated. He along with some other workman filed an O.J.C. No. 6785/95 before the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in which order for consideration of their representation for re-engagement was passed and the petitioners were asked to file representations in this regard. After consideration, their representation was rejected. As such he is not entitled to re-employment. In the case of "Secretary, State of Karnataka and others-versus-Umadevi and Others" relied by the 1st Party-Management it has been clearly laid down that temporary or casual or daily wage workers cannot claim as a fundamental right to be absorbed in service. The 2nd Party-workman has taken all legal recourse for his re-employment under the 1st Party-Management, but having no right or title to such relief he was not given re-employment. Under law also he cannot be given re-employment on the basis of his previous engagement as a temporary or casual or daily wage worker, under the 1st Party-Management as he could not make out any ground for such a direction to be issued to the 1st Party-Management. As such the action of the 1st Party-Management cannot be held illegal and unjustified in not re-employing the 2nd Party-workman Shri Bijay Nayak, Ex-temporary messenger. This issue is accordingly decided against the 2nd Party-workman,

Issue No. 3

10. Since the claim of the 2nd Party-workman is not valid and justified on the grounds stated above, he is not entitled to any relief as prayed for.

11. Reference is answered accordingly.

Dictated and Corrected by me.

J. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 11 फरवरी, 2011 .

का. आ. 709.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ बीकानेर एण्ड जयपुर के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 115/2005) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 11-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. ऍल.-12012/14/2005-आई आर (बी-!)] रमेश सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th February, 2011

S.O. 709.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 115/2005) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jaipur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur and their workman, received by the Central Government on 11-2-2011.

[No. L-12012/14/2005-IR (B-I)] RAMESH SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JAIPUR

Present:

N. K. Purohit, Presiding Officer

I.D. 115/2005

Reference No. L-12012/14/2005-IR (B-I) dated: 14-9-2005

Shri Mohan Singh, S/o Shri Ramcharan Through-Secretary, Hind Mazdoor Sabha, Bengali Colony, Chawani, Kota (Raj.)

Vs.

The General Manager, State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur, Regional Office, Industrial Area, Jhalawar Road, Kota (Raj.)

AWARD

31-1-2011

1. The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (d) of sub-sections 1 and 2 (A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has referred the following Industrial Dispute to this tribunal for adjudication which runs as under:

"क्या कर्मकार श्री मोहन सिंह पुत्र श्री रामचरण ने दिनांक 1-6-96 से 29-2-2000 की अवधि में स्टेट बैंक ऑफ बीकानेर एण्ड जयपुर की समरानिया शाखा में रु. 240 प्रतिमाह वेतन पर 240 दिन से अधिक कार्य किया है ? यदि हां, तो क्या प्रबन्धक स्टेट बैंक ऑफ बीकानेर एण्ड जयपुर द्वारा 1-3-2000 से कर्मकार को नौकरी से हटाने की कार्यवाही वैध एवं युक्तिसंगत है ? यदि नहीं तो कर्मकार किस अनुतोष का हकदार है ?

- 2. The applicant is his claim statement has pleaded that he was employed by the non-applicant Bank on 1-6-1996 at Samarai Branch of the Bank as class IV employee and he was paid Rs. 240 per month as salary. He was performing job of filling water and cleaning in the morning and thereafter job of class IV during office time. He has further pleaded that despite he had worked continuously from 1-6-1996 to 29-2-2000 and had worked for more than 240 days, he was terminated on 1-3-2000 without any notice or compensation in lieu of notice in viblation of section 25 (F) of the I.D. Act. The applicant has also pleaded that non-applicant did not prepare any seniority list and junior to him were working with the nonapplicant therefore, his termination was in violation of section 25 (G) of the I.D. Act. The applicant has alleged that after termination of his service, Shri Shivaji Sen has been employed without any offer of employment to him. Thus, the applicant has prayed for his reinstatement with full back wages and consequential benefits.
- 3. The non-applicant Bank in its counter statement has denied the claim of the applicant and has averred that the applicant was engaged for a specified periods on the basis of oral contract for filing water. He had not worked in the year 1999 and 2000 and he had never worked for 240 days in any calendar year. It has been further averred that employer employee relation never existed between the parties and provisions of Sections 25F, G and H are not applicable in this matter. Therefore, the claim of the applicant deserves to be rejected.
- 4. In rejoinder, the applicant has only reiterated his earlier averments made by him in his claim statement.
- 5. The applicant did not appear at the stage of applicant's evidence therefore, ex-party proceedings were drawn against him on 25-11-10. The non-applicant has also not adduced any oral evidence. Under these circumstances, there is no oral evidence of either party in support of their respective case. The documents which

99**4** (497)

were desired by the applicant vide his applications dated 24-2-06 and 26-4-06 have been produced by the non-applicant on 28-6-06.

- 6. Heard submissions of the learned representative for the non-applicant and perused the record.
- 7. On pleading of the parties following points cropup for consideration:
 - (i) Whether the applicant had worked continuously from 1-6-96 to 29-2-2000 with nonapplicant and had worked for more than 240 days whose service was terminated on 1-3-2000 in violation of Section 25 (F) of the LD. Act?
 - (ii) Whether at the time of terminating the service of the applicant juniors to him have been retained in violation of Section 25 (G) of 1.D. Act?
 - (iii) Whether after termination of service of the applicant Shri Shivaji Sen workman was employed without any offer of employment to the applicant as required under Section 25 (11) of the I.D. Act?
 - (iv) Relief?

Point No. 1

- 8. Before a workman can claim retrenchment not being in compliance with Section 25F of the 1.D. Act, he has to show that he has been in continuous service of not less than one year with the employer who had retrenched him. As per sub-section (1) 25B of the Act, the workman shall be said to be in continuous service for one year if he has been in the employment of the employer for the continuous, uninterrupted period of one year except the period the absence is permissible under the said section. As per sub-section 1 of Section 25B even if the workman is not in continuous service within the meaning of clause (1) of sub-section (1) of 25B for the period of one year, he shall be deemed to be in continuous service for that period under an employer if he has actually worked for 240 days in the preceding period of twelve months from the date of his termination.
- 9. Since the non-applicant has denied the claim of the applicant initial burden was on the applicant to show that he had worked for 240 days in preceding 12 months prior to his alleged termination or he was in continuous service within the meaning of clause (1) of sub-section (1) of Section 25B for the period of one year.
- 10. The applicant has not adduced any oral evidence in support of his claim. On perusal of the record, it reveals that vide application dated 24-2-06 and 26-4-06 a request was made by the applicant to summon the documents mentioned therein from the non-applicant. It further reveals that following documents have been produced by the non-

applicant on 28-6-06:

- Copy of Payment vouchers and applications submitted by the applicant for payment for the period from 27-6-96 to 7-12-98 of the applicant.
- (ii) Copy of Payment vouchers pertaining to Shri Shivaji Sen.
- (iii) Copy of Register of Employment for the period January, 96 to December, 2000.
- (iv) Copy of Petty charges register for the period 1-6-96 to 31-12-2000.
- (v) Copy of Certificate pertaining to meter connection in the branch.
- (vi) Copy of Statement of the bank account of the applicant.
- (vii) Copy of the applicant for granting loan for business.

11. On perusal of the copies of payment vouchers, applications, petty charges register, it is evident that during period from 27-6-96 to 7-12-98 payments were made to the applicant for filling water on different dates on the basis of applications submitted by him. It is also evident that regular salary was not being paid and the payments of different amount were made on the basis of number of days he had performed the job and nature of job viz. cleaning, filling water etc. From the register of employment it further reveals that he was not marking his attendance in the said register as class IV employee. The other documents which are on the record are not relevant for the purpose of calculating working days of the applicant. The workman has not adduced any oral evidence in support of his claim. Apart from this even from the material on the record and on reckoning the number of working days figuring therefrom neither it is established that the applicant was in continuous service within the meaning of clause (II) of sub-section (1) of Section 2(b) nor it is established that he had worked for at least 240 days during preceding 12 months from the date of his alleged termination i.e. 1-3-2000, this point is decided against the applicant.

Point No. II

12. The applicant has not disclosed the name of the junior person said to be retained while terminating his service. Moreover, there is neither any oral nor any documentary evidence on the record to show that while terminating service of the applicant any junior to him was working with the non-applicant, hence, this point is also decided against the applicant.

Point No. III

13. The applicant has pleaded that after terminating of his service Shri Shivaji Sen was employed in his place

without offer of employment but there is no evidence that without offer of employment to the applicant the above name person was engage in his place. Therefore, the applicant has failed to prove that the non-applicant has violated the provisions of section 25(H) of the I.D. Act.

Point No. IV

14. In the result, the reference is answered in negative. Resultantly, the applicant is not entitled to get any relief. The reference under adjudication is answered accordingly.

15. Award as above.

N. K. PUROHIT, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 14 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 710.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार पश्चिम रेलवे प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण-1, मुम्बई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 06/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-2-2011 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-41012/63/2006-आई आर (बी-!)] रमेश सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 14th February, 2011

S.O. 710.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 06/2007) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-1, Mumbai as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Western Railway and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 10-2-2011.

[No. L-41012/63/2006-IR (B-I)] RAMESH SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. -1, MUMBAI

Present:

Justice G.S. Sarraf, Presiding Officer

Reference No. CGIT-6 of 2007

Parties:

Employers in relation to the management of Western Railway

AND

Their workman (Shri Radka Bhima)

Appearances:

For the Management

Absent

For the Workman

Absent

State

Maharashtra

Mumbai, dated the 2nd day of February, 2011

AWARD

1 This is a reference made by the Cental Government in exercise of its powers under clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 vide Government of India, Ministry of Labour New Delhi Order No. L-41012/63/2006-IR (B-1) dated 24-1-2007. The terms of reference given in the schedule are as follows:

"Whether the action of the management of Western Railways, Mumbai is justified and proper is imposing the penalty of removal from services on Shri Radka Bhima? If not, then what relief the workman is entitled to?"

- 2. None present on behalf of the Western Railway.
- 3. The workman appeared after service of notice and prayed for time to file statement of claim but thereafter he remained absent continuously. A notice issued to the workman Radka Bhima in compliance of the Order dt. 30-11-2010 has been served on the workman but still the workman is not present and no statement of claim has been filed on his behalf.
- 4. In view of the above, this reference stands disposed of for want of prosecution.

JUSTICE G.S. SARRAF, Presiding Officer नई दिल्ली, 18 फरवरी, 2011

का. आ. 711.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट हो, जाने पर कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (इ) के उप-खण्ड (vi) के उपबंधों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना दिनांक 18-8-2010 द्वारा ताम्बा खनन उद्योग, जोंकि औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्टि 13 में शामिल है, को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 25-8-2010 से छ: मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालावधि को छ: मास की और कालावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है;

अत:, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (ढ़) के उप-खण्ड (vi) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 25-2-2011 से छ: मास की कालाविध के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा. सं. एस-11017/11/97-आई आर (पी.एल.) रवि माथुर, अपर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th February, 2011

S.O. 711.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so required that in pursuance of the provisions of Sub-clause (vi) of the clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment dated 18-8-2010 the service in the Copper Mining Industry which is covered by item 13 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) to be a Public Utility Service for the purpose of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 25th August, 2010.

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a Public Utility Service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 25th February, 2011.

> [F. No. S-11017/11/97-IR (PL)] RAVI MATHUR, Addl. Secy.